

# ECE240 Introduction to Linear Dynamical Systems

## Lecture 6: Jordan Form

Dr. Yu Zhang  
ECE Department, UC Santa Cruz

Fall 2025

# Outline

## 1 Motivation

- When Diagonalization Fails
- Algebraic and Geometric Multiplicity
- Diagonalizability Criterion

## 2 JCF Theorem

- Jordan Chain and Generalized Eigenvectors
- Matrix Exponential via JCF
- Generalized Modes

## 3 Cayley-Hamilton Theorem and Its Applications

## 4 Summary

## Motivation: Simplifying Matrices

We often want to simplify a matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  to a diagonal form:

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{V} \Lambda \mathbf{V}^{-1}, \quad \Lambda = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n).$$

Then the matrix exponential is easy to compute:

$$e^{\mathbf{A}t} = \mathbf{V} e^{\Lambda t} \mathbf{V}^{-1}, \quad e^{\Lambda t} = \text{diag}(e^{\lambda_1 t}, \dots, e^{\lambda_n t}).$$

Each state evolves independently as  $e^{\lambda_i t}$ .

Diagonalization  $\Rightarrow$  independent modes and simple dynamics.

## When Diagonalization Fails

Consider  $\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ , compute  $(\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{I})\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$ :

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{0} \Rightarrow v_2 = 0, v_1 \text{ free.}$$

Thus, there is only one eigenvector

$$\mathbf{v}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The eigenspace is a line (1D), while a  $2 \times 2$  diagonalizable matrix requires 2D eigenbasis  $\Rightarrow$  matrix **A is not diagonalizable**.

# Eigenvalue Multiplicity Inequality

- **Algebraic multiplicity (AM)** of an eigenvalue  $\lambda$ : the number of times  $\lambda$  appears as a root of the characteristic polynomial.
- **Geometric multiplicity (GM)** of  $\lambda$ : the dimension of the eigenspace  $\mathcal{E}_\lambda$ .
- For any eigenvalue  $\lambda$ , we always have:

$$1 \leq \text{GM}(\lambda) \leq \text{AM}(\lambda).$$

## Proof of Eigenvalue Multiplicity Inequality (1/2)

*Setup:* Let  $\lambda_i$  be an eigenvalue of  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$ .

**Lower bound:** for the eigenvector  $\mathbf{v} \neq \mathbf{0}$  associated with  $\lambda_i$ , we have  $(\mathbf{A} - \lambda_i \mathbf{I})\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$ . Thus,  $1 \leq \text{GM}(\lambda_i)$ .

**Upper bound:** Let  $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_g\}$  be a basis of the eigenspace  $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda_i}$ , where  $g = \text{GM}(\lambda_i)$ . Extend it to a full basis of  $\mathbb{F}^n$  to form the matrix

$$\mathbf{V} := [\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_g, \mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_{n-g}].$$

It can be seen that  $\mathbf{A}$  is *similar* to a block upper-triangular matrix  $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}$  (denoted as  $\mathbf{A} \sim \tilde{\mathbf{A}}$ ), as follows

## Proof of Eigenvalue Multiplicity Inequality (2/2)

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\mathbf{A}} &= \mathbf{V}^{-1} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{V} = \mathbf{V}^{-1} \mathbf{A} [\mathbf{v}_1 \mid \mathbf{v}_2 \mid \cdots \mid \mathbf{w}_{n-g}] \\ &= [\mathbf{V}^{-1} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{v}_1 \mid \cdots \mid \mathbf{V}^{-1} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{v}_g \mid \cdots \mid \mathbf{V}^{-1} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{w}_{n-g}] \\ &= [\lambda_i \mathbf{e}_1 \mid \cdots \mid \lambda_i \mathbf{e}_g \mid \cdots \mid \mathbf{V}^{-1} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{w}_{n-g}] \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_i \mathbf{I}_g & * \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{B} \end{bmatrix}.\end{aligned}$$

Since similar matrices have the same characteristic polynomial, we get

$$p_{\mathbf{A}}(\lambda) = p_{\tilde{\mathbf{A}}}(\lambda) = \det(\lambda \mathbf{I} - \tilde{\mathbf{A}}) = (\lambda - \lambda_i)^g \det(\lambda \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{B}).$$

Thus,  $(\lambda - \lambda_i)^g$  divides  $p_{\mathbf{A}}(\lambda)$ , implying

$$\text{AM}(\lambda_i) \geq g = \text{GM}(\lambda_i).$$

# Diagonalizability Criterion

## Theorem (Diagonalizability Criterion)

A square matrix  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$  is diagonalizable if and only if, for every eigenvalue  $\lambda_i$  of  $\mathbf{A}$ ,

$$GM(\lambda_i) = AM(\lambda_i).$$

Equivalently, if there exists an eigenvalue  $\lambda$  such that  $GM(\lambda) < AM(\lambda)$ , then  $\mathbf{A}$  is not diagonalizable ( $\mathbf{A}$  is defective).

