## Minimum Robinson-Foulds Distance Supertree

Xilin Yu, Thien Le, Sarah Christensen, Erin Molloy, Tandy Warnow June 4, 2019

Throughout the paper, we consider only unrooted trees. For any tree T, let V(T), E(T), and L(T) denote the vertex set, the edge set, and the leaf set of T, respectively. For any  $v \in V(T)$ , let  $N_T(v)$  A tree is fully resolved if every non-leaf node has degree 3. Let  $\mathcal{T}_S$  denote the set of all fully resolved trees on leaf set S. In any tree T, each edge e induces a bipartition  $\pi_e := A|B$  of the leaf set, where A and B are the leaves in the two components of T - e, respectively. A bipartition A|B is non-trivial if both sides have size at least 2. For a tree T,  $C(T) := \{\pi_e \mid e \in E(T)\}$  denotes the set of all bipartitions of T. For a fully resolved tree with n leaves, C(T) contains 2n-3 bipartitions, exactly n-3 of which are non-trivial. A tree T' is a refinement of T if T can be obtained from T' by contracting a set of edges. Equivalently, T' is a refinement of T if and only if  $C(T) \subseteq C(T')$ .

Two bipartitions  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$  of the same leaf set are *compatible* if and only if there exists a tree T such that  $\pi_1, \pi_2 \in C(T)$ . The following theorem and corollary give other categorizations of compatibility.

**Theorem 1** (Theorem 2.20 of [1]). A pair of bipartitions A|B and A'|B' of the same set is compatible if and only if at least one of the four pairwise intersections  $A \cap A'$ ,  $A \cap B'$ ,  $B \cap A'$ ,  $B \cap B'$  is empty.

**Corollary 1.** A pair of bipartitions A|B and A'|B' of the same set is compatible if and only if one side of A|B is a subset of one side of A'|B'.

A tree T restricted to a subset R of its leaf set, denoted  $T|_R$ , is the minimal subtree of T spanning R with nodes of degree two suppressed. A bipartition  $\pi = A|B$  restricted to a subset  $R \subseteq A \cup B$  is  $\pi|_R = A \cap R|B \cap R$ . We have the following intuitive lemma with its proof in the appendix.

**Lemma 1.** Let T be a tree with leaf set S and let  $\pi = A|B \in C(T)$  be a bipartition induced by  $e \in E(T)$ . Let  $R \subseteq S$ .

- 1. If  $R \cap A = \emptyset$  or  $R \cap B = \emptyset$ , then  $e \notin E(T|_R)$ .
- 2. If  $R \cap A \neq \emptyset$  and  $R \cap B \neq \emptyset$ , then for any  $\pi' \in C(T|_R)$  induced by  $e' \in E(T|_R)$ ,  $\pi|_R = \pi'$  if and only if  $e \in P(e')$ .

**Corollary 2.** Let T be a tree with leaf set S and let  $\pi = A|B \in C(T)$  be a bipartition induced by  $e \in E(T)$ . Let  $R \subseteq S$  such that  $R \cap A \neq \emptyset$  and  $R \cap B \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $\pi|_R \in C(T|_R)$ .

**Definition 1.** For two trees T, T' with the same leaf set, the bipartition support of them is  $bisup(T, T') := |C(T) \cap C(T')|$ .

Let  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  be two fully resolved trees on leaf sets  $S_1$  and  $S_2$ , respectively, such that  $X := S_1 \cap S_2 \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $S := S_1 \cup S_2$ . The Maximum Bipartition Support Supertree problem, abbreviated MAX-BISUP-SUPERTREE, finds a fully resolved supertree  $T^*$  on leaf set S that maximizes the sum of the bipartition support of  $T^*$  with respect to  $T_1$  and  $T_2$ . That is,

$$T^* = \underset{T \in \mathcal{T}_S}{\operatorname{argmax}} bisup(T|_{S_1}, T_1) + bisup(T|_{S_2}, T_2)$$
$$= \underset{T \in \mathcal{T}_S}{\operatorname{argmax}} |C(T|_{S_1}) \cap C(T_1)| + |C(T|_{S_2}) \cap C(T_2)|.$$

We call  $bisup(T|_{S_1}, T_1) + bisup(T|_{S_2}, T_2)$  the support score of T when  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  are clear from context.

