Finite subgroups of derived automorphisms of generic K3 surfaces

Yu-Wei Fan (Tsinghua)
Joint work with Kuan-Wen Lai (Bonn)

July 2023
1st International Congress of Basic Science

Slogan of main results

Let X be a Calabi–Yau manifold. It is expected that

$$D := D^b\mathrm{Coh}(X) \cong D^\pi\mathrm{Fuk}(X^\vee).$$

This triangulated category has autoequivalences arising from both complex geometry of X and symplectic geometry of $X^\vee$, for instance:

$$\mathrm{Aut}(X), \qquad L \otimes -; \qquad \mathsf{Symp}(X^\vee).$$

Slogan: When X is a K3 surface of Picard number one,

- there is no finite order autoequivalence arising from either $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$, $L \otimes -$, or $\mathsf{Symp}(X^\vee)$;
- there are interesting finite order autoequivalences given by mixings of complex and symplectic geometric autoequivalences;
- we give full classification and counting of finite subgroups of $\mathrm{Aut}(D)$ and $\mathrm{Aut}(D)/[2]$ up to conjugations.

Slogan of main results

Let X be a Calabi–Yau manifold. It is expected that

$$D := D^b\mathrm{Coh}(X) \cong D^\pi\mathrm{Fuk}(X^\vee).$$

This triangulated category has autoequivalences arising from both complex geometry of X and symplectic geometry of $X^\vee$, for instance:

$$\mathrm{Aut}(X), \qquad L \otimes -; \qquad \mathsf{Symp}(X^\vee).$$

Slogan: When X is a K3 surface of Picard number one,

- there is no finite order autoequivalence arising from either $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$, $L \otimes -$, or $\mathsf{Symp}(X^\vee)$;
- there are interesting finite order autoequivalences given by mixings of complex and symplectic geometric autoequivalences;
- we give full classification and counting of finite subgroups of $\mathrm{Aut}(D)$ and $\mathrm{Aut}(D)/[2]$ up to conjugations.

Slogan of main results

Let X be a Calabi–Yau manifold. It is expected that

$$D := D^b\mathrm{Coh}(X) \cong D^\pi\mathrm{Fuk}(X^\vee).$$

This triangulated category has autoequivalences arising from both complex geometry of X and symplectic geometry of $X^\vee$, for instance:

$$\mathrm{Aut}(X), \qquad L \otimes -; \qquad \mathrm{Symp}(X^\vee).$$

Slogan: When X is a K3 surface of Picard number one,

- there is no finite order autoequivalence arising from either $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$, $L \otimes -$, or $\mathrm{Symp}(X^\vee)$;
- there are interesting finite order autoequivalences given by mixings of complex and symplectic geometric autoequivalences;
- we give full classification and counting of finite subgroups of $\mathrm{Aut}(D)$ and $\mathrm{Aut}(D)/[2]$ up to conjugations.

Slogan of main results

Let X be a Calabi–Yau manifold. It is expected that

$$D := D^b\mathrm{Coh}(X) \cong D^\pi\mathrm{Fuk}(X^\vee).$$

This triangulated category has autoequivalences arising from both complex geometry of X and symplectic geometry of $X^\vee$, for instance:

$$\mathrm{Aut}(X), \qquad L \otimes -; \qquad \mathsf{Symp}(X^\vee).$$

Slogan: When X is a K3 surface of Picard number one,

- there is no finite order autoequivalence arising from either $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$, $L \otimes -$, or $\mathsf{Symp}(X^\vee)$;
- there are interesting finite order autoequivalences given by mixings of complex and symplectic geometric autoequivalences;
- we give full classification and counting of finite subgroups of $\mathrm{Aut}(D)$ and $\mathrm{Aut}(D)/[2]$ up to conjugations.

Slogan of main results

Let X be a Calabi–Yau manifold. It is expected that

$$D := D^b\mathrm{Coh}(X) \cong D^\pi\mathrm{Fuk}(X^\vee).$$

This triangulated category has autoequivalences arising from both complex geometry of X and symplectic geometry of $X^\vee$, for instance:

$$\mathrm{Aut}(X), \qquad L \otimes -; \qquad \mathsf{Symp}(X^\vee).$$

Slogan: When X is a K3 surface of Picard number one,

- there is no finite order autoequivalence arising from either $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$, $L \otimes -$, or $\mathsf{Symp}(X^\vee)$;
- there are interesting finite order autoequivalences given by mixings of complex and symplectic geometric autoequivalences;
- we give full classification and counting of finite subgroups of $\mathrm{Aut}(D)$ and $\mathrm{Aut}(D)/[2]$ up to conjugations.

Slogan of main results

Let X be a Calabi–Yau manifold. It is expected that

$$D := D^b\mathrm{Coh}(X) \cong D^\pi\mathrm{Fuk}(X^\vee).$$

This triangulated category has autoequivalences arising from both complex geometry of X and symplectic geometry of $X^\vee$, for instance:

$$\mathrm{Aut}(X), \qquad L \otimes -; \qquad \mathsf{Symp}(X^\vee).$$

Slogan: When X is a K3 surface of Picard number one,

- there is no finite order autoequivalence arising from either $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$, $L \otimes -$, or $\mathsf{Symp}(X^\vee)$;
- there are interesting finite order autoequivalences given by mixings of complex and symplectic geometric autoequivalences;
- we give full classification and counting of finite subgroups of $\mathrm{Aut}(D)$ and $\mathrm{Aut}(D)/[2]$ up to conjugations.

Finite order autoequivalences and Gepner points

Consider an one-parameter family of Calabi–Yau manifolds:

$$\left\{x_0^{n+1} + x_1^{n+1} + \cdots + x_n^{n+1} + t \cdot x_0 x_1 \cdots x_n = 0\right\} \subseteq \mathbb{CP}^n.$$



The monodromies correspond to autoequivalences of $D^b\mathrm{Coh}(X)$:

- large complex structure limit point: $\mathcal{O}(1) \otimes -$;
- conifold point: $T_{\mathcal{O}_X}(-)$;
- Gepner point: $\Phi := T_{\mathcal{O}_X} \circ (- \otimes \mathcal{O}(1))$, where $\Phi^{n+1} = [2]$.

In particular, when X is a K3 surface, we have $\Phi^4 = [2]$, therefore $\Phi^2[-1]$ is of order two in $\mathrm{Aut}(D^b(X))$.

Finite order autoequivalences and Gepner points

Consider an one-parameter family of Calabi–Yau manifolds:

$$\left\{x_0^{n+1} + x_1^{n+1} + \cdots + x_n^{n+1} + t \cdot x_0 x_1 \cdots x_n = 0\right\} \subseteq \mathbb{CP}^n.$$



The monodromies correspond to autoequivalences of $D^b\mathrm{Coh}(X)$:

- large complex structure limit point: $\mathcal{O}(1) \otimes -$;
- conifold point: $T_{\mathcal{O}_X}(-)$;
- Gepner point: $\Phi := T_{\mathcal{O}_X} \circ (- \otimes \mathcal{O}(1))$, where $\Phi^{n+1} = [2]$.

In particular, when X is a K3 surface, we have $\Phi^4 = [2]$, therefore $\Phi^2[-1]$ is of order two in $\mathrm{Aut}(D^b(X))$.

Finite order autoequivalences and Gepner points

Consider an one-parameter family of Calabi–Yau manifolds:

$$\left\{x_0^{n+1} + x_1^{n+1} + \cdots + x_n^{n+1} + t \cdot x_0 x_1 \cdots x_n = 0\right\} \subseteq \mathbb{CP}^n.$$



The monodromies correspond to autoequivalences of $D^b\mathrm{Coh}(X)$:

- large complex structure limit point: $\mathcal{O}(1) \otimes -$;
- conifold point: $T_{\mathcal{O}_X}(-)$;
- Gepner point: $\Phi := T_{\mathcal{O}_X} \circ (- \otimes \mathcal{O}(1))$, where $\Phi^{n+1} = [2]$.

In particular, when X is a K3 surface, we have $\Phi^4 = [2]$, therefore $\Phi^2[-1]$ is of order two in $\mathrm{Aut}(D^b(X))$.

Finite order autoequivalences and Gepner points

Consider an one-parameter family of Calabi–Yau manifolds:

$$\left\{x_0^{n+1} + x_1^{n+1} + \cdots + x_n^{n+1} + t \cdot x_0 x_1 \cdots x_n = 0\right\} \subseteq \mathbb{CP}^n.$$



The monodromies correspond to autoequivalences of $D^b\mathrm{Coh}(X)$:

- large complex structure limit point: $\mathcal{O}(1) \otimes -$;
- conifold point: $T_{\mathcal{O}_X}(-)$;
- Gepner point: $\Phi := T_{\mathcal{O}_X} \circ (- \otimes \mathcal{O}(1))$, where $\Phi^{n+1} = [2]$.

In particular, when X is a K3 surface, we have $\Phi^4 = [2]$, therefore $\Phi^2[-1]$ is of order two in $\mathrm{Aut}(D^b(X))$.

Finite order autoequivalences and Gepner points

Consider an one-parameter family of Calabi–Yau manifolds:

$$\left\{x_0^{n+1} + x_1^{n+1} + \cdots + x_n^{n+1} + t \cdot x_0 x_1 \cdots x_n = 0\right\} \subseteq \mathbb{CP}^n.$$



The monodromies correspond to autoequivalences of $D^b\mathrm{Coh}(X)$:

- large complex structure limit point: $\mathcal{O}(1) \otimes -$;
- conifold point: $T_{\mathcal{O}_X}(-)$;
- Gepner point: $\Phi := T_{\mathcal{O}_X} \circ (- \otimes \mathcal{O}(1))$, where $\Phi^{n+1} = [2]$.

In particular, when X is a K3 surface, we have $\Phi^4 = [2]$, therefore $\Phi^2[-1]$ is of order two in $\mathrm{Aut}(D^b(X))$.

Finite order autoequivalences and Gepner points

Consider an one-parameter family of Calabi–Yau manifolds:

$$\left\{x_0^{n+1} + x_1^{n+1} + \cdots + x_n^{n+1} + t \cdot x_0 x_1 \cdots x_n = 0\right\} \subseteq \mathbb{CP}^n.$$



The monodromies correspond to autoequivalences of $D^b\mathrm{Coh}(X)$:

- large complex structure limit point: $\mathcal{O}(1) \otimes -$;
- conifold point: $T_{\mathcal{O}_X}(-)$;
- Gepner point: $\Phi := T_{\mathcal{O}_X} \circ (- \otimes \mathcal{O}(1))$, where $\Phi^{n+1} = [2]$.