## Jordan Form: The Next Best Thing

If  $\mathbf{A}$  cannot be diagonalized, we can still find an invertible  $\mathbf{V}$  such that

$$\mathbf{J} = \mathbf{V}^{-1}\mathbf{AV} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 1 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{bmatrix} = \lambda\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{N}, \quad \mathbf{N} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{N}^2 = \mathbf{0}.$$

- $\mathbf{J}$  is called **Jordan block**: upper bidiagonal, and only 1's just above the diagonal.
- $\mathbf{N} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is **nilpotent** if  $\mathbf{N}^k = \mathbf{0}$  for some integer  $k > 0$ . The smallest such  $k$  is called the *index of nilpotency*.
- All eigenvalues of a nilpotent matrix are 0 (because  $\mathbf{0} = \mathbf{N}^k \mathbf{v} = \lambda^k \mathbf{v}$ ).

Jordan form  $\Rightarrow$  “diagonalization with small corrections.”

## $e^{\mathbf{A}t}$ via JCF ( $2 \times 2$ System)

If  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{V}\mathbf{J}\mathbf{V}^{-1}$ , then

$$e^{\mathbf{A}t} = \mathbf{V} e^{\mathbf{J}t} \mathbf{V}^{-1}.$$

Since  $\mathbf{J} = \lambda\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{N}$  and  $\mathbf{N}^2 = \mathbf{0}$ , we have

$$e^{\mathbf{J}t} = e^{(\lambda\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{N})t} = e^{\lambda t} \times e^{\mathbf{N}t} = e^{\lambda t} \times (\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{N}t).$$

Hence,

$$e^{\mathbf{J}t} = e^{\lambda t} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & t \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \implies e^{\mathbf{A}t} = \mathbf{V} e^{\lambda t} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & t \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{V}^{-1}.$$

- $\mathbf{N}$  creates the  $te^{\lambda t}$  terms in  $e^{\mathbf{A}t}$ .
- Jordan form reveals how repeated eigenvalues cause coupling and polynomial growth  $t^k e^{\lambda t}$ .

## Jordan Canonical Form Theorem

Theorem (JCF: Existence and Uniqueness)

Let  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ . Then there exists an invertible matrix  $\mathbf{V}$  such that  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{V}\mathbf{J}\mathbf{V}^{-1}$ , where  $\mathbf{J}$  is a block diagonal matrix  $\mathbf{J} = \text{diag}(\mathbf{J}_{r_1}(\lambda_1), \mathbf{J}_{r_2}(\lambda_2), \dots, \mathbf{J}_{r_q}(\lambda_q))$ , and each block

$$\mathbf{J}_{r_i}(\lambda_i) = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_i & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_i & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & \lambda_i & 1 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \lambda_i \end{bmatrix}_{r_i \times r_i}$$

is a Jordan block. The multiset of eigenvalues  $\{\lambda_i\}$  and the sizes of Jordan blocks associated with each eigenvalue are uniquely determined by  $\mathbf{A}$ , up to permutation of blocks.

## JCF Implications and Examples

- $\mathbf{A}$  is diagonalizable  $\Leftrightarrow$  all  $r_i = 1$ .
- Each eigenvalue  $\lambda$  may have one or more Jordan blocks.
- $GM(\lambda)$  = number of blocks for  $\lambda$ .
- $AM(\lambda)$  = sum of block sizes for  $\lambda$ .

## Example 1: Diagonalizable Case

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{J}$$

- Eigenvalues:  $\lambda_1 = 2, \lambda_2 = 3$
- For  $\lambda = 2$ : AM = 2, GM = 2
- Each Jordan block size is 1
- Two independent eigenvectors of  $\lambda_1 = 2$ , fully diagonalizable

## Example 2: Single Jordan Chain

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{J}$$

- Eigenvalue:  $\lambda = 2 \implies \text{AM} = 3, \text{GM} = 1$
- One Jordan chain of length  $r = 3$
- Chain relations:  $(\mathbf{A} - 2\mathbf{I})\mathbf{v}_1 = 0, \quad (\mathbf{A} - 2\mathbf{I})\mathbf{v}_2 = \mathbf{v}_1, \quad (\mathbf{A} - 2\mathbf{I})\mathbf{v}_3 = \mathbf{v}_2$

