

STAT 5010: Advanced Statistical Inference

Lecturer: Tony Sit

Lecture 8

Scribe: Huan Cheng; Ip Man Fai

1 Bayes Estimators and Average Risk Optimality

We need to introduce a measure Λ over the parameter space Ω . This measure Λ can be viewed as an assignment of weights to each of the parameters values $\theta \in \Theta$ a priori. [i.e. before any data is observed]

Remark 1 *The parameter of interest θ is not fixed and unknown constant.*

Given a measure Λ , our objective is to find an estimator δ_Λ which minimizes the average risk, which is given by

$$r(\Lambda, \delta) = \int R(\theta, \delta) d\Lambda(\theta) = E_\theta(R(\theta, \delta)). \quad (1)$$

If Λ is a probability distribution on Ω , we call Λ the prior distribution. Correspondingly, the estimator δ_Λ , if exists, is called the Bayes estimator with respect to Λ , and the minimized average risk is called the **Bayes risk**.

$$r(\Lambda, \delta) = E_{(X, \Theta)}(L(\Theta, \delta(X))) = E_\Theta(E_X(L(\Theta, \delta(X)) | \Theta)) = E_\Theta(R(\Theta, \delta)). \quad (2)$$

We shall pay attention to $E(L(\Theta, \delta(X)) | X = x)$, the conditional risk at (almost) every value of X . Notice that the expectation here is taken with respect to the conditional distribution of Θ given X , i.e. $(\Theta | X = x)$.

Theorem 1 *Suppose $\Theta \sim \Lambda$ and $X | \Theta = \theta \sim P_\theta$. If*

- (a) *There exists δ_0 , an estimator of $g(\theta)$ with finite risk for all θ , and*
 - (b) *There exists a value $\delta_\Lambda(X)$ that minimizes $E(L(\Theta, \delta_\Lambda(X)) | X = x)$ for almost every X ,*
- then δ_Λ is a Bayes estimator with respect to Λ .*

Note that the almost sure statement is defined with respect to the marginal distribution of X , which is given by

$$P(X \in A) = \int P_\theta(X \in A) d\Lambda(\theta) \quad (3)$$

Proof 1 *Under the assumptions of theorem (a) and (b), for any other estimator δ' , say, and for almost surely X , $E(L(\Theta, \delta_\Lambda(X)) | X = x) \leq E(L(\Theta, \delta'_\Lambda(X)) | X = x)$. After taking expectation over X , we obtain $E(L(\Theta, \delta_\Lambda(X))) \leq E(L(\Theta, \delta'_\Lambda(X)))$ for all δ' .*

Example 1 (Bayes estimator of L^2 loss) *If we consider the squared loss function $L(\theta, d) = (\theta - d)^2$, to find the Bayes estimator. We need to minimize $E((g(\Theta) - \delta(X))^2 | X = x)$ and in this case, the Bayes estimator is $\delta_\Lambda(X) = E(g(\Theta) | X)$, the posterior mean of $g(\Theta)$ given $X = x$*

Consider the Risk function, $E(L(\Theta, \delta(X)) | X = x)$, we can observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
& E(\{g(\Theta) - E(g(\Theta) | X) + E(g(\Theta) | X) - \delta(X)\}^2 | X = x) \\
&= E(\{g(\Theta) - E(g(\Theta) | X)\}^2 | X = x) + E(\{E(g(\Theta) | X) - \delta(X)\}^2 | X = x)
\end{aligned}$$

which shows the risk function could be minimized by posterior mean if it is the Bayes estimator.

Remark 2 To calculate the posterior mean $E(g(\Theta) | X)$, we should find out the posterior distribution first. Since posterior = joint / marginal = prior \times likelihood / marginal, which is equivalent to $p(\theta | X) = p(\theta, X) / \int p(\theta', X) d\theta' = p(X | \theta) \times \pi(\theta) / \int p(\theta', X) d\theta'$ by Bayes's Theorem, posterior distribution could be derived as posterior \propto prior \times likelihood.