In particular, when X is a K3 surface, we have $\Phi^4 = [2]$, therefore $\Phi^2[-1]$ is of order two in $\mathrm{Aut}(D^b(X))$.

Automorphisms of K3 surfaces

As a warm-up, let us sketch the proof of the following result.

Theorem (Nikulin)

Let X be a complex projective K3 surface with $NS(X) \cong \langle H \rangle$. Then

$$\mathrm{Aut}(X) = \begin{cases} \{id\} & \text{if } H^2 > 2 \\ \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} & \text{if } H^2 = 2 \end{cases}$$

- There is an injective map $\mathrm{Aut}(X) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{O}\left(H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})\right)$.
- $f \in \mathrm{Aut}(X)$ acts trivially on $\mathrm{NS}(X)$: pullback of H is still ample.
- f acts as $\pm\mathrm{id}$ on $T(X) := \mathrm{NS}(X)^{\perp}$: true for any odd Picard number.
- Its induced actions on the discriminant groups $T(X)^*/T(X)$ and $\mathrm{NS}(X)^*/\mathrm{NS}(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}/(H^2)\mathbb{Z}$ coincide $\implies f = \mathrm{id}$ unless $H^2 = 2$.
- When $H^2 = 2$, X is a double cover over $\mathbb{P}^2$ and the covering involution gives the nontrivial automorphism.

Automorphisms of K3 surfaces

As a warm-up, let us sketch the proof of the following result.

Theorem (Nikulin)

Let X be a complex projective K3 surface with $NS(X) \cong \langle H \rangle$. Then

$$\mathrm{Aut}(X) = \begin{cases} \{id\} & \text{if } H^2 > 2 \\ \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} & \text{if } H^2 = 2 \end{cases}$$

- There is an injective map $\mathrm{Aut}(X) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{O}\left(H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})\right)$.
- $f \in \mathrm{Aut}(X)$ acts trivially on $\mathrm{NS}(X)$: pullback of H is still ample.
- f acts as $\pm\mathrm{id}$ on $T(X) := \mathrm{NS}(X)^{\perp}$: true for any odd Picard number.
- Its induced actions on the discriminant groups $T(X)^*/T(X)$ and $\mathrm{NS}(X)^*/\mathrm{NS}(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}/(H^2)\mathbb{Z}$ coincide $\implies f = \mathrm{id}$ unless $H^2 = 2$.
- When $H^2 = 2$, X is a double cover over $\mathbb{P}^2$ and the covering involution gives the nontrivial automorphism.

Automorphisms of K3 surfaces

As a warm-up, let us sketch the proof of the following result.

Theorem (Nikulin)

Let X be a complex projective K3 surface with $NS(X) \cong \langle H \rangle$. Then

$$\mathrm{Aut}(X) = \begin{cases} \{id\} & \text{if } H^2 > 2 \\ \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} & \text{if } H^2 = 2 \end{cases}$$

- There is an injective map $\mathrm{Aut}(X) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{O}\left(H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})\right)$.
- $f \in \mathrm{Aut}(X)$ acts trivially on $\mathrm{NS}(X)$: pullback of H is still ample.
- f acts as $\pm\mathrm{id}$ on $T(X) := \mathrm{NS}(X)^{\perp}$: true for any odd Picard number.
- Its induced actions on the discriminant groups $T(X)^*/T(X)$ and $\mathrm{NS}(X)^*/\mathrm{NS}(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}/(H^2)\mathbb{Z}$ coincide $\implies$ $f = \mathrm{id}$ unless $H^2 = 2$.
- When $H^2 = 2$, X is a double cover over $\mathbb{P}^2$ and the covering involution gives the nontrivial automorphism.

Automorphisms of K3 surfaces

As a warm-up, let us sketch the proof of the following result.

Theorem (Nikulin)

Let X be a complex projective K3 surface with $NS(X) \cong \langle H \rangle$. Then

$$\mathrm{Aut}(X) = \begin{cases} \{id\} & \text{if } H^2 > 2 \\ \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} & \text{if } H^2 = 2 \end{cases}$$

- There is an injective map $\mathrm{Aut}(X) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{O}\left(H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})\right)$.
- $f \in \mathrm{Aut}(X)$ acts trivially on $\mathrm{NS}(X)$: pullback of H is still ample.
- f acts as $\pm\mathrm{id}$ on $T(X) := \mathrm{NS}(X)^{\perp}$: true for any odd Picard number.
- Its induced actions on the discriminant groups $T(X)^*/T(X)$ and $\mathrm{NS}(X)^*/\mathrm{NS}(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}/(H^2)\mathbb{Z}$ coincide $\implies$ $f = \mathrm{id}$ unless $H^2 = 2$.
- When $H^2 = 2$, X is a double cover over $\mathbb{P}^2$ and the covering involution gives the nontrivial automorphism.

Automorphisms of K3 surfaces

As a warm-up, let us sketch the proof of the following result.

Theorem (Nikulin)

Let X be a complex projective K3 surface with $NS(X) \cong \langle H \rangle$. Then

$$\mathrm{Aut}(X) = \begin{cases} \{id\} & \text{if } H^2 > 2 \\ \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} & \text{if } H^2 = 2 \end{cases}$$

- There is an injective map $\mathrm{Aut}(X) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{O}\left(H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})\right)$.
- $f \in \mathrm{Aut}(X)$ acts trivially on $\mathrm{NS}(X)$: pullback of H is still ample.
- f acts as $\pm\mathrm{id}$ on $T(X) := \mathrm{NS}(X)^{\perp}$: true for any odd Picard number.
- Its induced actions on the discriminant groups $T(X)^*/T(X)$ and $\mathrm{NS}(X)^*/\mathrm{NS}(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}/(H^2)\mathbb{Z}$ coincide $\implies$ $f = \mathrm{id}$ unless $H^2 = 2$.
- When $H^2 = 2$, X is a double cover over $\mathbb{P}^2$ and the covering involution gives the nontrivial automorphism.

Automorphisms of K3 surfaces

As a warm-up, let us sketch the proof of the following result.

Theorem (Nikulin)

Let X be a complex projective K3 surface with $NS(X) \cong \langle H \rangle$. Then

$$\mathrm{Aut}(X) = \begin{cases} \{id\} & \text{if } H^2 > 2 \\ \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} & \text{if } H^2 = 2 \end{cases}$$

- There is an injective map $\mathrm{Aut}(X) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{O}\left(H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})\right)$.
- $f \in \mathrm{Aut}(X)$ acts trivially on $\mathrm{NS}(X)$: pullback of H is still ample.
- f acts as $\pm\mathrm{id}$ on $T(X) := \mathrm{NS}(X)^{\perp}$: true for any odd Picard number.
- Its induced actions on the discriminant groups $T(X)^*/T(X)$ and $\mathrm{NS}(X)^*/\mathrm{NS}(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}/(H^2)\mathbb{Z}$ coincide $\implies f = \mathrm{id}$ unless $H^2 = 2$.
- When $H^2 = 2$, X is a double cover over $\mathbb{P}^2$ and the covering involution gives the nontrivial automorphism.

Automorphisms of K3 surfaces

As a warm-up, let us sketch the proof of the following result.

Theorem (Nikulin)

Let X be a complex projective K3 surface with $NS(X) \cong \langle H \rangle$. Then

$$\mathrm{Aut}(X) = \begin{cases} \{id\} & \text{if } H^2 > 2 \\ \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} & \text{if } H^2 = 2 \end{cases}$$

- There is an injective map $\mathrm{Aut}(X) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{O}\left(H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})\right)$.
- $f \in \mathrm{Aut}(X)$ acts trivially on $\mathrm{NS}(X)$: pullback of H is still ample.
- f acts as $\pm\mathrm{id}$ on $T(X) := \mathrm{NS}(X)^{\perp}$: true for any odd Picard number.
- Its induced actions on the discriminant groups $T(X)^*/T(X)$ and $\mathrm{NS}(X)^*/\mathrm{NS}(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}/(H^2)\mathbb{Z}$ coincide $\implies f = \mathrm{id}$ unless $H^2 = 2$.
- When $H^2 = 2$, X is a double cover over $\mathbb{P}^2$ and the covering involution gives the nontrivial automorphism.

Some difficulties of generalizing to $\mathrm{Aut}(D^b(X))$

- If $\Phi \in \mathrm{Aut}(D^b(X))$ is of finite order, then its induced actions on

$$T(X) \quad \text{and} \quad N(X) = H^0(X) \oplus \mathrm{NS}(X) \oplus H^4(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}^3$$

  are of finite order.
- Φ still acts as $\pm\mathrm{id}$ on $T(X)$; but its action on $N(X)$ can be more complicated.

Strategy: We show that any finite order Φ fixes a Bridgeland stability condition on $D^b(X)$. In particular, it fixes a 2-plane in $N(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ pointwisely, therefore the action of Φ on $N(X)$ is either id or a reflection.

- In order to fully classify the finite subgroups of $\mathrm{Aut}(D^b(X))$, one needs to determine the conditions on finite subgroups of $T(X)$ and $N(X)$ of which there exists finite order lifts in $\mathrm{Aut}(D^b(X))$.

Some difficulties of generalizing to $\mathrm{Aut}(D^b(X))$

- If $\Phi \in \mathrm{Aut}(D^b(X))$ is of finite order, then its induced actions on

$$T(X) \quad \text{and} \quad N(X) = H^0(X) \oplus \mathrm{NS}(X) \oplus H^4(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}^3$$

  are of finite order.
- Φ still acts as $\pm\mathrm{id}$ on $T(X)$; but its action on $N(X)$ can be more complicated.

Strategy: We show that any finite order Φ fixes a Bridgeland stability condition on $D^b(X)$. In particular, it fixes a 2-plane in $N(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ pointwisely, therefore the action of Φ on $N(X)$ is either id or a reflection.

- In order to fully classify the finite subgroups of $\mathrm{Aut}(D^b(X))$, one needs to determine the conditions on finite subgroups of $T(X)$ and $N(X)$ of which there exists finite order lifts in $\mathrm{Aut}(D^b(X))$.

Some difficulties of generalizing to $\mathrm{Aut}(D^b(X))$

- If $\Phi \in \mathrm{Aut}(D^b(X))$ is of finite order, then its induced actions on

$$T(X) \quad \text{and} \quad N(X) = H^0(X) \oplus \mathrm{NS}(X) \oplus H^4(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}^3$$

  are of finite order.
- Φ still acts as $\pm\mathrm{id}$ on $T(X)$; but its action on $N(X)$ can be more complicated.