We first set up the notations for the algorithm and the analysis. Let  $T_1, T_2, S_1, S_2$ , and X be defined as from the problem statement. Let  $T_1|_X$  and  $T_2|_X$  be the backbone trees of  $T_1$  and  $T_2$ , respectively. Let  $\Pi$  be the set of bipartitions of X. Let Triv and NonTriv denotes the set of trivial and non-trivial bipartitions in  $C(T_1|_X) \cup C(T_2|_X)$ . For each  $e \in E(T_i|_X)$ ,  $i \in \{1,2\}$ , let P(e) denote the path in  $T_i$  from which e is obtained by suppressing all degree-two nodes. Let w(e) be the number of edges on P(e).

We define a weight function  $w: \Pi \to \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}$  such that for any bipartition  $\pi$  of X,  $w(\pi) = w(e_1) + w(e_2)$ , where  $e_i$  induces  $\pi$  in  $T_i|_X$  for  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ . If for any  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ , no  $e_i$  exists that induces  $\pi$  in  $T_i|_X$ , then we use  $w(e_i) = 0$ .

For each  $i \in \{1,2\}$  and each  $e \in E(T_i|_X)$ , let  $\operatorname{In}(e)$  be the set of internal nodes of P(e). For each  $v \in \operatorname{In}(e)$ , let L(v) be the set of leaves in  $S_i \setminus X$  whose connecting path to the backbone tree  $T_i|_X$  goes through v and let T(v) be the minimal subtree spanning L(v) in  $T_i$ . We say T(v) is an extra subtree attached to v. We let the node u which is the neighbor of v in T(v) be the root of T(v). Let  $T(e) := \{T(v) \mid v \in \operatorname{In}(e)\}$ . Then T(e) is the set of extra subtrees attached to internal nodes of P(e) in  $T_i$ . We note that  $|T(e)| = |\operatorname{In}(e)| = w(e) - 1$ . For any bipartition  $\pi \in C(T_1|_X) \cup C(T_2|_X)$ , we denote  $T(\pi) := T(e_1) \cup T(e_2)$ , where  $e_i$  is the edge that induces  $\pi$  in  $T_i|_X$  for  $i \in \{1,2\}$  if  $\pi \in C(T_i|_X)$ . Let  $\operatorname{Extra}(T_i) := \bigcup_{e \in E(T_i|_X)} T(e)$ . Then  $\operatorname{Extra} := \operatorname{Extra}(T_1) \cup \operatorname{Extra}(T_2)$  denotes the set of all extra subtrees in  $T_1$  and  $T_2$ .

For the analysis of the algorithm, we differentiate between two kinds of bipartitions in  $C(T_1) \cup C(T_2)$ . Let  $\Pi_Y = \{\pi = A | B \in C(T_1) \cup C(T_2) \mid \text{ either } A \cap X = \emptyset \}$ , or  $B \cap X = \emptyset \}$ . Let  $\Pi_X = \{\pi = A | B \in C(T_1) \cup C(T_2) \mid A \cap X \neq \emptyset \text{ and } B \cap X \neq \emptyset \}$ 

## Algorithm 1 Max-BiSup Supertree

**Input**: two fully resolved trees  $T_1$ ,  $T_2$  with leaf sets  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  where  $S_1 \cap S_2 = X \neq \emptyset$ 

**Output**: a fully resolved supertree T on leaf set  $S = S_1 \cup S_2$  that maximizes the support score

```
1: compute C(T_1|_X) and C(T_2|_X)
```

