

1. (a)

The probability of minor damage:

$$0.1 \times 0.25 = 0.025$$

The value after minor damage:

$$1,000K \times (1 - 10\%) = 1,000K \times 0.9 = 900K.$$

The probability of moderate damage:

$$0.1 \times 0.5 = 0.05$$

The value after moderate damage:

$$1,000K \times (1 - 25\%) = 1,000K \times 75\% = 750K$$

The probability of major damage:

$$0.1 \times 0.25 = 0.025$$

The value after major damage:

$$1,000K \times (1 - 50\%) = 1,000K \times 0.5 = 500K$$

Full coverage:

$$1,000K - 27.5K = 972.5K, \text{ regardless of states}$$

$w_f = 972,500$  is deterministic.

Partial coverage:

If major damage happens:

$$w_p = 500,000 - 21,250 + 250,000 = 728,750 \text{ with probability } 0.025$$

If moderate damage happens:

$$w_p = 750,000 - 21,250 + 250,000 = 978,750 \text{ with probability } 0.05$$

If minor damage happens:

$$w_p = 900,000 - 21,250 + 100,000 = 978,750 \text{ with probability } 0.025.$$

If no accident happens:

$$w_p = 1,000,000 - 21,250 + 0 = 978,750 \text{ with probability } 1 - 0.1 = 0.9$$

$$\therefore w_p = \{728,750; 978,750\} \text{ with probability distribution } P_p = (0.025, 0.975)$$

Mandatory insurance:

If major damage happens:

$$w_m = 500,000 - 10,000 + 100,000 = 590,000 \text{ with probability } 0.025.$$

If moderate damage happens:

$$w_m = 750,000 - 10,000 + 100,000 = 840,000 \text{ with probability } 0.05$$

If minor damage happens:

$$W_m = 900,000 - 10,000 + 100,000 = 990,000 \text{ with probability } 0.025$$

If no damage happens:

$$W_m = 1,000,000 - 10,000 + 0 = 990,000 \text{ with probability } 0.9$$

$$\therefore W_m = \{590,000; 840,000; 990,000\} \text{ with probability distribution } P_m = (0.025, 0.05, 0.925)$$

(b)

$$F_1(w) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } w < 972,500 \\ 1 & \text{if } w \geq 972,500 \end{cases}$$

$$F_2(w) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } w < 728,750 \\ 0.025 & \text{if } 728,750 \leq w < 978,750 \\ 1 & \text{if } w \geq 978,750 \end{cases}$$

$$F_3(w) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } w < 590,000 \\ 0.025 & \text{if } 590,000 \leq w < 840,000 \\ 0.075 & \text{if } 840,000 \leq w < 990,000 \\ 1 & \text{if } w \geq 990,000 \end{cases}$$

(c).

Cannot.

$$E[W_f] = 972,500$$

$$\begin{aligned} E[W_p] &= 728,750 \times 0.025 + 978,750 \times 0.975 \\ &= 18,218.75 + 954,281.25 \\ &= 972,500 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} E[W_m] &= 590,000 \times 0.025 + 840,000 \times 0.05 + 990,000 \times 0.925 \\ &= 14,750 + 42,000 + 915,750 \\ &= 972,500 \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore E[W_f] = E[W_p] = E[W_m]$$

According to the FOSD, cannot identify preferences over insurance plans.

(d)

Full coverage:

$$\text{if } w < 972,500, H_1(w) = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{if } w \geq 972,500, H_1(w) &= \int_{-\infty}^w F_1(y) dy = \int_{-\infty}^{972,500} 0 dy + \int_{972,500}^w 1 dy \\ &= w - 972,500 \end{aligned}$$

Partial Coverage:

if  $w < 728,750$ ,  $H_2(w) = 0$ .

if  $728,750 \leq w < 978,750$

$$H_2(w) = \int_{-\infty}^w F_2(y) dy = \int_{-\infty}^{728,750} 0 dy + \int_{728,750}^w 0.025 dy = 0.025(w - 728,750)$$

if  $w \geq 978,750$

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - H_2(w) &= \int_{-\infty}^w F_2(y) dy = \int_{-\infty}^{728,750} 0 dy + \int_{728,750}^{978,750} 0.025 dy + \int_{978,750}^w 1 dy \\ &= 0 + 0.025(978,750 - 728,750) + (w - 978,750) \\ &= 0.025 \times 250,000 + w - 978,750 = 6,250 + w - 978,750 \\ &= w - 972,500 \end{aligned}$$

