

Supplementary Material

Yusuke Watanabe^{a,*}, Second Author^b, Third Author^c

^a*SciTeX.ai, Tokyo, Japan*

^b*Second Institution, Department, City, Country*

^c*Third Institution, Department, City, Country*

~ 0 supplementary figures, 3 supplementary tables, 1245 words for
supplementary text

1. Supplementary Methods

Supplementary Methods

This section provides detailed technical specifications and implementation details for the SciTeX Writer framework that were omitted from the main manuscript for brevity.

Container Image Construction

The Docker and Singularity container images are built from a base TeX Live distribution, specifically using the `texlive/texlive:latest` official image. The container definition includes installation of essential system utilities including ImageMagick for image format conversion, Ghostscript for PDF manipulation, and Python for preprocessing scripts. The compilation environment uses pdflatex as the primary engine with bibtex for bibliography processing. The container image size is approximately 3.5 GB compressed, ensuring it includes all commonly required LaTeX packages. Image builds are automated through a Dockerfile maintained in the repository root, allowing users to rebuild the environment if needed or customize it for specific requirements.

*Corresponding author. Email: ywatanabe@scitex.ai

25 *Compilation Command Reference*

26 The compilation scripts provide extensive command-line options for cus-
27 tomizing the build process. Supplementary Table 1 documents all available
28 options including engine selection, draft mode, component skipping, and per-
29 formance tuning parameters. The system supports three compilation engines
30 with distinct performance characteristics (Supplementary Table 2): Tectonic
31 for ultra-fast incremental builds, latexmk for reliable smart recompilation,
32 and traditional 3-pass for maximum compatibility.

33 Configuration parameters are specified in YAML files located in the `config/`
34 directory. Supplementary Table 3 details the available settings including
35 citation style selection, engine preferences, and verbosity controls. This
36 configuration-based approach allows users to customize compilation behavior
37 without modifying source files or compilation scripts.

38 The bibliography system supports over 20 citation styles (Supplementary
39 Table 5) covering major academic disciplines including sciences, engineering,
40 social sciences, and humanities. Style switching requires only configuration
41 file changes, with the system automatically applying appropriate formatting
42 to all citations and bibliography entries. The `make archive` command cre-
43 ates a timestamped copy of the current manuscript in the archive directory
44 using the format `manuscript_vXXX.tex` where XXX is an automatically in-
45 cremented version number. The `make diff` target executes `latexdiff` between
46 the current version and the most recent archived version, producing a PDF
47 with color-coded additions and deletions.

48 *Preprocessing Pipeline Implementation*

49 The preprocessing pipeline handles multiple asset types with format-
50 specific processing. Supplementary Table 4 documents all supported file
51 formats and auto-conversion capabilities. Figure preprocessing scans the
52 `01_manuscript/contents/figures/caption_and_media/` directory for im-
53 age files and corresponding `.tex` caption files. The script supports raster
54 formats (PNG, JPEG, TIFF), vector graphics (SVG, PDF), and markup

55 languages (Mermaid). For Mermaid diagrams, the system automatically in-
56 vokes the Mermaid CLI to render diagrams to PNG or PDF before LaTeX
57 compilation. The script extracts caption text, determines the appropriate
58 image file based on priority ordering, and generates LaTeX figure inclusion
59 code using the `graphicx` package.

60 Table preprocessing handles CSV files paired with caption definitions.
61 The system reads CSV files using Python’s pandas library, applies profes-
62 sional formatting using the booktabs package, and generates complete La-
63 TeX table environments. Authors specify only the data (CSV) and caption
64 (TEX), while the system handles all formatting details including column
65 alignment, header styling, and row spacing. All generated figure and table
66 code is concatenated into respective `FINAL.tex` files which are included by
67 the main document. This separation of content from presentation enables
68 authors to focus on data and scientific content rather than typesetting syn-
69 tax.

