(a) In the limit, we use l'Hospitalis rule since humerator and denominator go to zero: 
$$\lim_{N\to\infty} U_{d}(x) = \lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{\chi^{N}-1}{d} = \lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{\frac{d}{da}(x^{N}-1)}{\frac{d}{da}(x^{N}-1)} = \lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{\chi^{N}\log x}{da} = \log x = U_{0}(x)$$

(b) 
$$U_{\alpha}(1) = \frac{|\alpha|}{\alpha} = 0$$
.  $U'_{\alpha}(x) = x^{\alpha-1} > 0$ , So  $U_{\alpha}(x)$  is monotone increasing.  $U'_{\alpha}(x) = (d-1) x^{\alpha-2} \le 0$  Since  $0 < \alpha \le 1$ , which implies  $U_{\alpha}(x)$  is concave.

Let  $\tilde{C} = \{1, ..., n\}/C$ , which satisfies  $Prob(P, C) + Prob(P, \tilde{C}) = 1$  and  $Prob(\xi,C) + Prob(\xi,\widetilde{C}) = 1$ . Then we have  $Prob(p,C) - Prob(\xi,C) = -(Prob(p,\widetilde{C}) - Prob(\xi,C))$ We can rewrite dm,p as = dmp(P, g) = max {prob(p, c) - prob(g, c) | c = {1,...,n}}. Since it is the maximum of 2" linear functions of (p, g), the dm, p is convex. Suppose the solution subset  $C^*$  is =  $C^* = \{ \vec{i} \in \{1,...,n\} \mid P\vec{i} > \vec{k}_{\vec{i}} \}$ .

The indices for which Pi = &i don't mutter to dm,p, So we can ignore them.

Without loss of generalizy, for each index, we have Pi > 2; or Pi x 8;.

Suppose there is another subset C. If there is an element "e" in C\* but not in C. then by adding "e" to C we can Thorease Prob(P,C) - Prob(g,C) by  $P_a - \delta_e > 0$ . This means that C can not be optimal. On the other hand, if there is an element "e" in C but not in C\*, which implies that Pe-ge <0. If we delete "e" from C, we then in crease prob (P,C) - prob (8,C) by - (Pe-Se) >0. This means C can not be optimal. Therefore, we have  $dmp(p,q) = \sum_{\substack{i > i \\ i}} (Pi - \overline{z}_i)$ . By definition, we have  $\overline{p} = \overline{1}q = 1$ , we have  $P_{i}=g_{i}$   $(P_{i}-g_{i}) + \sum_{p_{i}=g_{i}} (P_{i}-g_{i}) = |T_{p_{i}}-T_{g_{i}}| = 0$ , which implies  $\sum_{p_{i}} (P_{i}-g_{i}) = -(\sum_{p_{i}=g_{i}} (P_{i}-g_{i}))$ Honce, we have:

$$d_{MP}(P, g) = \sum_{R>g_{i}} (P_{i} - g_{i}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{R>g_{i}} (P_{i} - g_{i}) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{R>g_{i}} (P_{i} - g_{i})$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{R>g_{i}} (P_{i} - g_{i}) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{R\leq g_{i}} (P_{i} - g_{i})$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{I=1}^{n} |P_{i} - g_{i}| = \frac{1}{2} |P_{i} - g_{i}|.$$

3.55:  
(a) 
$$f(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\pi} e^{-h(h)} dh$$
,  $f'(x) = e^{-h(x)}$ ,  $f''(x) = -h'(x) e^{-h(x)}$   
It is obvious that  $f''(x) f(x) = (-h'(x)) e^{-h(x)} \int_{-\infty}^{x} e^{-h(t)} dt \le 0$  when  $h'(x) \ge 0$   
Hence,  $(-h(x)) e^{-h(x)} \int_{-\infty}^{x} e^{-h(t)} dt \le (e^{-h(x)})^{2}$   $\Leftrightarrow$   $f''(x) f(x) \le (f'(x))^{2}$   
(b)  $h(t) \ge h(x) + h'(x) (t-x) \Leftrightarrow -h(t) \le -h(x) - h'(x) (t-x)$   
 $\Leftrightarrow$   $e^{-h(t)} \le e^{-h(x)} + xh'(x) - th'(x)$   
 $\Leftrightarrow$   $f''(x) f(x) = -h'(x) + xh'(x)$   
 $\Leftrightarrow$   $f''(x) f(x) = -h'(x) + xh'(x)$ 

