

Lecture 9: Least Favorable Distribution and Asymptotic Optimality

Lecturer: Tony Sit

Scribe: Ji Qi, Zewu Zheng

Disclaimer: These notes have not been subjected to the usual scrutiny reserved for formal publications. They may be distributed outside this class only with the permission of the Instructor.

9.1 P-value

Suppose we want to test $H_0 : \theta \leq 0$ vs. $H_1 : \theta > 0$ at level $0 < \alpha < 1$. Here $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} N(\theta, 1)$. The UMP test is

$$\phi_\alpha = \begin{cases} 1 & \sum X_i > Z_{1-\alpha}\sqrt{n} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Let $S_\alpha = \{X : \sum_{i=1}^n X_i > Z_{1-\alpha}\sqrt{n}\}$ be the rejection region. If $\alpha_1 < \alpha_2$, then $S_{\alpha_1} \subseteq S_{\alpha_2}$.

Suppose we want to test H_0 vs H_1 at level α . Let ϕ_α test at level α . Assume that the rejection regions are nested, i.e. $\alpha_1 < \alpha_2 \Rightarrow S_{\alpha_1} \subseteq S_{\alpha_2}$ where $S_\alpha = \{x : \phi_\alpha(x) = 1\}$.

Definition 9.1 $\hat{p}(x) = \inf \{u : x \in S_u\}$.

Intuitively, given the p-value, you can construct a level α -test by rejecting H_0 if $\hat{p}(x) < \alpha$ and accepting H_0 if $\hat{p}(x) > \alpha$, e.g.

$$\begin{aligned} S_\alpha &= \left\{ X : \sum X_i > Z_{1-\alpha}\sqrt{n} \right\} \\ &= \left\{ X : 1 - \Phi\left(\frac{\sum X_i}{\sqrt{n}}\right) < \alpha \right\} \\ &\Rightarrow \hat{p}(x) = 1 - \Phi\left(\frac{\sum x_i}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \end{aligned}$$

under $H_0 : \theta = 0, \hat{p}(x) \sim U(0, 1)$

$$P(\hat{p}(x) \leq u) = u$$

Lemma 9.2 Suppose $X \sim P_\theta$ for some $\theta \in \Theta$. We want to test $H_0 : \theta \in \Theta_0$ vs $H_1 : \theta \in \Theta_1$ at level α . Let $\{\phi_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in (0,1)}$ be a collection of nested level α tests. Then
 (i) $P_\theta(\hat{p}(x) \leq u) \leq u = P(U(0,1) \leq u), \forall u \in (0,1), \theta \in \Theta_0$
 (ii) If $\exists g_0 \in \Theta_0$, such that $P_{g_0}(X \in S_\alpha) = \alpha$ for $\forall \alpha$, then $P_{\Theta_0}(\hat{p}(x) \leq u) = u$.

Definition 9.3 (Confidence interval) Let $X \sim P_\theta$ for some $\theta \in \Theta$. For every $X \in \mathcal{X}$, Let $S(X)$ be a subset of Θ . We say the collection of sets $\{S(X), X \in \mathcal{X}\}$ is an $(1 - \alpha)$ confidence region if $P_\theta(\theta \in S(X)) \geq 1 - \alpha, \forall \theta \in \Theta$.

9.2 Asymptotic Optimality

Let $\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$ be i.i.d. from $\{P_\theta, 0 \in \Theta\}$ with pdf w.r.t. some σ -finite measure. Suppose we want to estimate $g(\theta)$, and a candidate estimator is $\delta_n(x_1, \dots, x_n)$.

Definition 9.4 We say $\delta_n(x)$ is consistent for $g(\theta)$, if $\delta_n(x) \xrightarrow{P} g(\theta)$, $\forall \theta \in \Theta$, i.e. $\forall \theta \in \Theta, \forall \epsilon > 0$, we have $P_\theta(|\delta_n(X) - g(\theta)| > \epsilon) \rightarrow 0$.

Remark: If $X_1 \dots X_n \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} F$:

(i) Assume $E_F|X| < \infty$, then $\frac{1}{n} \sum x_i \xrightarrow{P} E_F(X)$ (WLLN).

(ii) Assume $E_F X^2 < \infty$, then $W_n = \frac{\sum X_i - nE_F(X)}{\sqrt{n \text{var}_F(X)}} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ (CLT),

$$\Leftrightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(W_n \leq t) = \Phi(t), \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$$

(Example) $X_1 \dots X_n \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \text{Bernoulli}(\theta)$, if $\theta \in Q$; $X_1 \dots X_n \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \text{Bernoulli}(1 - \theta)$. if $\theta \notin Q$; then there is no consistent estimator of $X_1 \dots X_n$.

