i(4) Scaling hypothesis

Consider free energy
$$f(t,h) = \min \left[ \frac{t^2}{5m^2 + um^4 - hm} \right] = \begin{cases} -\frac{t^2}{16u} \\ -\frac{3}{4^{4/3}} \frac{h^{4/3}}{u^{1/3}} \end{cases}$$

Claim Singularity in free energy can be written as  $f(t,h) = |t|^2 g_f(\frac{h}{|t|}o)$   $X = \frac{h}{|t|}o - gap expo.$ 

· For how xoo then gr(x) - gets) ~ i finite then for the recovered how limit.

· For too x > 00 then grass). finite ble andy: now grass of ~ the thing; required the time to a = 3

(h=0 +<0)

(h = 6 += 0)

Homogeneity required sing form of free energy remains homogeneous beyond saddle approx. ie  $fsing(t,h) = |t|^{2-c\chi} f(\frac{h}{|t|^3})$  which is depend on critical pt.

Consider

Remark: derivatives of a hom, func is a hom, func.

" as how Csing n | +1 -xg\_c(0) recovered.

famods on uniqueness

Claim to have more general form "

"By assumption C analy within point to h finite.

Thus allows power law expansion; with leading power term

O.T.O.H by Taylor expansion about too,

· matching two series, we arrived at  $x_t = x_t = x_t$  and  $x_t = x_t = x_t$ . Thus unique!

Gitical Gxpo. Broalysis m(t,h)~ 3F ~ H12-X-Da(H); X->0 gn(0)=const thus, m(t, h=0) ~ |t|2-d-D w/ B=2-d-D " O.T.O.H, XDON GL(X)~X (for some highest power P) Mic's trick then  $m(t=0,h) \sim |t|^{2-x-\Delta} \left(\frac{h}{|t|^{\Delta}}\right)^{\rho}$  is  $|p|_{\Delta=2-x-\Delta}$  (tiddep) Scale han 2 thus, m(tor,h) ~ h 2000 W S= B. thus t= hts metroph) ~ h X(t,h)~ 2m ~ H1 20-22 gx (Ha) => X(t,h=0)~ H12-0-22 W/ 8=28-2-X Homogeneity Assumption · critical quantities Q (i.e. C, S etc) are homogeneous m/ same expo. on analytic area. · due to them. derivatives & presents in all them quantities " We can obtain all cit. expo. using only two Indep. param. X, D atogether there provide a list of expo. identities. = using p=2-0-0 ie  $\alpha+2\beta+\delta=2$  $\gamma = \beta(\delta-1)$ Y = 20-2+ X Divergence of correlation length. Gluen sys size. L & divided by \$ réstidate: s(t,h)~ (+10 (1+10) recul Gaussian Claim & most fundamental poorm. responsible for sing. Remark sys can divided by unit of 5th thus extensiveness of partition func. then fring(th)~ lat ~ god, laz = (=) gs + - + (=) ga Haturits non-sing microscale thus fring(t, h) ~ |t| de (t) from 1st postulate fring ~ |t|24 thus we obtain Joshophson's Identity Remarks. Identities involve din d are called hyperscaling relations. Remarks. For d=3 of=0.11 D=0.63 relation (%) holds · At saddle pt. of 0  $\nu=\frac{1}{2}$  d=3 breakdown which required d74 to hold. . Any valid theory must be consistent w/ 64 at loss din.

41	Critical Correlation func. and Self-similarity
	· Consider n - charac decay of correlation func. at criticality.
	At crt. pt & now all correlations decay as power of seperation [x]
	i.e G( (x) = <m(x) m(8)="">-(m&gt; ~ 1x1d-2+7</m(x)>
	As for energy
	As for energy $G_{\xi,\xi}^{C}(\vec{x}) = \langle \mathcal{H}(\vec{k})\mathcal{H}(0)\rangle - \langle \mathcal{H}\rangle \sim \frac{1}{ \vec{x} }d^{2}z + u^{\epsilon}  \text{for some } \hat{\eta}$
	* Assary from criticality & as curtoff, and response func. can obtained from integrating & .  Ex recalled <m> = Dlaz = DED ; <m²> = Dlaz = (com)² &gt; = px</m²></m>
	ex recalled <m> = dfn2 = dfn); <m²z =="" dfn2="&lt;(2m)2"> = fx  M = (dd m (r))</m²z></m>
	x = p < (44)2> = p V Jd x (6(x)-m2)
	thus $\chi \sim \int d^2x G_{min}(\vec{x}) \sim \int \frac{d^2x}{ x } d^2x = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int d^2$
	but $2nH(-0)   8=(2-1) v$ "Fisher's identity.
	0. TOH. Cn (dx 6 cx) ~ H- V(2-1)
	⇒ [X=(2-Ý)V]
	Remarker Chi Italia constante octoral sus this remders crating invariance.
	Remarks. Scaling inherits the dilation symmetry in critical sys., this remains scaling invariance. $\theta_{ab}(\lambda\hat{x}) = \lambda^{\alpha}\theta_{crt}(\hat{x})$
	· If dilation som is included in LGH than it can describe crt. pt. behavior
	· this is difficult except at d=2 which dilation sym. > confirmal invariance (conf. map
	Conceptual Renormalization Group
	* & the only important length never critical pt.
	RG is a procedure to reduce dof to simplest and uncorrelated one at & based on sett similarity.
	2180 C OUTUN
	1. Course Grain reduce resolution in LGH by enlarging original course length scale ie bu w/ 6.  2. Rescale restore original length by reccaling xnew = xold
	3. Renormalized remedies fluctuation variation by correspond factor 5
	6 1 de/m.cec 1 de/m.cec
	$m_{c}(\vec{x}) = \int_{cal(\vec{x})}^{c} d\vec{x}' m_{c}(\vec{x}') \longrightarrow \tilde{m}_{ex}(\vec{x}_{new}) = \int_{cal(\vec{x}_{new})}^{c} d\vec{x}' \tilde{m}(\vec{x}')$
g.	Axioms xxx renorm. config. statistically smiliar to original s.f cot. Ham invariant under
	not. & trans. Thus Ham still described by t. h.
	new tih' = t= to (t,4) and to (t, h) = A(b) + + B(b) h+ form b/c of
	Axioms XX renorm. config. statistically smiliar to original s.f crt. Ham invariant under rot. & trans. Thus Ham still described by t.h.  new tih'  the to (t,h) and to (t,h) = A(b)t + B(b)ht from ble of renorm to he analy h'= he (t,h)  he (t,h) = C(6)t + D(6)ht analy analyticity
	5-f at cx. pt (t=h=o) so is (t=h'=o).