2: **for** each 
$$\pi \in C(T_1|_X) \cup C(T_2|_X)$$
 **do**

- 3: compute  $\mathcal{T}(\pi)$  and  $w(\pi)$
- 4: construct T by having a star of leaf set X with center vertex  $\hat{v}$  and connecting the root of each  $t \in \text{Extra to } \hat{v}$ , let  $\hat{T} = T$
- 5: for each  $\pi \in \text{Triv do}$
- 6:  $T \leftarrow \text{Refine-Triv}(T_1, T_2, T, \pi, \hat{v}, \mathcal{T})$
- 7: construct the incompatibility graph  $G = (V_1 \cup V_2, E)$ , where  $V_1 = C(T_1|_X) C(T_2|_X)$  and  $V_2 = C(T_2|_X) C(T_1|_X)$ , and  $E = \{(\pi, \pi') \mid \pi \in V_1, \pi' \in V_2, \pi \text{ is not compatible with } \pi'\}$
- 8: compute the maximum weight independent set I in G with weight w
- 9: let  $H(\hat{v}) = \text{NonTriv} \cap (C(T_1|_X) \cup C(T_2|_X))$
- 10: let  $R(\hat{v}) = \emptyset$
- 11: for each  $\pi \in \text{NonTriv} \cap (C(T_1|_X) \cup C(T_2|_X))$  do
- 12:  $sv(\pi) = \hat{v}$
- 13: add the root of each  $t \in \mathcal{T}(\pi)$  to R(v)
- 14: for each  $\pi \in \text{NonTriv} \cap (I \cup (C(T_1|_X) \cap C(T_2|_X)))$  do
- 15:  $T \leftarrow \text{Refine}(T_1, T_2, T, \pi, H, sv, \mathcal{T})$
- 16: refine T arbitrarily at polytomies until it is fully resolved
- 17: return T

 $\emptyset$ }. Intuitively,  $\Pi_X$  is the set of bipartitions in  $C(T_1) \cup C(T_2)$  that are induced by edges in the backbone trees  $T_1|_X$  and  $T_2|_X$  while  $\Pi_X$  is the set of bipartitions in  $C(T_1) \cup C(T_2)$  that are induced by edges inside or connecting extra subtrees of  $T_1$  and  $T_2$ . It follows by definition that  $\Pi_X$  and  $\Pi_Y$  is a disjoint decomposition of  $C(T_1) \cup C(T_2)$ .

Let  $p_X(T)$  and  $p_Y(T)$  (we omit the parameters  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  for brevity) be the contributions to the support score of T from bipartitions of  $\Pi_X$  and  $\Pi_Y$  for any  $T \in \mathcal{T}_S$ , respectively. Formally, we have

$$p_X(T) = |C(T|_{S_1}) \cap C(T_1) \cap \Pi_X| + |C(T|_{S_2}) \cap C(T_2) \cap \Pi_X|,$$
  
$$p_Y(T) = |C(T|_{S_1}) \cap C(T_1) \cap \Pi_Y| + |C(T|_{S_2}) \cap C(T_2) \cap \Pi_Y|.$$

By definition of support score, any bipartition can only contribute to the support score if it is in  $C(T_1) \cup C(T_2)$ . Thus, the support score of T equals  $p_X(T) + p_Y(T)$  for any tree T on leaf set S. Therefore, it is enough for us to show that Algorithm 1 finds a tree T that maximizes both  $p_X(T)$  and  $p_Y(T)$  at the same time.

**Lemma 2.** For any tree T of leaf set S and any refinement T' of T,  $p_X(T') \ge p_X(T)$  and  $p_Y(T') \ge p_Y(T)$ .

**Lemma 3.** For any tree T of leaf set S,  $p_Y(T) \leq |\Pi_Y|$ . In particular, let  $\hat{T}$  be the tree constructed in Algorithm 1. Then,  $p_Y(\hat{T}) = |\Pi_Y|$ .

Claim 1. Let  $\hat{T}$  be the tree constructed in Algorithm 1, then  $p_X(\hat{T}) = 2|X|$ .

**Lemma 4.** Let  $\pi = A|B$  be a bipartition of X. Let T be a tree of leaf set S such that  $\pi \notin C(T|_X)$  and all bipartitions in  $C(T|_X)$  are compatible with  $\pi$ . Let T' be a refinement of T such that for all  $\pi' \in C(T'|_{S_i}) \setminus C(T|_{S_i})$  for some  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ ,  $\pi'|_X = \pi$ . Then,  $p_X(T') - p_X(T) \leq w(\pi)$ .

**Lemma 5.** For any compatible set F of bipartitions of X, let T be a tree of leaf set S such that  $C(T|_X) = F$ . Then  $p_X(T) \leq \sum_{\pi \in F} w(\pi)$ .

Lemma 6. 
$$p_X(T^*) = \sum$$

## References

[1] Tandy Warnow. Computational phylogenetics: an introduction to designing methods for phylogeny estimation. Cambridge University Press, 2017.