$$\oint \int_{-\infty}^{x} e^{-h(t)} dt \leq e^{-h(x) + xh'(x)} \int_{-\infty}^{x} e^{-th'(x)} dt$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \int_{-\infty}^{x} e^{-h(t)} dt \leq e^{-h(x) + xh'(x)} \frac{e^{-xh'(x)}}{-h'(x)}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \int_{-\infty}^{x} e^{-h(t)} dt \leq e^{-h(x) + xh'(x)} \frac{e^{-xh'(x)}}{-h'(x)}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \int_{-\infty}^{x} e^{-h(t)} dt \leq e^{-h(x)}$$

By eq. 
$$\mathbb{D}$$
, we have  $: \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-h(t)} dt \le \frac{e^{-h(x)}}{-h'(x)}$ 

$$\Leftrightarrow (-h'(x)) \int_{-\infty}^{x} e^{-h(t)} dt \le e^{-h(x)} \quad \text{since } h'(x) < 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (-h'(x)) e^{-h(x)} \int_{-\infty}^{x} e^{-h(t)} dt \le (e^{-h(x)})^{2}$$

$$f''(x)$$
  $f(x) \leq (f'(x))^2$  if  $f'(x) < 0$ 

- (a) Suppose S is expressed as a convex combination of permutation matrices: S = I OxPk where  $P \le \partial_K \le 1$ ,  $S \ni Q_K = 1$  and  $P_K$  permutation matrices. Since f is convex and symmetric, we have  $f(S_x) = f(\sum_{k} \theta_k P_{k,x}) \leq \sum_{k} \theta_k f(P_{k,x}) = \sum_{k} \theta_k f(x) = f(x)$ .
- $Y = Q \operatorname{diag}(\lambda) Q^{T} = \begin{bmatrix} Q_{11} & Q_{12} & \dots & Q_{1n} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_{1} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_{2} & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} Q_{11} & Q_{21} & \dots & Q_{nn} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} Q_{1n} & Q_{2n} & \dots & Q_{nn} \end{bmatrix}$   $\begin{bmatrix} Q_{1n} & Q_{1n} & \dots & Q_{nn} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} Q_{1n} & Q_{2n} & \dots & Q_{nn} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} Q_{1n} & Q_{2n} & \dots & Q_{nn} \end{bmatrix}$

$$\langle \Xi \rangle Y_{ii} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} Q_{ij}^{2} \lambda_{j}^{2}$$

$$\Rightarrow \operatorname{diag}(Y) = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{j=1}^{n} Q_{ij}^{2} \lambda_{j}^{2} \\ \sum_{j=1}^{n} Q_{nj}^{2} \lambda_{j}^{2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} Q_{i1}^{1} & Q_{i2}^{2} & \dots & Q_{in}^{2} \\ Q_{i1}^{2} & \dots & Q_{nn}^{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_{i} \\ \lambda_{i} \end{bmatrix} = S\lambda$$

Since  $QQ^T = I$ , we have  $\sum_{j=1}^{n} Q_{ij}^2 = 1$ . Since  $Q^TQ = I$ , we have  $\sum_{j=1}^{n} Q_{ij}^2 = 1$ Hence, S is doubly 8 to chas ATC.

