Homework 3

Exercise 3(c): Let n be an integer and let $0 \le d \le n$ be a real number. Consider a random n-vertex graph G formed by including each edge independently with probability d/n.

Prove that if $d = \omega(1)$, the average degree of G is (1 + o(1))d with probability 1 - o(1).

Solution. Let X denote the number of edges of G. This is a binomial random variable with distribution $Bin(\binom{n}{2}, p)$, where p = d/n. In particular, the expectation of X is

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = p\binom{n}{2} = \frac{d}{n} \cdot \binom{n}{2} = \frac{d(n-1)}{2} = \frac{dn}{2} - \frac{d}{2}.$$

We first claim that with probability 1 - o(1), we have that X = (1 + o(1))dn/2. This follows from essentially any of the standard concentration results for the binomial distribution; for concreteness, we give an elementary proof using only Chebyshev's inequality.

Since X is binomially distributed, its variance is given by

$$\operatorname{Var}(X) = p(1-p)\binom{n}{2} \leqslant p\binom{n}{2} \leqslant \frac{dn}{2}.$$

Chebyshev's inequality thus implies that for any t > 0, we have

$$\Pr\left(|X - \mathbb{E}[X]| \geqslant t \cdot \sqrt{\frac{dn}{2}}\right) \leqslant \frac{1}{t^2}.\tag{1}$$

We now note that

$$\Pr\left(\left|X - \frac{dn}{2}\right| \geqslant d\sqrt{\frac{n}{2}}\right) \leqslant \Pr\left(\left|X - \mathbb{E}[X]\right| \geqslant d\sqrt{\frac{n}{2}} - \frac{d}{2}\right)$$

$$\leqslant \Pr\left(\left|X - \mathbb{E}[X]\right| \geqslant \frac{d}{2}\sqrt{\frac{n}{2}}\right)$$

$$= \Pr\left(\left|X - \mathbb{E}[X]\right| \geqslant \frac{\sqrt{d}}{2}\sqrt{\frac{dn}{2}}\right)$$

$$\leqslant \frac{4}{d},$$

where the first inequality uses the fact that $|\mathbb{E}[X] - \frac{dn}{2}| = \frac{d}{2}$, the second holds for $n \ge 2$ (which we are allowed to assume since we are working in the $n \to \infty$ limit), and the final holds by plugging in $t = \sqrt{d}/2$ into (1).

Recall that the average degree of any n-vertex graph G is equal to 2e(G)/n. Hence the average degree in our random graph is 2X/n. Let Y=2X/n be this average degree, and note that the above implies

$$\Pr\left(|Y-d|\geqslant d\sqrt{\frac{2}{n}}\right) = \Pr\left(\left|X-\frac{dn}{2}\right|\geqslant d\sqrt{\frac{n}{2}}\right)\leqslant \frac{4}{d}.$$

To conclude the proof, we note that $d\sqrt{2/n} = o(d)$ as $n \to \infty$, and that 4/d = o(1) since we assume $d = \omega(1)$. Hence this implies that Y = (1 + o(1))d with probability 1 - o(1).

Exercise 5(a) Prove that, for any fixed $s \ge 3$, we have

$$r(s,k) \geqslant k^{\frac{s-1}{2} - o(1)},$$

where the o(1) term tends to 0 as $k \to \infty$.

Solution. Let G be a random graph on $N := \left(\frac{k}{s \ln k}\right)^{\frac{s-1}{2}}$ vertices, where each pair of vertices is included as an edge independently with probability $p := N^{-\frac{2}{s-1}}$. We begin by claiming that G is K_s -free with probability at least $\frac{5}{6}$. Indeed, any given set of s vertices forms a copy of K_s in G with probability $p^{\binom{s}{2}}$, and there are $\binom{N}{s}$ options for such a set of s vertices. Hence, by the union bound, we have that the probability that G contains a K_s is at most

$$\binom{N}{s} p^{\binom{s}{2}} \leqslant \frac{N^s}{s!} p^{\frac{s^2 - s}{2}} = \frac{1}{s!} \left(N p^{\frac{s - 1}{2}} \right)^s = \frac{1}{s!} \leqslant \frac{1}{6},$$

where we use our definition of p to see that $Np^{\frac{s-1}{2}} = 1$ and use the fact that $s \ge 3$ to conclude that $s! \ge 6$. Thus, G is K_s -free with probability at least $\frac{5}{6}$.

