

# Chapter 2 Finite, Infinite and Bigger

April 2, 2017

## 1 Cardinalities

### 1.1 DEFINITION 2.1 (One-to-one Correspondence, Finite and Infinite Sets)

- (a) A function  $c : S \rightarrow T$  is a **one-to-one correspondence** if it has the following properties:
  - (i)  $\forall x \in S, \forall y \in S, (x \neq y \Rightarrow c(x) \neq c(y))$ , that is,  $c$  is **one-to-one**, and
  - (ii)  $\forall z \in T, \exists x \in S \ni (c(x) = z)$ , that is,  $c$  is **onto**.
- (b) If a one-to-one correspondence exists between two sets, we say that the **sets are (or can be put) in one-to-one correspondence**.
- (c) A set is **finite** (and contains  $n$  elements) if it can be put in one-to-one correspondence with an **initial segment of natural numbers**  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ .
- (d) A set that is not finite is **infinite**.

Here we take **natural numbers** as something that are intuitive and defined like an **axiom**. This is actually a **circular definition** given the light of **Definition 2.3**. A preferred way of defining a **finite set** is in **Exercise 2.3.7 (c)** and **Exercise 2.1.4 (e)** below, then use **Definition 2.3** to define natural numbers.

### 1.2 DEFINITION 2.2 (Comparing Cardinality):

- (a) Two sets have the **same cardinality** if they can be put in one-to-one correspondence with each other.
- (b) If such sets are finite, we say they have the **same number of elements**.

### 1.3 DEFINITION 2.3 (Finite Cardinality and Natural Numbers):

- (a) A **cardinality** is the **property common to a collection of sets that can be put in one-to-one correspondence with each other**, but that is not shared by any set that can't be put in one-to-one correspondence with a set in the collection.
- (b) If the sets in such a collection are finite, the cardinality is also said to be finite. A finite cardinality is called a **natural number**.

## 1.4 Exercise 2.1.4 (Alternative Definition of Finite Sets)

- (e) It is impossible to have a one-to-one correspondence between a finite set and one of its **proper subsets**. Sets that have this property are **finite sets**.

## 1.5 Exercise 2.1.5 (Equivalence Relation and Equivalence Class)

The relation  $\approx$  is called an **equivalence relation** on  $X$  if it has the properties: + (i) (**Reflexivity**)  $x \approx x, \forall x$  + (ii) (**Symmetry**)  $x \approx y \Rightarrow y \approx x$  + (iii) (**Transitivity**)  $x \approx y$  and  $y \approx z \Rightarrow x \approx z$ .

So,  $=$  is an equivalence relationship, but  $\leq$  is not because it failed ii). is actually a **partial order relation**, which has the **antisymmetry property**:  $x \leq y$  and  $y \leq x \Rightarrow x = y$ . Similarly,  $\subseteq$  is a partial order relation rather than an equivalence relation.

Now, let  $X$  be a set and  $\approx$  an equivalence relation on  $X$ . For any element  $a$  of  $X$ , let  $X_a = \{x \in X : x \approx a\}$ . Then: + (i)  $X_a \neq \emptyset \forall a$  + (ii) if  $X_a \cap X_b \neq \emptyset$ , then  $X_a = X_b$ , and + (iii)  $X = \bigcup_a X_a$ .

The set  $X_a$  is called the **equivalence class** of  $a$ . Effectively, an equivalence class “groups” all elements that are equivalent to  $a$  together to form a set. A collection of subsets  $\{X_a\}$  of a set  $X$  having properties (i), (ii), and (iii) is called a **partition** of  $X$ .

# 2 Infinite Sets

## 2.1 THEOREM 2.4 (Infinity of Natural Numbers):

The set of all **natural numbers**,  $N$ , is **infinite**.

## 2.2 Definition (Countable and Uncountable Infinity):

We are generally interested in sets with only three types of cardinalities: Natural numbers (the cardinalities of finite sets), the cardinality of  $N$  (which is denoted  $\aleph_0$ , and those that are bigger. A set with cardinality  $\aleph_0$  is said to be **denumerable**. A set that is finite or denumerable is said to be **countable**. If a set is not **countable**, it is **uncountable**.

