$$P_{pc}(t) = \gamma_{se} P_A t - \frac{1}{2} \gamma_{se} P_A (\gamma_{se} + \Gamma_{pc} + d_{pc}) t^2$$
(1a)

$$P_{tc}(t) = \frac{1}{2} \gamma_{se} P_A d_{tc} t^2 \tag{1b}$$

$$\Gamma_s = \langle \gamma_{se} \rangle (1+X) + \langle \Gamma \rangle - \delta \Gamma \tag{2}$$

The coefficients of pressure broadening for $^3{\rm He}, ^4{\rm He}$ and N₂ are listed in Table ??. The energy levels of $^{87}{\rm Rb}$ are shown in Fig. ??. where Γ_A is the pressure dependent FWHM, $\Gamma_A \approx 0.04 nm/amg \cdot [^3{\rm He}].$

EXP	Cell	Lasers	I_0 W/cm ²	T_{pc}^{set} $^{\circ}C$	P_{He}^{∞}	$\Gamma_{\rm s}^{-1}$ hrs	$\langle \Gamma \rangle^{-1}$ hrs	$\langle P^{ m A} angle$	$P_{ m line}^{ m A}$	$D_{ m fr}$	$D_{ m pb}$	[Rt
saGDH	Proteus	3B	3.8	180	0.46	27	74	_		0	0	10 /
	Priapus	3B	3.8	180	0.44	21	56	_		0	0	
		3B		180	0.39		46			0	0	
	Penelope Powell	3B	3.8			18		-	_			
	Prasch	3B	3.8	180	0.38	13	25 33	-	_	0	0	
	Frascii		3.8		0.33	13		-		0		
GEN	Al	2.5B	3.2	235	0.53(04)	7.86(08)	24.2(9)	-	-	20*	4.53(25)	-
		5B	6.1	235	0.54(04)	6.73(21)	24.2(9)	-	-	20*	4.53(25)	-
	Barbara	2.5B	1.6	235	0.37(03)	5.5(08)	38.8(1.6)	-	-	20*	4.80(25)	-
		5B	3.1	235	0.57(04)	4.76(63)	38.8(1.6)	-	-	20*	4.80(25)	-
	Gloria	3B	1.7	235	0.60(04)	6.13(06)	31.6(1.5)	-	-	20*	7.20(40)	-
	Anna	1B	0.6	235	0.33(02)	5.60(36)	9.50(71)	-	-	20*	9.64(57)	-
		1.5B	1.0	235	0.39(02)	5.37(16)	9.50(66)	-	-	20*	9.50(71)	_
	Dexter	1.5B	1.5	235	0.47(04)	7.58(17)	16.5(7)	-	-	20*	20*	_
		5B	6.1	235	0.49(04)	6.63(13)	16.5(7)	-	-	20*	20*	-
	Edna	3B	2.4	235	0.56(04)	5.71(02)	26.5(1.5)	-	-	5*	3.63(20)	-
	Dolly	3B	1.0	235	0.43(03)	6.16(07)	30.3(1.5)	-	-	20*	20(1.3)	_
		1N1B	1.4	235	0.62(03)	5.79(07)	30.2(1.6)	-	-	20*	20(1.3)	-
	Simone	2N1B	3.8	215	0.32(02)	14.1(1)	20.0(7)	0.90(13)	0.91(05)	10.7(5)	8.89(45)	0.20
		2N1B	3.8	240	0.48(04)	6.89(20)	19.9(8)	-	-	-	9.76(49)	-
		2N1B	3.8	255	0.58(03)	6.05(13)	19.9(8)	0.90(13)	0.92(05)	12.5(8)	10.3(52)	0.90
	Sosa	2N1B	1.9	160	0.57(03)	16.7(09)	57.0(5)	0.91(14)	1.00(03)	0	0	1.97
		2N1B	1.9	170	0.61(03)	11.7(03)	56.8(7)	0.90(12)	0.98(03)	0	0	3.0
		2N1B	1.9	180	0.55(03)	8.79(09)	56.6(9)	0.87(14)	0.97(03)	0	0	4.30
		2N1B	1.9	190	0.40(03)	6.39(22)	56.2(1.2)	0.72(18)	0.82(03)	0	0	5.72(
		2N1B	1.9	200	0.26(01)	5.04(17)	56.1(1.3)	-	-	0	0	_
	Boris	3В	1.8	235	0.42(03)	6.25(06)	21.1(1.2)	0.69(21)	0.79(07)	1.96(18)	2.45(23)	2.19
	Samantha	3В	1.8	235	0.50(03)	6.30(13)	20.9(1.1)	-	-	5*	4.34(23)	-
		3N	2.6	235	0.68(03)	4.62(03)	17.2(1.0)	0.96(04)	0.99(03)	4.37(10)	4.34(23)	1.80
	Alex	2N1B	2.6	235	0.59(03)	4.81(03)	27.2(1.6)	0.92(10)	0.99(03)	1.37(08)	1.19(07)	4.08
Transversity	Moss	1N1B	1.8	235	0.62(03)	5.35(04)	24.6(1.4)	0.93(12)	0.95(09)	5*	2.40(13)	_
	Tigger	1N1B	1.8	235	0.51(03)	4.89(05)	12.2(8)	0.97(11)	0.95(09)	5*	5*	_
	Astral Weeks	2N1B	2.6	235	0.69(03)	6.57(12)	35.6(1.6)	0.99(04)	0.99(03)	7.09(55)	6.21(56)	0.97
	Stephanie	3N	2.6	235	0.71(04)	4.55(09)	37.3(2.0)	0.93(09)	0.99(03)	1.39(11)	1.50(10)	5.08
		1N	0.9	235	0.62(03)	4.8(1.1)	27.1(1.6)	-	0.95(03)	5*	2.36(24)	-
	Brady	2N	1.8	235	0.68(03)	5.52(70)	27.2(1.5)	_	0.99(03)	5*	2.36(24)	-
		3N	2.6	235	$\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 0.70(03) \end{bmatrix}$	5.30(01)	27.2(1.4)	0.93(09)	0.99(03)	2.60(20)	2.36(24)	2.87
	Maureen	3N	2.6	235	0.66(03)	5.42(12)	23.5(1.3)	0.97(11)	0.97(09)	5*	4.42(55)	-
	-				L (/	` /	\ -/		(/		()	




Figure 1: (a) Shown is a spinup of the target Brady. The spinup data has been fit with a 3-parameter and a 5-parameter formalism. (b) The residuals of the two fits. The error for 3-parameter fit is larger because it does not account for diffusion between two chambers.

Bibliography