Lecture 3 Stat 331.

Last class: Simple Linear Regression
$$Yi = \beta \circ + \beta_1 x_i + \xi_i$$

$$\xi_i \stackrel{\text{ind}}{=} N(0, \sigma^2)$$

1) least-squares extimation:

arg min 
$$S(\beta_0,\beta_1) = \underset{\beta_0,\beta_1}{\operatorname{argmin}} : \underset{\beta_0,\beta_1}{\overset{n}{\sum}} [y_i - \underset{\beta_0-\beta_1 \times i}{\beta_0-\beta_1 \times i}]^2$$

$$\hat{\beta}_{i} = \frac{1}{\tilde{\beta}_{i}} (x_{i} - \bar{x})(y_{i} - \bar{y}) = \frac{S_{xy}}{S_{xx}}$$

$$\hat{\beta}_{o} = \bar{y} - \hat{\beta}_{i} \bar{x}$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{\beta}_{o}, \hat{\beta}_{i} \text{ are estimates based on our data.}$$

② 
$$\beta_0$$
: estimate of average response when  $\chi=0$ .  $\beta_1$ : estimate of the average change in response for every unit increase in  $\chi$ .

3 How do we estimate 
$$\sigma^2$$
? Using residuals:  $ei = yi - \mu i$ 

$$= yi - \beta o - \beta_1 x_i$$

$$\delta^2 = \frac{1}{n-2} \frac{2}{\epsilon_1} ei^2 \Rightarrow \delta^2 = \frac{1}{n-2} SS(\text{Res}) (SS(\text{Res}): \text{sums of eq. of residuals}).$$

## Maximum Likelihood Estimation

suppose that a R.V. Y has a probability density function fly; 0) (or P(Y=y;0) if Y is discrete) and we want to estimate the parameter O that characterizes this disp.

· find the value 0 that makes the observed data most likely, hence ``maximum likelihood"

Maximum Likelihood Estimator (MLE):  $\hat{\theta} = \underset{\theta}{\text{argmax}} L(\theta | y)$ 

It is often easier to work with the log-likelihood function: L(0|y) = log L(y|0) (natural log)

Derivative of the log-likelihood function is score function: Soly) = 22(01y)/20 = 2'01y)

Solve for D in S(Oly)=0 to get MLE O.

In SLR, (Y,..., Yn) are independent. Furthermore Y; NN (Bot B, xi, o2), i=1,...,n.

In this context, the likelihood function for 
$$\theta = (\beta_0, \beta_1, \delta^2)$$
:
$$L(\theta|\vec{y}) = \inf_{i=1}^{n} f(y_{ij}\theta)$$

$$= \inf_{i=1}^{n} (2\pi\sigma^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{(y_{i-\beta_0}\beta_1x_{i})^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$$

In addition,  $L(0|\dot{y}) = \frac{1}{12} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \log(2\pi b^2) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (y_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1 x_i)^2 \right]$ 

$$S(0|\hat{y}|) = 0:$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \beta_0} = 0 \implies \hat{z}_{i=1}^2 (y_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1 x_i) = 0 \quad --- (1)$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \beta_{1}} = 0 \implies \frac{1}{\beta_{1}} (y_{1} - \beta_{0} - \beta_{1} x_{1}) x_{1} = 0 \qquad (2)$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \alpha_{2}} = 0 \implies \frac{-n}{2\alpha_{2}} + \frac{1}{2\alpha_{1}} \frac{1}{\beta_{1}} (y_{1} - \beta_{0} - \beta_{1} x_{1})^{2} = 0 \qquad (3)$$

solve for the least-squares estimators (LSE) : MLE and LSE are identical.

② For 
$$\sigma^2$$
, solving (3) gives us  $\widehat{O}_{\text{mie}} = h \cdot \widehat{E}_{1}(y_{1} - \beta_{0} - \beta_{1} \times i)^{2} = h \cdot \widehat{E}_{1} \cdot e^{2}$   
this is different from  $\widehat{\sigma}^{2} = h - 2 \cdot \widehat{E}_{1} \cdot e^{2}$  (from L5). In fact,  $\widehat{f}_{\text{mie}}$  is a biased estimator for  $\sigma^{2}$  but  $\widehat{\sigma}^{2}$  (from L5) is unbiased. Therefore, the  $\widehat{f}_{\text{mie}}$  is not used in practice.

