


- Today:
- Riemann-Hilbert problem from BPS data
  - Introduction to mirror symmetry.
- 

Recap:



Bridgeland's observation: For certain family of BPS data, the asymptotic behavior of  $\log z_r$  reproduces (part of) the  $g=0$  Gopakumar-Vafa invariants on a CY3-fold  $X$ .

RH problem: Fix  $\zeta \in T$ .  $H_\zeta$

For each non-active ray  $\ell$ , we want to find a holomorphic function

$$\bar{\Phi}_\ell: H_\zeta \rightarrow T \text{ st.}$$

$$(RH1) \quad \text{Diagram showing rays } \ell_1, \ell_2 \text{ meeting at a point } \Delta \text{ on the boundary of the sector.}$$

$$\bar{\Phi}_{\ell_1} = \zeta(\ell) \circ \bar{\Phi}_{\ell_2}$$

$$\zeta(\ell) = \prod_{\ell' \in \Delta} \zeta(\ell')$$

$$(RH2) \quad \exp\left(2\pi i \frac{Z(\gamma)}{\ell}\right) \cdot \chi_\gamma(\bar{\Phi}_\ell(t)) \rightarrow \zeta(\gamma) \text{ as } t \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } H_\zeta \quad \forall \gamma \in \Gamma.$$

$$(RH3) \quad |t|^{-k} < |\chi_\gamma(\bar{\Phi}_\ell(t))| < |t|^k \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty \text{ in } H_\zeta.$$

Notation:  $\bar{\Phi}_{\ell, \gamma}(t) := X_\gamma(\bar{\Phi}_\ell(t)) : \mathbb{H}_\ell \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$

$$\bar{\Psi}_{\ell, \gamma}(t) := \exp(2\pi i \gamma(r)t) X_\gamma(\bar{\Phi}_\ell(t)) \zeta(r)^{-1}.$$


fmks: To solve  $\{\bar{\Phi}_\ell\}_\ell \Leftrightarrow$  To solve  $\{\bar{\Phi}_{\ell, \gamma}\}_{\ell, \gamma}$  or  $\{\bar{\Psi}_{\ell, \gamma}\}_{\ell, \gamma}$ .

- $\beta$  is a null class ( $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = 0 \wedge \alpha$  active), then  $\bar{\Psi}_{\ell, \beta} = 1$  for any solution of RH problem.

e.g. (doubled  $A_1$ -quiver) Fix  $z \in \mathbb{C}^*$ .

- $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z} \gamma \oplus \mathbb{Z} \gamma^\vee$ ,  $\langle \gamma^\vee, \gamma \rangle = 1$ .
- $\mathbb{Z}(a\gamma, b\gamma^\vee) := az$
- $\Omega(a\gamma, b\gamma^\vee) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (a, b) = (\pm 1, 0) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

- active class:  $(\pm 1, 0) = \circlearrowleft \gamma \circlearrowright$



- $\gamma$  is a null class,  $\gamma^\vee$  is not a null class.

We want to solve for  $\bar{\Phi}_{\ell, \gamma^\vee}$  for  $\ell \neq \ell_\pm$

(RH1)  $\Rightarrow \bar{\Phi}_{\ell, \gamma^\vee}$  has analytic continuation to  $\mathbb{C} \setminus l_-$

$\bar{\Phi}_{-\ell, \gamma^\vee}$  has analytic continuation to  $\mathbb{C} \setminus l_+$ .

wall-crossing condition:  $\bar{\Phi}_{-\ell} = \varsigma(\ell_+) \circ \bar{\Phi}_\ell$ .

$$\chi_{yv} \circ \bar{\Phi}_{-\ell} = \chi_{yv} \circ \varsigma(\ell_+) \circ \bar{\Phi}_\ell.$$

$$\chi_{yv} \circ \varsigma(\ell_+) = \chi_{yv} (1 - \chi_y)^{\langle y^v, y \rangle} = \chi_{yv} (1 - \chi_y)$$

$$\chi_{yv} (\bar{\Phi}_\ell(t)) = \chi_{yv} (\bar{\Phi}_\ell(t)) - \chi_{yv} (\bar{\Phi}_\ell(t)) \chi_y (\bar{\Phi}_\ell(t))$$

//

$$= \bar{\Phi}_{\ell, rv}(t) - \bar{\Phi}_{\ell, rv}(t) \bar{\Phi}_{\ell, r}(t).$$