## Example 3: Two Jordan Chains

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

- Eigenvalue:  $\lambda = 3 \implies \text{AM} = 3, \text{GM} = 2$
- Two Jordan chains:  $(\mathbf{A} - 3\mathbf{I})\mathbf{v}_1 = 0, \quad (\mathbf{A} - 3\mathbf{I})\mathbf{v}_2 = \mathbf{v}_1, \quad (\mathbf{A} - 3\mathbf{I})\mathbf{v}_3 = 0.$
- Chain lengths:  $r_1 = 2, r_2 = 1 \Rightarrow \text{AM} = r_1 + r_2 = 3$

## Summary Table

Eigenvalue	AM	GM	Jordan Block Sizes
$\lambda = 2$ (Ex. 1)	2	2	1 + 1
$\lambda = 2$ (Ex. 2)	3	1	3
$\lambda = 3$ (Ex. 3)	3	2	2 + 1

$$AM = \sum_{i=1}^{GM} r_i, \quad GM = \text{number of Jordan blocks}$$

# Jordan Chains

## Definition

For an eigenvalue  $\lambda_0$  of  $\mathbf{A}$ , a **Jordan chain of length  $r$**  is a sequence of vectors  $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_r\}$  satisfying

$$\mathbf{Av}_i = \begin{cases} \lambda_0 \mathbf{v}_i, & i = 1, \\ \lambda_0 \mathbf{v}_i + \mathbf{v}_{i-1}, & i > 1, \end{cases}$$

where  $\mathbf{v}_1$  is the eigenvector and  $\{\mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_r\}$  are the generalized eigenvectors.

*Action of  $\mathbf{A}$  on this chain:*

$$(\mathbf{A} - \lambda_0 \mathbf{I})\mathbf{v}_1 = \mathbf{0}, \quad (\mathbf{A} - \lambda_0 \mathbf{I})\mathbf{v}_{k+1} = \mathbf{v}_k, \quad k = 1, \dots, r-1.$$

## Computing Generalized Eigenvectors

Consider  $\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ .

- ① Clearly, we have eigenvalue  $\lambda = 1$  and eigenvector

$$\mathbf{v}_1 = (-2, 0, 1)^T.$$

- ② Solve  $(\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{I})\mathbf{v}_2 = \mathbf{v}_1$  to get

$$\mathbf{v}_2 = (0, -1, 0)^T.$$

- ③ Solve  $(\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{I})\mathbf{v}_3 = \mathbf{v}_2$  to get

$$\mathbf{v}_3 = (-1, 0, 0)^T.$$

# Relationship among AM, GM, and Chain Length

- For each eigenvalue  $\lambda$ :

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\text{GM}(\lambda)} r_i = \text{AM}(\lambda)$$

- There are  $\text{GM}(\lambda)$  independent Jordan chains.
- Each chain length  $r_i$  equals the size of each Jordan block.
- The longest chain corresponds to the highest order of generalized eigenvectors.

# Nullity Ladder and Block Sizes

## Theorem

Let  $\mathbf{A}$  have Jordan blocks for eigenvalue  $\lambda$  of sizes  $r_1, r_2, \dots, r_m$ . Then for all  $k \geq 1$ ,

$$\dim \mathcal{N}((\lambda \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A})^k) = \sum_{i=1}^m \min(k, r_i).$$

**Intuition:** At step  $k$ , each Jordan block of length  $\geq k$  contributes exactly one new independent generalized eigenvector. The nullity “climb” one step along each chain until reaching its maximum length.

## Nullity Ladder: Concrete Example

Consider eigenvalue  $\lambda$  with three Jordan blocks of sizes  $r_1 = 4, r_2 = 2, r_3 = 1$ .

For each  $k$ , the nullity is

$$d_k = \dim \mathcal{N}((\lambda \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A})^k) = \min(k, 4) + \min(k, 2) + \min(k, 1).$$

$k$	$\min(k, 4)$	$\min(k, 2)$	$\min(k, 1)$	$d_k$	$d_k - d_{k-1}$	# blocks of size $\geq k$
1	1	1	1	3	-	3
2	2	2	1	5	2	2
3	3	2	1	6	1	1
4	4	2	1	7	1	1
5	4	2	1	7	0	0

These increments are exactly what determines the block sizes.

## Nullity Ladder Theorem: Succinct Proof

Let the Jordan blocks for eigenvalue  $\lambda$  have sizes  $r_1, r_2, \dots, r_m$ .

Each block is  $\mathbf{J}_{r_i}(\lambda) = \lambda\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{N}_i$ ,  $\mathbf{N}_i^{r_i} = \mathbf{0}$ .

**Claim:**

$$\dim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{N}_i^k) = \min(k, r_i).$$

Reason:  $\mathbf{N}_i$  shifts coordinates upward;  $\mathbf{N}_i^k$  kills exactly the last  $\min(k, r_i)$  basis vectors in the Jordan chain.

