


# Contracts for free!

aka Nix runtime types as library.

<https://functional.cafe/@yvan>

## The tale of an old issue<sup>1</sup>...


 **NixOS** / **nix** Public

Sponsor Watch 113

[Code](#) [Issues 1.7k](#) [Pull requests 300](#) [Actions](#) [Projects](#) [Security](#) [Insights](#)

### Static type system #14

Closed edolstra opened this issue on May 7, 2012 · 15 comments

 **edolstra** commented on May 7, 2012 Member ...

Nix won't be complete until it has static typing.

😊 👍 91 👎 3

<sup>1</sup><https://github.com/NixOS/nix/issues/14>

## Does Nix *really* lack of a type system?

Without a type system, we got really inconsistent errors: it fails at the last moment often far from where the mistake were actually made, and reading stack trace is often helpless ...

## Nix is designed as a dumb simple language ...

So, Nix invite us to build constructs in library-space!

Looking through the glass of `nixpkgs.lib.types` ... package are functions, types are functions everything is a function<sup>2</sup>.

---

<sup>2</sup>In fact, it's functors!

## Is it so bad to have type validator functions?

Nix expression evaluation (with `nix-instantiate`) is guaranteed by design to terminate.

As unfair comparison: C++ template resolution could loop infinitely ...

## yants and contracts

I wrote `contracts`<sup>3</sup> (100 LoC) this summer while have quite no internet connection and realize only later that `yants`<sup>4</sup> (by @tazjin) already exist.

Fun fact, there are really similar! At the point, I wrote just before this talk a `contract.yants` compatibility set.

---

<sup>3</sup><https://github.com/yvan-sraka/contracts>

<sup>4</sup><https://code.tvl.fyi/about/nix/yants>

## Example of a simple contract

```
let Login = declare { name = "Login"; }  
                { user = Email; password = Hash; };  
  
users = contract { name = "valid users.json format"; }  
                (listOf Login) # defined just before!  
                (builtins.fromJSON  
                  (builtins.readFile ./users.json));
```

# Implementations differences

- Some usability differences: `yants` have `struct` and `enum` keywords while `contracts` have a `def` one that help user define composable requirement as data.
- `yants` fail on not required attribute set fields while `contracts` allow them!
- `contracts` does not rely on `nixpkgs` (`yants` does).
- You can reuse types defined `nixpkgs.lib.type` in `contracts` and use `contracts` types as NixOS options.



## Recoverable errors!

```
nix-repl> json = "{}" # e.g. of a bad users.json file!  
nix-repl> users = map (x: x.user) (builtins.fromJSON json)  
nix-repl> builtins.tryEval users
```

This code will fail with this error (which is unrecoverable) ...

```
error: value is a set while a list was expected  
contracts and yants solve that :)
```

# Conclusion

You should use runtime type constructs! That one sane way to save yourself while debugging expressions (rather than just rely on `builtins.trace builtins.deepSeq`). You can opt in really progressively and opt-out in a snap.

Does it really solve the problem? It's incomplete ... but there are alternatives, e.g. starting a new thing from scratch: other configuration languages with typing cue<sup>5</sup>, dahl<sup>6</sup>, nickel<sup>7</sup>, that generates JSON and already lives in `nixpkgs`.

I personally have a lot of affection for `purenix`<sup>8</sup> that outputs nix code.

---

<sup>5</sup><https://cuelang.org/>

<sup>6</sup><https://dhall-lang.org/>

<sup>7</sup><https://nickel-lang.org/>

<sup>8</sup><https://github.com/purenix-org/purenix>

Q/A