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\Phi}_{\ell, rv}(t) &= \bar{\Phi}_{\ell, rv}(t) \left( 1 - \underbrace{\bar{\Phi}_{\ell, r}(t)}_{\text{red}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Since  $y$  is null,  $\bar{\Phi}_{\ell, r}(t) \equiv 1$ .  $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}^*$   $\xi \in \mathbb{C}^*$

$$\Rightarrow \bar{\Phi}_{\ell, r}(t) = \exp(-2\pi i \frac{\zeta}{\ell} t) \xi$$

$$\text{② } \bar{\Phi}_{\ell, rv}$$

RH problem: Find  $\chi_\pm(t): \mathbb{C}^* \setminus \ell_\mp \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$  holomorphic funcs.

at.

$$1) \quad \chi_-(t) = \begin{cases} \chi_+(t) \cdot (1 - \zeta e^{-2\pi i \ell_+ t}) & \text{for } t \in H_{\ell_+} \\ \chi_+(t) \cdot (1 - \zeta^{-1} e^{2\pi i \ell_- t}) & \text{for } t \in H_{\ell_-} \end{cases}$$

$$2) \quad \chi_\pm(t) \rightarrow 1 \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty \text{ in } \mathbb{C}^* \setminus \ell_\mp$$

$$3) \quad |t|^{-k} < |\chi_\pm(t)| < |t|^k \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty \text{ in } \mathbb{C}^* \setminus \ell_\mp.$$

Remark: Solution is unique (if exists):


Thm: When  $\zeta = 1$ .  $\exists!$  solution  $X_+(t) = \Lambda\left(\frac{z}{t}\right)$ ,  $X_-(t) = \Lambda\left(\frac{-z}{t}\right)^{-1}$ ,  
 where  $\Lambda(w) = \frac{e^w \Gamma(w)}{\sqrt{2\pi} w^{w-\frac{1}{2}}}$  holomorphic on  $\mathbb{C}^* \setminus \mathbb{R}_{<0}$ .

Thm  $\zeta = 1$ ,  $(\Pi, \mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{S})$ : finite (finitely many active classes),  
 uncoupled ( $\langle Y_1, Y_2 \rangle = 0$   $\forall Y_1, Y_2$  active)., integral ( $S(Y) \in \mathbb{Z}$ ),

then  $\Psi_{\ell, \beta}(t) = \prod_{\substack{Y \in \Pi \\ Y \text{ active}}} \Lambda\left(\frac{z(Y)}{t}\right)^{S(Y) \langle \beta, Y \rangle}$ .

Def A variation of BPS data over a complex manifold  $M$  if

- $\mathcal{F}$  fixed.
- $Z_p(Y) \in \mathbb{C}$  varies holomorphically in  $p \in M$   $\forall Y \in \Pi$ .
- satisfies wall-crossing formulae



$$S_p(\Delta) = \sum_{l \in \partial \Delta} S_p(l) \text{ is constant in } p \in M,$$

as long as  $\partial \Delta$  is not active.

- the map  $M \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(\Pi, \mathbb{C})$  is a local isomorphism,  
 $p \longmapsto Z_p$

$Z$ -function (on  $M$ ): Choose a basis  $\Pi = \langle Y_1, \dots, Y_n \rangle$ , then this gives a local coordinate  $\{z_i = Z(Y_i)\}$  of  $M$ .  
 For a family of solutions of RH problems  $\Psi_{\ell}(p, t) : M \times \mathbb{H}_\ell \rightarrow T$ .

say  $\mathcal{Z}_\lambda: M \times \mathbb{H}_\lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$  is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -function if:

$$\frac{\partial \log \tilde{\Psi}_{\lambda, r_i}}{\partial t} = \sum_j \langle r_i, r_j \rangle \frac{\partial \log \mathcal{Z}_\lambda}{\partial z_j}.$$

Thm (Bridgeland)  $(\Gamma, Z_p, S_p)$  p.v.M variation of finite, uncoupled, integral BPS data.  $\rightsquigarrow$  unique solution  $t_p \in M$   $\rightsquigarrow \mathcal{Z}_\lambda$  of RH problem.

$$\mathcal{Z}_\lambda(p, t) = \prod_{z_p(\gamma) \in \mathbb{H}_\lambda} \Gamma\left(\frac{z(\gamma)}{t}\right)^{S_\lambda(\gamma)},$$

where  $\Gamma(w) := \frac{e^{-\zeta'(1)} e^{\frac{3}{4} w^2}}{(2\pi)^{w/2} w^{w^2/2}}$  halo. on  $\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}_{>0}$

(main property:  $\frac{d}{dw} \log \Gamma(w) = w \frac{d}{dw} \log \Lambda(w)$ )

( $G$  - Barnes G-function., double Gamma functions

$$G(w+1) = \Gamma(w) G(w), \quad G(1) = 1, \quad G(n) = (n-2)! (n-3)! \cdots 1!$$

