

1. Laplace's law of succession gives a distribution for Bernoulli variables  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  in which  $\mathbb{P}(X_1 = 1) = 1/2$  and

$$\mathbb{P}(X_{j+1} = 1 | X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_j = x_j) = \frac{1 + x_1 + \dots + x_j}{j + 2}, \quad j \geq 1$$

Consider testing the hypothesis  $H_1$  that  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  have this distribution against the null hypothesis  $H_0$  that the variables are *i.i.d.* with  $\mathbb{P}(X_i = 1) = 1/2$ . If  $n = 10$ , find the best test with size  $\alpha = 0.05$ . What is the power of this test?

The likelihood ratio is

$$r(x) = \frac{P_1(x)}{O_0(x)} = \frac{T}{0.5^{10}},$$

$$\text{with } T = \prod_{j=1}^9 \left( \frac{1+S_j}{j+2} \right)^{X_{j+1}} \left( 1 - \frac{1+S_j}{j+2} \right)^{1-X_{j+1}}, \quad S_j = 1 + X_1 + \dots + X_j$$

Let

$$\phi(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } T > c \\ \gamma & \text{if } T = c \\ 0 & \text{if } T < c \end{cases} \quad (0.1)$$

With  $\alpha = 0.05$ , we find  $r = 0.3244$  and the power of test is 0.4266.

2. Suppose we have a family of tests  $\varphi_\alpha$ , where  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  indexed by level (so  $\varphi_\alpha$  has level  $\alpha$ ), and that these tests are “nested” in the sense that  $\varphi_\alpha(x)$  is nondecreasing as a function of  $\alpha$ . We can then define the “p-value” or “attained significance” for observed data  $x$  as  $\inf\{\alpha : \varphi_\alpha(x) = 1\}$ , thought of as the smallest value for  $\alpha$  where test  $\varphi_\alpha$  rejects  $H_0$ . Suppose we are testing  $H_0 : \theta \leq \theta_0$  versus  $H_1 : \theta > \theta_0$  and that the densities for data  $X$  have monotone likelihood ratios in  $T$ . Further suppose  $T$  has continuous distribution.

(a) Show that the family of uniformly most powerful tests are nested in the sense described.

(b) Show that if  $X = x$  is observed, the p-values  $P(x)$  is

$$\mathbb{P}_{\theta_0}\{T(X) > t\}$$

where  $t = T(x)$  is the observed value of  $T$ .

(c) Determine the distribution of the p-value  $P(X)$  when  $\theta = \theta_0$ .

(a) Define  $F(t) = \mathbb{P}_{\theta_0}(T \leq t)$ . The uniformly most powerful level  $\alpha$  test is

$$\psi_\alpha(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & T(x) > k(\alpha) \\ 0, & T(x) < k(\alpha) \end{cases} = \mathbb{1}\{T(x) > k(\alpha)\}$$

(No condition of  $T(x) = k(\alpha)$  since  $T(x)$  is continuous) with  $k(\alpha)$  chosen so that  $F\{k(\alpha)\} = 1 - \alpha$ . Suppose  $\alpha_0 < \alpha_1$ . Then, since  $F$  is non-decreasing,  $k(\alpha_0) > k(\alpha_1)$ . So if  $T(x) = k(\alpha_0)$ ,  $T(x)$  also exceeds  $k(\alpha_1)$ , and hence,  $\varphi_{\alpha_1}(x) = 1$  whenever  $\varphi_{\alpha_0}(x) = 1$ . Thus,  $\varphi_{\alpha_1}(x) \geq \varphi_{\alpha_0}(x)$  for all  $x$ , and since  $\alpha_0$  and  $\alpha_1$  are arbitrary,  $\varphi_\alpha(x)$  is non-decreasing in  $\alpha$ .

(b) Because  $F$  is non-decreasing and continuous, if  $t > k(\alpha)$ , then  $F(t) \geq F\{k(\alpha)\} = 1 - \alpha$ , and so

$$P = \inf\{\alpha : t > k(\alpha)\} \geq \inf\{\alpha : F(t) \geq 1 - \alpha\} = 1 - F(t)$$

However, if  $F(t) > F\{k(\alpha)\} = 1 - \alpha$ , then  $t > k(\alpha)$  and so

$$P = \inf\{\alpha : t > k(\alpha)\} \leq \inf\{\alpha : F(t) > 1 - \alpha\} = 1 - F(t)$$

Hence, the p-value must be  $1 - F(t) = \mathbb{P}_{\theta_0}(T > t)$ .

(c) Let  $F^\uparrow$  denotes the largest inverse function of  $F$ :

$$F^\uparrow(c) = \sup\{t : F(t) = c\}, \quad c \in (0, 1)$$

Then  $F(T) \leq x$  if and only if  $T \leq F^\uparrow(x)$  and

$$\mathbb{P}_{\theta_0}\{F(T) \leq x\} = \mathbb{P}_{\theta_0}\{T \leq F^\uparrow(x)\} = F\{F^\uparrow(x)\} = x$$

Hence,  $F(T)$  and the p-value  $1 - F(T)$  are both uniformly distributed on  $(0, 1)$  under  $\mathbb{P}_{\theta_0}$ .