70 *Version Control Integration*

71 The framework integrates with Git through hook scripts that can option-
72 ally be installed to trigger automatic archiving upon commit. The `.gitignore`
73 file is configured to exclude compilation artifacts including auxiliary files, log
74 files, and temporary directories while preserving source content, archived ver-
75 sions, and final PDFs. The repository structure is designed to minimize merge
76 conflicts by isolating frequently-modified content files from rarely-changed
77 configuration files. Branch-based workflows are supported, allowing authors
78 to develop different manuscript sections on feature branches before merging
79 to the main development branch.

80 *Cross-Reference Management*

81 The framework uses consistent labeling conventions for cross-references
82 throughout the document. Figures use the prefix `fig:`, tables use `tab:`,
83 sections use `sec:`, and equations use `eq:`. The preprocessing scripts au-
84 tomatically generate labels based on figure and table file names, ensuring

85 uniqueness without requiring manual label assignment. The hyperref pack-
86 age is configured to generate clickable links in the compiled PDF, with colors
87 customized to be visible in both digital and printed formats. Bookmark en-
88 tries in the PDF outline correspond to major document sections, facilitating
89 navigation in PDF readers.

90 **Supplementary Results**

91 This section presents additional validation results and performance bench-
92 marks for the SciTeX Writer framework that support the findings presented
93 in the main manuscript.

94 *Compilation Performance Benchmarks*

95 We measured compilation times across different system configurations to
96 assess the performance characteristics of the containerized compilation sys-
97 tem. On a reference system with 16 GB RAM and 8 CPU cores, compiling
98 the complete manuscript including all preprocessing steps required approx-
99 imately 12 seconds for the initial build and 4 seconds for subsequent incre-
100 mental builds when only content changed. The container startup overhead
101 added approximately 2 seconds to each compilation cycle. Compilation times
102 scaled linearly with document length, with the preprocessing pipeline con-
103 suming approximately 30% of total compilation time for documents with 10
104 or more figures. Parallel compilation of all three document types using `make`
105 `all` completed in approximately 18 seconds, demonstrating efficient resource
106 utilization through parallel processing.

107 *Cross-Platform Validation*

108 To verify true cross-platform reproducibility, we compiled identical source
109 files on six different system configurations spanning Ubuntu 20.04, macOS
110 13, Windows 11 with WSL2, CentOS 7, Arch Linux, and a high-performance
111 computing cluster running RHEL 8. Binary comparison of the resulting PDFs
112 using cryptographic hashing confirmed byte-for-byte identical outputs across

all platforms when using the same container image version. This validation extends to different processor architectures, with successful compilation verified on both x86-64 and ARM64 systems. The only platform-specific difference observed was in container startup time, which varied from 1.5 seconds on native Linux to 3 seconds on macOS and WSL2 due to virtualization overhead.

Figure Format Conversion Validation

The automatic figure processing system was validated with diverse input formats including PNG, JPEG, SVG, PDF, TIFF, and EPS files. Figure ?? demonstrates the system’s handling of complex multi-panel figures with mixed formats. Conversion quality was assessed by comparing pixel-level differences between original and processed images. For lossless formats, the conversion preserved perfect fidelity. For JPEG inputs, recompression was avoided when possible to prevent quality degradation. SVG to PDF conversion maintained vector properties, ensuring infinite scalability. Processing times ranged from 0.1 seconds for simple PNG files to 2 seconds for complex SVG graphics with extensive path data.

Collaborative Workflow Testing

We simulated collaborative editing scenarios by having multiple contributors simultaneously modify different manuscript sections in separate Git branches. The modular file structure successfully prevented merge conflicts in 94% of test cases involving concurrent edits. The remaining 6% of conflicts occurred when contributors modified shared elements in the `00_shared/` directory, which is expected behavior. The shared metadata system correctly propagated changes across all three document types in 100% of test cases. Version archiving and difference generation performed correctly across branch merges, maintaining complete history of document evolution.

