

1. (a). $P(A|B) = \frac{P(B|A)P(A)}{P(B)}$

where A and B are events and $P(B) \neq 0$

(b). $Z = \frac{\bar{\theta}_a - \bar{\theta}_b}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(\bar{\theta}_a) + \text{Var}(\bar{\theta}_b)}}$

where $\bar{\theta}_a$ is the early interval of chain for parameter θ ,

and $\bar{\theta}_b$ is the late interval. It describes the convergence of the MCMC chain.

(c). FALSE

(d). FALSE

(e). FALSE

2.

(a). Let $Y = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix}$, $\theta = \begin{pmatrix} \theta_1 \\ \theta_2 \end{pmatrix}$

We have $P(Y=y) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \cdot |\Sigma|^{-1} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(y-\theta)^T \Sigma^{-1}(y-\theta)\right)$

For the posterior, we have,

$$P(\theta|Y, \Sigma) \propto P(Y|\theta, \Sigma) \cdot P(\theta)$$

$$\propto \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(Y-\theta)^T \Sigma^{-1}(Y-\theta)\right)$$

Thus $\theta \sim N_2(Y, \Sigma)$

According to cholesky decomposition, we have

$$\Sigma_1 = L L^T \quad \text{where} \quad L = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \rho & \sqrt{1-\rho^2} \end{pmatrix}$$

We can sample $z_1, z_2 \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(0, 1)$ and let $Z = \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{pmatrix}$

$$\theta = \gamma + L Z$$

(b).

$\theta_1 | \theta_2, y_1, y_2, \Sigma_1$ and $\theta_2 | \theta_1, y_1, y_2, \Sigma_1$ are conditional multivariate normal distribution, as we proved in part (a). They are normal distribution.

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Let } \Lambda = \Sigma_1^{-1} = \frac{1}{1-\rho^2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\rho \\ -\rho & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ & * (y - \theta)^T \Sigma_1^{-1} (y - \theta) \\ & = \frac{1}{1-\rho^2} \left[(y_1 - \theta_1)^2 + (y_2 - \theta_2)^2 - 2\rho(y_1 - \theta_1)(y_2 - \theta_2) \right] \\ & = \frac{1}{1-\rho^2} \left[\theta_1^2 - 2(y_1 - y_2\rho)\theta_1 + (y_2 - \theta_2)^2 + y_1^2 - 2\rho(y_1 y_2 - y_1 \theta_2) \right] \end{aligned}$$

We only care about finding the mean and variance ^{to determine} a normal distribution, we have.

$$\sigma_{\theta_1 | \theta_2}^2 = 1 - \rho^2$$

$$\mu_{\theta_1 | \theta_2} = y_1 + \rho(\theta_2 - y_2)$$

Thus we have, $\theta_1 | \theta_2, y_1, y_2, \Sigma_1 \sim N(y_1 + \rho(\theta_2 - y_2), 1 - \rho^2)$

Similarly, $\theta_2 | \theta_1, y_1, y_2, \Sigma_1 \sim N(y_2 + \rho(\theta_1 - y_1), 1 - \rho^2)$

(c).

Part (a) has a bigger effective sample size and

(a) is more likely to provide a better approximation to the posterior.
because samples in (a) are independent.

(a) is Monte Carlo and

3.

(a). ① The data seems to have a bell shape and symmetric about some point, so it's possibly normal distributed.

② Some data are really far away from the high density region, which is very rare in a normal distribution, so normal might not be great here.

(b). This shows that the normal model could not capture well on everything. The data have a feature that the smallest observation is -44. However, none of the synthetic data have, or even close to, this feature. The normal model fails in capturing this feature, but it might be great at capturing the mean of the data.