From (a) and (b), we have X = Q diag( $\lambda$ )  $Q^T$  and diag(X) =  $S \lambda$ . Then we conclude that for any symmetric X, we have  $f(diag(x)) = f(s\lambda(x)) \le f(\lambda(x))$ . If V is orthogonal, then  $A(X) = VV^T X V$ . Therefore, also

 $f\left(diag\left(V^{T}XV\right)\right) \leq f\left(\lambda(X)\right)$  for all orthogonal V, with equality if V=Q. Hence,  $f(aing(V^TXV)) = Sup f(diag(V^TXV))$ . This shows that  $f(\lambda(X))$ 

is convex since it is the supremum of a family of convex functions

A12.1:

(a) We can write the problem as:

minimize: X

Subject to:  $f(a) = \sup_{0 \le \omega \le \frac{\pi}{3}} H(\omega) \le 1.12$ 

 $f_2(a) = \inf_{0 \le \omega \le \frac{\pi}{3}} H(\omega) \ge 0.89$ 

 $f_3(\alpha) = \sup_{\omega \leq \omega \leq \overline{\Omega}} H(\omega) \leq \alpha$ 

 $f_4(a) = \inf_{\omega_c \leq \omega \leq \Pi} H(\omega) \geq -\alpha$ 

For each w, H(w) is a linear function of a. Hence, f, and f3 are convex, f2 and f9 are concave. Then it is a convex optimization problem.

(b) We can write the problem as =

minimize fo(a)

Subject to =  $f_i(a) = \sup_{0 \le \omega \le \frac{\pi}{3}} H(\omega) \le 1.12$ 

 $f_2(a) = \inf_{0 \le \omega \le \overline{J}} H(\omega) \ge 0.89$ 

where for a = snf{2|-deHiwiedfor account)

We know for is convex, fa is concave.

And the sublevel sets of f5 are =

{a| fs(a) = \Omega } = {a|-a \le Hiw) \le a for \Omega \le W\le I)}

is the intersection of infinite halfspaces, so fo

is quasiconvex. Therefore, it is a quasiconvex opermization problem.

(C) We can Write the problem as:

minimize f6(a)

subject to =  $f_1(a) = \sup_{0 \le \omega \le \frac{\pi}{3}} H(\omega) \le 1.12$ 

 $f_{\Sigma}(a) = \inf_{0 \le \mu \le \frac{\pi}{3}} H(\omega) \ge 0.89$ 

 $f_{3(a)} = \sup_{\omega \leq \omega \leq \overline{\eta}} H(\omega) \leq \alpha$ 

f4(a) = mf HIW) 2 - 2

where  $f_6(a) = \min \{k \mid a_{k+1} = \dots = a_N = 0\}$ . We know fi and fs are convex, fz and fq are concare. The sublevel sets of f6 are affine Sets:

{a | fo(a) = k} = {a | ake = - = a = 0}. This means that

for it a quasiconvex function. Hence, it is a quasicon vex openmention

```
python code:

import crxpy as cp

from rel-pwr-flow-data import *

P = cp. Variable (m)

g = cp. Variable (k)

Cost = cp. Problem (cp. Minimize (C.T*g), (IA[-k,-]*p == -g.)

A[k=,-]*p == hp. array (d.T). reshape (-1,), (cp. abs (p) <= np. array (Pmax.T). reshape (H,), (g. - np. array (Gmax). reshape (H,), (g. - np. array (Gmax
```

(b) To handle the additional N-1 reliability constraint, we have to introduce a set of power flow vectors for each contingency. Then we solve the linear programming:

minimize = 
$$C^Tg$$
  
subject to =  $Ap(i) = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $i = 1, ..., m$   
 $P_{ij}^{(j)} = 0$ ,  $j = 1, ..., m$   
 $-p^{max} \le p(i) \le p^{max}$ ,  $j = 1, ..., m$   
 $0 \le g \le G^{max}$ 

python code=

cost = cp. Problem (cp. Minimize (C.T + g), \

$$A[k:,=]*P=d:T*np.ones((1,m)),$$

Then we get the optimal cost = 
$$56.20$$
 and  $9=$ 

$$4.$$

$$4.531$$