Since the Jordan form is block diagonal,

$$\dim \mathcal{N}((\lambda\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A})^k) = \sum_{i=1}^m \min(k, r_i).$$

This completes the proof.

# Generalized Eigenvector

## Definition

A nonzero vector  $\mathbf{v}$  is a **generalized eigenvector** of  $\mathbf{A}$  associated with eigenvalue  $\lambda$  if

$$(\mathbf{A} - \lambda\mathbf{I})^k \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0} \quad \text{for some integer } k \geq 1.$$

- If  $k = 1$ ,  $\mathbf{v}$  is an ordinary eigenvector
- If  $k > 1$ ,  $\mathbf{v}$  is a higher-order generalized eigenvector.
- Accordingly,  $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{A} - \lambda\mathbf{I})^k$  is the eigenspace and generalized eigenspaces.

## Jordan Chain vis-a-vis Generalized Eigenvectors

Jordan chain  $\Rightarrow$  generalized eigenvector, but not vice versa.

- Every vector in a Jordan chain satisfies  $(\mathbf{A} - \lambda\mathbf{I})^k \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ .
- However, not every generalized vector automatically forms a Jordan chain: the chain requires the linking relations  $(\mathbf{A} - \lambda\mathbf{I})\mathbf{v}_{i+1} = \mathbf{v}_i$ .

### An example

Consider  $\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ . Clearly, canonical vectors  $\{\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_3\}$  are all generalized eigenvectors (since  $(\mathbf{A} - 2\mathbf{I})^2 = \mathbf{0}$ ). But only  $\{\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2\}$  form a Jordan chain while  $\mathbf{e}_3$  belongs to a different Jordan block  $\rightarrow$  not part of the same chain.

# Generalized Eigenspace Decomposition Theorem

## Theorem

Let  $\mathbf{A}$  be an  $n \times n$  matrix over  $\mathbb{C}$  (or  $\mathbb{R}$ ). For each eigenvalue  $\lambda$  of  $\mathbf{A}$  with algebraic multiplicity  $k$ , the generalized eigenspace

$$\mathcal{G}_\lambda = \mathcal{N}\left((\mathbf{A} - \lambda \mathbf{I})^k\right)$$

has dimension  $k$ .

## Corollary

The space  $\mathbb{C}^n$  decomposes as a direct sum of generalized eigenspaces:

$$\mathbb{C}^n = \bigoplus_{\lambda} \mathcal{G}_{\lambda}.$$

Consequently,  $\mathbb{C}^n$  has a basis consisting entirely of generalized eigenvectors of  $\mathbf{A}$ .

## Matrix Exponential via JCF

If  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{V}\mathbf{J}\mathbf{V}^{-1}$  then  $e^{t\mathbf{A}} = \mathbf{V} e^{t\mathbf{J}} \mathbf{V}^{-1}$  with

$$e^{t\mathbf{J}_i} = e^{\lambda_i t} \left( \mathbf{I} + t\mathbf{N} + \frac{t^2}{2!} \mathbf{N}^2 + \cdots + \frac{t^{r_i-1}}{(r_i-1)!} \mathbf{N}^{r_i-1} \right)$$
$$= e^{\lambda_i t} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & t & \cdots & \frac{t^{r_i-1}}{(r_i-1)!} \\ & 1 & \cdots & \frac{t^{r_i-2}}{(r_i-2)!} \\ & & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}_{r_i \times r_i}$$

where  $\mathbf{N}$  is the nilpotent superdiagonal matrix ( $\mathbf{N}^{r_i} = \mathbf{0}$ ).

*Implication:* each mode has the form  $p(t)e^{\lambda t}$  with  $\deg p(t) \leq r_i - 1$ .

## Generalized Modes (1/2)

Consider  $\mathbf{V}^{-1}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{J} = \text{diag}(\mathbf{J}_1, \dots, \mathbf{J}_q)$ , where  $\mathbf{V} = [\mathbf{V}_1 \ \mathbf{V}_2 \ \cdots \ \mathbf{V}_q]$  with  $\mathbf{V}_i = [\mathbf{v}_{i1} \ \mathbf{v}_{i2} \ \cdots \ \mathbf{v}_{ir_i}] \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times r_i}$  containing the columns of  $\mathbf{V}$  associated with the  $i$ th Jordan block  $\mathbf{J}_i$ .

Suppose  $\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}$  with  $\mathbf{x}(0) = a_1\mathbf{v}_{i1} + \cdots + a_{r_i}\mathbf{v}_{ir_i} = \mathbf{V}_i \mathbf{a}$ .

Then the solution is

$$\mathbf{x}(t) = \mathbf{V} e^{t\mathbf{J}} \tilde{\mathbf{x}}(0) = \mathbf{V}_i e^{t\mathbf{J}_i} \mathbf{a}.$$

- Trajectory stays in the span of generalized eigenvectors.
- Coefficients have the form  $p(t)e^{\lambda_i t}$ , where  $p(t)$  is a polynomial.
- Such solutions are called *generalized modes* of the system.