Example 2 (Binomial-Beta) Suppose $X \sim \text{Binomial}(n, \theta)$ given $\Theta = \theta$ and that Θ has a prior distribution $\text{Beta}(\alpha, \beta)$, with hyperparameters α and β . The prior density is given by

$$\pi(\theta; \alpha, \beta) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} \theta^{\alpha-1} (1 - \theta)^{\beta-1} \mathbf{1}_{\{0 < \theta < 1\}}. \quad (4)$$

Obviously, the model density is $f(X; \theta) = \binom{n}{x} \theta^x (1 - \theta)^{n-x}$, in which case the posterior distribution of Θ given X is

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi(\theta | X) &\propto \underbrace{\binom{n}{x} \theta^x (1 - \theta)^{n-x}}_{\text{Likelihood}} \underbrace{\frac{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} \theta^{\alpha-1} (1 - \theta)^{\beta-1}}_{\text{Prior}} \\
&\propto \underbrace{\theta^{(x+\alpha)-1} (1 - \theta)^{(n-x+\beta)-1}}_{\text{Kernel part}} \\
&\sim \text{Beta}(x + \alpha, n - x + \beta),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\int p(\theta', X) d\theta'$ (the denominator part of posterior) is normalising constant, meaning that the posterior of $\Theta | X = (x + \alpha) / (n + \alpha + \beta)$.

Remark 3 The posterior mean can be rewritten as:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\overbrace{\frac{X + \alpha}{n + \alpha + \beta}}^{\text{Shrink the estimate from prior mean}} = \underbrace{\frac{n}{n + \alpha + \beta}}_{\omega} \left(\frac{X}{n} \right) + \underbrace{\frac{\alpha + \beta}{n + \alpha + \beta}}_{1-\omega} \left(\frac{\alpha}{1 + \beta} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

ω and $1 - \omega$ can be treated as the weight average of the sample mean \bar{X}_n and the prior mean $\alpha / (\alpha + \beta)$, correspondingly. As $n \rightarrow \infty$ (by empirical evidence and observations), $E(\Theta | X) \rightarrow \bar{X}_n$. (Let the data “speak for themselves.”)

Example 3 (Normal Mean Estimation) Let $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(\Theta, \sigma^2)$, with σ^2 known. Let $\Theta \sim N(\mu, b^2)$

where μ and b^2 are two fixed prior hyperparameters. Then the posterior distribution of $\Theta \mid X$ is

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi(\theta \mid X) &\propto \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(X_i - \theta)^2\right\} \times \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi b^2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2b^2}(\theta - \mu)^2\right\} \\
&\propto \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \theta)^2 - \frac{1}{2b^2}(\theta - \mu)^2\right\} \\
&\propto \dots \\
&\propto \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{n}{\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{b^2}\right)\theta^2 + \left(\frac{n\bar{X}}{\sigma^2} + \frac{\mu}{b^2}\right)\theta\right\} \\
&\propto \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\tilde{\sigma}^2}(\theta - \tilde{\mu})^2\right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

The posterior distribution of Θ given X is $N(\tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\sigma}^2)$ where

$$\tilde{\mu} = \frac{n\bar{X}/\sigma^2 + \mu/b^2}{n/\sigma^2 + 1/b^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n/\sigma^2 + 1/b^2}$$

Hence, the posterior mean of $\Theta \mid X$ is $\frac{n\bar{X}/\sigma^2 + \mu/b^2}{n/\sigma^2 + 1/b^2}$ and similarly we can rewrite as

$$\underbrace{\frac{n/\sigma^2}{n/\sigma^2 + 1/b^2}}_{1 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty} \bar{X} + \underbrace{\frac{1/b^2}{n/\sigma^2 + 1/b^2}}_{0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty} \mu$$

Thus, Bayes estimator δ_Λ is $\tilde{\mu}$ if we adopt the squared loss function.

Example 4 (Bayes estimator of weighted L^2 loss) Assume that we consider $L(\theta, d) = \omega(\theta)\{d - g(\theta)\}^2$, where $\omega(\theta) \geq 0$, which can be interpreted as a weight function. Our goal is to find the corresponding Bayes estimator, which minimizes $E(\omega(\Theta)\{g(\Theta) - d\}^2 \mid X = x)$ (*) with respect to d .

(*) can be rewritten as

$$d^2 E(\omega(\Theta) \mid X = x) - 2d E(\omega(\Theta)g(\Theta) \mid X = x) + E(\omega(\Theta)g(\Theta)^2 \mid X = x). \quad (\dagger)$$

Taking derivative of (\dagger) with respect to d , we obtain

$$2d^* E(\omega(\Theta) \mid X = x) - 2E(\omega(\Theta)g(\Theta) \mid X = x) = 0.$$

Thus

$$\delta_\Lambda(x) = d^* = \frac{E(\omega(\Theta)g(\Theta) \mid X = x)}{E(\omega(\Theta) \mid X = x)}. \quad (5)$$

In particular, if $\omega(\cdot) \equiv 1$, $\delta_\Lambda(x)$ (with $\omega(\cdot) \equiv 1$) = $E(g(\Theta) \mid X = x)$.