Definition 9.5 Let $L(\theta|x) = \prod_{i=1}^n p_\theta(x_i)$ be the likelihood function. If there exists a unique θ_n which is the global maximizer of $\theta \rightarrow L(\theta|x)$ (or $\theta \rightarrow \ell(\theta|x) = \log L(\theta|x)$). Define $\hat{\theta}_n$ as the MLE of θ .

(Example) $X_1 \dots X_n \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \text{Bernoulli}(\theta)$. $\log(\theta|x) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i(\log \theta) + (n - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i) \log(1 - \theta)$,
 $\Rightarrow \hat{\theta}_n = \arg \max_\theta \ln(\theta|x) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{n} = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i/n$.

(i) $\bar{X}_n \xrightarrow{P} \theta$, $\forall \theta \in (0, 1)$, (consistency)

(ii) $\sqrt{n}(\bar{x}_n - \theta) \xrightarrow{d} N\left(0, \frac{1}{\theta(1-\theta)}\right)$, (CLT).

Theorem 9.6 Suppose $X_1 \dots X_n \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} P_\theta$ for some $\theta \in \Theta$ with pdf $P_\theta(\cdot)$. Assume A0: $P_{\theta_1} \neq P_{\theta_2}$ where $\theta_1 \neq \theta_2$ [identifiability]; A1: $\{P_\theta(\cdot), \theta \in \Theta\}$ has common support. Then we have: $P_{\theta_0}(\log(\hat{\theta}_n|x) > \log(\theta|x)) \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $\forall \theta \neq \theta_0$.

Proof: Let $T_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \log \frac{p_\theta(x_i)}{p_{\theta_0}(x_i)}$, then $T_n \xrightarrow{P} E_{\theta_0} \log \frac{p_\theta(x_1)}{p_{\theta_0}(x_1)}$. Now $E_{\theta_0} \log \frac{p_\theta(x_1)}{p_{\theta_0}(x_1)} = \int \log \frac{p_\theta(x)}{p_{\theta_0}(x)} p_{\theta_0}(x) d\mu(x) = -D(\theta_0||\theta) < 0$ for $\theta \neq \theta_0$. Hence, $P_{\theta_0}(T_n \neq 0) \rightarrow 1$, but $T_n < 0 \Leftrightarrow \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \log \frac{P_\theta(X_i)}{P_{\theta_0}(X_i)} < 0 \Leftrightarrow \log \prod_{i=1}^n P_\theta(X_i) < \log \prod_{i=1}^n P_{\theta_0}(X_i) \Leftrightarrow \ell_n(\theta|x) < \ell_n(\theta_0|x)$. ■

Corollary 9.7 Suppose A0 and A1 hold, if Θ is finite, then the MLE $\hat{\theta}_n$ exists with high probability and $P_{\theta_0}(\hat{\theta}_n = 0) \rightarrow 1$, $(n \rightarrow \infty)$.

Suppose A0 and A1 hold. Suppose that $\Theta \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and θ_0 is an interior point of Θ . If $\theta \mapsto p_\theta(x)$ is differentiable and the deviates is continuous. there exist a sequence of roots $\hat{\theta}_n$ of the likelihood equation $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln(\theta|x) = 0$. where is consistent for θ_0 .

Let $A_n = \{x : \ln(\theta_0|x) > \max_{j \leq k} \ln(\theta_j|x)\}$. If $X \in A_n$, then $\hat{\theta}_n(x) = \theta_0$ and $P_{\theta_0}(A_n) \rightarrow 1$.

Theorem 9.8 Suppose A0 and A1 hold. Suppose further that A2: $\Theta \subset \mathbb{R}$ and θ_0 is an interior point of Θ . If $\theta \mapsto P_\theta(\cdot)$ is differentiable and the derivative is continuous, then there exists a sequence of roots $\hat{\theta}_n$ of the score function $\ell'_n(\theta) \partial \ell_n(\theta) / \partial \theta = 0$, which is consistent for θ_0 .

Proof: Let $\delta > 0$ be small enough such that $[\theta_0 - \delta, \theta_0 + \delta] \subset \Theta$. It follows that

$$P_{\theta_0}(\ell_n(\theta_0) > \ell_n(\theta_0 \pm \delta)) \rightarrow 1$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Now, the function $\theta \mapsto \ell_n(\theta)$ is a continuous function on the compact set $[\theta_0 - \delta, \theta_0 + \delta]$. There exists a global maximiser $\tilde{\theta}_n(\delta)$. But $\tilde{\theta}_n(\delta)$ cannot be $\theta_0 \pm \delta$ as θ_0 is better, which implies that $\ell'_n(\tilde{\theta}_n(\delta)) = 0$.

