

FINAL EXAM SOLUTION
MATH 104, SECTION 6, SPRING 2020

1

Define a sequence of real numbers (a_n) by setting $a_1 = 1$ and

$$a_{n+1} = \sqrt{a_n^2 + \frac{1}{2^n}} \quad \text{for } n \geq 1.$$

Prove that (a_n) is convergent.

(Hint: Try to estimate $|a_{n+1} - a_n|$, then use the Cauchy criterion.)

Solution. It is easy to show that $a_n \geq 1$ for any n and that (a_n) is strictly increasing. We have

$$0 < a_{n+1} - a_n = \frac{a_{n+1}^2 - a_n^2}{a_{n+1} + a_n} = \frac{1/2^n}{a_{n+1} + a_n} \leq \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}.$$

Hence for any $n, m > 0$, we have

$$0 < a_{n+m} - a_n \leq \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} + \cdots + \frac{1}{2^{n+m}} < \frac{1}{2^n}.$$

For any $\epsilon > 0$, choose N large so that $2^N > \frac{1}{\epsilon}$. Then for any $m > n > N$, we have

$$0 < a_m - a_n < \frac{1}{2^n} < \frac{1}{2^N} < \epsilon.$$

Hence (a_n) is convergent by Cauchy criterion.

2

Let $P(x) = a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_0$ be a polynomial of degree $n \geq 2$, where the coefficients a_n, a_{n-1}, \dots, a_0 are real numbers. Suppose that all of the roots of $P(x)$ are real numbers.

Prove that all of the roots of its derivative $P'(x)$ are also real numbers. (Warning: Remember that $P(x)$ could have roots with multiplicities > 1 .)

Solution. Let $r_1 < \cdots < r_k$ be the roots of P , with multiplicities m_1, \dots, m_k , respectively. Then $\sum_{i=1}^k m_i = n$.

We first claim that if r_i is a root of P with multiplicity $m_i > 1$, then r_i is a root of P' with multiplicity $m_i - 1$. One can write $P(x) = (x - r_i)^{m_i} Q(x)$, where $Q(x)$ is a real polynomial with $Q(r_i) \neq 0$. Then

$$P'(x) = m_i(x - r_i)^{m_i-1}Q(x) + (x - r_i)^{m_i}Q'(x).$$

It is then clear that r_i is a root of P' with multiplicity $m_i - 1$.

Secondly, we claim that for each $1 \leq i \leq k-1$, there exists some $s_i \in (r_i, r_{i+1})$ such that $P'(s_i) = 0$. This simply follows from the fact that $P(r_i) = P(r_{i+1}) = 0$ and the Mean Value Theorem.

Finally, we claim that the roots of P' found in the previous two claims are all the roots of P' , therefore all the roots of P' are real numbers. Let $I \subset \{1, \dots, k\}$ be the index set such that $m_i > 1$ for $i \in I$. Then the number of roots of P' found in the first two claims (counted with multiplicities) is:

$$\begin{aligned} k-1 + \sum_{i \in I} (m_i - 1) &= (k - |I|) + \left(\sum_{i \in I} m_i \right) - 1 \\ &= \left(\sum_{i \notin I} m_i \right) + \left(\sum_{i \in I} m_i \right) - 1 \\ &= n - 1. \end{aligned}$$

Since P' is a polynomial of degree $n-1$, this proves the claim.

3

Let (f_n) be a sequence of real-valued function defined on a set X . Suppose that

- $f_n(x) \geq 0$ for any $x \in X$ and any $n \in \mathbb{N}$,
- $f_n(x) \geq f_{n+1}(x)$ for any $x \in X$ and any $n \in \mathbb{N}$,
- $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup\{f_n(x) : x \in X\} = 0$.

Prove that the series of functions $\sum (-1)^n f_n(x)$ converges uniformly on X .

Solution. Observe that $\sum (-1)^n f_n(x)$ is an alternating series for each $x \in X$, hence converges pointwisely to $f(x) \in \mathbb{R}$. Recall from the proof of alternating series test that

$$\left| f(x) - \sum_{n=1}^k (-1)^n f_n(x) \right| \leq f_k(x)$$

for any $x \in X$ and any $k > 0$.

For any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $N > 0$ such that

$$\sup\{f_k(x) : x \in X\} < \epsilon \text{ for any } k > N.$$

Hence for any $k > N$, we have

$$\left| f(x) - \sum_{n=1}^k (-1)^n f_n(x) \right| \leq f_k(x) \leq \sup\{f_k(x) : x \in X\} < \epsilon.$$

This proves that $\sum (-1)^n f_n(x) \rightarrow f(x)$ converges uniformly on X .