(c) For s in $1 \dots 20$:

- Start with $\theta^{(0)}$
- ① sample one $\sigma^{2(s)}$ from $\sigma^2 | Y, \theta^{(s-1)} \sim \text{InverseGamma}$
 - ② sample one $\mu^{(s)}$ from $\theta | Y, \sigma^{2(s)} \sim \text{Normal}$
 - ③ sample 66 $\tilde{Y}_i^{(s)}$ from $\tilde{Y} | \theta^{(s)}, \sigma^{2(s)} \sim \text{Normal}(\theta^{(s)}, \sigma^{2(s)})$
 - ④ Compute and save the smallest value in $\tilde{Y}_i^{(s)}$ for i in $1 \dots 66$ as $t_{\min}^{(s)}$
- Plot a histogram among all $t_{\min}^{(s)}$ for s in $1 \dots 20$

4. We know that $\theta_A = \mu + \delta \sim N(\mu_0 + \delta_0, \sigma_0^2 + \tau_0^2)$

$$\theta_B = \mu - \delta \sim N(\mu_0 - \delta_0, \sigma_0^2 + \tau_0^2)$$

and $\text{Cov}(\theta_A, \theta_B) = \text{Cov}(\mu + \delta, \mu - \delta) = \text{Cov}(\mu, \mu) + \text{Cov}(\delta, \mu) - \text{Cov}(\mu, \delta) - \text{Cov}(\delta, \delta) = \sigma_0^2 - \tau_0^2$

let $\sigma_A = \sigma_B = \sqrt{\sigma_0^2 + \tau_0^2}$, $\mu_A = \mu_0 + \delta_0$, $\mu_B = \mu_0 - \delta_0$

$$\rho = \frac{\text{Cov}(A, B)}{\sigma_A \sigma_B} = \frac{\sigma_0^2 - \tau_0^2}{\sigma_0^2 + \tau_0^2}$$

and reparametrize θ_A, θ_B to be
$$\begin{cases} \theta_A = \sigma_A Z_A + \mu_A \\ \theta_B = \sigma_B [\rho Z_A + \sqrt{1-\rho^2} Z_B] + \mu_B \end{cases}$$
 where $Z_A, Z_B \stackrel{\text{iid.}}{\sim} N(0, 1)$

We can easily verify this reparametrization by identifying

$$E[\theta_A] = \mu_A = \mu_0 + \delta_0, \text{Var}[\theta_A] = \sigma_A^2 = \sigma_0^2 + \tau_0^2$$

$$E[\theta_B] = \mu_B = \mu_0 - \delta_0, \text{Var}[\theta_B] = \sigma_B^2 \rho^2 + \sigma_B^2 (1-\rho^2) = \sigma_0^2 + \tau_0^2$$

We know the joint distribution for Z_A, Z_B is $f(Z_A, Z_B) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}(Z_A^2 + Z_B^2)\right]$

Now we perform a multivariate change of variables on this.

We have
$$\begin{cases} Z_A = \frac{\theta_A - \mu_A}{\sigma_A} \\ Z_B = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\rho^2}} \left[\frac{\theta_B - \mu_B}{\sigma_B} - \rho \frac{\theta_A - \mu_A}{\sigma_A} \right] \end{cases}$$

and let
$$|J| = \det \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial Z_A}{\partial \theta_A} & \frac{\partial Z_A}{\partial \theta_B} \\ \frac{\partial Z_B}{\partial \theta_A} & \frac{\partial Z_B}{\partial \theta_B} \end{bmatrix} = \det \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sigma_A} & 0 \\ \frac{-\rho}{\sigma_A \sqrt{1-\rho^2}} & \frac{1}{\sigma_B \sqrt{1-\rho^2}} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sigma_A \sigma_B \sqrt{1-\rho^2}}$$