We now claim that G has no independent set of order k with probability at least $\frac{1}{2}$. Any set of k vertices forms an independent set with probability $(1-p)^{\binom{k}{2}}$, and there are $\binom{N}{k}$ choices for such a set. Applying the union bound, we find that the probability that G has an independent set of order k is at most

$$\binom{N}{k} (1-p)^{\binom{k}{2}} \leqslant \frac{N^k}{k!} (1-p)^{\frac{k^2-k}{2}} = \frac{1}{(1-p)^{\frac{k}{2}} k!} \cdot \left(N(1-p)^{\frac{k}{2}} \right)^k \tag{2}$$

Note that $p \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$, hence $p \leq \frac{1}{2}$ for sufficiently large k. Thus, for sufficiently large k, we have that

$$(1-p)^{\frac{k}{2}}k! \geqslant \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{k}{2}}k! \geqslant \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{k}{2}} \cdot \left(\frac{k}{2}\right)^{\frac{k}{2}} \geqslant \left(\frac{k}{4}\right)^{\frac{k}{2}} \geqslant 2,$$

where the second inequality uses the simple bound $k! \ge (k/2)^{k/2}$, and the final inequality also holds for sufficiently large k. On the other hand, using the bound $1 - x \le e^{-x}$, we have that

$$N(1-p)^{\frac{k}{2}} \leqslant Ne^{-p\frac{k}{2}} = \exp\left(\ln N - p\frac{k}{2}\right) = \exp\left(\ln N - \frac{k}{2}N^{-\frac{2}{s-1}}\right).$$

Note that, by our choice of N, we have that

$$\frac{k}{2}N^{-\frac{2}{s-1}} = \frac{k}{2} \cdot \frac{s \ln k}{k} = \frac{s \ln k}{2}$$

and that

$$\ln N \leqslant \ln \left(k^{\frac{s-1}{2}} \right) = \frac{(s-1)\ln k}{2}.$$

Therefore, $\ln N - \frac{k}{2} N^{-\frac{2}{s-1}} \leqslant -\frac{\ln k}{2} \leqslant 0$, so $N(1-p)^{\frac{k}{2}} \leqslant 1$. Plugging all of this back into (2), we find that the probability that G has an independent set of order k is at most

$$\frac{1}{(1-p)^{\frac{k}{2}}k!} \cdot \left(N(1-p)^{\frac{k}{2}}\right)^k \leqslant \frac{1}{2} \cdot 1 = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Putting this all together, we find that with probability at least $\frac{1}{2}$, G has no independent set of order k, and with probability at least $\frac{5}{6}$, G is K_s -free. Thus, with positive probability, G satisfies both these properties simultaneously, hence there exists an N-vertex graph which is K_s -free and has no independent set of order k. Therefore,

$$r(s,k) > N = \left(\frac{k}{s \ln k}\right)^{\frac{s-1}{2}} = k^{\frac{s-1}{2} - o(1)},$$

since for fixed s and for $k \to \infty$, we have that $s \ln k = k^{o(1)}$.

Homework 4

Exercise 3(a) Let q be a prime power. Construct a graph Π_q with vertex set $V(\Pi_q) = \mathbb{F}_q^2$, in which two vertices $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)$ are adjacent if and only if $x_1x_2 + y_1y_2 = 1$.

Prove that Π_q is C_4 -free.

Solution. Suppose for contradiction that we have a C_4 in Π_q , namely four distinct vertices $(x_1, y_1), \ldots, (x_4, y_4)$ which are pairwise adjacent in this cyclic order. Let

$$\ell_1 := \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{F}_q^2 : xx_1 + yy_1 = 1\}$$
 and $\ell_3 := \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{F}_q^2 : xx_3 + yy_3 = 1\}.$

Note that by definition, ℓ_1 is precisely the neighborhood of (x_1, y_1) in Π_q , and similarly ℓ_3 is the neighborhood of (x_3, y_3) . Moreover, by construction, ℓ_1, ℓ_3 are both lines in \mathbb{F}_q^2 .

However, we know that two lines in \mathbb{F}_q^2 intersect in at most one point. Formally, suppose that $(x,y) \in \ell_1 \cap \ell_3$. Then (x,y) satisfies the two equations

$$xx_1 + yy_1 = 1$$
$$xx_3 + yy_3 = 1.$$

Subtracting the second equation from the first, we conclude that

$$x(x_3 - x_1) = y(y_1 - y_3).$$

We assumed that the points (x_1, y_1) and (x_3, y_3) were distinct, so either $x_1 \neq x_3$ or $y_1 \neq y_3$ (or both). Let us assume the first case happens; the second case is essentially identical. Since $x_1 \neq x_3$, we may divide the equation above by $x_3 - x_1$ to conclude that

$$x = \frac{y_1 - y_3}{x_3 - x_1} y. (3)$$

Plugging this in to the equation $xx_1 + yy_1 = 1$, we find that

$$y\left(x_1\frac{y_1 - y_3}{x_3 - x_1} + y_1\right) = 1.$$

Note that there is at most one choice of y satisfying this. Indeed, if $x_1 \frac{y_1 - y_3}{x_3 - x_1} + y_1 = 0$ then there is no solution to this equation, and if $x_1 \frac{y_1 - y_3}{x_3 - x_1} + y_1 \neq 0$ then the unique solution is $y = 1/(x_1 \frac{y_1 - y_3}{x_3 - x_1} + y_1)$. Plugging this back into (3) shows that, given the value of y, we can also determine the value of x.

