# This is the k-nearest neighbors workbook for ECE C147/C247 Assignment #2

Please follow the notebook linearly to implement k-nearest neighbors.

Please print out the workbook entirely when completed.

The goal of this workbook is to give you experience with the data, training and evaluating a simple classifier, k-fold cross validation, and as a Python refresher.

## Import the appropriate libraries

```
In [21]:
```

```
import numpy as np # for doing most of our calculations
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt# for plotting
from utils.data_utils import load_CIFAR10 # function to load the CIFAR-10 dataset.

# Load matplotlib images inline
%matplotlib inline

# These are important for reloading any code you write in external .py files.
# see http://stackoverflow.com/questions/1907993/autoreload-of-modules-in-ipython
%load_ext autoreload
%autoreload 2
```

The autoreload extension is already loaded. To reload it, use: %reload ext autoreload

```
In [22]:
```

```
# Set the path to the CIFAR-10 data
cifar10_dir = '/Users/wangyuchen/desktop/COM SCI 247/HW/HW2/hw2_Questions/code/cifar
X_train, y_train, X_test, y_test = load_CIFAR10(cifar10_dir)

# As a sanity check, we print out the size of the training and test data.
print('Training data shape: ', X_train.shape)
print('Training labels shape: ', y_train.shape)
print('Test data shape: ', X_test.shape)
print('Test labels shape: ', y_test.shape)
```

```
Training data shape: (50000, 32, 32, 3)
Training labels shape: (50000,)
Test data shape: (10000, 32, 32, 3)
Test labels shape: (10000,)
```

#### In [23]:

```
# Visualize some examples from the dataset.
# We show a few examples of training images from each class.
classes = ['plane', 'car', 'bird', 'cat', 'deer', 'dog', 'frog', 'horse', 'ship', 't
num classes = len(classes)
samples per class = 7
for y, cls in enumerate(classes):
    idxs = np.flatnonzero(y train == y)
    idxs = np.random.choice(idxs, samples_per_class, replace=False)
    for i, idx in enumerate(idxs):
        plt idx = i * num classes + y + 1
        plt.subplot(samples_per_class, num_classes, plt_idx)
        plt.imshow(X train[idx].astype('uint8'))
        plt.axis('off')
        if i == 0:
            plt.title(cls)
plt.show()
```



#### In [24]:

```
# Subsample the data for more efficient code execution in this exercise
num_training = 5000
mask = list(range(num_training))
X_train = X_train[mask]
y_train = y_train[mask]

num_test = 500
mask = list(range(num_test))
X_test = X_test[mask]
y_test = y_test[mask]

# Reshape the image data into rows
X_train = np.reshape(X_train, (X_train.shape[0], -1))
X_test = np.reshape(X_test, (X_test.shape[0], -1))
print(X_train.shape, X_test.shape)
```

(5000, 3072) (500, 3072)

# K-nearest neighbors

In the following cells, you will build a KNN classifier and choose hyperparameters via k-fold cross-validation.

```
In [54]:
```

```
# Import the KNN class
from nndl import KNN
```

```
In [55]:
```

```
# Declare an instance of the knn class.
knn = KNN()

# Train the classifier.
# We have implemented the training of the KNN classifier.
# Look at the train function in the KNN class to see what this does.
knn.train(X=X_train, y=y_train)
```

## **Questions**

- (1) Describe what is going on in the function knn.train().
- (2) What are the pros and cons of this training step?

#### **Answers**

- (1) This function reads in and stores the whole training dataset.
- (2) Pros: This is very simple to understand and implement. Also, it is fast. Cons: This is memory-intensive since we have to memorize all pictures in this dataset.

## **KNN** prediction

In the following sections, you will implement the functions to calculate the distances of test points to training points, and from this information, predict the class of the KNN.

```
In [56]:
```

```
# Implement the function compute_distances() in the KNN class.
# Do not worry about the input 'norm' for now; use the default definition of the nor
# in the code, which is the 2-norm.
# You should only have to fill out the clearly marked sections.

import time
time_start =time.time()

dists_L2 = knn.compute_distances(X=X_test)

print('Time to run code: {}'.format(time.time()-time_start))
print('Frobenius norm of L2 distances: {}'.format(np.linalg.norm(dists_L2, 'fro')))
Time to run code: 22.409882068634033
```

Time to run code: 22.409882068634033 Frobenius norm of L2 distances: 7906696.077040902

#### Really slow code

Note: This probably took a while. This is because we use two for loops. We could increase the speed via vectorization, removing the for loops.

