Christopher Boit

Tim Alcon

CS 161-400

1/24/16

Assignment 3 Group Part

1.) Great documents all around. Mine has some areas that need improvement especially in the requirements section and testing. It is hard to pick one document out of the group. It seems like each one did good on at least one section of the project, but since we have to select one. I would have to go with Erin's project because of the following reasons:

2.) Erin's document is very detailed and covers the entire scope of the assignment in the first section. Looking at the requirements/understanding section it gives a good understating of the projects requirement. The second paragraph explains one of the techniques used in the project. The third paragraph explains the loop used in the program and then followed by the next graph that explains the comparison procedure of how the entry data will be compared and finally the expected output.

The testing part is also good because it stays within the parameters of the assignment, using only integers that are greater than 1.

3.) The improvements that I would make would be in the pseudo code. The pseudo code is almost like C++ code. It uses the actual variables and operators. I think pseudo code needs to be more descriptive, and easily understood by any one that has never had any programming experience. Another change would be to paraphrase everything in a more concise manner by providing a comprehensive explanation in less words.