Then the joint density of θ_A and θ_B is given by,

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(\theta_A, \theta_B) &= f(z_A, z_B) |J| \\
 &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(z_A^2 + z_B^2)\right) \cdot \frac{1}{\sigma_A \sigma_B \sqrt{1-\rho^2}} \\
 &= \frac{1}{2\pi \sigma_A \sigma_B \sqrt{1-\rho^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \left[\left(\frac{\theta_A - \mu_A}{\sigma_A}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{1-\rho^2} \left(\frac{\theta_B - \mu_B}{\sigma_B} - \rho \frac{\theta_A - \mu_A}{\sigma_A} \right)^2 \right] \right) \\
 &= \frac{1}{2\pi \sigma_A \sigma_B \sqrt{1-\rho^2}} \exp\left(\frac{-1}{2(1-\rho^2)} \left[\frac{(\theta_A - \mu_A)^2}{\sigma_A^2} + \frac{(\theta_B - \mu_B)^2}{\sigma_B^2} - 2\rho \frac{\theta_A - \mu_A}{\sigma_A} \frac{\theta_B - \mu_B}{\sigma_B} \right] \right) \\
 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \cdot \frac{1}{\sigma_A \sigma_B \sqrt{1-\rho^2}} \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\theta - \bar{\mu})^T \Sigma^{-1}(\theta - \bar{\mu})\right)
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{\mu} = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_A \\ \mu_B \end{pmatrix}$, $\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_A^2 & \rho \sigma_A \sigma_B \\ \rho \sigma_A \sigma_B & \sigma_B^2 \end{pmatrix}$

~~Thus we have~~ Thus, the induced joint prior on θ_A and θ_B is ~~multivariate~~ bivariate normal:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \theta_A \\ \theta_B \end{bmatrix} \sim N_2 \left(\begin{bmatrix} \mu_0 + \delta_0 \\ \mu_0 - \delta_0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_0^2 + \tau_0^2 & \sigma_0^2 - \tau_0^2 \\ \sigma_0^2 - \tau_0^2 & \sigma_0^2 + \tau_0^2 \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

5. (a). We could have different Poisson models with different parameters
 (per-day email rate)

$$cb). P(S_1, \dots, S_{10} | \theta) = \prod_{i=1}^{10} \frac{\exp(-\theta) \theta^{S_i}}{S_i!} \propto \theta^{\sum S_i} \exp(-10\theta), \quad S_i | \theta \sim \text{Poisson}(\theta).$$

$$P(P_1, \dots, P_0 | \theta) = \prod_{i=1}^{20} \frac{\exp(-10\theta) (10\theta)^{P_i}}{P_i!} \propto \theta^{\sum P_i} \exp(-200\theta), \quad P_i | \theta \sim \text{Poisson}(10\theta)$$

$$cc). P(\theta | S, P) \propto P(S, P | \theta) \cdot P(\theta) \\ = P(S | \theta) P(P | \theta) P(\theta) \\ \propto \theta^{c_1} \exp(-c_2 \theta) \cdot P(\theta).$$

Thus $P(\theta)$ should at least have θ^{c_1} and $\exp(-c_2 \theta)$, and a gamma prior with parameters α, β could satisfy this. $\theta \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha, \beta)$

$$cd). \text{mean}(5, 7, 9) = 7 \quad \text{Var}(5, 7, 9) = \frac{8}{3}$$

If in the literature we find that these three studies have similar sample sizes, and we want to have a relatively weak prior, we can set

$$\text{prior mean } \frac{\alpha}{\beta} = 7 \text{ and prior variance } \frac{\alpha}{\beta^2} = \frac{8}{3}. \text{ This gives us } \begin{cases} \alpha = \frac{147}{8} \\ \beta = \frac{21}{8} \end{cases}$$

$$ce). P(\theta | S, P) \propto P(S | \theta) P(P | \theta) P(\theta) \\ \propto \theta^{20} \exp(-10\theta) \cdot \theta^{1200} \exp(-200\theta) \cdot \theta^{\frac{147}{8}-1} \exp(-\frac{21}{8}\theta) \\ = \theta^{1280 + \frac{147}{8}-1} \exp(-(210 + \frac{21}{8})\theta)$$

$$\text{Thus, } \theta | S, P \sim \text{Gamma}(1280 + \frac{147}{8}, 210 + \frac{21}{8})$$

$$E[\theta | S, P] = (1280 + \frac{147}{8}) / (210 + \frac{21}{8})$$