## 2.3 THEOREM 2.5 (Algebra of Countable Cardinality):

The **union** of a countable collection of countable sets is countable.

## 2.4 Exercise 2.2.1 (Cantor-Bernstein-Dedekind Theorem):

In set theory, the **Schröder–Bernstein theorem** (named after Felix Bernstein and Ernst Schröder, also known as **Cantor–Bernstein theorem**, or **Cantor–Schröder–Bernstein** after Georg Cantor who first published it without proof) states that, if there exist **injective functions**  $f : A \rightarrow B$  and  $g : B \rightarrow A$  between the sets  $A$  and  $B$ , then there exists a **bijective function**  $h : A \rightarrow B$ . In terms of the cardinality of the two sets, this means that if  $|A| \leq |B|$  and  $|B| \leq |A|$ , then  $|A| = |B|$ ; that is,  $A$  and  $B$  are **equivalent**. This is a useful feature in the **ordering of cardinal numbers** (the cardinal numbers has the **anti-symmetry property** and therefore **partially ordered** (See Exercise 2.1.5)).

## 2.5 Exercise 2.2.5 (Algebraic Numbers):

If a number is a solution to a **polynomial equation with coefficients that are integers**, it is called **algebraic**. (a) All **rational numbers** are algebraic. (c) The set of algebraic numbers is **countable**.

## 2.6 Exercise 2.2.6 (Algebra of $\aleph_0$ ):

- (a)  $\aleph_0 + \aleph_0 = \aleph_0$
- (b)  $\aleph_0 + n = \aleph_0$
- (c)  $\aleph_0 \times \aleph_0 = \aleph_0$

# 3 Uncountable Sets

## 3.1 THEOREM (Cantor Diagonalization):

In set theory, **Cantor's diagonal argument**, also called **the diagonalisation argument**, **the diagonal slash argument** or **the diagonal method**, was published in 1891 by Georg Cantor as a mathematical proof that there are infinite sets which cannot be put into one-to-one correspondence with the infinite set of natural numbers. Such sets are now known as **uncountable sets**, and the size of infinite sets is now treated by **the theory of cardinal numbers** which Cantor began.

The diagonal argument was not Cantor's first proof of the uncountability of the real numbers; it was actually published much later than his **first proof**, which appeared in 1874. However, it demonstrates a powerful and general technique that has since been used in a wide range of proofs, including the first of **Gödel's incompleteness theorems** and **Turing's answer to the Entscheidungsproblem**. Diagonalization arguments are often also the source of contradictions like **Russell's paradox** and **Richard's paradox**.

## 3.2 Exercise 2.3.4 (Power Set):

The **power set** of a set  $S$ , denoted  $P(S)$ , is the set of all subsets of  $S$ . + (c) If  $S$  is any set at all, the **cardinality** of  $P(S)$  is larger than the cardinality of  $S$ .

## 3.3 Exercise 2.3.5 (Infinity of Aleph Numbers):

- (a) There are **infinitely many** different **infinite cardinals** (**Aleph numbers**).

## 3.4 Exercise 2.3.7 (Alternative Definition of Infinite Sets):

- (b) Every **infinite set** has a **denumerable subset**. (The proof of this theorem is beyond the scope of this book.)
- (c) Every **infinite set** can be put in **one-to-one correspondence** with a **proper subset of itself** (this is an alternative definition of "infinite").
- (d) Forming the union of an infinite set with a finite set does not increase the first set's cardinality.
- (e) Forming the union of an infinite set with a countable set does not increase the first set's cardinality.

### 3.5 Exercise 2.3.8 (Transcendental Numbers):

Numbers that are not algebraic are **transcendental**.

### 3.6 Exercise 2.3.9 (Finite subsets):

- (b) The set of **finite subsets of a denumerable set** is **denumerable**. (This draws an analogue to rational numbers whose digit expansion are terminating or repeating - finite subsets vs real numbers whose digit expansion are in general non-repeating - the power set).