Strategy: We show that any finite order Φ fixes a Bridgeland stability condition on $D^b(X)$. In particular, it fixes a 2-plane in $N(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ pointwisely, therefore the action of Φ on $N(X)$ is either id or a reflection.

- In order to fully classify the finite subgroups of $\mathrm{Aut}(D^b(X))$, one needs to determine the conditions on finite subgroups of $T(X)$ and $N(X)$ of which there exists finite order lifts in $\mathrm{Aut}(D^b(X))$.

Some difficulties of generalizing to $\mathrm{Aut}(D^b(X))$

- If $\Phi \in \mathrm{Aut}(D^b(X))$ is of finite order, then its induced actions on

$$T(X) \quad \text{and} \quad N(X) = H^0(X) \oplus \mathrm{NS}(X) \oplus H^4(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}^3$$

  are of finite order.
- Φ still acts as $\pm\mathrm{id}$ on $T(X)$; but its action on $N(X)$ can be more complicated.

Strategy: We show that any finite order Φ fixes a Bridgeland stability condition on $D^b(X)$. In particular, it fixes a 2-plane in $N(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ pointwisely, therefore the action of Φ on $N(X)$ is either id or a reflection.

- In order to fully classify the finite subgroups of $\mathrm{Aut}(D^b(X))$, one needs to determine the conditions on finite subgroups of $T(X)$ and $N(X)$ of which there exists finite order lifts in $\mathrm{Aut}(D^b(X))$.

Some difficulties of generalizing to $\mathrm{Aut}(D^b(X))$

- If $\Phi \in \mathrm{Aut}(D^b(X))$ is of finite order, then its induced actions on

$$T(X) \quad \text{and} \quad N(X) = H^0(X) \oplus \mathrm{NS}(X) \oplus H^4(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}^3$$

  are of finite order.
- Φ still acts as $\pm\mathrm{id}$ on $T(X)$; but its action on $N(X)$ can be more complicated.

Strategy: We show that any finite order Φ fixes a Bridgeland stability condition on $D^b(X)$. In particular, it fixes a 2-plane in $N(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ pointwisely, therefore the action of Φ on $N(X)$ is either id or a reflection.

- In order to fully classify the finite subgroups of $\mathrm{Aut}(D^b(X))$, one needs to determine the conditions on finite subgroups of $T(X)$ and $N(X)$ of which there exists finite order lifts in $\mathrm{Aut}(D^b(X))$.

Autoequivalences act on stability conditions

A natural way of studying a group is via considering its actions on various spaces. The group of autoequivalences $\mathrm{Aut}(D)$ of a triangulated category admits a natural action on the space of its Bridgeland stability conditions.

This action ($\mathrm{Aut}(D) \curvearrowright \mathrm{Stab}(D)$) can be used to:

- define complexity (e.g. categorical entropy) of autoequivalences;
- provide classifications of autoequivalences (e.g. finite order, "reducible", "pseudo-Anosov", etc.);
- provide analogy with Teichmüller theory –

One of our key results, any finite order $\Phi \in \mathrm{Aut}(D^b(X))$ fixes a stability condition on $D^b(X)$ (when X is a K3 surface of Picard number one), actually is motivated from the analogy with Teichmüller theory.

Autoequivalences act on stability conditions

A natural way of studying a group is via considering its actions on various spaces. The group of autoequivalences $\mathrm{Aut}(D)$ of a triangulated category admits a natural action on the space of its Bridgeland stability conditions.

This action ($\mathrm{Aut}(D) \curvearrowright \mathrm{Stab}(D)$) can be used to:

- define complexity (e.g. categorical entropy) of autoequivalences;
- provide classifications of autoequivalences (e.g. finite order, "reducible", "pseudo-Anosov", etc.);
- provide analogy with Teichmüller theory –

One of our key results, any finite order $\Phi \in \mathrm{Aut}(D^b(X))$ fixes a stability condition on $D^b(X)$ (when X is a K3 surface of Picard number one), actually is motivated from the analogy with Teichmüller theory.

Autoequivalences act on stability conditions

A natural way of studying a group is via considering its actions on various spaces. The group of autoequivalences $\mathrm{Aut}(D)$ of a triangulated category admits a natural action on the space of its Bridgeland stability conditions.

This action ($\mathrm{Aut}(D) \curvearrowright \mathrm{Stab}(D)$) can be used to:

- define complexity (e.g. categorical entropy) of autoequivalences;
- provide classifications of autoequivalences (e.g. finite order, "reducible", "pseudo-Anosov", etc.);
- provide analogy with Teichmüller theory –

One of our key results, any finite order $\Phi \in \mathrm{Aut}(D^b(X))$ fixes a stability condition on $D^b(X)$ (when X is a K3 surface of Picard number one), actually is motivated from the analogy with Teichmüller theory.

Autoequivalences act on stability conditions

A natural way of studying a group is via considering its actions on various spaces. The group of autoequivalences $\mathrm{Aut}(D)$ of a triangulated category admits a natural action on the space of its Bridgeland stability conditions.

This action ($\mathrm{Aut}(D) \curvearrowright \mathrm{Stab}(D)$) can be used to:

- define complexity (e.g. categorical entropy) of autoequivalences;
- provide classifications of autoequivalences (e.g. finite order, "reducible", "pseudo-Anosov", etc.);
- provide analogy with Teichmüller theory –

One of our key results, any finite order $\Phi \in \mathrm{Aut}(D^b(X))$ fixes a stability condition on $D^b(X)$ (when X is a K3 surface of Picard number one), actually is motivated from the analogy with Teichmüller theory.

Autoequivalences act on stability conditions

A natural way of studying a group is via considering its actions on various spaces. The group of autoequivalences $\mathrm{Aut}(D)$ of a triangulated category admits a natural action on the space of its Bridgeland stability conditions.

This action ($\mathrm{Aut}(D) \curvearrowright \mathrm{Stab}(D)$) can be used to:

- define complexity (e.g. categorical entropy) of autoequivalences;
- provide classifications of autoequivalences (e.g. finite order, "reducible", "pseudo-Anosov", etc.);
- provide analogy with Teichmüller theory –

One of our key results, any finite order $\Phi \in \mathrm{Aut}(D^b(X))$ fixes a stability condition on $D^b(X)$ (when X is a K3 surface of Picard number one), actually is motivated from the analogy with Teichmüller theory.

A dictionary of analogy

(after Gaiotto, Moore, Neitzke; Bridgeland, Smith; Dimitrov, Haiden, Katzarkov, Kontsevich, etc.)

Riemann surface Σ	Triangulated category $\mathcal{D}$
curve C	object E
$C_1 \cap C_2$	$\mathrm{Hom}(E_1, E_2)$
metric g	Bridgeland stability condition σ
geodesics	semistable objects
length $\ell_g(C)$	mass $m_\sigma(E)$
$\mathrm{MCG}(\Sigma)$	$\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{D})$
$\mathrm{Teich}(\Sigma)$	$\mathrm{Stab}(\mathcal{D})$
$\cdots$	$\cdots$

Many analogous statements in Teichmüller theory can be proved in the categorical setting for $\mathcal{D} = D^b\mathrm{Coh}$(elliptic curve). An interesting general question is whether some of these can be generalized to dim ≥ 2.

A dictionary of analogy

(after Gaiotto, Moore, Neitzke; Bridgeland, Smith; Dimitrov, Haiden, Katzarkov, Kontsevich, etc.)

Riemann surface Σ	Triangulated category $\mathcal{D}$
curve C	object E
$C_1 \cap C_2$	$\mathrm{Hom}(E_1, E_2)$
metric g	Bridgeland stability condition σ
geodesics	semistable objects
length $\ell_g(C)$	mass $m_\sigma(E)$
$\mathrm{MCG}(\Sigma)$	$\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{D})$
$\mathrm{Teich}(\Sigma)$	$\mathrm{Stab}(\mathcal{D})$
$\dots$	$\dots$

Many analogous statements in Teichmüller theory can be proved in the categorical setting for $\mathcal{D} = D^b\mathrm{Coh}(\text{elliptic curve})$. An interesting general question is whether some of these can be generalized to dim ≥ 2.

Nielsen realization problem

- Nielsen asked (1923): Let $G \subseteq \mathrm{MCG}(\Sigma)$ be a finite subgroup. Does there always exist a lifting $G \subseteq \mathrm{Diff}(\Sigma)$?
  (Recall that $\mathrm{MCG}(\Sigma) = \mathrm{Diff}(\Sigma)/\text{isotopy}$).
- Kerckhoff (1983): Yes! Moreover, there exists a metric g such that $G \subseteq \mathrm{Isom}(\Sigma, g)$. Or equivalently, G fixes a point in $\mathrm{Teich}(\Sigma)$.
  (There is a natural action of $\mathrm{MCG}(\Sigma)$ on $\mathrm{Teich}(\Sigma)$,
  e.g. $\mathrm{MCG}(T^2) = \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ acts on $\mathrm{Teich}(T^2) = \mathbb{H}$.)
  (Rephrase: any finite subgroup of $\mathrm{MCG}(\Sigma)$ can be realized as symmetries with respect to a metric on Σ.)
- Farb–Looijenga (2021) also proved similar statements for K3 surfaces (under certain conditions), where g is replaced by complex structure or Ricci-flat metric on the K3 surface.

Nielsen realization problem

- Nielsen asked (1923): Let $G \subseteq \mathrm{MCG}(\Sigma)$ be a finite subgroup. Does there always exist a lifting $G \subseteq \mathrm{Diff}(\Sigma)$?
  (Recall that $\mathrm{MCG}(\Sigma) = \mathrm{Diff}(\Sigma)/\mathrm{isotopy}$).
- Kerckhoff (1983): Yes! Moreover, there exists a metric g such that $G \subseteq \mathrm{Isom}(\Sigma, g)$. Or equivalently, G fixes a point in $\mathrm{Teich}(\Sigma)$.
  (There is a natural action of $\mathrm{MCG}(\Sigma)$ on $\mathrm{Teich}(\Sigma)$,
  e.g. $\mathrm{MCG}(T^2) = \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ acts on $\mathrm{Teich}(T^2) = \mathbb{H}$.)
  (Rephrase: any finite subgroup of $\mathrm{MCG}(\Sigma)$ can be realized as symmetries with respect to a metric on Σ.)
- Farb–Looijenga (2021) also proved similar statements for K3 surfaces (under certain conditions), where g is replaced by complex structure or Ricci-flat metric on the K3 surface.