## Generalized Modes (2/2)

For a general initial condition  $\mathbf{x}(0)$ :

$$\mathbf{x}(t) = e^{t\mathbf{A}}\mathbf{x}(0) = \mathbf{V}e^{t\mathbf{J}}\mathbf{V}^{-1}\mathbf{x}(0) = \sum_{i=1}^q \mathbf{V}_i e^{t\mathbf{J}_i} (\mathbf{S}_i^\top \mathbf{x}(0)),$$

where

$$\mathbf{V}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{S}_1^\top \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{S}_q^\top \end{bmatrix}.$$

Hence, all solutions of  $\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}$  are linear combinations of those generalized modes.

## Powers of a Jordan Block

For a single Jordan block  $\mathbf{J} = \lambda\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{N}$  with  $\mathbf{N}^s = \mathbf{0}$ ,

$$\mathbf{J}^k = \sum_{j=0}^{s-1} \binom{k}{j} \lambda^{k-j} \mathbf{N}^j = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda^k & \binom{k}{1} \lambda^{k-1} & \cdots & \binom{k}{s-1} \lambda^{k-s+1} \\ & \lambda^k & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & \ddots & \binom{k}{1} \lambda^{k-1} \\ 0 & & & \lambda^k \end{bmatrix}.$$

Useful for discrete-time stability and closed-form recurrences.

For any matrix norm  $\|\cdot\|$ , one can find  $C > 0$  (depending on  $\mathbf{N}$  and  $s$ ) such that

$$\|\mathbf{J}^k(\lambda)\| \leq C \sum_{j=0}^{s-1} \binom{k}{j} |\lambda|^{k-j} \leq C' k^{s-1} |\lambda|^k$$

for some constant  $C' > 0$  (use that  $\binom{k}{j}$  grows polynomially in  $k$ , roughly like  $k^j$ ).

Thus, if every Jordan block  $\mathbf{J}(\lambda_i)$  has eigenvalue  $|\lambda_i| < 1$ , we get  $\mathbf{J}^k(\lambda_i) \rightarrow \mathbf{0}$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .

## Stability Criteria

- We say the system  $\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}$  is *stable* if  $e^{t\mathbf{A}} \rightarrow \mathbf{0}$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .
  - ▶ The state  $\mathbf{x}(t)$  converges to  $\mathbf{0}$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , regardless of the initial condition  $\mathbf{x}(0)$ .
  - ▶ All trajectories of  $\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}$  converge to  $\mathbf{0}$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .
- The system  $\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}$  is stable if and only if all eigenvalues of  $\mathbf{A}$  have negative real parts:

$$\boxed{\operatorname{Re}(\lambda_i) < 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.}$$

- The discrete-time system  $\mathbf{x}(k+1) = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}(k)$  is stable (i.e.,  $\mathbf{A}^k \rightarrow \mathbf{0}$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ ) if and only if all eigenvalues of  $\mathbf{A}$  satisfy

$$\boxed{|\lambda_i| < 1, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.}$$

- Jordan blocks yield terms of form  $t^p e^{\lambda t}$  (or  $k^p \lambda^k$  for a discrete-time system).

# Cayley–Hamilton Theorem

Theorem (Matrix satisfies its own characteristic equation)

For any  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$  with characteristic polynomial

$$p_{\mathbf{A}}(\lambda) = \det(\lambda \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A}) = \lambda^n + c_{n-1}\lambda^{n-1} + \cdots + c_0,$$

we have

$$p_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{A}) = \mathbf{A}^n + c_{n-1}\mathbf{A}^{n-1} + \cdots + c_0 \mathbf{I} = \mathbf{0}.$$

## C-H Theorem: Concrete Example

As a concrete example, let

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Its characteristic polynomial is

$$p(\lambda) = \det(\lambda\mathbf{I}_2 - \mathbf{A}) = \det \begin{pmatrix} \lambda - 1 & -2 \\ -3 & \lambda - 4 \end{pmatrix} = \lambda^2 - 5\lambda - 2.$$

We can verify directly:

$$\mathbf{A}^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 7 & 10 \\ 15 & 22 \end{pmatrix}, \quad 5\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 10 \\ 15 & 20 \end{pmatrix}, \quad 2\mathbf{I}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathbf{A}^2 - 5\mathbf{A} - 2\mathbf{I}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

# Proof (via Jordan Form) of C-H Theorem

Idea.