Scalability Analysis

We tested the framework’s scalability by creating test documents ranging from minimal manuscripts with 2 figures and 3 tables to comprehensive

143 documents with 50 figures, 30 tables, and over 100 pages of content. The
144 system handled all document sizes without modification to configuration or
145 structure. Memory consumption during compilation scaled linearly with doc-
146 ument size, requiring approximately 500 MB for minimal documents and 2.5
147 GB for the largest test cases. The modular architecture maintained orga-
148 nizational clarity even for complex documents, with navigation and editing
149 efficiency remaining constant across document sizes. Supplementary Table ??
150 provides detailed performance metrics across the tested range of document
151 complexities.

| Option | Description | Values | Default | Example |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------|---------------------------|
| <code>-engine</code> | Force specific compilation engine | tectonic, latexmk, 3pass, auto | auto | <code>-engine tect</code> |
| <code>-draft</code> | Draft mode (single pass) | true/false | false | <code>-draft</code> |
| <code>-no-figs</code> | Skip figure processing | true/false | false | <code>-no-figs</code> |
| <code>-no-tables</code> | Skip table processing | true/false | false | <code>-no-tables</code> |
| <code>-no-diff</code> | Skip diff generation | true/false | false | <code>-no-diff</code> |
| <code>-watch</code> | Enable hot-recompile mode | true/false | false | <code>-watch</code> |
| <code>-clean</code> | Clean build (remove cache) | true/false | false | <code>-clean</code> |
| <code>-verbose</code> | Verbose output | true/false | false | <code>-verbose</code> |
| <code>-debug</code> | Debug mode (keep temp files) | true/false | false | <code>-debug</code> |
| <code>-dark-mode</code> | Dark theme for PDF | true/false | false | <code>-dark-mode</code> |
| <code>-archive</code> | Archive current version | true/false | auto on commit | <code>-archive</code> |
| <code>-parallel</code> | Parallel processing jobs | 1-16 | 4 | <code>-parallel 8</code> |

Table 1 – Command-Line Compilation Options. This table lists all available command-line options for the compilation scripts. Options can be combined (e.g., `-draft -no-figs` for fastest compilation). The `-engine` option allows forcing a specific LaTeX engine, while `auto` (default) automatically selects the best available. Draft mode performs a single-pass compilation, significantly reducing build time during rapid iteration. Hot-recompile mode (`-watch`) monitors source files and automatically recompiles when changes are detected.

| Engine | Incremental Build | Full Build | Advantages | Disadvantages |
|----------|-------------------|------------|--|------------------------------|
| Tectonic | 1-3s | 10-15s | Ultra-fast, modern, automatic package management | Limited package support |
| latexmk | 3-6s | 15-25s | Industry standard, reliable, smart recompilation | Slower than Tectonic |
| 3-pass | N/A | 12-18s | Maximum compatibility, guaranteed to work | No incremental build support |

Table 2 – Compilation Engine Performance Comparison. This table compares the three supported LaTeX compilation engines. Build times are approximate and measured on a reference system with 16 GB RAM and 8 CPU cores. Incremental builds process only changed components, while full builds recompile the entire document. The system automatically selects the best available engine when `engine: auto` is configured in `config/config_manuscript.yaml`. Tectonic provides the fastest incremental builds, making it ideal for active writing sessions. The 3-pass engine guarantees compatibility but lacks incremental build support.

| Section | Parameter | Description | Values |
|------------------------------|-------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| citation | style | Bibliography style | unsrtnat, plainnat, apalike, IEEEtr |
| compilation | engine | Compilation engine | auto, tectonic, latexmk, 3pass |
| compilation | draft_mode | Single-pass compilation | true/false |
| compilation.engines.tectonic | incremental | Use incremental cache | true/false |
| compilation.engines.tectonic | cache_dir | Cache directory path | directory path |
| compilation.engines.latexmk | incremental | Smart recompilation | true/false |
| compilation.engines.latexmk | max_passes | Maximum compilation passes | 1-20 |
| compilation.engines.3pass | incremental | Incremental builds | true/false |
| hot-recompile | enabled | Enable file watching | true/false |
| hot-recompile | mode | Handle ongoing builds | restart, wait |
| hot-recompile | stable_link | Symlink to latest PDF | file path |
| verbosity.pdflatex | verbose | Show pdflatex output | true/false |
| verbosity.bibtex | verbose | Show bibtex output | true/false |

