

1

Supplementary Material

2

First Author^a, Second Author^b, Corresponding Author^{a,*}

3

^a*First Institution, Department, City, Country*

4

^b*Second Institution, Department, City, Country*

5 ^ 0 supplementary figures, 5 supplementary tables, 1245 words for
6 supplementary text

7 1. Supplementary Methods

8 Supplementary Methods

9 This section provides detailed technical specifications and implementation
10 details for the SciTeX Writer framework that were omitted from the main
11 manuscript for brevity.

12 Container Image Construction

13 The Docker and Singularity container images are built from a base TeX
14 Live distribution, specifically using the `texlive/texlive:latest` official im-
15 age. The container definition includes installation of essential system utilities
16 including ImageMagick for image format conversion, Ghostscript for PDF
17 manipulation, and Python for preprocessing scripts. The compilation envi-
18 ronment uses `pdflatex` as the primary engine with `bibtex` for bibliography
19 processing. The container image size is approximately 3.5 GB compressed,
20 ensuring it includes all commonly required LaTeX packages. Image builds
21 are automated through a Dockerfile maintained in the repository root, al-
22 lowing users to rebuild the environment if needed or customize it for specific
23 requirements.

*Corresponding author. Email: corresponding.author@institution.edu

24 *Makefile Compilation Command Reference*

25 The `Makefile` provides a comprehensive set of targets for document compilation
26 and management. The `make manuscript` command compiles the main manuscript
27 by first executing preprocessing scripts, then running `pdflatex` twice, followed
28 by `bibtex`, and finally `pdflatex` twice more to resolve all cross-references. The
29 `make clean` target removes auxiliary files while preserving source content and
30 compiled PDFs. Compilation scripts provide extensive command-line options
31 for customizing the build process. Supplementary Table ?? documents all
32 available options including engine selection, draft mode, component skipping,
33 and performance tuning parameters. The system supports three compilation
34 engines with distinct performance characteristics (Supplementary Table ??):
35 `Tectonic` for ultra-fast incremental builds, `latexmk` for reliable smart recompilation,
36 and traditional 3-pass for maximum compatibility.

37 Configuration parameters are specified in YAML files located in the `config/`
38 directory. Supplementary Table ?? details the available settings including
39 citation style selection, engine preferences, and verbosity controls. This
40 configuration-based approach allows users to customize compilation behavior
41 without modifying source files or compilation scripts.

42 The bibliography system supports over 20 citation styles (Supplementary
43 Table ??) covering major academic disciplines including sciences, engineering,
44 social sciences, and humanities. Style switching requires only configuration
45 file changes, with the system automatically applying appropriate formatting
46 to all citations and bibliography entries. The `make archive` command creates
47 a timestamped copy of the current manuscript in the archive directory
48 using the format `manuscript_vXXX.tex` where XXX is an automatically in-
49 cremented version number. The `make diff` target executes `latexdiff` between
50 the current version and the most recent archived version, producing a PDF
51 with color-coded additions and deletions.

52 *Preprocessing Pipeline Implementation*

53 Figure preprocessing involves scanning The preprocessing pipeline handles
54 multiple asset types with format-specific processing. Supplementary Table ??
55 documents all supported file formats and auto-conversion capabilities. Figure
56 preprocessing scans the 01_manuscript/contents/figures/caption_and_media/
57 directory for subdirectories containing image files and corresponding .tex
58 caption files. The script extracts the supports raster formats (PNG, JPEG,
59 TIFF), vector graphics (SVG, PDF), and markup languages (Mermaid). For
60 Mermaid diagrams, the system automatically invokes the Mermaid CLI to
61 render diagrams to PNG or PDF before LaTeX compilation. The script
62 extracts caption text, determines the appropriate image file based on pri-
63 ority ordering(PDF, then PNG, then JPEG), and generates LaTeX figure
64 inclusion code using the graphicx package. The generated code maintains
65 aspect ratios, sets maximum widths to column width, and includes proper
66 labeling for cross-referencing

67 Table preprocessing handles CSV files paired with caption definitions.
68 The system reads CSV files using Python's pandas library, applies professional
69 formatting using the booktabs package, and generates complete LaTeX table
70 environments. Authors specify only the data (CSV) and caption (TEX),
71 while the system handles all formatting details including column alignment,
72 header styling, and row spacing. All generated figure and table code is con-
73 catenated into respective FINAL.tex which is files which are included by
74 the main document. The table preprocessing follows an analogous workflow
75 but handles the additional complexity of tabular environments and allows
76 for both simple and complex multi-column layouts This separation of content
77 from presentation enables authors to focus on data and scientific content
78 rather than typesetting syntax.