Nielsen realization problem

- Nielsen asked (1923): Let $G \subseteq \mathrm{MCG}(\Sigma)$ be a finite subgroup. Does there always exist a lifting $G \subseteq \mathrm{Diff}(\Sigma)$?
  (Recall that $\mathrm{MCG}(\Sigma) = \mathrm{Diff}(\Sigma)/\text{isotopy}$).
- Kerckhoff (1983): Yes! Moreover, there exists a metric g such that $G \subseteq \mathrm{Isom}(\Sigma, g)$. Or equivalently, G fixes a point in $\mathrm{Teich}(\Sigma)$.
  (There is a natural action of $\mathrm{MCG}(\Sigma)$ on $\mathrm{Teich}(\Sigma)$,
  e.g. $\mathrm{MCG}(T^2) = \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ acts on $\mathrm{Teich}(T^2) = \mathbb{H}$.)
  (Rephrase: any finite subgroup of $\mathrm{MCG}(\Sigma)$ can be realized as symmetries with respect to a metric on Σ.)
- Farb–Looijenga (2021) also proved similar statements for K3 surfaces (under certain conditions), where g is replaced by complex structure or Ricci-flat metric on the K3 surface.

Nielsen realization problem

- Nielsen asked (1923): Let $G \subseteq \mathrm{MCG}(\Sigma)$ be a finite subgroup. Does there always exist a lifting $G \subseteq \mathrm{Diff}(\Sigma)$?
  (Recall that $\mathrm{MCG}(\Sigma) = \mathrm{Diff}(\Sigma)/\text{isotopy}$).
- Kerckhoff (1983): Yes! Moreover, there exists a metric g such that $G \subseteq \mathrm{Isom}(\Sigma, g)$. Or equivalently, G fixes a point in $\mathrm{Teich}(\Sigma)$.
  (There is a natural action of $\mathrm{MCG}(\Sigma)$ on $\mathrm{Teich}(\Sigma)$,
  e.g. $\mathrm{MCG}(T^2) = \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ acts on $\mathrm{Teich}(T^2) = \mathbb{H}$.)
  (Rephrase: any finite subgroup of $\mathrm{MCG}(\Sigma)$ can be realized as symmetries with respect to a metric on Σ.)
- Farb–Looijenga (2021) also proved similar statements for K3 surfaces (under certain conditions), where g is replaced by complex structure or Ricci-flat metric on the K3 surface.

Categorical realization problems

Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a triangulated category, and $G \subseteq \mathrm{Aut}(D)$ be a finite subgroup. Does there exist $\sigma \in \mathrm{Stab}(D)$ such that $\Phi \cdot \sigma = \sigma$ for all $\Phi \in G$?

(Rephrase: any finite subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(D)$ can be realized as symmetries with respect to a stability condition on D.)

- When $D = D^b(X)$, stability conditions on D are roughly Kähler structures on X; so this problem is similar to (but not quite the same) the mirror problem of Farb–Looijenga.

Let $G \subseteq \mathrm{Aut}(D)/[1]$ be a finite subgroup.
Does there exist $\sigma \in \mathrm{Stab}(D)/\mathbb{C}$ such that $\Phi \cdot \sigma = \sigma$ for all $\Phi \in G$?

- There are various examples of D where there are not many interesting finite order elements in $\mathrm{Aut}(D)$, but there are many interesting finite order elements in $\mathrm{Aut}(D)/[1]$.
- The shift functor [1] (or rather [2]) has no classical counterpart in Teichmüller theory, so it is natural to consider this stronger question.

Categorical realization problems

Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a triangulated category, and $G \subseteq \mathrm{Aut}(D)$ be a finite subgroup.
Does there exist $\sigma \in \mathrm{Stab}(D)$ such that $\Phi \cdot \sigma = \sigma$ for all $\Phi \in G$?
(Rephrase: any finite subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(D)$ can be realized as symmetries with respect to a stability condition on D.)

- When $D = D^b(X)$, stability conditions on D are roughly Kähler structures on X; so this problem is similar to (but not quite the same) the mirror problem of Farb–Looijenga.

Let $G \subseteq \mathrm{Aut}(D)/[1]$ be a finite subgroup.
Does there exist $\sigma \in \mathrm{Stab}(D)/\mathbb{C}$ such that $\Phi \cdot \sigma = \sigma$ for all $\Phi \in G$?

- There are various examples of D where there are not many interesting finite order elements in $\mathrm{Aut}(D)$, but there are many interesting finite order elements in $\mathrm{Aut}(D)/[1]$.
- The shift functor $[1]$ (or rather $[2]$) has no classical counterpart in Teichmüller theory, so it is natural to consider this stronger question.

Categorical realization problems

Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a triangulated category, and $G \subseteq \mathrm{Aut}(D)$ be a finite subgroup.
Does there exist $\sigma \in \mathrm{Stab}(D)$ such that $\Phi \cdot \sigma = \sigma$ for all $\Phi \in G$?
(Rephrase: any finite subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(D)$ can be realized as symmetries with respect to a stability condition on D.)

- When $D = D^b(X)$, stability conditions on D are roughly Kähler structures on X; so this problem is similar to (but not quite the same) the mirror problem of Farb–Looijenga.

Let $G \subseteq \mathrm{Aut}(D)/[1]$ be a finite subgroup.
Does there exist $\sigma \in \mathrm{Stab}(D)/\mathbb{C}$ such that $\Phi \cdot \sigma = \sigma$ for all $\Phi \in G$?

- There are various examples of D where there are not many interesting finite order elements in $\mathrm{Aut}(D)$, but there are many interesting finite order elements in $\mathrm{Aut}(D)/[1]$.
- The shift functor [1] (or rather [2]) has no classical counterpart in Teichmüller theory, so it is natural to consider this stronger question.

Categorical realization problems

Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a triangulated category, and $G \subseteq \mathrm{Aut}(D)$ be a finite subgroup.
Does there exist $\sigma \in \mathrm{Stab}(D)$ such that $\Phi \cdot \sigma = \sigma$ for all $\Phi \in G$?
(Rephrase: any finite subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(D)$ can be realized as symmetries with respect to a stability condition on D.)

- When $D = D^b(X)$, stability conditions on D are roughly Kähler structures on X; so this problem is similar to (but not quite the same) the mirror problem of Farb–Looijenga.

Let $G \subseteq \mathrm{Aut}(D)/[1]$ be a finite subgroup.
Does there exist $\sigma \in \mathrm{Stab}(D)/\mathbb{C}$ such that $\Phi \cdot \sigma = \sigma$ for all $\Phi \in G$?

- There are various examples of D where there are not many interesting finite order elements in $\mathrm{Aut}(D)$, but there are many interesting finite order elements in $\mathrm{Aut}(D)/[1]$.
- The shift functor $[1]$ (or rather $[2]$) has no classical counterpart in Teichmüller theory, so it is natural to consider this stronger question.

Categorical realization problems

Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a triangulated category, and $G \subseteq \mathrm{Aut}(D)$ be a finite subgroup.
Does there exist $\sigma \in \mathrm{Stab}(D)$ such that $\Phi \cdot \sigma = \sigma$ for all $\Phi \in G$?
(Rephrase: any finite subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(D)$ can be realized as symmetries with respect to a stability condition on D.)

- When $D = D^b(X)$, stability conditions on D are roughly Kähler structures on X; so this problem is similar to (but not quite the same) the mirror problem of Farb–Looijenga.

Let $G \subseteq \mathrm{Aut}(D)/[1]$ be a finite subgroup.
Does there exist $\sigma \in \mathrm{Stab}(D)/\mathbb{C}$ such that $\Phi \cdot \sigma = \sigma$ for all $\Phi \in G$?

- There are various examples of D where there are not many interesting finite order elements in $\mathrm{Aut}(D)$, but there are many interesting finite order elements in $\mathrm{Aut}(D)/[1]$.
- The shift functor [1] (or rather [2]) has no classical counterpart in Teichmüller theory, so it is natural to consider this stronger question.

Categorical realization problems

Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a triangulated category, and $G \subseteq \mathrm{Aut}(D)$ be a finite subgroup.
Does there exist $\sigma \in \mathrm{Stab}(D)$ such that $\Phi \cdot \sigma = \sigma$ for all $\Phi \in G$?
(Rephrase: any finite subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(D)$ can be realized as symmetries with respect to a stability condition on D.)

- When $D = D^b(X)$, stability conditions on D are roughly Kähler structures on X; so this problem is similar to (but not quite the same) the mirror problem of Farb–Looijenga.

Let $G \subseteq \mathrm{Aut}(D)/[1]$ be a finite subgroup.
Does there exist $\sigma \in \mathrm{Stab}(D)/\mathbb{C}$ such that $\Phi \cdot \sigma = \sigma$ for all $\Phi \in G$?

- There are various examples of D where there are not many interesting finite order elements in $\mathrm{Aut}(D)$, but there are many interesting finite order elements in $\mathrm{Aut}(D)/[1]$.
- The shift functor [1] (or rather [2]) has no classical counterpart in Teichmüller theory, so it is natural to consider this stronger question.

Main theorems (F.–Lai, 2023)

- The answers to both problems are yes, for $D = D^b\mathrm{Coh}(X)$ where X is a curve, a (twisted) abelian surface, a generic twisted K3 surface, or a K3 surface of Picard number $\rho = 1$.

For K3 surfaces of $\rho = 1$, we obtain:

- every finite subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(D)$ is of order 2, and is generated by an anti-symplectic involution;
- classification and counting formula of the conjugacy classes of finite subgroups of $\mathrm{Aut}(D)$ and $\mathrm{Aut}(D)/[1]$;
- one-to-one correspondence between $\{$maximal finite subgroups of $\mathrm{Aut}(D)/[1]\}$ and $\{$elliptic points of $\mathrm{Stab}^\dagger_{\mathrm{red}}(D)/\mathbb{C}\}$ (analogue: one-to-one correspondence between $\{$maximal finite subgroups of $\mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{Z})\}$ and $\{$elliptic points of $\mathbb{H}\}$)

Here, $\mathrm{Stab}^\dagger_{\mathrm{red}}(D) = \{(Z,P) \mid Z^2 = 0 \text{ in } N(D)\otimes\mathbb{C}\} \subseteq \mathrm{Stab}^\dagger(D)$.