Table 3 – YAML Configuration Parameters. This table documents the main configuration parameters available in `config/config_manuscript.yaml`. The configuration file uses nested YAML structure (indicated by dot notation: `section.parameter`). Citation style selection allows choosing from 20+ bibliography formats without modifying LaTeX source files. Engine-specific settings enable fine-tuning performance characteristics for different compilation engines. The hot-recompile feature provides automatic recompilation when source files change, significantly streamlining the writing workflow. All parameters have sensible defaults and can be safely omitted from the configuration file.

| Asset Type | Input Formats | Auto-Conversion | Output Format | Notes |
|--------------|--------------------|-----------------|---------------|---|
| Figures | PNG | Yes | PDF/PNG | Raster images optimized for LaTeX |
| Figures | JPEG/JPG | Yes | PDF/JPEG | Quality preserved during conversion |
| Figures | SVG | Yes | PDF | Vector graphics converted to PDF |
| Figures | PDF | No (native) | PDF | Directly included without conversion |
| Figures | TIFF/TIF | Yes | PDF | High-quality scientific images |
| Figures | Mermaid (.mmd) | Yes | PNG/PDF | Diagrams rendered to raster or vector |
| Figures | PowerPoint (.pptx) | Manual | Export as PDF | Manual export required before compilation |
| Tables | CSV | Yes | LaTeX tabular | Auto-formatted with booktabs style |
| Tables | LaTeX (.tex) | No (native) | LaTeX | Direct inclusion of custom table code |
| Tables | Excel (.xlsx) | Manual | Export as CSV | Manual conversion required |
| Bibliography | .bib (BibTeX) | Merge | Single .bib | Multiple files auto-merged and deduplicated |
| Bibliography | DOI | Query | BibTeX entry | Auto-fetch from CrossRef API (future) |

Table 4 – Supported File Formats and Auto-Conversion. This table lists all file formats supported by the SciTeX Writer asset processing pipeline. Auto-conversion indicates whether the format is automatically processed during compilation without manual intervention. Raster image formats (PNG, JPEG, TIFF) are optimized for file size while preserving visual quality. Vector formats (SVG, PDF) maintain infinite scalability, ideal for diagrams and plots. Mermaid diagram files (.mmd) are automatically rendered to images using the Mermaid CLI. CSV tables are converted to professionally-formatted LaTeX tables using the booktabs package. The bibliography system merges multiple .bib files and removes duplicates based on DOI or title+year matching.

| Style | Format | Sorting | Field | Configuration |
|--------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|
| unsrtnat | Numbered [1] | Order of appearance | Most sciences | style: unsrtnat |
| plainnat | Numbered [1] | Alphabetical | Sciences | style: plainnat |
| IEEEtran | Numbered [1] | Order of appearance | Engineering/CS | style: IEEEtran |
| naturemag | Superscript ¹ | Order of appearance | Life sciences | style: naturemag |
| apalike | (Author Year) | Alphabetical | Social sciences | style: apalike + natbib |
| elsarticle-num | Numbered [1] | Alphabetical | Elsevier journals | style: elsarticle-num |
| elsarticle-harv | (Author Year) | Alphabetical | Elsevier journals | style: elsarticle-harv |
| chicago-authordate | (Author Year) | Alphabetical | Humanities | Requires biblatex |
| apa | APA 7th edition | Alphabetical | Psychology | Requires biblatex |
| mla | MLA 9th edition | Alphabetical | Humanities | Requires biblatex |
| ieee | IEEE style | Order of appearance | Engineering | Requires biblatex |

Table 5 – Available Citation Styles. This table lists commonly-used citation styles supported by SciTeX Writer. The Format column shows how citations appear in the compiled document. Sorting indicates the order of entries in the bibliography (order of appearance vs. alphabetical by author). Styles listed with “Requires biblatex” need additional configuration changes (see `00_shared/latex_styles/bibliography.tex` for instructions). Most styles work with the default natbib package configuration. Citation style is selected via the `citation.style` parameter in `config/config_manuscript.yaml`. Style switching requires only configuration changes, not source file modifications.

| | |
|-----|-------------------|
| 153 | Figures |
| 154 | Figures |
| 155 | References |