Rmk:  $\log \mathcal{Z}_\lambda(p, t) \xrightarrow[t \rightarrow 0]{\text{in } \mathbb{H}_\lambda} \frac{1}{24} \sum_{z_p(\gamma) \in \mathbb{H}_\lambda} S_p(\gamma) \log\left(\frac{t}{z_p(\gamma)}\right)$

$$+ \boxed{\sum_{g \geq 2} \sum_{z_p(\gamma) \in \mathbb{H}_\lambda} \frac{S_p(\gamma) B_{2g}}{4g(zg-2)} \left(\frac{t}{z_p(\gamma)}\right)^{2g-2}}$$

$$\zeta(s, a) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(ka)^s}$$

$$G(z) = e^{\frac{1}{12} - \zeta'(1, z)} \cdot \Gamma(z)^{-1} \cdot C.$$

$\uparrow$   
 $g = 0$  GV invariants of CY3.

GW potential function of CY 3-fold X.

$$F(x, \lambda) = \sum_{g \geq 0} \sum_{\beta \in H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})} G_W(g, \beta) x^\beta \lambda^{2g-2} = F_0(x, \lambda) + \tilde{F}(x, \lambda)$$

$\uparrow$        $\uparrow$   
 $\beta=0$        $\beta \neq 0$

$$F_0(x, \lambda) = a_0(x) \lambda^{-2} + a_1(x) + \sum_{g \geq 2} \boxed{x(x) \frac{(-1)^{g-1} B_{2g} B_{2g-2}}{4g(2g-2)(2g-4)!} \lambda^{2g-2}}$$

Hodge integral on  $M_g$   
 (Faber-Pandharipande)

$$\tilde{F}(x, \lambda) = \sum_{g \geq 0} \sum_{\beta \neq 0} G_V(g, \beta) \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{1}{k} \left( 2 \sin\left(\frac{k\pi}{2}\right) \right)^{2g-2} x^{k\beta}.$$

Consider only  $G_V$  inv var  $\rightarrow$

$$\sum_{\beta \neq 0} G_V(0, \beta) \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{1}{k} \underbrace{\left( 2 \sin\left(\frac{k\pi}{2}\right) \right)^2}_{\downarrow} x^{k\beta}$$

$$\frac{1}{x^2} - \frac{1}{12} + \sum_{g \geq 2} \frac{(-1)^{g-1} B_{2g}}{2g(2g-2)!} x^{2g-2}$$

$$= b_0(x) \lambda^{-2} + b_1(x) + \sum_{g \geq 2} \left( \sum_{\beta \neq 0} G_V(0, \beta) \frac{(-1)^{g-1} B_{2g}}{2g(2g-2)!} L_{3-2g}(x^\beta) \right) \lambda^{2g-2}$$

$$(L_k(x) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{x^n}{n!})$$

Consider the following family of BPS data:

$$\mathcal{A} = \text{Coh}_{\leq 1}^{\text{CY3}}(X)$$

base of family of BPS data  
 $M = M_{Kab}^4(X) \ni w_C := B + i\omega, \quad B, \omega \in H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R}), \quad \omega \text{-ample}$

$$\rightarrow \Gamma = H_2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{\|} \cong (\mathbb{P}, n), \quad \langle -, - \rangle \equiv 0.$$

$H_0(X, \mathbb{Z})$

- $Z_{w_0}(\beta, n) := \beta \cdot w_0 - n$ .
- For  $\gamma \in \mathbb{P}$ ,  $\Omega(\gamma) \in \mathbb{Q}$  defined by Joyce-Song using moduli stack of semistable objects in  $\mathcal{A} = \text{Coh}_{\leq 1}(X)$ .
  - These BPS numbers are independent of  $w_0$ .

Fact:  $S(\Delta)$  determines the BPS numbers  $\Omega(\gamma)$  for  $\gamma$  s.t.  $\gamma(\gamma) \in \Delta$

In our case,  $\langle -, - \rangle \equiv 0$ .  $\Rightarrow$  wall-crossing automorphisms are all trivial  
 $\Rightarrow \Omega(\gamma)$  independent of  $w_0$

$$\Omega(0, n) = -\chi(X) \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$$

$$(\text{conj}): \Omega(\beta, n) = GV(0, \beta) \quad \beta \neq 0.$$

Conclusion: If we plug these  $(Z, \Omega)$  into the asymptotic expansion of  $\log Z$ , under the change of variables:

$$\lambda = 2\pi i t, \quad x^\beta = \exp(2\pi i \beta \cdot w_0),$$


it reproduces the  $g=0$  contribution to the GV generating function.