Main theorems (F.–Lai, 2023)

- The answers to both problems are yes, for $D = D^b\mathrm{Coh}(X)$ where X is a curve, a (twisted) abelian surface, a generic twisted K3 surface, or a K3 surface of Picard number $\rho = 1$.

For K3 surfaces of $\rho = 1$, we obtain:

- every finite subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(D)$ is of order 2, and is generated by an anti-symplectic involution;
- classification and counting formula of the conjugacy classes of finite subgroups of $\mathrm{Aut}(D)$ and $\mathrm{Aut}(D)/[1]$;
- one-to-one correspondence between $\{$maximal finite subgroups of $\mathrm{Aut}(D)/[1]\}$ and $\{$elliptic points of $\mathrm{Stab}^\dagger_{\mathrm{red}}(D)/\mathbb{C}\}$ (analogue: one-to-one correspondence between $\{$maximal finite subgroups of $\mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{Z})\}$ and $\{$elliptic points of $\mathbb{H}\}$)

Here, $\mathrm{Stab}^\dagger_{\mathrm{red}}(D) = \{(Z,P) \mid Z^2 = 0 \text{ in } N(D)\otimes\mathbb{C}\} \subseteq \mathrm{Stab}^\dagger(D)$.

Main theorems (F.–Lai, 2023)

- The answers to both problems are yes, for $D = D^b\mathrm{Coh}(X)$ where X is a curve, a (twisted) abelian surface, a generic twisted K3 surface, or a K3 surface of Picard number $\rho = 1$.

For K3 surfaces of $\rho = 1$, we obtain:

- every finite subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(D)$ is of order 2, and is generated by an anti-symplectic involution;
- classification and counting formula of the conjugacy classes of finite subgroups of $\mathrm{Aut}(D)$ and $\mathrm{Aut}(D)/[1]$;
- one-to-one correspondence between $\{$maximal finite subgroups of $\mathrm{Aut}(D)/[1]\}$ and $\{$elliptic points of $\mathrm{Stab}^\dagger_{\mathrm{red}}(D)/\mathbb{C}\}$
(analogue: one-to-one correspondence between $\{$maximal finite subgroups of $\mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{Z})\}$ and $\{$elliptic points of $\mathbb{H}\}$)

Here, $\mathrm{Stab}^\dagger_{\mathrm{red}}(D) = \{(Z, P) \mid Z^2 = 0 \text{ in } N(D) \otimes \mathbb{C}\} \subseteq \mathrm{Stab}^\dagger(D)$.

Main theorems (F.–Lai, 2023)

- The answers to both problems are yes, for $D = D^b\mathrm{Coh}(X)$ where X is a curve, a (twisted) abelian surface, a generic twisted K3 surface, or a K3 surface of Picard number $\rho = 1$.

For K3 surfaces of $\rho = 1$, we obtain:

- every finite subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(D)$ is of order 2, and is generated by an anti-symplectic involution;
- classification and counting formula of the conjugacy classes of finite subgroups of $\mathrm{Aut}(D)$ and $\mathrm{Aut}(D)/[1]$;
- one-to-one correspondence between $\{$maximal finite subgroups of $\mathrm{Aut}(D)/[1]\}$ and $\{$elliptic points of $\mathrm{Stab}^\dagger_{\mathsf{red}}(D)/\mathbb{C}\}$ (analogue: one-to-one correspondence between $\{$maximal finite subgroups of $\mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{Z})\}$ and $\{$elliptic points of $\mathbb{H}\}$)

Here, $\mathrm{Stab}^\dagger_{\mathsf{red}}(D) = \{(Z, P) \mid Z^2 = 0 \text{ in } N(D) \otimes \mathbb{C}\} \subseteq \mathrm{Stab}^\dagger(D)$.

Main theorems (F.–Lai, 2023)

- The answers to both problems are yes, for $D = D^b\mathrm{Coh}(X)$ where X is a curve, a (twisted) abelian surface, a generic twisted K3 surface, or a K3 surface of Picard number $\rho = 1$.

For K3 surfaces of $\rho = 1$, we obtain:

- every finite subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(D)$ is of order 2, and is generated by an anti-symplectic involution;
- classification and counting formula of the conjugacy classes of finite subgroups of $\mathrm{Aut}(D)$ and $\mathrm{Aut}(D)/[1]$;
- one-to-one correspondence between $\{$maximal finite subgroups of $\mathrm{Aut}(D)/[1]\}$ and $\{$elliptic points of $\mathrm{Stab}^{\dagger}_{\mathsf{red}}(D)/\mathbb{C}\}$
(analogue: one-to-one correspondence between $\{$maximal finite subgroups of $\mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{Z})\}$ and $\{$elliptic points of $\mathbb{H}\}$)

Here, $\mathrm{Stab}^{\dagger}_{\mathsf{red}}(D) = \{(Z, P) \mid Z^2 = 0 \text{ in } N(D) \otimes \mathbb{C}\} \subseteq \mathrm{Stab}^{\dagger}(D)$.

Main theorems (F.–Lai, 2023), cont'd

It is well-known that the rationality of a cubic fourfold Y is closely related to the existence of an associated K3 surface. For instance, Kuznetsov conjectured that Y is rational if and only if there exists a K3 surface X such that $\mathrm{Ku}(Y) \cong D^b(X)$.
On the other hand, not every K3 surface can be associated with a cubic fourfold: there is a functor on $\mathrm{Ku}(Y)$

$$T_Y : E \mapsto j^*(E \otimes \mathcal{O}(1))$$

which satisfies $T_Y^3 = [2]$. It is asked by Huybrechts whether the existence of such order 3 element of $\mathrm{Aut}(D^b(X))/[2]$ characterizes K3 surfaces with associated cubic fourfolds.

- We prove that when X is a K3 surface of Picard number one, the group $\mathrm{Aut}(D^b(X))/[2]$ contains an order 3 element if and only if it admits an associated cubic fourfold.

Main theorems (F.–Lai, 2023), cont'd

It is well-known that the rationality of a cubic fourfold Y is closely related to the existence of an associated K3 surface. For instance, Kuznetsov conjectured that Y is rational if and only if there exists a K3 surface X such that $\mathrm{Ku}(Y) \cong D^b(X)$.

On the other hand, not every K3 surface can be associated with a cubic fourfold: there is a functor on $\mathrm{Ku}(Y)$

$$T_Y : E \mapsto j^*(E \otimes \mathcal{O}(1))$$

which satisfies $T_Y^3 = [2]$. It is asked by Huybrechts whether the existence of such order 3 element of $\mathrm{Aut}(D^b(X))/[2]$ characterizes K3 surfaces with associated cubic fourfolds.

- We prove that when X is a K3 surface of Picard number one, the group $\mathrm{Aut}(D^b(X))/[2]$ contains an order 3 element if and only if it admits an associated cubic fourfold.

Main theorems (F.–Lai, 2023), cont'd

It is well-known that the rationality of a cubic fourfold Y is closely related to the existence of an associated K3 surface. For instance, Kuznetsov conjectured that Y is rational if and only if there exists a K3 surface X such that $\mathrm{Ku}(Y) \cong D^b(X)$.
On the other hand, not every K3 surface can be associated with a cubic fourfold: there is a functor on $\mathrm{Ku}(Y)$

$$T_Y : E \mapsto j^*(E \otimes \mathcal{O}(1))$$

which satisfies $T_Y^3 = [2]$. It is asked by Huybrechts whether the existence of such order 3 element of $\mathrm{Aut}(D^b(X))/[2]$ characterizes K3 surfaces with associated cubic fourfolds.

- We prove that when X is a K3 surface of Picard number one, the group $\mathrm{Aut}(D^b(X))/[2]$ contains an order 3 element if and only if it admits an associated cubic fourfold.

Main theorems (F.–Lai, 2023), cont'd

It is well-known that the rationality of a cubic fourfold Y is closely related to the existence of an associated K3 surface. For instance, Kuznetsov conjectured that Y is rational if and only if there exists a K3 surface X such that $\mathrm{Ku}(Y) \cong D^b(X)$.
On the other hand, not every K3 surface can be associated with a cubic fourfold: there is a functor on $\mathrm{Ku}(Y)$

$$T_Y \colon E \mapsto j^*(E \otimes \mathcal{O}(1))$$

which satisfies $T_Y^3 = [2]$. It is asked by Huybrechts whether the existence of such order 3 element of $\mathrm{Aut}(D^b(X))/[2]$ characterizes K3 surfaces with associated cubic fourfolds.

- We prove that when X is a K3 surface of Picard number one, the group $\mathrm{Aut}(D^b(X))/[2]$ contains an order 3 element if and only if it admits an associated cubic fourfold.

Main theorems (F.–Lai, 2023), cont'd

It is well-known that the rationality of a cubic fourfold Y is closely related to the existence of an associated K3 surface. For instance, Kuznetsov conjectured that Y is rational if and only if there exists a K3 surface X such that $\mathrm{Ku}(Y) \cong D^b(X)$.
On the other hand, not every K3 surface can be associated with a cubic fourfold: there is a functor on $\mathrm{Ku}(Y)$

$$T_Y \colon E \mapsto j^*(E \otimes \mathcal{O}(1))$$

which satisfies $T_Y^3 = [2]$. It is asked by Huybrechts whether the existence of such order 3 element of $\mathrm{Aut}(D^b(X))/[2]$ characterizes K3 surfaces with associated cubic fourfolds.

- We prove that when X is a K3 surface of Picard number one, the group $\mathrm{Aut}(D^b(X))/[2]$ contains an order 3 element if and only if it admits an associated cubic fourfold.

Main theorems (F.–Lai, 2023), cont'd

It is well-known that the rationality of a cubic fourfold Y is closely related to the existence of an associated K3 surface. For instance, Kuznetsov conjectured that Y is rational if and only if there exists a K3 surface X such that $\mathrm{Ku}(Y) \cong D^b(X)$.
On the other hand, not every K3 surface can be associated with a cubic fourfold: there is a functor on $\mathrm{Ku}(Y)$

$$T_Y : E \mapsto j^*(E \otimes \mathcal{O}(1))$$

which satisfies $T_Y^3 = [2]$. It is asked by Huybrechts whether the existence of such order 3 element of $\mathrm{Aut}(D^b(X))/[2]$ characterizes K3 surfaces with associated cubic fourfolds.

- We prove that when X is a K3 surface of Picard number one, the group $\mathrm{Aut}(D^b(X))/[2]$ contains an order 3 element if and only if it admits an associated cubic fourfold.