- (1) Let $f: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function. Prove that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int_0^1 |f(x)|^n dx \right)^{1/n} = \sup \left\{ |f(x)| : x \in [0, 1] \right\}.$$

(Hint: For any $\epsilon > 0$, show that there exists some subinterval of $[0, 1]$ such that the value of $|f|$ on this subinterval is at least $\sup\{|f(x)|\} - \epsilon$. Then use this to estimate the left hand side.)

- (2) Let $g: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a positive and continuous function. Give a similar expression of

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow -\infty} \left(\int_0^1 |g(x)|^n dx \right)^{1/n}$$

and justify your answer.

(Hint: This is not hard to find using the previous part.)

Solution. (1) Since f is a continuous function on a compact set, the supremum of $|f(x)|$ is attained, say by $x_0 \in [0, 1]$, i.e. $|f(x_0)| = \sup \left\{ |f(x)| : x \in [0, 1] \right\}$. If $|f(x_0)| = 0$, then f is the constant zero function, and the result is obvious. Suppose that $|f(x_0)| > 0$. For any $0 < \epsilon < |f(x_0)|$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$|x - x_0| < \delta \implies |f(x_0)| - \epsilon < |f(x)| \leq |f(x_0)|.$$

Therefore

$$|f(x_0)|^n \geq \int_0^1 |f(x)|^n dx \geq \delta(|f(x_0)| - \epsilon)^n.$$

Thus

$$|f(x_0)| \geq \left(\int_0^1 |f(x)|^n dx \right)^{1/n} \geq \delta^{1/n}(|f(x_0)| - \epsilon).$$

Hence

$$|f(x_0)| \geq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int_0^1 |f(x)|^n dx \right)^{1/n} \geq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int_0^1 |f(x)|^n dx \right)^{1/n} \geq |f(x_0)| - \epsilon.$$

These inequalities hold for any $\epsilon > 0$, so

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int_0^1 |f(x)|^n dx \right)^{1/n} = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int_0^1 |f(x)|^n dx \right)^{1/n} = |f(x_0)|.$$

Hence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int_0^1 |f(x)|^n dx \right)^{1/n} = |f(x_0)| = \sup \left\{ |f(x)| : x \in [0, 1] \right\}.$$

(2) We claim that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow -\infty} \left(\int_0^1 |g(x)|^n dx \right)^{1/n} = \inf \left\{ |g(x)| : x \in [0, 1] \right\}.$$

Since g is a positive function, one can consider its inverse

$$f(x) := \frac{1}{g(x)},$$

which is also a positive continuous function. By Part (1), we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int_0^1 \frac{1}{|g(x)|^n} dx \right)^{1/n} = \sup \left\{ \frac{1}{|g(x)|} : x \in [0, 1] \right\}.$$

Note that g is a positive continuous function on a compact set, hence the infimum of $|g|$ is attained, therefore is positive. Therefore

$$\sup \left\{ \frac{1}{|g(x)|} : x \in [0, 1] \right\} = \frac{1}{\inf \left\{ |g(x)| : x \in [0, 1] \right\}}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow -\infty} \left(\int_0^1 |g(x)|^n dx \right)^{1/n} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int_0^1 |g(x)|^{-n} dx \right)^{-1/n} \\ &= \left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int_0^1 \frac{1}{|g(x)|^n} dx \right)^{1/n} \right)^{-1} \\ &= \left(\sup \left\{ \frac{1}{|g(x)|} : x \in [0, 1] \right\} \right)^{-1} \\ &= \inf \left\{ |g(x)| : x \in [0, 1] \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

5

Let (X, d) be a metric space and $E \subset X$ be a nonempty subset. Define a function $f: X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by:

$$f(x) := \inf \{ d(x, y) : y \in E \}.$$

Prove that f is uniformly continuous on X .

Solution. Let $\delta > 0$ and suppose that $x_1, x_2 \in X$ has distance $d(x_1, x_2) < \delta$. We claim that $|f(x_1) - f(x_2)| < 2\delta$. (This proves that f is uniformly continuous.) Since $f(x_1) = \inf \{ d(x_1, y) : y \in E \} \geq 0$, there exists $y \in E$ such that $d(x_1, y) < f(x_1) + \delta$. Hence

$$\delta > d(x_1, x_2) \geq d(x_2, y) - d(y, x_1) > f(x_2) - f(x_1) - \delta.$$

Therefore $f(x_2) - f(x_1) < 2\delta$. One can use the same argument to show that $f(x_1) - f(x_2) < 2\delta$. This proves the claim.