Mirror symmetry:  $(CY \leftrightarrow CY, \text{ Fano} \leftrightarrow LG)$

upshot: duality between complex structures & symplectic structures.

e.g. elliptic curve

$$E = \mathbb{C}/\Lambda$$





Mirror symmetry:  $\exists E^\vee$  st. complex  $(E) \overset{\sim}{=} \text{symplectic}(E^\vee)$   
 symplectic  $(E) \overset{\sim}{=} \text{complex}(E^\vee)$

- Complex geometry of  $E$  is governed by  $z \in \mathbb{H}$
- Symplectic manifold  $(X, \omega)$   
↑ non-degenerate closed 2-form.

Symplectic geometry of  $E \rightarrow$  volume form on  $E$ .

e.g.



Complex:

$$\begin{matrix} a \\ \downarrow \\ b \end{matrix} \quad \begin{matrix} a \\ \nearrow \\ b \end{matrix} \quad ab$$

Symplectic:

$$\begin{matrix} ab \\ \swarrow \\ a \\ \searrow \\ b \end{matrix}$$

Strøminger-Yau-Zaslow conjecture: "Mirror symmetry is T-duality"

(Later)

Rmk: For general  $z \in \mathbb{H}$ , one needs to consider "complexified volume form".

$$H \Rightarrow iA = \begin{matrix} z \\ \nearrow \\ E_z \\ \searrow \\ 1 \end{matrix}$$

$$\boxed{A}$$

$$\text{Area} = A \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} + i\mathbb{R}$$

$$\omega = A dx \wedge dy$$

Kontsevich's Homological mirror symmetry conjecture:  $D^b_{\text{coh}}(E_z) \cong D^{\pi_1}_{\text{Fuk}}(E, w)$

We'll examine the morphisms of certain objects, and recover basic identity of theta functions.

$D^b_{\text{coh}}(E_z)$ : We'll choose 3 objects:  $\underline{L}_0, \underline{L}_1, \underline{L}_2$

- Consider  $E_z = \mathbb{C}/\langle z_1, z_2 \rangle \xrightarrow[\sim]{\exp(z\pi i \cdot)} \mathbb{C}^*/\text{uniqu} \cong \text{Eq.}$ ,  $q = e^{2\pi i z}$ .

$$z \longmapsto \exp(z\pi i z).$$

- for any holomorphic function  $\varphi: \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ , we define a line bundle  $L_q(\varphi) \rightarrow \text{Eq}$ :

$$L_q(\varphi) = \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C} / (u, v) \sim (qu, \varphi(u)v).$$

- Choose a particular line bundle on  $\text{Eq}$  of degree 1 ( $\exists$  global section that vanishes at exactly 1 point).

$$\varphi_0(u) := q^{-\frac{1}{2}} u^{-1}. \quad \hookrightarrow \quad L_q(\varphi_0) \rightarrow \text{Eq}.$$

$$\text{Then } \Theta(z_1, z_2) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{\pi i (n^2 z_1 + 2nz_2)} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{\frac{1}{2}n^2} u^n$$

is a section of  $L_q(\varphi_0)$ : ( $\Theta$  vanishes at  $\frac{1+2}{2}$  in the

$$\sum_n q^{\frac{1}{2}n^2} u^n \quad \parallel \quad (u, \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{\frac{1}{2}n^2} u^n) \sim (qu, \varphi_0(u) \sum_n q^{\frac{1}{2}n^2} u^n) \quad \begin{matrix} \text{fundamental} \\ \text{of } \langle z_1, z_2 \rangle \end{matrix}$$

$$\sum_n q^{\frac{1}{2}(n+1)^2 - \frac{1}{2}} u^n \quad \parallel \quad \left( qu, q^{-\frac{1}{2}} u^{-1} \sum_n q^{\frac{1}{2}n^2} u^n \right)$$

$$\sum_n q^{\frac{1}{2}n^2 - \frac{1}{2}} u^{n-1}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_0 = \emptyset, \quad \mathcal{L}_1 = \mathcal{L}_q(\varphi_b), \quad \mathcal{L}_2 = \mathcal{L}_q(\varphi_b)^{\otimes 2}.$$

Theta functions:

$$\Theta[\alpha, z_0](z, \tau) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \exp \left\{ \tau i \left[ (n+\alpha)^2 z + 2(n+\alpha)(z+z_0) \right] \right\}.$$

Fact:  $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{L}_0, \mathcal{L}_1) = H^0(E_\tau, \mathcal{L}_1) = \langle \Theta[0, 0](z, \tau) \rangle_C.$


$\text{Hom}(\mathcal{L}_0, \mathcal{L}_2) = H^0(E_\tau, \mathcal{L}_2) = \langle \Theta[0, 0](zz, \tau), \Theta[\frac{1}{2}, 0](zz, \tau) \rangle_C$

---

D<sup>ti</sup>Funk(E, ω):  $(X^{2n}, \omega)$

Objecto: Roughly, Lagrangian submanifolds  $L^n \subseteq (X^{2n}, \omega)$   
with  $\omega|_L \equiv 0$ .  
(+ extra data).

