

Model Optimization and Tuning Phase Template

Date	15 March 2024
Team ID	LTVIP2024TMID25001
Project Title	Customer Segmentation Using Machine Learning
Maximum Marks	10 Marks

Model Optimization and Tuning Phase

The Model Optimization and Tuning Phase involves refining machine learning models for peak performance. It includes optimized model code, fine-tuning hyperparameters, comparing performance metrics, and justifying the final model selection for enhanced predictive accuracy and efficiency.

Hyperparameter Tuning Documentation (6 Marks):

Model	Tuned Hyperparameters	Optimal Values
KNN	<pre>knn = KNeighborsClassifier()</pre> <pre># K-Nearest Neighbors knn_params = { 'n_neighbors': [3, 5, 7, 9], 'weights': ['uniform', 'distance'], 'metric': ['euclidean', 'manhattan'] }</pre>	<pre>Best parameters for KNN: {'metric': 'euclidean', 'n_neighbors': 3, 'weights': 'distance'}</pre> <pre>KNN Accuracy: 1.0</pre>

Decision Tree	<pre>dt = DecisionTreeClassifier()</pre> <pre># Decision Tree dt_params = { 'max_depth': [None, 10, 20, 30], 'min_samples_split': [2, 5, 10], 'min_samples_leaf': [1, 2, 4] }</pre>	<pre>Best parameters for Decision Tree: {'max_depth': None, 'min_samples_leaf': 1, 'min_samples_split': 2}</pre> <pre>Decision Tree Accuracy: 0.9975</pre>
Random Forest	<pre>rf = RandomForestClassifier()</pre> <pre># Random Forest rf_params = { 'n_estimators': [100, 200, 300], 'max_depth': [None, 10, 20, 30], 'min_samples_split': [2, 5, 10], 'min_samples_leaf': [1, 2, 4] }</pre>	<pre>Best parameters for Random Forest: {'max_depth': None, 'min_samples_leaf': 1, 'min_samples_split': 2, 'n_estimators': 100}</pre> <pre>Random Forest Accuracy: 0.9975</pre>
XGBoost	<pre>xg = XGBClassifier()</pre> <pre># XGBoost xg_params = { 'learning_rate': [0.01, 0.1, 0.2], 'max_depth': [3, 5, 7], 'n_estimators': [100, 200, 300], 'colsample_bytree': [0.3, 0.7] }</pre>	<pre>Best parameters for XGBoost: {'colsample_bytree': 0.7, 'learning_rate': 0.1, 'max_depth': 7, 'n_estimators': 200}</pre> <pre>XGBoost Accuracy: 0.9975</pre>

Performance Metrics Comparison Report (2 Marks):

Model		Optimized Metric																																			
KNN		<div>KNN Confusion Matrix: [[85 0 0] [0 208 0] [0 0 107]]</div> <div>KNN Classification Report: <table><thead><tr><th></th><th>precision</th><th>recall</th><th>f1-score</th><th>support</th></tr></thead><tbody><tr><td>0</td><td>1.00</td><td>1.00</td><td>1.00</td><td>85</td></tr><tr><td>1</td><td>1.00</td><td>1.00</td><td>1.00</td><td>208</td></tr><tr><td>2</td><td>1.00</td><td>1.00</td><td>1.00</td><td>107</td></tr><tr><td>accuracy</td><td></td><td></td><td>1.00</td><td>400</td></tr><tr><td>macro avg</td><td>1.00</td><td>1.00</td><td>1.00</td><td>400</td></tr><tr><td>weighted avg</td><td>1.00</td><td>1.00</td><td>1.00</td><td>400</td></tr></tbody></table></div>		precision	recall	f1-score	support	0	1.00	1.00	1.00	85	1	1.00	1.00	1.00	208	2	1.00	1.00	1.00	107	accuracy			1.00	400	macro avg	1.00	1.00	1.00	400	weighted avg	1.00	1.00	1.00	400
	precision	recall	f1-score	support																																	
0	1.00	1.00	1.00	85																																	
1	1.00	1.00	1.00	208																																	
2	1.00	1.00	1.00	107																																	
accuracy			1.00	400																																	
macro avg	1.00	1.00	1.00	400																																	
weighted avg	1.00	1.00	1.00	400																																	
Decision Tree		<div>Decision Tree Confusion Matrix: [[84 1 0] [0 208 0] [0 0 107]]</div> <div>Decision Tree Classification Report: <table><thead><tr><th></th><th>precision</th><th>recall</th><th>f1-score</th><th>support</th></tr></thead><tbody><tr><td>0</td><td>1.00</td><td>0.99</td><td>0.99</td><td>85</td></tr><tr><td>1</td><td>1.00</td><td>1.00</td><td>1.00</td><td>208</td></tr><tr><td>2</td><td>1.00</td><td>1.00</td><td>1.00</td><td>107</td></tr><tr><td>accuracy</td><td></td><td></td><td>1.00</td><td>400</td></tr><tr><td>macro avg</td><td>1.00</td><td>1.00</td><td>1.00</td><td>400</td></tr><tr><td>weighted avg</td><td>1.00</td><td>1.00</td><td>1.00</td><td>400</td></tr></tbody></table></div>		precision	recall	f1-score	support	0	1.00	0.99	0.99	85	1	1.00	1.00	1.00	208	2	1.00	1.00	1.00	107	accuracy			1.00	400	macro avg	1.00	1.00	1.00	400	weighted avg	1.00	1.00	1.00	400
	precision	recall	f1-score	support																																	
0	1.00	0.99	0.99	85																																	
1	1.00	1.00	1.00	208																																	
2	1.00	1.00	1.00	107																																	
accuracy			1.00	400																																	
macro avg	1.00	1.00	1.00	400																																	
weighted avg	1.00	1.00	1.00	400																																	