- ① Every  $\mathbf{A}$  is similar to its Jordan form:  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{P}\mathbf{J}\mathbf{P}^{-1}$ .
- ② Similar matrices share the same characteristic polynomial and satisfy

$$p_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{A}) = \mathbf{0} \iff p_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{J}) = \mathbf{0}.$$

- ③ Each Jordan block  $\mathbf{J}_k(\lambda) = \lambda\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{N}$ , where  $\mathbf{N}$  is nilpotent:  $\mathbf{N}^k = \mathbf{0}$ .
- ④ Since  $(x - \lambda)^k$  divides  $p_{\mathbf{A}}(x)$ , we can write  $p_{\mathbf{A}}(x) = (x - \lambda)^k q(x)$ , hence

$$p_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{J}_k(\lambda)) = (\mathbf{J}_k - \lambda\mathbf{I})^k q(\mathbf{J}_k) = \mathbf{N}^k q(\mathbf{J}_k) = \mathbf{0}.$$

- ⑤ Therefore  $p_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{A}) = \mathbf{P} p_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{J}) \mathbf{P}^{-1} = \mathbf{0}$ .



## Alternative Proof (via Adjugate Matrix)

Key Idea.

For any matrix  $\mathbf{M}$ :

$$\mathbf{M} \operatorname{adj}(\mathbf{M}) = \det(\mathbf{M}) \mathbf{I}.$$

Let  $\mathbf{M} = \lambda \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A}$ . Then

$$(\lambda \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A}) \operatorname{adj}(\lambda \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A}) = p_{\mathbf{A}}(\lambda) \mathbf{I}. \quad (*)$$

Because  $\operatorname{adj}(\lambda \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A})$  is a polynomial in  $\lambda$ , we can safely substitute  $\lambda \mapsto \mathbf{A}$  in  $(*)$ :

$$(\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{A}) \operatorname{adj}(\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{A}) = p_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{A}) \mathbf{I}.$$

Hence  $p_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{A}) = \mathbf{0}$ .



## Corollary of C–H Theorem

### Corollary

For every  $p \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ , we have

$$\mathbf{A}^p \in \text{span}\{\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{A}^2, \dots, \mathbf{A}^{n-1}\}.$$

(and if  $\mathbf{A}$  is invertible, also for  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ )

i.e., every power of  $\mathbf{A}$  can be expressed as a linear combination of  $\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{A}, \dots, \mathbf{A}^{n-1}$ .

**Implication:** The C–H theorem reduces all higher powers of  $\mathbf{A}$  to a finite basis  $\{\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{A}^2, \dots, \mathbf{A}^{n-1}\}$ . This enables compact expressions for  $e^{t\mathbf{A}}$ , simplifies analysis, and underlies controller and observer design in linear dynamical systems.

## Proof of the Corollary

By Cayley–Hamilton, we have

$$\mathbf{A}^n = -c_{n-1}\mathbf{A}^{n-1} - \cdots - c_1\mathbf{A} - c_0\mathbf{I} \quad (*)$$

- Multiply both sides of  $(*)$  by  $\mathbf{A}^{k-n}$  gives:

$$\boxed{\mathbf{A}^k = -c_{n-1}\mathbf{A}^{k-1} - c_{n-2}\mathbf{A}^{k-2} - \cdots - c_1\mathbf{A}^{k-n+1} - c_0\mathbf{A}^{k-n}, \quad k > n.}$$

Thus, every  $\mathbf{A}^k$  with  $k > n$  can be written as a linear combination of  $\{\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{A}, \dots, \mathbf{A}^{n-1}\}$ , where the linear combination coefficients can be found recursively from the characteristic polynomial coefficients.

- Multiply both sides of  $(*)$  by  $\mathbf{A}^{-1}$  and rearrange, we get

$$\boxed{\mathbf{A}^{-1} = -\frac{1}{c_0} (\mathbf{A}^{n-1} + c_{n-1}\mathbf{A}^{n-2} + \cdots + c_2\mathbf{A} + c_1\mathbf{I}).}$$

Note that  $c_0 \neq 0$  because the characteristic polynomial has no zero root.

## Using C–H to Simplify Powers of a Matrix

As an example, for  $\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$ , the theorem gives  $\mathbf{A}^2 = 5\mathbf{A} + 2\mathbf{I}_2$ .