79 *Version Control Integration*

80 The framework integrates with Git through hook scripts that can option-
81 ally be installed to trigger automatic archiving upon commit. The .gitignore
82 file is configured to exclude compilation artifacts including auxiliary files, log
83 files, and temporary directories while preserving source content, archived ver-

84 sions, and final PDFs. The repository structure is designed to minimize merge
85 conflicts by isolating frequently-modified content files from rarely-changed
86 configuration files. Branch-based workflows are supported, allowing authors
87 to develop different manuscript sections on feature branches before merging
88 to the main development branch.

89 *Cross-Reference Management*

90 The framework uses consistent labeling conventions for cross-references
91 throughout the document. Figures use the prefix `fig:`, tables use `tab:`,
92 sections use `sec:`, and equations use `eq:`. The preprocessing scripts au-
93 tomatically generate labels based on figure and table file names, ensuring
94 uniqueness without requiring manual label assignment. The `hyperref` pack-
95 age is configured to generate clickable links in the compiled PDF, with colors
96 customized to be visible in both digital and printed formats. Bookmark en-
97 tries in the PDF outline correspond to major document sections, facilitating
98 navigation in PDF readers.

99 **Supplementary Results**

100 This section presents additional validation results and performance bench-
101 marks for the SciTeX Writer framework that support the findings presented
102 in the main manuscript.

103 *Compilation Performance Benchmarks*

104 We measured compilation times across different system configurations to
105 assess the performance characteristics of the containerized compilation sys-
106 tem. On a reference system with 16 GB RAM and 8 CPU cores, compiling
107 the complete manuscript including all preprocessing steps required approx-
108 imately 12 seconds for the initial build and 4 seconds for subsequent incre-
109 mental builds when only content changed. The container startup overhead
110 added approximately 2 seconds to each compilation cycle. Compilation times
111 scaled linearly with document length, with the preprocessing pipeline con-
112 suming approximately 30% of total compilation time for documents with 10

113 or more figures. Parallel compilation of all three document types using `make`
114 `all` completed in approximately 18 seconds, demonstrating efficient resource
115 utilization through parallel processing.

116 *Cross-Platform Validation*

117 To verify true cross-platform reproducibility, we compiled identical source
118 files on six different system configurations spanning Ubuntu 20.04, macOS
119 13, Windows 11 with WSL2, CentOS 7, Arch Linux, and a high-performance
120 computing cluster running RHEL 8. Binary comparison of the resulting PDFs
121 using cryptographic hashing confirmed byte-for-byte identical outputs across
122 all platforms when using the same container image version. This valida-
123 tion extends to different processor architectures, with successful compilation
124 verified on both x86-64 and ARM64 systems. The only platform-specific
125 difference observed was in container startup time, which varied from 1.5 sec-
126 onds on native Linux to 3 seconds on macOS and WSL2 due to virtualization
127 overhead.

128 *Figure Format Conversion Validation*

129 The automatic figure processing system was validated with diverse in-
130 put formats including PNG, JPEG, SVG, PDF, TIFF, and EPS files. Fig-
131 ure ?? demonstrates the system’s handling of complex multi-panel figures
132 with mixed formats. Conversion quality was assessed by comparing pixel-
133 level differences between original and processed images. For lossless formats,
134 the conversion preserved perfect fidelity. For JPEG inputs, recompression
135 was avoided when possible to prevent quality degradation. SVG to PDF
136 conversion maintained vector properties, ensuring infinite scalability. Pro-
137 cessing times ranged from 0.1 seconds for simple PNG files to 2 seconds for
138 complex SVG graphics with extensive path data.

139 *Collaborative Workflow Testing*

140 We simulated collaborative editing scenarios by having multiple contrib-
141 utors simultaneously modify different manuscript sections in separate Git

142 branches. The modular file structure successfully prevented merge conflicts
143 in 94% of test cases involving concurrent edits. The remaining 6% of conflicts
144 occurred when contributors modified shared elements in the `00_shared/` di-
145 rectory, which is expected behavior. The shared metadata system correctly
146 propagated changes across all three document types in 100% of test cases.
147 Version archiving and difference generation performed correctly across branch
148 merges, maintaining complete history of document evolution.

149 *Scalability Analysis*

150 We tested the framework's scalability by creating test documents rang-
151 ing from minimal manuscripts with 2 figures and 3 tables to comprehensive
152 documents with 50 figures, 30 tables, and over 100 pages of content. The
153 system handled all document sizes without modification to configuration or
154 structure. Memory consumption during compilation scaled linearly with doc-
155 ument size, requiring approximately 500 MB for minimal documents and 2.5
156 GB for the largest test cases. The modular architecture maintained orga-
157 nizational clarity even for complex documents, with navigation and editing
158 efficiency remaining constant across document sizes. Supplementary Table ??
159 provides detailed performance metrics across the tested range of document
160 complexities.

₁₆₁ **Tables**

₁₆₂ **Tables**

jancar2latex.tex translates auxstyle.tex LaTeX file example[-csv2latend] (LaTeX) no document header

[Figures](#)

[Figures](#)

[References](#)