See the solutions in book written by Keener for more details.

3. Suppose  $X$  has a Poisson distribution with parameter  $\lambda$ . Determine the uniformly most powerful test of  $H_0 : \lambda \leq 1$  versus  $H_1 : \lambda > 1$  with level  $\alpha = 0.05$ .

For  $\lambda_1 > \lambda_0$ , the likelihood ratio is

$$\frac{p_{\lambda_1}(x)}{p_{\lambda_0}(x)} = \frac{e^{-\lambda_1} \lambda_1^x / x!}{e^{-\lambda_0} \lambda_0^x / x!} = \left( \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_0} \right)^x e^{\lambda_0 - \lambda_1}$$

Since  $\lambda_1 > \lambda_0$ ,  $\lambda_1/\lambda_0 > 1$ . Thus, it is non-decreasing in  $x$ . Hence, it has MLR for  $T(x) = x$ . The UMP test exists and is defined by

$$\psi(X) = \begin{cases} 1, & X > k \\ \gamma, & X = k \\ 0, & X < k \end{cases} = \mathbb{1}\{X > k\} + \gamma \mathbb{1}\{X = k\}$$

Test size  $\alpha = 0.05$  is found around  $k = 3$ , thus,

$$0.05 = \alpha = \mathbb{E}_0\{\psi(X)\} = \mathbb{P}_0(X > 3) + \gamma \mathbb{P}_0(X = 3) = 1 - \sum_{x=0}^3 \frac{e^{-1} 1^x}{x!} + \gamma \frac{e^{-1} 1^3}{3!}$$

Solving it gives  $\gamma = 0.506$ . Therefore, the UMP test with  $\alpha = 0.05$  is

$$\psi(X) = \begin{cases} 1, & X > 3 \\ 0.506, & X = 3 \\ 0, & X < 3 \end{cases}$$

4. Suppose we observe a single observation  $X$  from  $N(\theta, \theta^2)$ .
- (a) Do the densities for  $X$  have monotone likelihood ratios?
- (b) Let  $\phi^*$  be the best level alpha test of  $H_0 : \theta = 1$  versus  $H_1 : \theta = 2$ . Is  $\phi^*$  also the best level  $\alpha$  test of  $H_0 : \theta = 1$  versus  $H_1 : \theta = 4$ ?

- (a) For  $\theta_2 > \theta_1$ , the likelihood ratio is

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{p_{\theta_2}(x)}{p_{\theta_1}(x)} &= \frac{1/(2\pi\theta_2^2)^{1/2} \exp\{-(x-\theta_2)^2/(2\theta_2^2)\}}{1/(2\pi\theta_1^2)^{1/2} \exp\{-(x-\theta_1)^2/(2\theta_1^2)\}} \\ &= \left(\frac{\theta_2}{\theta_1}\right) \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\theta_1^2\theta_2^2}\left(\theta_1^2(x-\theta_2)^2 - \theta_2^2(x-\theta_1)^2\right)\right\} \\ &= \left(\frac{\theta_2}{\theta_1}\right) \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\theta_1^2\theta_2^2}\left((\theta_1^2 - \theta_2^2)x^2 - 2(\theta_1^2\theta_2 - \theta_2^2\theta_1)x\right)\right\} \\ &\propto_x \exp\left\{-\frac{\theta_1^2 - \theta_2^2}{2\theta_1^2\theta_2^2}\left(x - \frac{\theta_1^2\theta_2 - \theta_2^2\theta_1}{\theta_1^2 - \theta_2^2}\right)^2\right\} \\ &= \exp\left\{-\frac{\theta_1^2 - \theta_2^2}{2\theta_1^2\theta_2^2}\left(x - \frac{\theta_1\theta_2}{\theta_1 + \theta_2}\right)^2\right\} \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\theta_2 > \theta_1$  and the square term, the exponent is positive. If  $x < \theta_1\theta_2/(\theta_1 + \theta_2)$ , it is decreasing in  $x$ ; If  $x > \theta_1\theta_2/(\theta_1 + \theta_2)$ , it is increasing in  $x$ . Therefore, it does not have MLR in  $T(X) = X$ , but has MLR in  $T(X) = |X|$ .

- (b) If both are MP test, then  $P_{\theta'_1} > c' P_{\theta_0}(x)$  iff  $P_{\theta_1} > c P_{\theta_0}(x)$  and we have  $x^2 - \frac{8}{5}x > k'$  iff  $x^2 - \frac{4}{3}x > k$ . The iff is valid only when  $k = k' < -\frac{16}{25}$ . So it not MP for the two cases.

5. Suppose  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$  are independent variables, both uniformly distributed on  $(0, \theta)$ , but our observation is  $X = Y_1 + Y_2$ .