Main theorems (F.–Lai, 2023), cont'd

$\Phi \in \mathrm{Aut}(D)$ can be classified into (modulo quotienting certain subgroup):

- finite order up to shifts
- reducible, which further classified into:
  - "(-2)-reducible": spherical twists T_S
  - "0-reducible": which fixes a class $w \in N(D)$ with $w^2 = 0$ (e.g. $\otimes\mathcal{O}(1)$)
- hyperbolic: $\rho([\Phi]_{N(D)}) > 1$

Modulo certain conjectures regarding the (polynomial) entropy of the reducible autoequivalences, we expect the following trichotomy:

- finite order if and only if $h_{\mathrm{cat}} = h_{\mathrm{poly}} = 0$
- reducible if and only if $h_{\mathrm{cat}} = 0$ and $h_{\mathrm{poly}} > 0$
- hyperbolic if and only if $h_{\mathrm{cat}} > 0$

Main theorems (F.–Lai, 2023), cont'd

$\Phi \in \mathrm{Aut}(D)$ can be classified into (modulo quotienting certain subgroup):

- finite order up to shifts
- reducible, which further classified into:
  - "(-2)-reducible": spherical twists T_S
  - "0-reducible": which fixes a class $w \in N(D)$ with $w^2 = 0$ (e.g. $\otimes\mathcal{O}(1)$)
- hyperbolic: $\rho([\Phi]_{N(D)}) > 1$

Modulo certain conjectures regarding the (polynomial) entropy of the reducible autoequivalences, we expect the following trichotomy:

- finite order if and only if $h_{\mathrm{cat}} = h_{\mathrm{poly}} = 0$
- reducible if and only if $h_{\mathrm{cat}} = 0$ and $h_{\mathrm{poly}} > 0$
- hyperbolic if and only if $h_{\mathrm{cat}} > 0$

Main theorems (F.–Lai, 2023), cont'd

$\Phi \in \mathrm{Aut}(D)$ can be classified into (modulo quotienting certain subgroup):

- finite order up to shifts
- reducible, which further classified into:
  - "(-2)-reducible": spherical twists T_S
  - "0-reducible": which fixes a class $w \in N(D)$ with $w^2 = 0$ (e.g. $\otimes \mathcal{O}(1)$)
- hyperbolic: $\rho([\Phi]_{N(D)}) > 1$

Modulo certain conjectures regarding the (polynomial) entropy of the reducible autoequivalences, we expect the following trichotomy:

- finite order if and only if $h_{\mathrm{cat}} = h_{\mathrm{poly}} = 0$
- reducible if and only if $h_{\mathrm{cat}} = 0$ and $h_{\mathrm{poly}} > 0$
- hyperbolic if and only if $h_{\mathrm{cat}} > 0$

Main theorems (F.–Lai, 2023), cont'd

$\Phi \in \mathrm{Aut}(D)$ can be classified into (modulo quotienting certain subgroup):

- finite order up to shifts
- reducible, which further classified into:
  - "(-2)-reducible": spherical twists T_S
  - "0-reducible": which fixes a class $w \in N(D)$ with $w^2 = 0$ (e.g. $\otimes\mathcal{O}(1)$)
- hyperbolic: $\rho([\Phi]_{N(D)}) > 1$

Modulo certain conjectures regarding the (polynomial) entropy of the reducible autoequivalences, we expect the following trichotomy:

- finite order if and only if $h_{\mathrm{cat}} = h_{\mathrm{poly}} = 0$
- reducible if and only if $h_{\mathrm{cat}} = 0$ and $h_{\mathrm{poly}} > 0$
- hyperbolic if and only if $h_{\mathrm{cat}} > 0$

Main theorems (F.–Lai, 2023), cont'd

$\Phi \in \mathrm{Aut}(D)$ can be classified into (modulo quotienting certain subgroup):

- finite order up to shifts
- reducible, which further classified into:
  - "(-2)-reducible": spherical twists T_S
  - "0-reducible": which fixes a class $w \in N(D)$ with $w^2 = 0$ (e.g. $\otimes\mathcal{O}(1)$)
- hyperbolic: $\rho([\Phi]_{N(D)}) > 1$

Modulo certain conjectures regarding the (polynomial) entropy of the reducible autoequivalences, we expect the following trichotomy:

- finite order if and only if $h_{\mathrm{cat}} = h_{\mathrm{poly}} = 0$
- reducible if and only if $h_{\mathrm{cat}} = 0$ and $h_{\mathrm{poly}} > 0$
- hyperbolic if and only if $h_{\mathrm{cat}} > 0$

Main theorems (F.–Lai, 2023), cont'd

$\Phi \in \mathrm{Aut}(D)$ can be classified into (modulo quotienting certain subgroup):

- finite order up to shifts
- reducible, which further classified into:
  - "(-2)-reducible": spherical twists T_S
  - "0-reducible": which fixes a class $w \in N(D)$ with $w^2 = 0$ $(\text{e.g. } \otimes\mathcal{O}(1))$
- hyperbolic: $\rho([\Phi]_{N(D)}) > 1$

Modulo certain conjectures regarding the (polynomial) entropy of the reducible autoequivalences, we expect the following trichotomy:

- finite order if and only if $h_{\mathrm{cat}} = h_{\mathrm{poly}} = 0$
- reducible if and only if $h_{\mathrm{cat}} = 0$ and $h_{\mathrm{poly}} > 0$
- hyperbolic if and only if $h_{\mathrm{cat}} > 0$

Strategy and Difficulties

For K3 or abelian surfaces, Bridgeland (2008) showed that there is an $\mathrm{Aut}(D)$-equivariant covering map

$$\mathrm{Stab}^{\dagger}_{\mathsf{red}}(D)/\mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\pi} Q_0^+(D)$$

where $Q_0^+(D) = \{v \in \mathbb{P}(N(D) \otimes \mathbb{C}) \mid v^2 = 0, v\overline{v} > 0\} \setminus \bigcup_{\delta^2=-2} \delta^{\perp}$.

- For abelian surfaces, there is no spherical objects in D, so:
  - we do not need to remove "$\delta^{\perp}$" since there is no (-2)-classes
  - π is an isomorphism

  and it is not hard to show that finite subgroups of $\mathrm{Aut}(D)$ fix a point in $Q_0^+(D)$ using basic Lie theory.
- For K3 surfaces, one needs to resolve the following two issues:
  - the fixed points of finite subgroups of $\mathrm{Aut}(D)$ need to avoid "$\delta^{\perp}$"
  - $\mathrm{Deck}(\pi)$ is much more complicated

It turns out that both issues can be resolved for $\rho = 1$ –

Strategy and Difficulties

For K3 or abelian surfaces, Bridgeland (2008) showed that there is an $\mathrm{Aut}(D)$-equivariant covering map

$$\mathrm{Stab}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{red}}(D)/\mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\pi} Q_0^+(D)$$

where $Q_0^+(D) = \{v \in \mathbb{P}(N(D) \otimes \mathbb{C}) \mid v^2 = 0, v\overline{v} > 0\} \setminus \bigcup_{\delta^2=-2} \delta^{\perp}$.

- For abelian surfaces, there is no spherical objects in D, so:
  - we do not need to remove "$\delta^{\perp}$" since there is no (-2)-classes
  - π is an isomorphism

  and it is not hard to show that finite subgroups of $\mathrm{Aut}(D)$ fix a point in $Q_0^+(D)$ using basic Lie theory.
- For K3 surfaces, one needs to resolve the following two issues:
  - the fixed points of finite subgroups of $\mathrm{Aut}(D)$ need to avoid "$\delta^{\perp}$"
  - $\mathrm{Deck}(\pi)$ is much more complicated

It turns out that both issues can be resolved for $\rho = 1$ –

Strategy and Difficulties

For K3 or abelian surfaces, Bridgeland (2008) showed that there is an $\mathrm{Aut}(D)$-equivariant covering map

$$\mathrm{Stab}^{\dagger}_{\mathsf{red}}(D)/\mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\pi} Q_0^+(D)$$

where $Q_0^+(D) = \{v \in \mathbb{P}(N(D) \otimes \mathbb{C}) \mid v^2 = 0, v\overline{v} > 0\} \setminus \bigcup_{\delta^2=-2} \delta^{\perp}$.

- For abelian surfaces, there is no spherical objects in D, so:
  - we do not need to remove "$\delta^{\perp}$" since there is no (-2)-classes
  - π is an isomorphism

  and it is not hard to show that finite subgroups of $\mathrm{Aut}(D)$ fix a point in $Q_0^+(D)$ using basic Lie theory.
- For K3 surfaces, one needs to resolve the following two issues:
  - the fixed points of finite subgroups of $\mathrm{Aut}(D)$ need to avoid "$\delta^{\perp}$"
  - $\mathrm{Deck}(\pi)$ is much more complicated

It turns out that both issues can be resolved for $\rho = 1$ –

Strategy and Difficulties

For K3 or abelian surfaces, Bridgeland (2008) showed that there is an $\mathrm{Aut}(D)$-equivariant covering map

$$\mathrm{Stab}^{\dagger}_{\mathsf{red}}(D)/\mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\pi} Q_0^+(D)$$

where $Q_0^+(D) = \{v \in \mathbb{P}(N(D) \otimes \mathbb{C}) \mid v^2 = 0, v\overline{v} > 0\} \setminus \bigcup_{\delta^2=-2} \delta^{\perp}$.

- For abelian surfaces, there is no spherical objects in D, so:
  - we do not need to remove "$\delta^{\perp}$" since there is no (-2)-classes
  - π is an isomorphism

  and it is not hard to show that finite subgroups of $\mathrm{Aut}(D)$ fix a point in $Q_0^+(D)$ using basic Lie theory.
- For K3 surfaces, one needs to resolve the following two issues:
  - the fixed points of finite subgroups of $\mathrm{Aut}(D)$ need to avoid "$\delta^{\perp}$"
  - $\mathrm{Deck}(\pi)$ is much more complicated

It turns out that both issues can be resolved for $\rho = 1$ –

Strategy and Difficulties

For K3 or abelian surfaces, Bridgeland (2008) showed that there is an $\mathrm{Aut}(D)$-equivariant covering map

$$\mathrm{Stab}^{\dagger}_{\mathsf{red}}(D)/\mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\pi} Q_0^+(D)$$

where $Q_0^+(D) = \{v \in \mathbb{P}(N(D) \otimes \mathbb{C}) \mid v^2 = 0, v\overline{v} > 0\} \setminus \bigcup_{\delta^2=-2} \delta^{\perp}$.