Let $S_1 = (\mathbb{R}, d_{\text{std}})$ be the standard metric space of real numbers, i.e. $d_{\text{std}}(x, y) = |x - y|$. Let $S_2 = (\mathbb{R}, d_0)$ be the metric space whose elements are still the real numbers, but equips with a different distance function:

$$d_0(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \neq y, \\ 0, & \text{if } x = y. \end{cases}$$

- (1) Describe all the open subsets of S_2 , and justify your answer.
- (2) Describe all the compact subsets of S_2 , and justify your answer.
- (3) Describe all the continuous functions $f: S_2 \rightarrow S_1$, and justify your answer.
- (4) Describe all the continuous functions $f: S_1 \rightarrow S_2$, and justify your answer. (Hint: What are the connected subsets of S_2 ?)

(Warning: Do NOT simply copy and paste the definition of open, compact, or continuous. Give more explicit descriptions.)

Solution. (1) Any subset of S_2 is an open subset of S_2 . Firstly, observe that any point $x \in \mathbb{R}$ is an open subset of S_2 , since the open ball of radius $\frac{1}{2}$ centered at x consists of a single element: $B_{\frac{1}{2}}(x) = \{x\}$. Secondly, one can consider any subset $E \subset S_2$ as union of open subsets:

$$E = \cup_{x \in E} \{x\},$$

hence E is open.

(2) A subset $E \subset S_2$ is compact if and only if E consists of finitely many elements. Firstly, it is clear that any finite subset $E \subset S_2$ is compact, since any open cover of E has a finite sub-cover. Conversely, suppose $E \subset S_2$ consists of infinitely many elements. Then

$$\{\{x\}\}_{x \in E}$$

gives an open cover of E , since we proved in Part (1) that any point is an open subset of S_2 . However, this open cover of E does not admit any finite sub-cover. Hence any infinite set $E \subset S_2$ is not compact.

(3) Any function $f: S_2 \rightarrow S_1$ is continuous. Recall that $f: S_2 \rightarrow S_1$ is continuous if and only if the preimage $f^{-1}(U) \subset S_2$ is open for any open subset $U \subset S_1$. This condition is always satisfied because any subset in S_2 is open by Part (1).

(4) A function $f: S_1 \rightarrow S_2$ is continuous if and only if f is a constant function. Firstly, it is clear that any constant function is continuous. Conversely,

suppose that $f: S_1 \rightarrow S_2$ is continuous. Then the image of a connected subset of S_1 under f is a connected subset of S_2 . In particular, the whole range $f(\mathbb{R}) \subset S_2$ is connected in S_2 . By Part (1), any nonempty subset $E \subset S_2$ is disconnected unless E consists of a single element. Hence $f(\mathbb{R})$ is a single element, i.e. f is a constant function.

Let $f: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function. Prove that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^k f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) = 0.$$

Solution. f is uniformly continuous on $[0, 1]$ since $[0, 1]$ is compact. Hence for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $N > 0$ such that

$$|x - y| < \frac{1}{N}, \quad x, y \in [0, 1] \implies |f(x) - f(y)| < \epsilon.$$

Consider any $n > N$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^k f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \right| &\leq \frac{1}{n} \left(\left| -f\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) + f\left(\frac{2}{n}\right) \right| + \left| -f\left(\frac{3}{n}\right) + f\left(\frac{4}{n}\right) \right| + \cdots \right) \\ &< \frac{1}{n} \left(\epsilon \cdot \frac{n}{2} + |f(1)| \right) = \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{|f(1)|}{n}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$\frac{-\epsilon}{2} \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^k f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^k f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

Since these inequalities hold for any $\epsilon > 0$, we have

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^k f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^k f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) = 0.$$

Hence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^k f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) = 0.$$

Suppose that $f: [1, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is uniformly continuous on $[1, \infty)$. Prove that there exists $M > 0$ such that

$$\frac{|f(x)|}{x} \leq M \text{ holds for any } x \geq 1.$$

Solution. Since f is uniformly continuous, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$|x - y| < \delta, \ x, y \geq 1 \implies |f(x) - f(y)| < 1.$$

For any $x \geq 1$, there exists $x_0 \in [1, 1 + \delta]$ such that $x - x_0 = \frac{N\delta}{2}$ for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$|f(x) - f(x_0)| \leq \sum_{i=1}^N \left| f\left(x - \frac{(i-1)\delta}{2}\right) - f\left(x - \frac{i\delta}{2}\right) \right| < N < \frac{2x}{\delta}.$$

Since f is continuous on the compact set $[1, 1 + \delta]$, there exists $N' > 0$ such that

$$|f(x_0)| < N' \text{ for any } x_0 \in [1, 1 + \delta].$$

Hence for any $x \geq 1$, we have

$$\frac{|f(x)|}{x} < \frac{\frac{2x}{\delta} + N'}{x} = \frac{2}{\delta} + \frac{N'}{x} \leq \frac{2}{\delta} + N'.$$

Let M be the constant $M := \frac{2}{\delta} + N'$.