Theorem 2 If δ is unbiased for $g(\theta)$ with $r(\Lambda, \delta) < \infty$ and $E(g(\Theta)^2) < \infty$, then δ is not Bayes under the squared loss function unless its average risk is zero, which is

$$E_{(X, \Theta)}(\{\delta(X) - g(\Theta)\}^2) = 0. \quad (6)$$

Proof 2 Let δ be an unbiased estimator under the squared loss function. Then we know that δ is the posterior mean, which is

$$\delta(X) = E(g(\Theta) | X),$$

almost surely. Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} E(\delta(X)g(\Theta)) &= E(E(\delta(X)g(\Theta) | X)) \\ &= E(\delta(X)E(g(\Theta) | X)) \\ &= E(\delta^2(X)). \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} E(\delta(X)g(\Theta)) &= E(E(\delta(X)g(\Theta) | \Theta)) \\ &= E(g(\Theta)E(\delta(X) | \Theta)) \\ &= E(g^2(\Theta)). \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} E(\{\delta(X) - g(\Theta)\}^2) &= E(\delta^2(X)) - 2E(\delta(X)g(\Theta)) + E(g^2(\Theta)) \\ &= E(\delta^2(X)) - E(\delta(X)g(\Theta)) + E(g^2(\Theta)) - E(\delta(X)g(\Theta)) \\ &= E(\delta^2(X)) - E(\delta^2(X)) + E(g^2(\Theta)) - E(g^2(\Theta)) \text{ (due to (7) and (8))} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have that $E(\{\delta(X) - g(\Theta)\}^2) = 0$, which means the average risk is zero. The claim is thus proved.

Example 5 (Application of Theorem 2) Suppose $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(\Theta, \sigma^2)$, with σ^2 known. Is \bar{X} Bayes under the squared loss function for some choice of the prior distribution?

Observe that $E(\bar{X} | \theta) = \theta$, hence \bar{X} is unbiased for θ . The corresponding average risk under the squared loss function is given by

$$E_{(X, \Theta)}(\{\bar{X} - \Theta\}^2) = \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \neq 0.$$

So \bar{X} is not Bayes estimator under any prior distribution.

Theorem 3 (Admissibility) A unique Bayes estimator (almost surely for all P_θ) is admissible.

An estimator is admissible if it is not uniformly dominated by some other estimator. δ is said to be inadmissible if and only if there exists δ' such that

$$\begin{cases} R(\theta, \delta') \leq R(\theta, \delta), \text{ for any } \theta \in \Omega \\ R(\theta, \delta') < R(\theta, \delta), \text{ for some } \theta \in \Omega \end{cases}$$

Proof 3 Suppose δ_Λ is Bayes for Λ , and for some δ' , $R(\theta, \delta') \leq R(\theta, \delta_\Lambda)$ for all $\theta \in \Omega$. If we take expectation with respect to Θ , the inequality above is preserved and we can write

$$\int_{\theta \in \Omega} R(\theta, \delta') d\Lambda(\theta) \leq \int_{\theta \in \Omega} R(\theta, \delta_\Lambda) d\Lambda(\theta)$$

This implies that δ' is also Bayes because δ' has less (or equal) risk than δ_Λ which minimizes the average risk. Hence $\delta' = \delta_\Lambda$ with probability one for all P_θ .

Question: When is a Bayes estimator unique?

Theorem 4 (Uniqueness) *Let Q be the marginal distribution of X , that is*

$$Q(E) = \int P(X \in E \mid \theta) d\Lambda(\theta)$$

Then, under a strictly convex loss function, δ_Λ is unique (almost surely for all P_θ) if

(a) $r(\Lambda, \delta_\Lambda)$ is finite and

(b) $P_\theta \ll Q$ (absolute continuity)

Benefits of Bayes $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (i) \text{ Admissible} \\ (ii) \text{ Incorporate } \underbrace{\text{prior information}}_{\text{domain knowledge}} \longrightarrow \text{frequentist} \\ (iii) \dots \end{array} \right.$

2 Next Lecture

1. Minimax Estimator

Considering

$$\sup_{\theta \in \Omega} R(\theta, \delta).$$

2. Worst-case Scenario/Optimality

3. Testing of Statistical Hypothesis (UMP, UMPU...)