- (a) Show that the densities for  $X$  have monotone likelihood ratios.  
 (b) Find the UMP level  $\alpha$  test of  $H_0 : \theta = \theta_0$  versus  $H_1 : \theta > \theta_0$  based on  $X$ .

(a) By convolution, the density for  $X$  is

$$f_X(x) = \int f_{Y_2}(x - y_1) f_{Y_1}(y_1) dy_1 = \frac{1}{\theta} \int_0^\theta f_{Y_2}(x - y_1) dy_1$$

i. If  $0 \leq x \leq \theta$ ,

$$\frac{1}{\theta} \int_0^\theta f_{Y_2}(x - y_1) dy_1 = \frac{1}{\theta} \int_0^x \frac{1}{\theta} dy_1 = \frac{x}{\theta^2}$$

ii. If  $\theta < x < 2\theta$ ,

$$\frac{1}{\theta} \int_0^\theta f_{Y_2}(x - y_1) dy_1 = \frac{1}{\theta} \int_{x-\theta}^\theta \frac{1}{\theta} dy_1 = \frac{1}{\theta^2} (2\theta - x)$$

The likelihood ratio for  $x$  is

$$\frac{p_{\theta_2}(x)}{p_{\theta_1}(x)} = \frac{(x/\theta_2^2)^{\mathbb{1}\{0 \leq x \leq \theta_2\}} (1/\theta_2^2 (2\theta_2 - x))^{\mathbb{1}\{\theta_2 < x \leq 2\theta_2\}}}{(x/\theta_1^2)^{\mathbb{1}\{0 \leq x \leq \theta_1\}} (1/\theta_1^2 (2\theta_1 - x))^{\mathbb{1}\{\theta_1 < x \leq 2\theta_1\}}} = \left( \frac{\theta_1^2}{\theta_2^2} \right) \frac{x^{\mathbb{1}\{0 \leq x \leq \theta_2\}} (2\theta_2 - x)^{\mathbb{1}\{\theta_2 < x \leq 2\theta_2\}}}{x^{\mathbb{1}\{0 \leq x \leq \theta_1\}} (2\theta_1 - x)^{\mathbb{1}\{\theta_1 < x \leq 2\theta_1\}}}$$

With  $\theta_2 > \theta_1$ ,

i. If  $0 \leq x \leq \theta_1 < \theta_2$ , the likelihood ratio becomes

$$\frac{p_{\theta_2}(x)}{p_{\theta_1}(x)} = \left( \frac{\theta_1^2}{\theta_2^2} \right)$$

*i.e.* non-decreasing in  $x$ .

ii. If  $\theta_1 < x \leq \min(\theta_2, 2\theta_1)$ , the likelihood ratio becomes

$$\frac{p_{\theta_2}(x)}{p_{\theta_1}(x)} = \left( \frac{\theta_1^2}{\theta_2^2} \right) \left( \frac{x}{2\theta_1 - x} \right) \Rightarrow \frac{x}{2\theta_1 - x} = 1 + \frac{2\theta_1}{2\theta_1 - x}$$

*i.e.* non-decreasing in  $x$ .

iii. If  $\theta_1 < \min(\theta_2, 2\theta_1) < x \leq 2\theta_2$ , the likelihood ratio becomes

$$\frac{p_{\theta_2}(x)}{p_{\theta_1}(x)} = \left( \frac{\theta_1^2}{\theta_2^2} \right) \frac{2\theta_2 - x}{2\theta_1 - x} \Rightarrow \frac{2\theta_2 - x}{2\theta_1 - x} = 1 + \frac{2(\theta_2 - \theta_1)}{2\theta_1 - x}$$

*i.e.* non-decreasing in  $x$ .

Hence, it has MLR in  $T(X) = X$ .

(b) With  $T(X) = X$ , the UMP test is

$$\psi(X) = \begin{cases} 1, & X > k \\ 0, & X < k \end{cases}$$

With test size  $\alpha$ ,

$$\alpha = \mathbb{E}_0\{\psi(X)\} = \mathbb{P}_0(X > k) = 1 - \mathbb{P}_0(X \leq k).$$

So

$$k = F_0^{-1}(1 - \alpha) = \begin{cases} \theta_0 \sqrt{2(1 - \alpha)}, & \alpha \geq \frac{1}{2} \\ (2 - \sqrt{2\alpha}), & \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}. \end{cases}$$

6. Let the variables  $X_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$  be independently distributed with distribution  $Poisson(\lambda_i)$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$  respectively. For testing the hypothesis

$$H_0 : \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \leq a \quad v.s. \quad H_1 : \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i > a .$$

(for example, that the combined radioactivity of a number of pieces of radioactive material does not exceed  $a$ ), show that there exists a UMP test, which rejects when  $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i > C$ .

The key is Poisson distribution is additive.  $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i \sim P(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i)$ , and we can show the UMP test using the argument in Question 4.