9

Prove that the closed interval $[a, b]$ is not of measure zero in \mathbb{R} .
(Hint: Suppose there is a "bad" covering of $[a, b]$ by open intervals whose total length is less than $b - a$. First prove that you can assume the covering is finite. Take a bad covering $\{U_1, \dots, U_n\}$ consists of n open intervals. Then prove that there exists a bad covering consists of no more than $n - 1$ open intervals. Show that this implies the existence of a bad covering consists of a single open interval, and get a contradiction.)

Solution. We say a covering of $[a, b]$ by (at most countably many) open intervals is *bad* if its total length is less than $b - a$. To show that $[a, b]$ is not of measure zero, it suffices to show that *there is no bad coverings*.

Assume the contrary that $\{U_i\}$ is a bad covering of $[a, b]$. Since $[a, b]$ is compact, there is a finite sub-covering $\{U_1, \dots, U_n\}$ of $\{U_i\}$ that covers $[a, b]$. Note that the total length of $\{U_1, \dots, U_n\}$ can not be larger than the total length of $\{U_i\}$, hence $\{U_1, \dots, U_n\}$ is a bad covering.

We claim that if $n \geq 2$, then there exists a bad covering of $[a, b]$ which consists of less than n open intervals. Without loss of generality, suppose that $a \in U_1$. Write $U_1 = (a - \epsilon, c)$ where $\epsilon > 0$ and $c > a$. Suppose that $c > b$, then U_1 covers the whole interval $[a, b]$ and this proves the claim. Otherwise, suppose $c \leq b$. Then there is another open interval in $\{U_2, \dots, U_n\}$ that contains c , say $c \in U_2$. Write $U_2 = (c - \delta, d)$ where $\delta > 0$ and $d > c$. Now we define $V := (a - \epsilon, d) = U_1 \cup U_2$. Then $\{V, U_3, \dots, U_n\}$ is

a covering of $[a, b]$ by $n - 1$ open intervals, and the total length is less than $b - a$ since

$$\text{length}(V) + \sum_{i=3}^n \text{length}(U_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n \text{length}(U_i) - \delta < \sum_{i=1}^n \text{length}(U_i) < b - a,$$

so it is a bad covering. This proves the claim.

By induction, this proves that there exists a bad covering of $[a, b]$ consists of a single open interval $U = (c, d)$. Then we have $c < a < b < d$, hence

$$\text{length}(U) = d - c > b - a.$$

This contradicts with $\{U\}$ is a bad covering since its total length is greater than $b - a$.

10

Let $a > 0$ be any positive real number. Prove that there exists a unique continuous function $f: [-a, a] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that for any $x \in [-a, a]$ the following equality holds:

$$f(x) = 1 + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-a}^a \frac{1}{1 + (x - y)^2} f(y) dy.$$

Moreover, prove that this function f is positive, i.e. $f(x) > 0$ for any $x \in [-a, a]$.

(Hint: You can use the contraction mapping theorem on complete metric spaces we mentioned in class, i.e. any contraction map on a complete metric space has a unique fixed point.)

Solution. Consider the metric space

$$\mathcal{C}([-a, a]) := \{f: [-a, a] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ continuous function}\}$$

equipped with the distance function given by the sup norm (cf. HW12 #1). By HW12 #1(d), this gives a complete metric space. Consider the following function

$$F: \mathcal{C}([-a, a]) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}([-a, a]), \quad f \mapsto F(f),$$

where $F(f)$ is defined by

$$(F(f))(x) = 1 + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-a}^a \frac{1}{1 + (x - y)^2} f(y) dy.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} d(F(f), F(g)) &= \sup_{x \in X} \frac{1}{\pi} \left| \int_{-a}^a \frac{1}{1 + (x - y)^2} (f(y) - g(y)) dy \right| \\ &\leq d(f, g) \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-a}^a \frac{1}{1 + y^2} dy \end{aligned}$$

Observe that

$$K_a := \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-a}^a \frac{1}{1+y^2} dy < 1$$

since

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1+y^2} dy = 1.$$

Hence F is a contraction map on the complete metric space $\mathcal{C}([-a, a])$, therefore has a unique fixed point. This proves the first part of the question.

Now we prove that the function f which gives the fixed point is a positive function. Since f is continuous on the compact set $[-a, a]$, the infimum of its value is achieved, say by $x_0 \in [-a, a]$. Then

$$f(x_0) = 1 + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-a}^a \frac{1}{1+(x_0-y)^2} f(y) dy \geq 1 + f(x_0) \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-a}^a \frac{1}{1+(x_0-y)^2} dy.$$

Since

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-a}^a \frac{1}{1+(x_0-y)^2} dy < 1,$$

we obtain $f(x_0) > 0$. Therefore $f(x) \geq f(x_0) > 0$ for any $x \in [-a, a]$.