Let $\hat{\theta}_n(\delta)$ denote the closest root of $\ell'_n(\theta) = 0$ to θ_0 . Fix $\delta > 0$, we need to show that $P_{\theta_0}(|\hat{\theta}_n - \theta_0| < \delta) \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Observe that $|\hat{\theta}_n - \theta_0| \leq |\tilde{\theta}_n(\delta) - \theta_0|$ as $\hat{\theta}_n$ is the closet root. It follows that

$$P_{\theta_0}(|\hat{\theta}_n - \theta_0| < \delta) \geq P_{\theta_0}(|\tilde{\theta}_n(\delta) - \theta_0| < \delta) \geq P_{\theta_0}(\ell_n(\theta_0) > \ell_n(\theta_0 \pm \delta)) \rightarrow 1.$$

It remains to prove that there exists a closest root, i.e. $\exists \hat{\theta}$ such that $f(\hat{\theta}) = 0$, $|\hat{\theta} - \theta_0| = \inf_{\tilde{\theta}: f(\tilde{\theta})=0} |\tilde{\theta} - \theta_0|$, assuming that $\{\tilde{\theta} : f(\tilde{\theta}) = 0\}$ is non-empty, and $f(\cdot)$ is a continuous function on \mathbb{R} . To see this, let $\alpha = \inf_{\tilde{\theta}: f(\tilde{\theta})=0} |\tilde{\theta} - \theta_0|$. For all $k \geq 1$, there exists $\tilde{\theta}_k$ such that

$$f(\tilde{\theta}_k) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad |\tilde{\theta}_k - \theta_0| \leq \alpha + k^{-1} \leq \alpha + 1. \quad (9.1)$$

Note also that $\tilde{\theta}_k \in [\theta_0 - \alpha - 1, \theta_0 + \alpha + 1]$. By going to a subsequence, as $k \rightarrow \infty$, $\tilde{\theta}_k \rightarrow \hat{\theta}$, say. But $|\hat{\theta} - \theta_0| = \alpha$ by taking the limit on (9.1) and the fact that $f(\hat{\theta}) = 0$ since $f(\cdot)$ is continuous. ■

Corollary 9.9 *If A0–A2 hold, assume further that $\theta \mapsto P_\theta(\cdot)$ is differentiable, and the score function $\ell'_n(\theta) = 0$ has a unique root $\hat{\theta}_n$, then $\hat{\theta}_n \xrightarrow{P} \theta_0$ (follows from the previous theorem), and $\hat{\theta}_n$ is the MLE with probability tending to 1.*

Proof: It follows from the previous proof that $\hat{\theta}_n$ is a local maximum (with high probability). If $\hat{\theta}_n$ is not the unique global maximiser of $\theta \mapsto \ell_n(\theta)$, then there exists $\tilde{\theta}_n$ such that $\ell_n(\tilde{\theta}_n) \geq \ell_n(\hat{\theta}_n)$, $\tilde{\theta}_n \neq \hat{\theta}_n$. Then there exists $\check{\theta}$ such that $\ell_n(\check{\theta}) = \ell_n(\hat{\theta}_n)$, $\check{\theta} \neq \hat{\theta}_n$, as $\theta \mapsto \ell_n(\theta)$ is continuous. It implies that there exists $\epsilon_n \neq \hat{\theta}_n$ such that $\ell'_n(\epsilon_n) = 0$ [see Rolle's Theorem], which is a contradiction. ■

Theorem 9.10 (*Slutsky's Theorem*) *Suppose $X_n \xrightarrow{d} X$, $A_n \xrightarrow{P} a$, $B_n \xrightarrow{P} b$, then $A_n X_n + B_n \xrightarrow{d} aX + b$*

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \ln'(\hat{\theta}_n) = \ln'(\theta_0) + (\hat{\theta}_n - \theta_0) \ln''(\theta_0) + \frac{1}{2} (\hat{\theta}_n - \theta_0)^2 \ln'''(\xi_n) \\ &\Rightarrow (\hat{\theta}_n - \theta_0) \left(\ln''(\theta_0) + \frac{1}{2} (\hat{\theta}_n - \theta_0) \ln'''(\xi_n) \right) = -\ln'(\theta_0) \\ &\Rightarrow \sqrt{n} (\hat{\theta}_n - \theta_0) = \frac{-\ln'(\theta_0) / \sqrt{n}}{-\ln''(\theta_0) / n - \frac{1}{2} (\hat{\theta}_n - \theta_0) \ln'''(\xi_n) / n} \end{aligned}$$

It suffices to show
$$\left. \begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \ln'(\theta_0) \xrightarrow{D} N(0, I(\theta_0)) \\ &-\frac{1}{n} \ln''(\theta_0) \xrightarrow{P} I(\theta_0) \\ &\text{and } \frac{1}{n} (\hat{\theta}_n - \theta_0) \ln(\xi_n) \xrightarrow{P} 0 \end{aligned} \right\}, \text{ then } \sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta_0) \xrightarrow{D} \mathcal{N}(0, I^{-1}(\theta_0))$$