To compute  $\mathbf{A}^4$ , observe:

$$\mathbf{A}^3 = (5\mathbf{A} + 2\mathbf{I}_2)\mathbf{A} = 5\mathbf{A}^2 + 2\mathbf{A} = 5(5\mathbf{A} + 2\mathbf{I}_2) + 2\mathbf{A} = 27\mathbf{A} + 10\mathbf{I}_2,$$

$$\mathbf{A}^4 = \mathbf{A}^3\mathbf{A} = 27\mathbf{A}^2 + 10\mathbf{A} = 27(5\mathbf{A} + 2\mathbf{I}_2) + 10\mathbf{A} = 145\mathbf{A} + 54\mathbf{I}_2.$$

Likewise,

$$\mathbf{A}^{-1} = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{A} - 5\mathbf{I}_2),$$

$$\mathbf{A}^{-2} = \mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{A}^{-1} = \frac{1}{4}(\mathbf{A}^2 - 10\mathbf{A} + 25\mathbf{I}_2) = \frac{1}{4}(-5\mathbf{A} + 27\mathbf{I}_2).$$

**Key insight:** any power  $\mathbf{A}^k$  can be written as a polynomial in  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  of degree at most  $n - 1$ . This is a direct application of the C–H theorem.

## Matrix Functions via C–H Theorem

Given an analytic function

$$f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k x^k,$$

and the characteristic polynomial  $p(x)$  of degree  $n$  of an  $n \times n$  matrix  $\mathbf{A}$ , long division gives

$$f(x) = q(x)p(x) + r(x),$$

where  $q(x)$  is some quotient polynomial and the remainder polynomial

$$r(x) = c_0 + c_1 x + \cdots + c_{n-1} x^{n-1}.$$

By the C–H theorem  $p(\mathbf{A}) = \mathbf{0}$ , so  $f(\mathbf{A}) = r(\mathbf{A})$ .

## Matrix Functions via C–H Theorem

Thus,  $f(\mathbf{A})$  is always expressible as a matrix polynomial

$$f(\mathbf{A}) = c_0 \mathbf{I} + c_1 \mathbf{A} + \cdots + c_{n-1} \mathbf{A}^{n-1}.$$

To determine  $c_k$ , evaluating at the  $n$  eigenvalues  $\lambda_i$  of  $\mathbf{A}$  gives

$$f(\lambda_i) = r(\lambda_i) = c_0 + c_1 \lambda_i + \cdots + c_{n-1} \lambda_i^{n-1}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

This yields a linear system for  $c_0, \dots, c_{n-1}$ .

## Matrix Functions with Repeated Eigenvalues

When eigenvalues repeat (e.g.  $\lambda_i = \lambda_j$ ), the linear equations for  $c_k$  are no longer unique.

For an eigenvalue  $\lambda$  with multiplicity  $m$ , the first  $m - 1$  derivatives of  $f$  and  $r$  must match:

$$\left. \frac{d^k f(x)}{dx^k} \right|_{x=\lambda} = \left. \frac{d^k r(x)}{dx^k} \right|_{x=\lambda}, \quad k = 1, \dots, m-1.$$

These derivative conditions, together with  $f(\lambda) = r(\lambda)$ , provide the full set of  $n$  equations for determining  $c_0, \dots, c_{n-1}$ .

*Interpretation:* finding  $r(x)$  is an interpolation problem at points  $(\lambda_i, f(\lambda_i))$ , solvable using Lagrange or Newton interpolation, leading to Sylvester's formula.

## Example: Polynomial Form of $e^{\mathbf{A}t}$

Let

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad p(x) = (x - 1)(x - 3) = x^2 - 4x + 3.$$

Let  $r(x) = c_0 + c_1x$ . At the eigenvalues  $\lambda = 1, 3$ :

$$e^t = c_0 + c_1, \quad e^{3t} = c_0 + 3c_1.$$

Solving:

$$c_0 = \frac{1}{2}(3e^t - e^{3t}), \quad c_1 = \frac{1}{2}(e^{3t} - e^t).$$

Thus

$$e^{\mathbf{A}t} = c_0 \mathbf{I}_2 + c_1 \mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} e^t & e^{3t} - e^t \\ 0 & e^{3t} \end{pmatrix}.$$

## Example: $e^{\mathbf{A}t}$ for a Skew-Symmetric Matrix

Let

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad p(x) = x^2 + 1, \quad \lambda = \pm j.$$

Evaluate  $r(x) = c_0 + c_1x$  at  $\lambda = j, -j$ :

$$e^{jt} = c_0 + ic_1, \quad e^{-jt} = c_0 - ic_1 \implies c_0 = \cos t, \quad c_1 = \sin t.$$

Thus

$$e^{\mathbf{A}t} = (\cos t)\mathbf{I}_2 + (\sin t)\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos t & \sin t \\ -\sin t & \cos t \end{pmatrix},$$

which is a rotation matrix: rotated clockwise with angle  $t$ .