Random Forest	<div>Random Forest Confusion Matrix: [[84 1 0] [0 208 0] [1 0 106]]</div> <div>Random Forest Classification Report: <table><thead><tr><th></th><th>precision</th><th>recall</th><th>f1-score</th><th>support</th></tr></thead><tbody><tr><td>0</td><td>0.99</td><td>0.99</td><td>0.99</td><td>85</td></tr><tr><td>1</td><td>1.00</td><td>1.00</td><td>1.00</td><td>208</td></tr><tr><td>2</td><td>1.00</td><td>0.99</td><td>1.00</td><td>107</td></tr><tr><td>accuracy</td><td></td><td></td><td>0.99</td><td>400</td></tr><tr><td>macro avg</td><td>0.99</td><td>0.99</td><td>0.99</td><td>400</td></tr><tr><td>weighted avg</td><td>1.00</td><td>0.99</td><td>0.99</td><td>400</td></tr></tbody></table></div>		precision	recall	f1-score	support	0	0.99	0.99	0.99	85	1	1.00	1.00	1.00	208	2	1.00	0.99	1.00	107	accuracy			0.99	400	macro avg	0.99	0.99	0.99	400	weighted avg	1.00	0.99	0.99	400
	precision	recall	f1-score	support																																
0	0.99	0.99	0.99	85																																
1	1.00	1.00	1.00	208																																
2	1.00	0.99	1.00	107																																
accuracy			0.99	400																																
macro avg	0.99	0.99	0.99	400																																
weighted avg	1.00	0.99	0.99	400																																
XGBoost	<div>XGBoost Confusion Matrix: [[84 1 0] [0 208 0] [0 0 107]]</div> <div>XGBoost Classification Report: <table><thead><tr><th></th><th>precision</th><th>recall</th><th>f1-score</th><th>support</th></tr></thead><tbody><tr><td>0</td><td>1.00</td><td>0.99</td><td>0.99</td><td>85</td></tr><tr><td>1</td><td>1.00</td><td>1.00</td><td>1.00</td><td>208</td></tr><tr><td>2</td><td>1.00</td><td>1.00</td><td>1.00</td><td>107</td></tr><tr><td>accuracy</td><td></td><td></td><td>1.00</td><td>400</td></tr><tr><td>macro avg</td><td>1.00</td><td>1.00</td><td>1.00</td><td>400</td></tr><tr><td>weighted avg</td><td>1.00</td><td>1.00</td><td>1.00</td><td>400</td></tr></tbody></table></div>		precision	recall	f1-score	support	0	1.00	0.99	0.99	85	1	1.00	1.00	1.00	208	2	1.00	1.00	1.00	107	accuracy			1.00	400	macro avg	1.00	1.00	1.00	400	weighted avg	1.00	1.00	1.00	400
	precision	recall	f1-score	support																																
0	1.00	0.99	0.99	85																																
1	1.00	1.00	1.00	208																																
2	1.00	1.00	1.00	107																																
accuracy			1.00	400																																
macro avg	1.00	1.00	1.00	400																																
weighted avg	1.00	1.00	1.00	400																																

Final Model Selection Justification (2 Marks):

Final Model	Reasoning
Random Forest	<p>The Random Forest model was selected for its robust performance and strong generalization capabilities. Its ability to handle a large number of features, mitigate overfitting through bagging, and provide feature importance metrics made it a top contender. Random Forest demonstrated competitive accuracy during hyperparameter tuning, and its ensemble nature ensures better stability and resilience to noise in the dataset. These factors align with the project's objectives, making it an excellent choice as the final model.</p>