If you implemented this correctly, evaluating np.linalg.norm(dists\_L2, 'fro') should return: ~7906696

#### **KNN** vectorization

The above code took far too long to run. If we wanted to optimize hyperparameters, it would be time-expensive. Thus, we will speed up the code by vectorizing it, removing the for loops.

```
In [57]:
```

```
# Implement the function compute_L2_distances_vectorized() in the KNN class.
# In this function, you ought to achieve the same L2 distance but WITHOUT any for lo
# Note, this is SPECIFIC for the L2 norm.

time_start =time.time()
dists_L2_vectorized = knn.compute_L2_distances_vectorized(X=X_test)
print('Time to run code: {}'.format(time.time()-time_start))
print('Difference in L2 distances between your KNN implementations (should be 0): {}
Time to run code: 0.31713294982910156
Difference in L2 distances between your KNN implementations (should be 0): 0.0
```

#### Speedup

Depending on your computer speed, you should see a 10-100x speed up from vectorization. On our computer, the vectorized form took 0.36 seconds while the naive implementation took 38.3 seconds.

#### Implementing the prediction

Now that we have functions to calculate the distances from a test point to given training points, we now implement the function that will predict the test point labels.

In [59]:

```
# Implement the function predict labels in the KNN class.
# Calculate the training error (num incorrect / total samples)
  from running knn.predict labels with k=1
error = 1
# ----- #
# YOUR CODE HERE:
  Calculate the error rate by calling predict labels on the test
  data with k = 1. Store the error rate in the variable error.
predicatey = knn.predict labels(dists L2 vectorized, 1)
error = np.count nonzero(predicatey != y test) / len(y test) #find the number of
                                          #predicated y that
                                          #is different
                                          #from real y
# END YOUR CODE HERE
# ------ #
print(error)
```

0.726

If you implemented this correctly, the error should be: 0.726.

This means that the k-nearest neighbors classifier is right 27.4% of the time, which is not great, considering that chance levels are 10%.

# **Optimizing KNN hyperparameters**

In this section, we'll take the KNN classifier that you have constructed and perform cross-validation to choose a best value of k, as well as a best choice of norm.

## Create training and validation folds

First, we will create the training and validation folds for use in k-fold cross validation.

```
In [44]:
```


```
# Create the dataset folds for cross-valdiation.
num folds = 5
X train folds = []
y train folds = []
# YOUR CODE HERE:
  Split the training data into num folds (i.e., 5) folds.
#
  X train folds is a list, where X train folds[i] contains the
#
    data points in fold i.
#
  y train folds is also a list, where y train folds[i] contains
#
    the corresponding labels for the data in X_train_folds[i]
# ----- #
X train folds = np.array split(X train, num folds)
Y_train_folds = np.array_split(y_train, num_folds)
# ----- #
# END YOUR CODE HERE
# ----- #
```