· Rot sym => h +> -h +> + 5+ B=C=0 sit to (t, h) = ACS)t + -ho(t,h) = D(b)h + · · · chere A(b), D(b) depends on rescaling factor. 6 when rescaled in sequence by them be the net effect is bibz . semi-group · By semi-group, + (462) ~ A(6,) A(62) to A(662)+ => 3 y st A(6)=691 50 t'= tb = b+t+... & Advantage . If BHood = Edd (large) then RG u/BHARN = Encor smaller · thus Blinow appears less critical w/ parameters more away from origin i.e yt, 4h>0 Ka Consequence U free energy; partition func conserved in W(IMI) sum of old W(IMI) thus Z=SDm W(Ini) = SOM W(INI)= É st lnZ=lnÉ and Vf(t,h)=Vf(t,h) then f(t,h)= 6 f(bt, b4h) Remarks some Ham only t, h change.

by homogeneity, we choose bott = 1 s.t f(th) = +2 gt(to) w/ 2-0 = d + D= 4h (2) Correlation longth & = \$ b(c &(th) = \$ (b4t, b4h) st = t-19+5(1, tome) ~ t 20 v= = = = 2-1X=dU (3) W/ ba=V 3h= 3h dh , ln Z=ln 2 magnetization: f= tyg( + ywy) then  $m(t,h) = -\frac{1}{V} \frac{\partial \ln Z(t,h)}{\partial h} = -\frac{1}{b^{d_1}} \frac{\partial \ln Z(t,h)}{b^{d_1} \partial h}$ m(t,h)= 6h-dm(64t,64h) now sale w/ unity byt = 1 => b= the inct, h) = t st m ( tymbe) thur B = 4h-d one can guess also for any quantity x(+,h)= 69xx(69++,64h)

```
"4" Formal Renormalization Group (formal procedure for effect of dilation op in Ham.)
     Consider general Ham
         BH= [dx [=m2+um++vm6+..+ =(0m)2+=(0m)2+...]
     then RG. m(x') = \int \int d^d x m(x) where x' = \frac{1}{5}
    not sym. so
        BH[LY(X)]= lifterx] = fi+fax[\fm2+4m4+6m6+...+\fo(PM)2+\fo(0)m3+...]
     let S be parameter space, S= {t, U, V, ..., \( \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \cdots \)}
      s'= Ros st t'= to(tu,v,...) U'= u(tu,v,...)
          teffect of diletion.
    Remark if Ham specificed by S Ham-self-similar => 3 5t st R& 5t=5t
     As correlation length rescaled under RG: 3(5)=68(5)=68(R6S)
    ander fixed pt 5th, $(st)=1$(st) = $=0 or 00.
     Renerle
      34=0 => completely disorder (T=00) or completely order (T=0)
      $= 00 ) TETC of pt
   roads
t=h=0 is fixed pk.
   Stability of food et by linearization.
       Sx^{+} + SSx = Sx^{+} + \frac{35x}{55p} |_{Sx}^{+} + Sp where (R_{b})_{ap} = \frac{35x}{55p} |_{Sx}^{+} comigroup proporty:
   al sanigroup property:
          Robert = Robert = Robert = No equec of O; (ie Robor = NO)
          R_b^{\prime}R_b^{\prime}O_i = \lambda(b); \lambda(b); O_i \Rightarrow since \lambda(l)=1 then \lambda(b):=b^{\prime\prime}i for some \gamma: const.
          REBY O: = 7 (66); O;
     Ham in vicinity of 5th has S=5+ 2:9:0;
   R6, Ham. has S'= R'(S)= S*+ E; g:b"();
     we have classifications
           · 4:>0 g: increases O; relevant op.
           · Yi O g; documents O; inclorant up.
```

· 4:00 gi marginal H.U.T needed.

\* Near St, & Charges.

\$(91,92,...) = b & (b 9, b 9,...)

\* Since irrev O; > y; < 0 thus for b large enough, all Oriner cantished which left. Orner

• Let O; index by decreasing dim order, so reduce don by scaling 69,=1 st

\$(91,92,...) = 9. kg. f(9.4kg.,...) w/ 2 = ty for describing & divergence and

gap cxp. Dx = yx

Now 1 

trajectory

buss of attraction has only co-dim one

\$ of attraction of index pl interaction => buis of attraction has only co-dim one

\$ 50 \$(9,1) = 9.16, f(9.2kg.) thus explans universally of two prameter t, h.