

1. Addressing Concerns About Time Investment

Question:

A cloud data analyst is asked to explain to the board of directors why the organization should adopt a dashboards as code approach. The board of directors is concerned about how time-consuming it can be to build entire dashboards using code. To address these concerns, which of the following points should the cloud data analyst highlight?

• X The time to build a dashboard is relative and will depend on the complexity of the dashboard.

This is true but doesn't directly address the concern.

• X Dashboards following the dashboards as code approach are optimized for public distribution.

This is not a key benefit of the approach.

- Vanishboards following the dashboards as code approach are reusable.
 - Reusability saves time in the long run and supports scalability.
- X The time to build a dashboard decreases as the team gains experience. While true, it's not the strongest argument to reassure stakeholders.

Feedback:

Highlighting **reusability** shows how the initial time investment pays off by enabling faster development and consistency across future dashboards.

2. Making Changes to a Live Dashboard

Question:

A cloud data analyst is asked to revise a live dashboard that was built using the dashboards as code approach. How should they make the changes?

- Reuse the code and create a new dashboard using code as the approach.

 Not necessary to create a new dashboard—just update the existing one.
- X Update the live dashboard in the visualization tool's user interface. *This bypasses the code-based workflow and version control.*
- Update the underlying code in a developer environment.

 This is the correct and safe way to make changes in the dashboards-as-code approach.
- Reuse the visualization and create a new one using the visualization tool's user interface.

Again, this doesn't follow the dashboards-as-code methodology.

Feedback:

Changes should be made in the **developer environment** to allow for **testing**, **review**, **and version control** before going live.

3. Comparing with Visualization Tools

Question:

A data team is evaluating the adoption of a dashboards as code approach. They compare using a dashboards as code approach with using a visualization tool's user interface. Which of the following is a disadvantage of using a visualization tool's user interface?

- **X** Changes are difficult to make for non-technical users. *Actually, UIs are designed to be user-friendly.*
- X Dashboards take a long time to develop. *UI-based dashboards are typically faster to build.*
- Changes are made directly over the dashboard live version.

 This is a major drawback—errors go live immediately and are hard to roll back.
- X Dashboards need to be created using predefined templates.

 This may be true for some tools, but it's not the main disadvantage.

Feedback:

With UI-based dashboards, **changes go live immediately**, which increases the risk of errors and makes it harder to maintain quality and consistency.