In our case, any curve in E.



Morphism  $\text{Hom}(L, L') = \bigoplus_{p \in L \cap L'} \mathbb{C} \{ p \}$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}(\mathcal{L}_0, \mathcal{L}_1) &= \text{Hom}(L_1, L_2) = \mathbb{C} \cdot p_1 \\ \text{Hom}(\mathcal{L}_0, \mathcal{L}_2) &= \mathbb{C} p_1 \oplus \mathbb{C} p_2. \end{aligned}$$

## Composition of morphisms:

$$m_2: \text{Hom}(L, L') \otimes \text{Hom}(L', L'') \rightarrow \text{Hom}(L, L'')$$

$$p \otimes q \mapsto \sum_{r \in L \cap L''} C(p, q, r) r$$

where


$$C(p, q, r) = \sum \exp(2\pi i \text{Area}_w \left( \begin{array}{c} r \\ \diagdown \\ p \cup q \\ \diagup \\ L \cap L'' \end{array} \right))$$

$$\text{e.g. } \text{Hom}(L_0, L_1) \otimes \text{Hom}(L_1, L_2) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(L_0, L_2)$$

$$p_1 \otimes p_1 \mapsto \underline{C(p_1, p_1, p_1)} p_1 + \underline{C(p_1, p_1, p_2)} p_2$$

$C(p_1, p_1, p_1)$

all  $\Delta$  with vertices are integer points,  
and edges have slopes 0, 1, 2.




$$C(p_1, p_1, p_1) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \exp(2\pi i \text{Area}_w \left( \begin{array}{c} (2n, 2n) \\ \diagdown \\ (n, 2n) \\ \diagup \\ (0, 0) \end{array} \right))$$

$$= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \exp(2\pi i A n^2)$$


$$= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \exp(2\pi i Z n^2)$$

$$= \Theta[0, 0](Z, 0).$$




$$C(p_1, p_1, p_2) = \Theta[\frac{1}{2}, 0](Z, 0).$$

Complex



Symplectic



$$\omega = A dx \wedge dy$$

$L_0, L_1, L_2$

$L_0, L_1, L_2.$

$\text{Hom}(L_0, L_1)$

$$\langle \theta_{\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}}[0,0](z, z) \rangle_c$$

$\text{Hom}(L_1, L_2)$

$\text{Hom}(L_0, L_2)$

"

$$\langle \theta_{\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}}[0,0](zz, zz), \theta_{\begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{smallmatrix}}[1,1](zz, zz) \rangle_c$$

$\text{Hom}(L_0, L_1)$

$$\text{Hom}(L_1, L_2) \leftarrow \text{C} \cdot P_1$$

$$\text{Hom}(L_0, L_2) = \text{C}P_1 \oplus \text{C}P_2$$

$$m_2(P_1 \otimes P_2) = \theta_{\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}}[0,0](zz, 0) P_1 + \theta_{\begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{smallmatrix}}[1,1](zz, 0) P_2$$

$\xrightarrow{\text{HMS}}$

$$\theta_{\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}}[0,0](z, z)^2 = \theta_{\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}}[0,0](zz, 0) \theta_{\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}}[0,0](zz, zz)$$

$$+ \theta_{\begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{smallmatrix}}[1,1](zz, 0) \theta_{\begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{smallmatrix}}[1,1](zz, zz)$$

(addition formula of theta functions)

Rank: Theta functions form a particular basis of  $H^0(L)$ , which in this case are mirror to the intersections of the Lagrangians.

Gross, Hacking, Keel, Kontsevich, ...'s idea of canonical basis

$X = CY$ ,  $\mathcal{L}$ -ample l.b.

$\overset{\vee}{X}$ : mirror of  $X$ .

$$\text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{L}) \xleftarrow{\text{Mirror}} H^0(\mathcal{L})$$

$$\text{Hom}(L_{\mathcal{O}_X}, L_{\mathcal{L}}) = \bigoplus_{p \in \text{Intersection}} \mathbb{C} \cdot p$$

has a canonical basis given by the intersection points

there should be a canonical basis of  $H^0(\mathcal{L})$ .