- For abelian surfaces, there is no spherical objects in D, so:
  - we do not need to remove "$\delta^{\perp}$" since there is no (-2)-classes
  - π is an isomorphism

  and it is not hard to show that finite subgroups of $\mathrm{Aut}(D)$ fix a point in $Q_0^+(D)$ using basic Lie theory.
- For K3 surfaces, one needs to resolve the following two issues:
  - the fixed points of finite subgroups of $\mathrm{Aut}(D)$ need to avoid "$\delta^{\perp}$"
  - $\mathrm{Deck}(\pi)$ is much more complicated

It turns out that both issues can be resolved for $\rho = 1$ –

Strategy and Difficulties

For K3 or abelian surfaces, Bridgeland (2008) showed that there is an $\mathrm{Aut}(D)$-equivariant covering map

$$\mathrm{Stab}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{red}}(D)/\mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\pi} Q_0^{+}(D)$$

where $Q_0^{+}(D) = \{v \in \mathbb{P}(N(D) \otimes \mathbb{C}) \mid v^2 = 0, v\overline{v} > 0\} \setminus \bigcup_{\delta^2=-2} \delta^{\perp}$.

- For abelian surfaces, there is no spherical objects in D, so:
  - we do not need to remove "$\delta^{\perp}$" since there is no (-2)-classes
  - π is an isomorphism

  and it is not hard to show that finite subgroups of $\mathrm{Aut}(D)$ fix a point in $Q_0^{+}(D)$ using basic Lie theory.
- For K3 surfaces, one needs to resolve the following two issues:
  - the fixed points of finite subgroups of $\mathrm{Aut}(D)$ need to avoid "$\delta^{\perp}$"
  - $\mathrm{Deck}(\pi)$ is much more complicated

It turns out that both issues can be resolved for $\rho = 1$ –

Strategy and Difficulties

For K3 or abelian surfaces, Bridgeland (2008) showed that there is an $\mathrm{Aut}(D)$-equivariant covering map

$$\mathrm{Stab}^{\dagger}_{\mathsf{red}}(D)/\mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\pi} Q_0^+(D)$$

where $Q_0^+(D) = \{v \in \mathbb{P}(N(D) \otimes \mathbb{C}) \mid v^2 = 0, v\overline{v} > 0\} \setminus \bigcup_{\delta^2=-2} \delta^{\perp}$.

- For abelian surfaces, there is no spherical objects in D, so:
  - we do not need to remove "$\delta^{\perp}$" since there is no (-2)-classes
  - π is an isomorphism

  and it is not hard to show that finite subgroups of $\mathrm{Aut}(D)$ fix a point in $Q_0^+(D)$ using basic Lie theory.
- For K3 surfaces, one needs to resolve the following two issues:
  - the fixed points of finite subgroups of $\mathrm{Aut}(D)$ need to avoid "$\delta^{\perp}$"
  - $\mathrm{Deck}(\pi)$ is much more complicated

It turns out that both issues can be resolved for $\rho = 1$ –

Strategy and Difficulties

For K3 or abelian surfaces, Bridgeland (2008) showed that there is an $\mathrm{Aut}(D)$-equivariant covering map

$$\mathrm{Stab}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{red}}(D)/\mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\pi} Q_0^+(D)$$

where $Q_0^+(D) = \{v \in \mathbb{P}(N(D) \otimes \mathbb{C}) \mid v^2 = 0, v\overline{v} > 0\} \setminus \bigcup_{\delta^2=-2} \delta^{\perp}$.

- For abelian surfaces, there is no spherical objects in D, so:
  - we do not need to remove "$\delta^{\perp}$" since there is no (-2)-classes
  - π is an isomorphism

  and it is not hard to show that finite subgroups of $\mathrm{Aut}(D)$ fix a point in $Q_0^+(D)$ using basic Lie theory.
- For K3 surfaces, one needs to resolve the following two issues:
  - the fixed points of finite subgroups of $\mathrm{Aut}(D)$ need to avoid "$\delta^{\perp}$"
  - $\mathrm{Deck}(\pi)$ is much more complicated

It turns out that both issues can be resolved for $\rho = 1$ –

Strategy and Difficulties

For K3 or abelian surfaces, Bridgeland (2008) showed that there is an $\mathrm{Aut}(D)$-equivariant covering map

$$\mathrm{Stab}^{\dagger}_{\mathsf{red}}(D)/\mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\pi} Q_0^{+}(D)$$

where $Q_0^+(D) = \{v \in \mathbb{P}(N(D) \otimes \mathbb{C}) \mid v^2 = 0, v\overline{v} > 0\} \setminus \bigcup_{\delta^2=-2} \delta^{\perp}$.

- For abelian surfaces, there is no spherical objects in D, so:
  - we do not need to remove "$\delta^{\perp}$" since there is no (-2)-classes
  - π is an isomorphism

  and it is not hard to show that finite subgroups of $\mathrm{Aut}(D)$ fix a point in $Q_0^+(D)$ using basic Lie theory.
- For K3 surfaces, one needs to resolve the following two issues:
  - the fixed points of finite subgroups of $\mathrm{Aut}(D)$ need to avoid "$\delta^{\perp}$"
  - $\mathrm{Deck}(\pi)$ is much more complicated

It turns out that both issues can be resolved for $\rho = 1$ –

Avoiding $\delta^\perp$

Suppose X is a K3 surface of $\rho = 1$ and degree $2n$.

- We have $Q_0^+(D) \cong \mathbb{H} \setminus$ "(-2)-points".
- By Dolgachaev (1996) and Kawatani (2014), the action of $\mathrm{Aut}(D)$ on $Q_0^+(D)$ factors through $\mathrm{Im}(\mathrm{Aut}(D) \xrightarrow{f} \mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{R})) = \Gamma_0^+(n)$ the Fricke modular group, where $\Gamma_0^+(n) = \left\langle \Gamma_0(n), \begin{bmatrix} & -1/\sqrt{n} \\ \sqrt{n} & \end{bmatrix} =: \omega_n \right\rangle$.

We showed that the following statements are equivalent:

- $f(\Phi)$ fixes a (-2)-point in $\mathbb{H}$
- $f(\Phi)$ is an involution, and $f(\Phi) = g_0\omega_n$ for some $g_0 \in \Gamma_0(n)$
- $\Phi = T_S\Psi$ for some spherical object S and some $\Psi \in \mathrm{Deck}(\pi)$

Moreover, we showed that autoequivalences of the form $T_S\Psi$ must be of infinite order in $\mathrm{Aut}(D)/[1]$. This resolves the first issue.

Avoiding $\delta^\perp$

Suppose X is a K3 surface of $\rho = 1$ and degree $2n$.

- We have $Q_0^+(D) \cong \mathbb{H} \backslash$ "(-2)-points".
- By Dolgachaev (1996) and Kawatani (2014), the action of $\mathrm{Aut}(D)$ on $Q_0^+(D)$ factors through $\mathrm{Im}(\mathrm{Aut}(D) \xrightarrow{f} \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})) = \Gamma_0^+(n)$ the Fricke modular group, where $\Gamma_0^+(n) = \left\langle \Gamma_0(n), \begin{bmatrix} & -1/\sqrt{n} \\ \sqrt{n} & \end{bmatrix} =: \omega_n \right\rangle$.

We showed that the following statements are equivalent:

- $f(\Phi)$ fixes a (-2)-point in $\mathbb{H}$
- $f(\Phi)$ is an involution, and $f(\Phi) = g_0\omega_n$ for some $g_0 \in \Gamma_0(n)$
- $\Phi = T_S\Psi$ for some spherical object S and some $\Psi \in \mathrm{Deck}(\pi)$

Moreover, we showed that autoequivalences of the form $T_S\Psi$ must be of infinite order in $\mathrm{Aut}(D)/[1]$. This resolves the first issue.

Avoiding $\delta^\perp$

Suppose X is a K3 surface of $\rho = 1$ and degree $2n$.

- We have $Q_0^+(D) \cong \mathbb{H} \backslash$ "(-2)-points".
- By Dolgachaev (1996) and Kawatani (2014), the action of $\mathrm{Aut}(D)$ on $Q_0^+(D)$ factors through $\mathrm{Im}(\mathrm{Aut}(D) \xrightarrow{f} \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})) = \Gamma_0^+(n)$ the Fricke modular group, where $\Gamma_0^+(n) = \left\langle \Gamma_0(n), \begin{bmatrix} & -1/\sqrt{n} \\ \sqrt{n} & \end{bmatrix} =: \omega_n \right\rangle$.

We showed that the following statements are equivalent:

- $f(\Phi)$ fixes a (-2)-point in $\mathbb{H}$
- $f(\Phi)$ is an involution, and $f(\Phi) = g_0\omega_n$ for some $g_0 \in \Gamma_0(n)$
- $\Phi = T_S\Psi$ for some spherical object S and some $\Psi \in \mathrm{Deck}(\pi)$

Moreover, we showed that autoequivalences of the form $T_S\Psi$ must be of infinite order in $\mathrm{Aut}(D)/[1]$. This resolves the first issue.

Avoiding $\delta^\perp$

Suppose X is a K3 surface of $\rho = 1$ and degree $2n$.

- We have $Q_0^+(D) \cong \mathbb{H} \backslash$ "(-2)-points".
- By Dolgachaev (1996) and Kawatani (2014), the action of $\mathrm{Aut}(D)$ on $Q_0^+(D)$ factors through $\mathrm{Im}(\mathrm{Aut}(D) \xrightarrow{f} \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})) = \Gamma_0^+(n)$ the Fricke modular group, where $\Gamma_0^+(n) = \left\langle \Gamma_0(n), \begin{bmatrix} & -1/\sqrt{n} \\ \sqrt{n} & \end{bmatrix} =: \omega_n \right\rangle$.

We showed that the following statements are equivalent:

- $f(\Phi)$ fixes a (-2)-point in $\mathbb{H}$
- $f(\Phi)$ is an involution, and $f(\Phi) = g_0\omega_n$ for some $g_0 \in \Gamma_0(n)$
- $\Phi = T_S\Psi$ for some spherical object S and some $\Psi \in \mathrm{Deck}(\pi)$

Moreover, we showed that autoequivalences of the form $T_S\Psi$ must be of infinite order in $\mathrm{Aut}(D)/[1]$. This resolves the first issue.

Avoiding $\delta^\perp$

Suppose X is a K3 surface of $\rho = 1$ and degree $2n$.