## Aside. "Estimator" vs. "Estimate"

"Estimator": Rule of calculating an estimate of the quantity of interest.

$$\beta_1 = \frac{2}{E_1}(x_i - \overline{x})(Y_i - \overline{Y})$$

"Estimate": realization of an estimator based on the observed data.

It is a number/onstant. In salary data, eg., \$1 = 9450.

Study the distribution of \$1,\$1 (LS estimators)
How do we calculate E(\$1), Var(\$6), E(\$1), Var(\$1), Cov(\$1,\$6)

Aside: Suppose Ti~N(µi, 5i2) (all independent)

Then Eaili ~ N(Eailli, Eair) (can be shown via MGF).

The study the sampling dist of  $\beta_i$ :  $\hat{\beta}_i = \frac{2}{2}(x_i - \overline{x})(Y_i - \overline{Y}) = \frac{2}{2}(x_i - \overline{x})Y_i - \overline{Y} = \frac{2}{2}(x$ 

= 
$$\frac{1}{2}(x_i-\overline{x})$$
  $\frac{1}{2}(x_i-\overline{x})$   $\frac{1}{2}(x_i-\overline{x})$   $\frac{1}{2}(x_i-\overline{x})$   $\frac{1}{2}(x_i-\overline{x})$ 

= = i ai (B+B,xi)

=  $\frac{1}{12} (x_1 - \overline{x})(\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1) / \frac{2}{12} (x_1 - \overline{x}) x_1$ 

= [B. = (00-3) + BI = (00-0) xi]/ = (00-0) xi

.". We showed that Bis an unblased estimator of Bi

$$|Var(\beta_i)| = \frac{1}{i_{-1}^2} a_i^2 |Var(Y_i)|$$

$$= 0^2 \frac{1}{i_{-1}^2} (x_i - \overline{x})^2 / \left[\frac{1}{i_{-1}^2} (x_i - \overline{x}) x_i\right]^2$$

$$= 0^2 \frac{1}{i_{-1}^2} (x_i - \overline{x})^2 / \left[\frac{1}{i_{-1}^2} (x_i - \overline{x}) x_i\right]^2$$

$$= 0^2 / \left[\frac{1}{i_{-1}^2} (x_i - \overline{x})^2 - 0^2 / S_{xx}\right]$$

$$\therefore \hat{\beta}_1 \sim N(\beta_1, 0^2 / S_{xx})$$

$$(2) Similarly, \hat{\beta}_2 \sim N(\beta_2, 0^2 (\frac{1}{n} + \frac{\overline{x}^2}{S_{xx}})) \cdot (assignment problem)$$

$$Hint: Use fact that  $Var(x+\gamma) = Var(x) + Var(\gamma) + 2(ov(x,\gamma))$ 
and show  $Cov(\hat{\gamma}, \hat{\beta}_1) = 0$ 

$$\therefore \hat{\beta}_3 \text{ is an unbiased estimator of } \beta_2.$$

$$(2) Covariance between  $\hat{\beta}_3$  and  $\hat{\beta}_1$ :  $Cov(\hat{\beta}_3, \hat{\beta}_1) = -0^2 \overline{x} / S_{xx}$ .
$$(assignment problem)$$

$$(assignment problem)$$

$$Summary: Under  $E_i \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} N(0, \sigma^2)$ , we have
$$(\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_1) \sim N(0, \sigma^2 / S_{xx})$$

$$(assignment problem)$$

$$\hat{\beta}_3 \sim N(\beta_3, \sigma^2 / S_{xx}) \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} N(0, \sigma^2)$$

$$(\hat{\beta}_3 - \beta_3) \sim N(\beta_3, \sigma^2 / S_{xx})$$

$$Stundard Fror:$$

$$SE(\hat{\beta}_3) = \frac{\delta^2}{S_{xx}}$$

$$SE(\hat{\beta}_3) = \frac{\delta^2}{S_{xx}}$$

$$SE(\hat{\beta}_3) = \frac{\delta^2}{S_{xx}}$$$$$$$$

Aside Define  $T = Z/\sqrt{V/R}$  where  $Z \sim N(o_1)$   $U \sim \sqrt{R}$  then when Z and U are independent  $T \sim tR \sim df$  (Pef?: A R.V.  $W = \sum_{i=1}^{R} Z_i^2 \Rightarrow W \sim \sqrt{R} \sum_{i=1}^{R} U \sim V(o_1)$ ) iid.

