

1.

I will use S for Smoke; F for Fire; H for Heat

$$\begin{aligned}
 (a) (S \Rightarrow F) \Rightarrow (\neg S \Rightarrow \neg F) &= (\neg S \vee F) \Rightarrow (S \vee \neg F) \\
 &= [\neg(\neg S \vee F)] \vee (S \vee \neg F) \\
 &= (S \wedge \neg F) \vee S \vee \neg F
 \end{aligned}$$

S	F	result
T	T	T
T	F	T
F	T	F
F	F	T

Since the results are not all Ts neither all Fs, it is neither valid nor unsatisfiable.

$$\begin{aligned}
 (b) (S \Rightarrow F) \Rightarrow ((S \vee H) \Rightarrow F) &= (\neg S \vee F) \Rightarrow [\neg(S \vee H) \vee F] \\
 &= (\neg S \vee F) \Rightarrow [(\neg S \wedge \neg H) \vee F] \\
 &= [\neg(\neg S \vee F)] \vee [(\neg S \wedge \neg H) \vee F] \\
 &= (S \wedge \neg F) \vee (\neg S \wedge \neg H) \vee F
 \end{aligned}$$

S	F	H	Result
T	T	T	T
T	T	F	T
T	F	T	T
T	F	F	T
F	T	T	T
F	T	F	T
F	F	T	F
F	F	F	T

Since the results are not all Ts neither all Fs, it is neither valid nor unsatisfiable.

$$(1) ((S \wedge H) \Rightarrow F) \Leftrightarrow ((S \Rightarrow F) \vee (H \Rightarrow F))$$

$$\textcircled{1} ((S \wedge H) \Rightarrow F) \Rightarrow ((S \Rightarrow F) \vee (H \Rightarrow F))$$

$$= [\neg(S \wedge H) \vee F] \Rightarrow (\neg S \vee F \vee \neg H)$$

$$= (\neg S \vee \neg H \vee F) \Rightarrow (\neg S \vee F \vee \neg H)$$

$$= [\neg(\neg S \vee \neg H \vee F)] \vee (\neg S \vee F \vee \neg H)$$

$$= (S \wedge H \wedge \neg F) \vee \neg S \vee F \vee \neg H$$

$$\textcircled{2} ((S \Rightarrow F) \vee (H \Rightarrow F)) \Rightarrow ((S \wedge H) \Rightarrow F)$$

$$= (\neg S \vee F \vee \neg H) \Rightarrow (\neg S \vee \neg H \vee F)$$

$$= [\neg(\neg S \vee F \vee \neg H)] \vee \neg S \vee \neg H \vee F$$

$$= (S \wedge \neg F \wedge H) \vee \neg S \vee \neg H \vee F$$

Therefore, $\left[(S \wedge H \wedge \neg F) \vee \neg S \vee F \vee \neg H \right] \wedge \left[(S \wedge \neg F \wedge H) \vee \neg S \vee \neg H \vee F \right]$

$\begin{matrix} S \\ \swarrow \\ T \\ F \end{matrix} \quad \begin{matrix} H \\ \swarrow \\ T \\ F \end{matrix} \quad \begin{matrix} \neg F \\ \searrow \\ T \\ F \end{matrix} \quad \text{Result}$

$$T \quad T \quad T \quad T$$

$$T \quad T \quad F \quad T$$

$$T \quad F \quad T \quad T$$

$$T \quad F \quad F \quad T$$

$$F \quad T \quad T \quad T$$

$$F \quad T \quad F \quad T$$

$$F \quad F \quad T \quad T$$

$$F \quad F \quad F \quad T$$

Since the results are all Ts, this sentence is valid.

2.