- We have $Q_0^+(D) \cong \mathbb{H} \setminus$ "(-2)-points".
- By Dolgachaev (1996) and Kawatani (2014), the action of $\mathrm{Aut}(D)$ on $Q_0^+(D)$ factors through $\mathrm{Im}(\mathrm{Aut}(D) \xrightarrow{f} \mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{R})) = \Gamma_0^+(n)$ the Fricke modular group, where $\Gamma_0^+(n) = \left\langle \Gamma_0(n), \begin{bmatrix} & -1/\sqrt{n} \\ \sqrt{n} & \end{bmatrix} =: \omega_n \right\rangle$.

We showed that the following statements are equivalent:

- $f(\Phi)$ fixes a (-2)-point in $\mathbb{H}$
- $f(\Phi)$ is an involution, and $f(\Phi) = g_0\omega_n$ for some $g_0 \in \Gamma_0(n)$
- $\Phi = T_S\Psi$ for some spherical object S and some $\Psi \in \mathrm{Deck}(\pi)$

Moreover, we showed that autoequivalences of the form $T_S\Psi$ must be of infinite order in $\mathrm{Aut}(D)/[1]$. This resolves the first issue.

Avoiding $\delta^\perp$

Suppose X is a K3 surface of $\rho = 1$ and degree $2n$.

- We have $Q_0^+(D) \cong \mathbb{H} \setminus$ "(-2)-points".
- By Dolgachaev (1996) and Kawatani (2014), the action of $\mathrm{Aut}(D)$ on $Q_0^+(D)$ factors through $\mathrm{Im}(\mathrm{Aut}(D) \xrightarrow{f} \mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{R})) = \Gamma_0^+(n)$ the Fricke modular group, where $\Gamma_0^+(n) = \left\langle \Gamma_0(n), \begin{bmatrix} & -1/\sqrt{n} \\ \sqrt{n} & \end{bmatrix} =: \omega_n \right\rangle$.

We showed that the following statements are equivalent:

- $f(\Phi)$ fixes a (-2)-point in $\mathbb{H}$
- $f(\Phi)$ is an involution, and $f(\Phi) = g_0\omega_n$ for some $g_0 \in \Gamma_0(n)$
- $\Phi = T_S\Psi$ for some spherical object S and some $\Psi \in \mathrm{Deck}(\pi)$

Moreover, we showed that autoequivalences of the form $T_S\Psi$ must be of infinite order in $\mathrm{Aut}(D)/[1]$. This resolves the first issue.

Avoiding $\delta^\perp$

Suppose X is a K3 surface of $\rho = 1$ and degree $2n$.

- We have $Q_0^+(D) \cong \mathbb{H} \backslash$ "(-2)-points".
- By Dolgachaev (1996) and Kawatani (2014), the action of $\mathrm{Aut}(D)$ on $Q_0^+(D)$ factors through $\mathrm{Im}(\mathrm{Aut}(D) \xrightarrow{f} \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})) = \Gamma_0^+(n)$ the Fricke modular group, where $\Gamma_0^+(n) = \left\langle \Gamma_0(n), \begin{bmatrix} & -1/\sqrt{n} \\ \sqrt{n} & \end{bmatrix} =: \omega_n \right\rangle$.

We showed that the following statements are equivalent:

- $f(\Phi)$ fixes a (-2)-point in $\mathbb{H}$
- $f(\Phi)$ is an involution, and $f(\Phi) = g_0 \omega_n$ for some $g_0 \in \Gamma_0(n)$
- $\Phi = T_S \Psi$ for some spherical object S and some $\Psi \in \mathrm{Deck}(\pi)$

Moreover, we showed that autoequivalences of the form $T_S \Psi$ must be of infinite order in $\mathrm{Aut}(D)/[1]$. This resolves the first issue.

Lifting of fixed points

- Let $\Phi \in \mathrm{Aut}(D)/[1]$ be of finite order, then the previous discussion shows that it fixes a point in $Q_0^+(D)$.
- Kawatani (2019): $\pi_1(Q_0^+(D)) \cong \star_{\text{free}} T_S^2$.
- Bayer–Bridgeland (2017): $\mathrm{Stab}^\dagger_{\text{red}}(D)/\mathbb{C}$ is contractible.
- Combining these two results, we have $\mathrm{Deck}(\pi) \cong \star_{\text{free}} T_S^2$.
- We showed that this implies the fixed point of Φ in $Q_0^+(D)$ can be lifted to a fixed point in $\mathrm{Stab}^\dagger_{\text{red}}(D)/\mathbb{C}$, which proves the realization problem for cyclic subgroups of $\mathrm{Aut}(D)/[1]$.
- Finally, we showed that any finite subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(D)/[1]$ is cyclic using classification results of finite subgroups of $\Gamma_0^+(n)$ by Fricke. This concludes the proof.

Lifting of fixed points

- Let $\Phi \in \mathrm{Aut}(D)/[1]$ be of finite order, then the previous discussion shows that it fixes a point in $Q_0^+(D)$.
- Kawatani (2019): $\pi_1(Q_0^+(D)) \cong \star_{\text{free}} T_S^2$.
- Bayer–Bridgeland (2017): $\mathrm{Stab}^\dagger_{\text{red}}(D)/\mathbb{C}$ is contractible.
- Combining these two results, we have $\mathrm{Deck}(\pi) \cong \star_{\text{free}} T_S^2$.
- We showed that this implies the fixed point of Φ in $Q_0^+(D)$ can be lifted to a fixed point in $\mathrm{Stab}^\dagger_{\text{red}}(D)/\mathbb{C}$, which proves the realization problem for cyclic subgroups of $\mathrm{Aut}(D)/[1]$.
- Finally, we showed that any finite subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(D)/[1]$ is cyclic using classification results of finite subgroups of $\Gamma_0^+(n)$ by Fricke. This concludes the proof.

Lifting of fixed points

- Let $\Phi \in \mathrm{Aut}(D)/[1]$ be of finite order, then the previous discussion shows that it fixes a point in $Q_0^+(D)$.
- Kawatani (2019): $\pi_1(Q_0^+(D)) \cong \star_{\mathsf{free}} T_S^2$.
- Bayer–Bridgeland (2017): $\mathrm{Stab}^\dagger_{\mathsf{red}}(D)/\mathbb{C}$ is contractible.
- Combining these two results, we have $\mathrm{Deck}(\pi) \cong \star_{\mathsf{free}} T_S^2$.
- We showed that this implies the fixed point of Φ in $Q_0^+(D)$ can be lifted to a fixed point in $\mathrm{Stab}^\dagger_{\mathsf{red}}(D)/\mathbb{C}$, which proves the realization problem for cyclic subgroups of $\mathrm{Aut}(D)/[1]$.
- Finally, we showed that any finite subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(D)/[1]$ is cyclic using classification results of finite subgroups of $\Gamma_0^+(n)$ by Fricke. This concludes the proof.

Lifting of fixed points

- Let $\Phi \in \mathrm{Aut}(D)/[1]$ be of finite order, then the previous discussion shows that it fixes a point in $Q_0^+(D)$.
- Kawatani (2019): $\pi_1(Q_0^+(D)) \cong \star_{\text{free}} T_S^2$.
- Bayer–Bridgeland (2017): $\mathrm{Stab}^\dagger_{\text{red}}(D)/\mathbb{C}$ is contractible.
- Combining these two results, we have $\text{Deck}(\pi) \cong \star_{\text{free}} T_S^2$.
- We showed that this implies the fixed point of Φ in $Q_0^+(D)$ can be lifted to a fixed point in $\mathrm{Stab}^\dagger_{\text{red}}(D)/\mathbb{C}$, which proves the realization problem for cyclic subgroups of $\mathrm{Aut}(D)/[1]$.
- Finally, we showed that any finite subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(D)/[1]$ is cyclic using classification results of finite subgroups of $\Gamma_0^+(n)$ by Fricke. This concludes the proof.

Lifting of fixed points

- Let $\Phi \in \mathrm{Aut}(D)/[1]$ be of finite order, then the previous discussion shows that it fixes a point in $Q_0^+(D)$.
- Kawatani (2019): $\pi_1(Q_0^+(D)) \cong \star_{\mathsf{free}} T_S^2$.
- Bayer–Bridgeland (2017): $\mathrm{Stab}^\dagger_{\mathsf{red}}(D)/\mathbb{C}$ is contractible.
- Combining these two results, we have $\mathsf{Deck}(\pi) \cong \star_{\mathsf{free}} T_S^2$.
- We showed that this implies the fixed point of Φ in $Q_0^+(D)$ can be lifted to a fixed point in $\mathrm{Stab}^\dagger_{\mathsf{red}}(D)/\mathbb{C}$, which proves the realization problem for cyclic subgroups of $\mathrm{Aut}(D)/[1]$.
- Finally, we showed that any finite subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(D)/[1]$ is cyclic using classification results of finite subgroups of $\Gamma_0^+(n)$ by Fricke. This concludes the proof.

Lifting of fixed points

- Let $\Phi \in \mathrm{Aut}(D)/[1]$ be of finite order, then the previous discussion shows that it fixes a point in $Q_0^+(D)$.
- Kawatani (2019): $\pi_1(Q_0^+(D)) \cong \star_{\mathsf{free}} T_S^2$.
- Bayer–Bridgeland (2017): $\mathrm{Stab}^{\dagger}_{\mathsf{red}}(D)/\mathbb{C}$ is contractible.
- Combining these two results, we have $\mathsf{Deck}(\pi) \cong \star_{\mathsf{free}} T_S^2$.
- We showed that this implies the fixed point of Φ in $Q_0^+(D)$ can be lifted to a fixed point in $\mathrm{Stab}^{\dagger}_{\mathsf{red}}(D)/\mathbb{C}$, which proves the realization problem for cyclic subgroups of $\mathrm{Aut}(D)/[1]$.
- Finally, we showed that any finite subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(D)/[1]$ is cyclic using classification results of finite subgroups of $\Gamma_0^+(n)$ by Fricke. This concludes the proof.

A few further problems

- Find good representatives of 0-reducible autoequivalences.
- Do 0-reducible autoequivalences have zero entropy? $(h(\otimes\mathcal{O}(1)) = 0)$
- Generalize the realization results to:
  - general special cubic fourfolds $\mathrm{Ku}(Y)$
  - K3 surfaces of Picard number $\rho \geq 2$
  - $\cdots$?

Thank you for your attention!

Reference: F.–Lai, *Nielsen realization problem for Bridgeland stability conditions on generic K3 surfaces*, arXiv:2302.12663