## Example: Polynomial Form of $\sin(\mathbf{A}t)$

Using the same  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $r(x) = c_0 + c_1x$ , evaluate:

$$\sin t = c_0 + c_1, \quad \sin 3t = c_0 + 3c_1.$$

Solving:

$$c_0 = \frac{3\sin t - \sin 3t}{2}, \quad c_1 = \frac{\sin 3t - \sin t}{2}.$$

Thus

$$\sin(\mathbf{A}t) = c_0 \mathbf{I}_2 + c_1 \mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} \sin t & \sin 3t - \sin t \\ 0 & \sin 3t \end{pmatrix}.$$

# Computing the Matrix Function $\sin(\mathbf{A}t)$

Compute  $\sin(\mathbf{A}t)$  for a square matrix  $\mathbf{A}$ .

- 1. Eigen-decomposition (if diagonalizable)

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{V}\Lambda\mathbf{V}^{-1}, \quad \sin(\mathbf{A}t) = \mathbf{V} \sin(\Lambda t) \mathbf{V}^{-1}.$$

Diagonal:  $\sin(\Lambda t) = \text{diag}(\sin(\lambda_i t))$ .

- 2. Jordan form (general theory)

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{V}\mathbf{J}\mathbf{V}^{-1}, \quad \sin(\mathbf{A}t) = \mathbf{V} \sin(\mathbf{J}t) \mathbf{V}^{-1}.$$

Each Jordan block uses derivatives of  $\sin(\lambda t)$  times powers of  $t\mathbf{N}$ .

- 3. Exponential identity (always valid)

$$\sin(\mathbf{A}t) = \frac{e^{j\mathbf{A}t} - e^{-j\mathbf{A}t}}{2j}.$$

Compute two matrix exponentials and combine.

## Caveat: Jordan Form is Ill-Conditioned (1/2)

Example:

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \text{Jordan block for } \lambda = 1.$$

- $\mathbf{A}$  is defective (only one eigenvector). Its Jordan form is exact but **numerically fragile**.

Now perturb by a tiny  $\varepsilon$ :

$$\mathbf{A}_\varepsilon = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 + \varepsilon \end{bmatrix}.$$

- Eigenvalues:  $1$  and  $1 + \varepsilon$  (now distinct)  $\Rightarrow$  diagonalizable.
- Eigenvectors:

$$\mathbf{v}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{v}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \varepsilon \end{bmatrix}.$$

## Caveat: Jordan Form is III-Conditioned (2/2)

- Eigenvector matrix:

$$\mathbf{P}_\varepsilon = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & \varepsilon \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{A}_\varepsilon = \mathbf{P}_\varepsilon \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 + \varepsilon \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{P}_\varepsilon^{-1}.$$

- Condition number:

$$\kappa(\mathbf{P}_\varepsilon) \sim \frac{1}{|\varepsilon|} \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

*Interpretation:*

- Tiny perturbations in  $\mathbf{A}$  lead to huge changes in its eigenbasis.
- The Jordan structure is **structurally unstable**, which is never used numerically.
- Instead, use the **Schur form** (unitary, well-conditioned).

## JCF Summary

- Diagonalization fails when  $AM > GM$ .
- Jordan form provides a canonical structure for every square matrix.
- Diagonalizable case: all Jordan blocks are size 1.
- Defective case: at least one Jordan block of size  $\geq 2$ .
- JCF characterizes nondiagonalizable structure and yields closed forms for  $e^{tA}$  and  $A^k$ .
- Stability depends on eigenvalues; Jordan blocks add polynomial factors.

## Matrix Factorizations: Summary and Applications (1/2)

- **QR / RRQR:** Core for least-squares, rank estimation, and feature/column selection.

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{QR} \quad \text{or} \quad \mathbf{AP} = \mathbf{QR} \text{ (rank-revealing)}$$

- **LU / Cholesky:** Essential for solving linear systems.

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{LU}, \quad \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{LL}^T \text{ (for SPD matrices)}$$

Fast and numerically efficient in simulation and optimization.

- **SVD:** Fundamental for energy, norm, and subspace analysis.

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{U}\Sigma\mathbf{V}^H, \quad \mathbf{U}, \mathbf{V} \text{ unitary, } \Sigma \text{ diagonal with singular values.}$$

Applications: PCA, low-rank approximation, noise filtering.

## Matrix Factorizations: Summary and Applications (2/2)

- **Eigendecomposition (for diagonalizable A):**

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{V}\Lambda\mathbf{V}^{-1}, \quad \Lambda \text{ diagonal (eigenvalues)}$$

Used in modal analysis, system stability, and diagonalization.

- **Jordan Form:** Theoretical and structural analysis; reveals eigenvalue multiplicity, generalized eigenvectors, and qualitative dynamics. Avoided in numerical computation due to ill-conditioning.

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{V}\mathbf{J}\mathbf{V}^{-1}$$

- **Schur Form:** Numerically stable eigen-analysis; always exists for any square matrix.

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{Q}^H, \quad \mathbf{Q} \text{ unitary (orthonormal basis)}, \quad \mathbf{T} \text{ upper triangular}$$