

1. We saw that $r_o(k; 2) = r(k; 2)$ and that $r_o(k; q) \leq r(k; q)$ for all k, q . Prove an inequality in the other direction, namely that

$$r(k; q) \leq r_o(r_o(\cdots r_o(r_o(k; q); q-1) \cdots; 3); 2).$$

for any $q \geq 3$.

2. (a) Prove that

$$r_o(k; 2k-1) = 2k$$

for all $k \geq 2$.

★(b) Determine $r_o(k; q)$ exactly for all $q \geq 2k$.

★(c) For any fixed $\alpha \in (0, 2)$, determine

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{r_o(k; \alpha k)}{k}.$$

3. Let $1 \leq \ell \leq q-1$ be integers, and let $\binom{[q]}{\ell}$ denote the collection of all ℓ -element subsets of $[q]$. A (q, ℓ) -set coloring is a function $\chi : E(K_N) \rightarrow \binom{[q]}{\ell}$; in other words, rather than assigning every edge of K_N a single color out of q options, we assign every edge a list of ℓ colors from a palette of size q . We say that $v_1, \dots, v_k \in V(K_N)$ form a *color-intersecting clique* if there is a color that appears in all of the $\binom{k}{2}$ lists associated to the edges they span, that is, if $\bigcap_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} \chi(v_i v_j) \neq \emptyset$. The *set coloring Ramsey number* $r_s(k; (q, \ell))$ is the least N such that every (q, ℓ) -set coloring of $E(K_N)$ contains a color-intersecting clique of order k .

- (a) Prove that $r_s(k; (q, 1)) = r(k; q)$.
 (b) Prove that $r_s(k; (q, \ell)) \leq r_s(k; (q, \ell-1))$ for any $2 \leq \ell \leq q-1$. Conclude that $r_s(k; (q, \ell)) \leq r(k; q)$ for all $1 \leq \ell \leq q-1$.
 (c) Prove that $r_s(k; (q, q-1)) = r_o(k; q)$.
 (d) Combining parts (a) and (c) with our known bounds on $r(k; q)$ and $r_o(k; q)$, conclude the following. There exist absolute constants c, C such that for any $k \geq q \geq 2$, we have

$$2^{ckq} \leq r_s(k; (q, 1)) \leq 2^{Ckq \log q} \quad \text{and} \quad 2^{\frac{ck}{q}} \leq r_s(k; (q, q-1)) \leq 2^{\frac{Ck}{q} \log q}.$$

In other words, at both extremes $\ell = 1$ and $\ell = q-1$, we have a $\Theta(\log q)$ gap between the upper and lower bounds.

- (e) Prove that, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists some $B > 0$ such that the following holds. If $\ell \geq \varepsilon q$, then $r_s(k; (q, \ell)) \leq 2^{Bkq}$.
 (f) Using Theorem 8.1.4, prove the following. For every $x \geq 1$, there exists $D > 0$ such that

$$r_s(k; (q, q-x)) \leq 2^{\frac{Dk}{q} \log q}.$$

Note that this bound is much stronger than that given in (e).

- ★(g) Prove that, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists some $\delta > 0$ such that the following holds. If $\varepsilon q \leq \ell \leq (1 - \varepsilon)q$, then

$$r_s(k; (q, \ell)) \geq 2^{\delta k q}.$$

This shows that the bound in (e) is tight up to the value of B when $\varepsilon q \leq \ell \leq (1 - \varepsilon)q$. On the other hand, (f) shows that the upper bound $\ell \leq (1 - \varepsilon)q$ cannot be entirely removed.

4. (a) Prove that Theorem 8.2.4 is equivalent to the following statement. For every $C > 0, k \in \mathbb{N}$, the following holds for sufficiently large N . Consider a coloring $\chi : E(K_N) \rightarrow \{\text{red, blue}\}$, and suppose that χ contains no monochromatic clique of order $C \log N$. Then for every coloring $\psi : E(K_k) \rightarrow \{\text{red, blue}\}$, there is a k -vertex subset S of K_N such that the restriction of χ to S equals ψ (up to permutations of the vertices).

- (b) State and prove a generalization of (a) to colorings with more than two colors.

- ⋄ 5. Prove that if G is a k -universal graph, then G has at least $2^{k/2}$ vertices.