Mandatory insurance:

if  $w < 590,000$

$$H_3(w) = 0$$

if  $590,000 \leq w < 840,000$

$$H_3(w) = \int_{-\infty}^w F_3(y) dy = \int_{-\infty}^{590,000} 0 dy + \int_{590,000}^w 0.025 dy = 0.025(w - 590,000)$$

if  $840,000 \leq w < 990,000$

$$\begin{aligned} H_3(w) &= \int_{-\infty}^w F_3(y) dy = \int_{-\infty}^{590,000} 0 dy + \int_{590,000}^{840,000} 0.025 dy + \int_{840,000}^w 0.075 dy \\ &= 0.025(840,000 - 590,000) + 0.075(w - 840,000) \\ &= 0.025 \times 250,000 + 0.075w - 0.075 \times 840,000 \\ &= 6,250 + 0.075w - 63,000 \\ &= 0.075w - 56,750 \end{aligned}$$

if  $w \geq 990,000$

$$\begin{aligned} H_3(w) &= \int_{-\infty}^w F_3(y) dy = \int_{-\infty}^{590,000} 0 dy + \int_{590,000}^{840,000} 0.025 dy + \int_{840,000}^{990,000} 0.075 dy + \int_{990,000}^w 1 dy \\ &= 0.025(840,000 - 590,000) + 0.075(990,000 - 840,000) + (w - 990,000) \\ &= 6,250 + 0.075 \times 150,000 + w - 990,000 \\ &= 11,250 + w - 983,750 \\ &= w - 972,500. \end{aligned}$$

In all,

$$H_1(w) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } w < 972,500 \\ w - 972,500 & \text{if } w \geq 972,500 \end{cases}$$

$$1 - H_2(w) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } w < 728,750 \\ 0.025(w - 728,750) & \text{if } 728,750 \leq w < 978,750 \\ w - 972,500 & \text{if } w \geq 978,750 \end{cases}$$



$$H_3(w) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } w < 590,000 \\ 0.025(w - 590,000) & \text{if } 590,000 \leq w < 840,000 \\ 0.075w - 56,750 & \text{if } 840,000 \leq w < 990,000 \\ w - 972,500 & \text{if } w \geq 990,000 \end{cases}$$

$$\text{If } w < 590,000$$

$$H_1(w) = H_2(w) = H_3(w) = 0$$

$$\text{If } 590,000 \leq w < 728,750$$

$$H_1(w) = H_2(w) = 0 \leq 0.025(w - 590,000) = H_3(w)$$

$$\text{If } 728,750 \leq w < 840,000$$

$$H_1(w) = 0 \leq 0.025(w - 728,750) = H_2(w) < 0.025(w - 590,000) = H_3(w)$$

$$\text{If } 840,000 \leq w < 972,750$$

$$H_1(w) = 0$$

$$H_2(w) = 0.025(w - 728,750) > 0$$

$$H_3(w) = 0.075w - 56,750$$

$$H_3(w) - H_2(w)$$

$$= 0.075w - 56,750 + 0.025 \times 728,750 = 0.05w - 56,750 + 18,218.75 = 0.05w - 38,531.25$$

$$> 42,000 - 38,531.25 > 0.$$

$$\text{If } 972,500 \leq w < 978,750$$

$$H_1(w) = w - 972,500$$

$$H_2(w) = 0.025(w - 728,750)$$

$$H_3(w) = 0.075w - 56,750$$

$$H_2(w) - H_1(w)$$

$$= -0.975w - 0.025 \times 728,750 + 972,500 = -0.975w - 18,218.75 + 972,500$$

$$= -0.975w + 954,281.25$$

$$> -0.975 \times 978,750 + 954,281.25 = 954,281.25 - 954,281.25$$

$$= 0.$$

$$H_3(w) - H_2(w)$$

$$= 0.075w - 56,750 - 0.025w + 0.025 \times 728,750 = 0.05w - 56,750 + 18,218.75 = 0.05w - 38,531.25$$