#### Optimizing the number of nearest neighbors hyperparameter.

In this section, we select different numbers of nearest neighbors and assess which one has the lowest k-fold cross validation error.

```
In [47]:
```

```
time start =time.time()
ks = [1, 2, 3, 5, 7, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30]
# ------ #
# YOUR CODE HERE:
#
   Calculate the cross-validation error for each k in ks, testing
#
   the trained model on each of the 5 folds. Average these errors
#
   together and make a plot of k vs. cross-validation error. Since
   we are assuming L2 distance here, please use the vectorized code!
   Otherwise, you might be waiting a long time.
crossv error = []
for k in ks: #for each k
   curerror = 0
   for i in range (0, num folds):
      xtraink = []
      ytraink = []
      xtraink = np.concatenate(X_train_folds[:i] + X_train_folds[i+1:]) #training
      ytraink = np.concatenate(Y_train_folds[:i] + Y_train_folds[i+1:]) #training
      knn.train(X=xtraink, y=ytraink) #train the model based on the training fold
      distsL2 vectorized = knn.compute L2 distances vectorized(X=np.array(X train
      predy = knn.predict_labels(distsL2_vectorized, k)
      curerror += np.count nonzero(predy != Y train folds[i]) / predy.shape[0]
      aveerror = curerror / num folds #average the error
   print(k, ":", aveerror)
   crossv error.append(aveerror)
plt.plot(ks, crossv_error) #plot of k vs. cross-validation error
plt.show()
# END YOUR CODE HERE
print('Computation time: %.2f'%(time.time()-time start))
1: 0.7344
2: 0.7626000000000002
3: 0.750400000000001
5: 0.726799999999999
7: 0.7256
```



Computation time: 26.12

#### **Questions:**

(1) What value of k is best amongst the tested k's?

(2) What is the cross-validation error for this value of k?

#### **Answers:**

(1) 10 is the value of k which is best amongst the tested k's.

(2) The cross-validation error for k = 10 is 0.7198


## **Optimizing the norm**

Next, we test three different norms (the 1, 2, and infinity norms) and see which distance metric results in the best cross-validation performance.

```
In [53]:
```

```
time start =time.time()
L1 norm = lambda x: np.linalg.norm(x, ord=1)
L2 norm = lambda x: np.linalg.norm(x, ord=2)
Linf norm = lambda x: np.linalq.norm(x, ord= np.inf)
norms = [L1 norm, L2 norm, Linf norm]
# YOUR CODE HERE:
   Calculate the cross-validation error for each norm in norms, testing
   the trained model on each of the 5 folds. Average these errors
   together and make a plot of the norm used vs the cross-validation error
#
#
   Use the best cross-validation k from the previous part.
#
#
   Feel free to use the compute distances function. We're testing just
#
   three norms, but be advised that this could still take some time.
#
   You're welcome to write a vectorized form of the L1- and Linf- norms
#
   to speed this up, but it is not necessary.
normerror = []
for n in norms: #for each norm
   curerror = 0
   for i in range (0, num folds):
      xtraink = []
      ytraink = []
      xtraink = np.concatenate(X_train_folds[:i] + X_train_folds[i+1:]) #training
      ytraink = np.concatenate(Y_train_folds[:i] + Y_train_folds[i+1:]) #training
      knn.train(X=xtraink, y=ytraink) #train the model based on the training fold
      distsL2 = knn.compute distances(X=np.array(X train folds[i]), norm = n)
      prednewy = knn.predict_labels(distsL2, 10)
      curerror += np.count nonzero(prednewy != Y train folds[i]) / prednewy.shape[
       aveerror = curerror / num folds #average the error
   print(n, ":", aveerror)
   normerror.append(aveerror)
plt.plot(["L1 norm", "L2 norm", "Linf norm"], normerror) #plot of norms
                                                 #vs. cross-validation error
plt.show()
# END YOUR CODE HERE
print('Computation time: %.2f'%(time.time()-time start))
<function <lambda> at 0x7fc888bfc0d0> : 0.6886000000000001
<function <lambda> at 0x7fc888bfcc10> : 0.7198
```

<function <lambda> at 0x7fc8bc637040> : 0.8370000000000001



Computation time: 445.57

## **Questions:**

- (1) What norm has the best cross-validation error?
- (2) What is the cross-validation error for your given norm and k?

#### **Answers:**

- (1) L1\_norm has the best cross-validation error.
- (2) Under L1\_norm and k = 10, the cross-validation error is 0.688600000000001.

# Evaluating the model on the testing dataset.

Now, given the optimal k and norm you found in earlier parts, evaluate the testing error of the k-nearest neighbors model.

#### In [61]:

Error rate achieved: 0.722

#### **Question:**

How much did your error improve by cross-validation over naively choosing k = 1 and using the L2-norm?

#### **Answer:**

The error was 0.726 under k = 1 and the L2-norm. It has decreased by 0.004 by using L1\_norm and k = 10.