I will use V for univorn; ML for mythivorn; MT for mortal; MM for mammal; H for horned; Mh for magical

- (a) KB: $ML \Rightarrow \neg MT$ ①
 $\neg ML \Rightarrow MT \wedge MM$ ②
 $\neg MT \vee MM \Rightarrow H$ ③
 $H \Rightarrow Mh$ ④

(b) ①: $\neg ML \vee \neg MT$

②: $ML \vee (MT \wedge MM) = (ML \vee MT) \wedge (ML \vee MM)$

③ $\neg(\neg MT \vee MM) \vee H = (MT \wedge \neg MM) \vee H$
 $= (MT \vee H) \wedge (\neg MM \vee H)$

④ $\neg H \vee Mh$

So, the CNF is $(\neg ML \vee \neg MT) \wedge (ML \vee MT) \wedge (ML \vee MM) \wedge$
 $(MT \vee H) \wedge (\neg MM \vee H) \wedge (\neg H \vee Mh)$

- (c) $\neg ML \vee \neg MT$ ①
 $ML \vee MT$ ②
 $ML \vee MM$ ③
 $MT \vee H$ ④
 $\neg MM \vee H$ ⑤
 $\neg H \vee Mh$ ⑥

(i) Since we want to test $KB \models^* ML$, we will test whether
 $KB \wedge \neg ML$ is inconsistent with resolution.

- $\neg ML$ ⑦
- ① and ⑦: MT ⑧
② and ⑦: MM ⑨
⑤ and ⑦: H ⑩
⑥ and ⑦: Mh ⑪

After trying resolutions, we can show exhaustively that $\text{KB} \wedge \neg M\bar{h}$ is not inconsistent. Therefore, it is impossible to derive that the unicorn is mythical.

(ii) Since we want to test $\text{KB} \models M\bar{h}$, we will test whether $\text{KB} \wedge \neg M\bar{h}$ is inconsistent with resolution.

$\neg M\bar{h}$	$\textcircled{2}$
$\textcircled{2}$ and $\textcircled{3}$: $\neg H$	$\textcircled{3}$
$\textcircled{4}$ and $\textcircled{5}$: $\neg MM$	$\textcircled{4}$
$\textcircled{4}$ and $\textcircled{6}$: $M\bar{v}$	$\textcircled{5}$
$\textcircled{3}$ and $\textcircled{7}$: $M\bar{J}$	$\textcircled{6}$
$\textcircled{6}$ and $\textcircled{8}$: $\neg M\bar{v}$	$\textcircled{7}$
$\textcircled{5}$ and $\textcircled{9}$: $\exists y$	

After resolution, we find that $\text{KB} \wedge \neg M\bar{h}$ is inconsistent. Therefore, we can conclude that $\text{KB} \models M\bar{h}$.

(iii) Since we want to test $\text{KB} \models H$, we will test whether $\text{KB} \wedge \neg H$ is inconsistent with resolution.

$\neg H$	$\textcircled{8}$
$\textcircled{8}$ and $\textcircled{9}$: $M\bar{J}$	$\textcircled{9}$
$\textcircled{10}$ and $\textcircled{5}$: $\neg MM$	$\textcircled{10}$
$\textcircled{10}$ and $\textcircled{3}$: $M\bar{v}$	$\textcircled{11}$
$\textcircled{9}$ and $\textcircled{6}$: $\neg M\bar{v}$	$\textcircled{12}$
$\textcircled{5}$ and $\textcircled{11}$: $\exists y$	

After resolution, we find that $\text{KB} \wedge \neg H$ is inconsistent. Therefore, we can conclude that $\text{KB} \models H$.

3. Based on this paragraph, we can have a Bayesian Network.

T	F
0.5	0.5

oil

T	F
0.2	0.8

has

Test

oil	gas	Test
T	T	X
T	F	0.9
F	T	0.3
F	F	0.1

← since they can't be occurred at the same time

$$\begin{aligned}
 P(\text{Oil} | \text{Test} = \text{Positive}) &= \frac{P(\text{Test} = \text{Positive} | \text{Oil}) * P(\text{Oil})}{P(\text{Test} = \text{Positive})} \\
 &= \frac{0.9 * 0.8 * 0.5}{0.9 * 0.5 * 0.8 + 0.3 * 0.5 * 0.2 + 0.1 * 0.5 * 0.8} \\
 &= \frac{0.36}{0.36 + 0.03 + 0.04} \\
 &= 0.8372
 \end{aligned}$$

The probability that oil is present when test is positive is 83.72%.

I have discussed this assignment with Chang Xie.
But we work by ourselves.