$$> 0.05 \times 972,500 - 38,531.25 = 48,625 - 38,531.25 > 0.$$

$$\text{If } 978,750 \leq w < 990,000$$

$$H_1(w) = w - 972,500$$

$$H_2(w) = w - 972,500 = H_1(w)$$

$$H_3(w) = 0.975w - 56,750$$

$$H_3(w) - H_2(w) = H_3(w) - H_1(w)$$

$$= -0.925w + 915,750 - 56,750 + 972,500 = -0.925w + 915,750$$

$$7 - 0.925 \times 990,000 + 915,750 = 915,750 + 915,750 = 0.$$

If  $w \geq 990,000$

$$H_1(w) = H_2(w) = H_3(w) = w - 972,500.$$

$$\therefore \forall w \in \mathbb{R}, H_1(w) \leq H_2(w) \leq H_3(w)$$

$$F_1 \text{ SOSD } F_2, F_2 \text{ SOSD } F_3, F_1 \text{ SOSD } F_3.$$

Risk averse decision maker would prefer full coverage most.

2. (a)

Denote the wealth in "good" state as  $w_1$ .

Denote the wealth in "bad" state as  $w_2$ .

$$\begin{aligned} w_1 &= 1.37\alpha w_0 + 1.02(1-\alpha)w_0 = 1.37\alpha w_0 + 1.02w_0 - 1.02\alpha w_0 \\ &= 0.35\alpha w_0 + 1.02w_0 \\ &= (0.35\alpha + 1.02)w_0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} w_2 &= 1.01\alpha w_0 + 1.02(1-\alpha)w_0 = 1.01\alpha w_0 + 1.02w_0 - 1.02\alpha w_0 \\ &= -0.01\alpha w_0 + 1.02w_0 \\ &= (-0.01\alpha + 1.02)w_0. \end{aligned}$$

(b).

$$\begin{aligned} E[w] &= \frac{2}{3} \times w_1 + \frac{1}{3} \times w_2 = \frac{2}{3} \times (0.35\alpha + 1.02)w_0 + \frac{1}{3} \times (-0.01\alpha + 1.02)w_0 \\ &= w_0 \left[ \frac{2}{3} \times (0.35\alpha + 1.02) + \frac{1}{3} \times (-0.01\alpha + 1.02) \right] = w_0 \left[ \frac{2}{3} \times 0.35\alpha + \frac{2}{3} \times 1.02 + \frac{1}{3} \times (-0.01\alpha) + \frac{1}{3} \times 1.02 \right] \\ &= w_0 \left[ \frac{2}{3} \times 0.7\alpha + \frac{1}{3} \times (-0.01\alpha) + 1.02 \right] = w_0 \left[ \frac{\alpha}{3} (0.7 - 0.01) + 1.02 \right] = w_0 \left[ \frac{\alpha}{3} \times 0.69 + 1.02 \right] \\ &= (0.23\alpha + 1.02)w_0 \end{aligned}$$

(c)

$$\begin{aligned} E[U] &= \frac{2}{3} u(w_1) + \frac{1}{3} u(w_2) \\ &= \frac{2}{3} (1 - e^{-0.01w_1}) + \frac{1}{3} (1 - e^{-0.01w_2}) \\ &= \frac{2}{3} \cdot 1 - \frac{2}{3} e^{-0.01w_1} + \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{3} e^{-0.01w_2} \\ &= 1 - \frac{2}{3} e^{-0.01w_1} - \frac{1}{3} e^{-0.01w_2} \\ &= 1 - \frac{2}{3} e^{-0.01(0.35\alpha + 1.02)w_0} - \frac{1}{3} e^{-0.01(-0.01\alpha + 1.02)w_0} \end{aligned}$$



$$(d) \max_{\alpha} E[U] = \max_{\alpha} \left( -\frac{2}{3} e^{-0.01(0.35\alpha + 1.02)W_0} - \frac{1}{3} e^{-0.01(-0.01\alpha + 1.02)W_0} \right)$$

FOC:

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{2}{3} e^{-0.01(0.35\alpha + 1.02)W_0} \times (-0.01W_0) \times 0.35 - \frac{1}{3} e^{-0.01(-0.01\alpha + 1.02)W_0} \times (-0.01W_0) \times (-0.01) = 0 \\ \Rightarrow & \frac{2}{3} e^{-0.01(0.35\alpha + 1.02)W_0} \times (0.01W_0) \times 0.35 - \frac{1}{3} e^{-0.01(-0.01\alpha + 1.02)W_0} \times (0.01W_0) \times (-0.01) = 0 \\ \Rightarrow & 2e^{-0.01(0.35\alpha + 1.02)W_0} \times 0.35 + e^{-0.01(-0.01\alpha + 1.02)W_0} \times (-0.01) = 0 \\ \Rightarrow & 2 \times 0.35 \times e^{-0.01(0.35\alpha + 1.02)W_0} + e^{-0.01(-0.01\alpha + 1.02)W_0} \times (-1) = 0 \\ \Rightarrow & 70 e^{-0.01(0.35\alpha + 1.02)W_0} = e^{-0.01(-0.01\alpha + 1.02)W_0} \\ \Rightarrow & 70 e^{-0.01(0.35\alpha + 1.02)W_0} \cdot e^{0.01(-0.01\alpha + 1.02)W_0} = 1 \\ \Rightarrow & 70 e^{-0.01(0.35\alpha + 1.02)W_0 + 0.01(-0.01\alpha + 1.02)W_0} = 1 \\ \Rightarrow & e^{-0.01 \times 0.35\alpha W_0 - 0.01 \times 1.02W_0 + 0.01 \times (-0.01) \times \alpha W_0 + 0.01 \times 1.02W_0} = \frac{1}{70} \\ \Rightarrow & e^{-0.01 \times 0.35\alpha W_0 - 0.01 \times 0.01 \alpha W_0} = \frac{1}{70} \\ \Rightarrow & -0.01 \times 0.36\alpha W_0 = \ln \frac{1}{70} = -\ln 70 \\ \Rightarrow & 0.01 \times 0.36\alpha W_0 = \ln 70 \\ \Rightarrow & \alpha = \frac{\ln 70}{0.01 \times 0.36 W_0} = \frac{2500 \ln 70}{9 W_0} \approx \frac{1180.138 \times \ln 70}{W_0} \end{aligned}$$

Check SOC:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 E[U]}{\partial \alpha^2} &= -\frac{2}{3} e^{-0.01(0.35\alpha + 1.02)W_0} \cdot (-0.01W_0) \cdot 0.35 \cdot (-0.01W_0) \cdot 0.35 - \frac{1}{3} e^{-0.01(-0.01\alpha + 1.02)W_0} \cdot (-0.01W_0) \cdot (-0.01) \cdot (-0.01) \\ &= -\frac{2}{3} e^{-0.01(0.35\alpha + 1.02)W_0} \times [(-0.01W_0) \times 0.35]^2 - \frac{1}{3} e^{-0.01(-0.01\alpha + 1.02)W_0} \times [(-0.01W_0) \times (-0.01)]^2 \\ \therefore [(-0.01W_0) \times 0.35]^2 &\geq 0, [(-0.01W_0) \times (-0.01)]^2 \geq 0, e^{-0.01(0.35\alpha + 1.02)W_0} > 0, e^{-0.01(-0.01\alpha + 1.02)W_0} > 0, \\ \therefore \frac{\partial^2 E[U]}{\partial \alpha^2} &< 0. \end{aligned}$$

In conclusion,  $\alpha = \frac{\ln 70}{0.01 \times 0.36 W_0} = \frac{2500 \ln 70}{9} \approx \frac{1180.138 \times \ln 70}{W_0}$  maximize expected utility.

(e) As  $W_0$  increases,  $\alpha$  decreases. The more wealthy investor is, the less fraction of wealth will be spent on ~~so~~ risky asset.

$$(f) \alpha W_0 = \frac{\ln 70}{0.01 \times 0.36} = \frac{2500 \ln 70}{9} \approx 1180.138, \text{ is a constant.}$$

$\alpha W_0$  is independent of  $W_0$ .

However wealthy the investor is, the amount of money invested on risky asset doesn't change.