

Let  $V = V_{\lambda_1} \oplus \dots \oplus V_{\lambda_n}$  be the eigenspace decomp. of the diagonalizable map  $T: V \rightarrow V$ .

(where  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  are the distinct eigenvalues of  $T$ ).

Claim: If  $W \subseteq V$  and  $T(W) \subseteq W$ , then

$$W = (V_{\lambda_1} \cap W) \oplus \dots \oplus (V_{\lambda_n} \cap W).$$

( $\Rightarrow T|_W: W \rightarrow W$  is diagonalizable).

Note: It's clear that

$$(V_{\lambda_1} \cap W) \oplus \dots \oplus (V_{\lambda_n} \cap W) \subseteq W.$$

But the converse inclusion is NOT true in general without the assumption  $T(W) \subseteq W$ .

e.g.  $T = T_A: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ , where  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$ .

Then  $\mathbb{R}^2 = \underbrace{\text{Span}\left\{\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}\right\}}_{V_2} \oplus \underbrace{\text{Span}\left\{\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}\right\}}_{V_3}$

is the eigenspace decomp. w.r.t.  $T$ .

Consider  $W = \text{Span}\left\{\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}\right\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ .

It is NOT invariant under  $T$ , i.e.  $T(W) \not\subseteq W$ ,

and  $W \neq \underbrace{(V_2 \cap W)}_{\{0\}} \oplus \underbrace{(V_3 \cap W)}_{\{0\}} = \{0\}.$

So what we need to show is:

Claim: If  $T(W) \subseteq W$ , then

$$W \subseteq (V_{\lambda_1} \cap W) \oplus \dots \oplus (V_{\lambda_n} \cap W).$$

For any  $\vec{w} \in W$ ,  $\exists! \vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_n \in V$

$$\text{s.t. } \vec{w} = \vec{v}_1 + \dots + \vec{v}_n \text{ and } \vec{v}_i \in V_{\lambda_i} \forall i$$

(the decomp. into eigenvectors)

If we can show that each  $\vec{v}_i$  actually lies in  $W$ .

(using the fact that  $T(W) \subseteq W$ ), then we'll have

$$\vec{v}_i \in W \cap V_{\lambda_i} \forall i, \text{ which proves the claim.}$$

This was proved in the solution.