In other words, we have proven that there is at most one point (x, y) in the intersection $\ell_1 \cap \ell_3$. Therefore, the points (x_1, y_1) and (x_3, y_3) have at most one common neighbor in Π_q , as their common neighborhood is precisely $\ell_1 \cap \ell_3$. However, our starting assumption was that (x_2, y_2) and (x_4, y_4) are distinct points, both of which are common neighbors of (x_1, y_1) and (x_3, y_3) ; this is a contradiction.

Homework 6

Exercise 3(b) Let \widehat{K}_k denote the 1-subdivision of K_k . This is a graph on $k + \binom{k}{2}$ vertices, obtained by introducing a new vertex in the middle of every edge of K_k . Equivalently, it is obtained from K_k by replacing every edge by a 2-edge path.

By applying Lemma 5.4.11 and being more careful, prove that $r(\widehat{K}_k) = O(k^2)$. Note that this bound is tight up to the implicit constant since \widehat{K}_k has $\Theta(k^2)$ vertices.

Solution. By choosing the implicit constant in the big-O appropriately, we may assume that k is sufficiently large. We will assume that $k \ge 100$.

Let $N = 81k^2$, and fix a two-coloring of $E(K_N)$. We may assume without loss of generality that at least half the edges are red; let G be the red graph, and note that the average degree d of G satisfies $d \ge \frac{N-1}{2} \ge \frac{N}{3}$.

Let $t = \log_3 k$, let $\Delta = 2$, and let $r = k + {k \choose 2} \leqslant k^2$. Note that

$$\frac{d^t}{N^{t-1}} - \binom{N}{\Delta} \left(\frac{r}{N}\right)^t \geqslant N \left(\frac{d}{N}\right)^t - N^2 \left(\frac{k^2}{N}\right)^t$$

$$\geqslant N \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^t - N^2 \left(\frac{1}{81}\right)^t$$

$$= \frac{N}{k} - \frac{N^2}{k^4}$$

$$= 81k - 81^2$$

$$\geqslant k,$$

where the final step holds since we assumed $k \ge 100$. Therefore, we are in the position to apply Lemma 5.4.11 with the parameters above and with s = k. We conclude that there is a set $T \subseteq V(G)$ of size $|T| \ge k$ such that every pair of vertices in T has at least $r = k + \binom{k}{2}$ common neighbors.

We now argue exactly as in the proof of Theorem 5.4.10. $\widehat{K_k}$ is a bipartite graph with one part of size k (corresponding to the original vertices of K_k) and the other of size $\binom{k}{2}$ (corresponding to the original edges of K_k). We embed the part of size k into T arbitrarily. We then arbitrarily order the vertices in the part of size $\binom{k}{2}$. Each vertex v in this part has exactly two neighbors in $\widehat{K_k}$, which were already embedded into T. By the way we constructed T, this pair of embedded vertices has at least $k + \binom{k}{2}$ common neighbors, and in particular at least one common neighbor that was not yet used in the embedding. We embed v arbitrarily into one of these common neighbors, and continuing in this process we find a red copy of of $\widehat{K_k}$.

Exercise 7 Prove Theorem 6.2.3, the linear bound on multicolor Ramsey numbers of bounded-degree graphs.

Solution. First we pick some parameters depending on Δ and q. Let $\varepsilon = q^{-\Delta}/(2\Delta)$, which is chosen so that $\frac{1}{q} = (2\Delta\varepsilon)^{1/\Delta}$. Let $\delta(\varepsilon, q)$ be the constant from Lemma 6.2.1. Finally, let $C = 2/(\varepsilon\delta)$, and note that C depends only on Δ and q.

Fix an n-vertex graph H with maximum degree at most Δ , and let N=Cn. Consider a q-coloring of $E(K_N)$, and let G_1, \ldots, G_q be the q color classes. Applying Lemma 6.2.1, we find a subset $Q \subseteq V(K_N)$ with $|Q| \geqslant \delta N$ such that $G_1[Q], \ldots, G_q[Q]$ are all ε -quasirandom. By the pigeonhole principle, among the edges in Q, at least a $\frac{1}{q}$ fraction have the same color. So we may pick some $i \in [\![q]\!]$ such that at least $\frac{1}{q}\binom{|Q|}{2}$ of the edges in Q have color i; equivalently, this says that $d(G_i[Q]) \geqslant \frac{1}{q} = (2\Delta\varepsilon)^{1/\Delta}$. Note that

$$|Q| \geqslant \delta N = \delta C n = \frac{2n}{\varepsilon}.$$

Thus, we are in the setting of Lemma 6.1.3, which immediately tells us that H is a subgraph of $G_i[Q]$. Thus, we have found a monochromatic copy of H in color i, implying that $r(H) \leq N$.