( replaced or in SD above with their extinates)

Fact. 
$$\hat{\sigma}^{2}(n-2)/\sigma^{2} = SS(Res)/\sigma^{2} \sim \chi_{n-2}^{2}$$

$$\hat{G}oal: \hat{\beta}_{1} - \beta_{1} \sim ? \qquad SE(\hat{\beta}_{1}) = \hat{\sigma}^{2} = \hat{\sigma}$$

$$SE(\hat{\beta}_{1}) \sim \hat{S}(\hat{\beta}_{1}) = \hat{\sigma}^{2} = \hat{\sigma}$$

$$\frac{(\hat{\beta}_{1} - \beta_{1})/(\hat{\sigma}/\sqrt{Sxx})}{(\hat{\sigma}/\sqrt{Sxx})/(\hat{\sigma}/\sqrt{Sxx})} = \frac{(\hat{\beta}_{1} - \beta_{1})/(\hat{\sigma}/\sqrt{Sxx})}{\hat{\sigma}^{2}(n-2)} \frac{1}{(n-2)}$$

$$\frac{(\hat{\beta}^{2}/\sigma^{2})}{(\hat{\sigma}^{2}/\sigma^{2})} = \frac{(\hat{\beta}^{2} - \beta_{1})/(\hat{\sigma}/\sqrt{Sxx})}{\hat{\sigma}^{2}(n-2)} \frac{1}{(n-2)}$$

$$\frac{\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_1}{SE(\hat{\beta}_0)} \sim t_{n-2} \qquad \left( \frac{\hat{\beta}_0 - \beta_0}{SE(\hat{\beta}_0)} \sim t_{n-2} \right)$$

We'd like to show the following:  

$$\hat{G}^2 = \frac{1}{h-2} \hat{e}_1^2 e^{i2} \quad E(\hat{G}^2) = \sigma^2 \quad \text{(for unbiasedness)}$$

$$SK_{\underline{etch}} \quad Proof:$$

$$\hat{E}_1 e^{i2} = \hat{E}_1 (y_i - \hat{\beta}_3 - \hat{\beta}_1 x_i)^2 \quad \Rightarrow \text{Sub. in } \hat{\beta}_0$$

$$= \hat{E}_1 [y_i - y + \hat{\beta}_1 x_i - \hat{\beta}_1 x_i]^2$$

$$= \frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}}, \left[y_{i} - \overline{y} + \widehat{\beta}_{i} \cdot \overline{z} - \widehat{\beta}_{i} \times i\overline{J}^{2}\right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}}, \left[(y_{i} - \overline{y}) - (x_{i} - \overline{x})\widehat{\beta}_{i}, \overline{J}^{2} \longrightarrow \widehat{\beta}_{i} = \frac{S_{xy}}{S_{xx}} \left(S_{yy} = \frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}}(Y_{i} - \overline{Y})^{2}\right)$$

$$= Syy - 2 \frac{S_{xy}}{S_{xx}} S_{xy} + \frac{S_{xy}^{2}}{S_{xx}} \left(S_{yy} = \frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}}(Y_{i} - \overline{Y})^{2}\right)$$

Moreover, 
$$E(Syy) = (n-1) \sigma^2 + \beta_1^2 Soc (can be shown)$$

$$E(S_{xy^2}) = \sigma^2 S_{xx} + S_{xx}^2 R^2 \quad (can be shown)$$

 $E(Syy-Sxy^2/Sxx)=\sigma^2(n-2)$ 

$$F(\hat{\sigma}^2) = \sigma^2(n-2)/(n-2) = \sigma^2$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^{2} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^{2} =$$