

Yu Xia's A5

Yu Xia
ID: yx5262

Fall 2022

Multiple Choice

1-5:

aacab

6-10:

fbdcd

11-15:

aa(ab)(bc)c

Long

1. M2 Holdings

a.

$\chi < 1$ means that liquidity dominates. Holding M_t^2 makes me less happy than holding M_t^1 . It's reasonable because M_t^2 is less liquid, which makes it less convenient to hold.

However, χ could be larger than 1, since there are many factors affecting χ . For example, the difference in interest rates. If the return of M_t^2 will make me wealthier in the next period, all else equal, I will be able to consume more or hold change M_t^1 M_t^2 , thus increase my utility.

b.

$$MRS_{23} \left(c_t, \frac{M_t^1}{P_t}, \frac{M_t^2}{P_t} \right) = \frac{\frac{\partial u \left(c_t, \frac{M_t^1}{P_t}, \frac{M_t^2}{P_t} \right)}{\partial \frac{M_t^1}{P_t}}}{\frac{\partial u \left(c_t, \frac{M_t^1}{P_t}, \frac{M_t^2}{P_t} \right)}{\partial \frac{M_t^2}{P_t}}} = \frac{\frac{1}{\frac{M_t^1}{P_t}}}{\frac{\frac{\chi}{M_t^2}}{\frac{M_t^2}{P_t}}} = \frac{\frac{P_t}{M_t^1}}{\frac{\chi P_t}{M_t^2}} = \frac{\frac{1}{\frac{M_t^1}{P_t}}}{\frac{\chi}{M_t^2}} = \frac{1}{M_t^1} \cdot \frac{M_t^2}{\chi} = \frac{M_t^2}{\chi M_t^1}$$

c.

Denote

$$u\left(c_T, \frac{M_T^1}{P_T}, \frac{M_T^2}{P_T}\right) = u_{t=T} = u_T$$

$$\frac{\partial u\left(c_T, \frac{M_T^1}{P_T}, \frac{M_T^2}{P_T}\right)}{\partial c_T} = u'_{1,t=T} = u'_{1,T}$$

$$\frac{\partial u\left(c_T, \frac{M_T^1}{P_T}, \frac{M_T^2}{P_T}\right)}{\partial \frac{M_T^1}{P_T}} = u'_{2,t=T} = u'_{2,T}$$

$$\frac{\partial u\left(c_T, \frac{M_T^1}{P_T}, \frac{M_T^2}{P_T}\right)}{\partial \frac{M_T^2}{P_T}} = u'_{3,t=T} = u'_{3,T}$$

$$\frac{\partial u\left(c_t, \frac{M_t^1}{P_t}, \frac{M_t^2}{P_t}\right)}{\partial M_t^1} = \frac{P_t}{M_t^1} \cdot \frac{1}{P_t} = \frac{1}{M_t^1}$$

$$\frac{\partial u\left(c_t, \frac{M_t^1}{P_t}, \frac{M_t^2}{P_t}\right)}{\partial M_t^2} = \chi \cdot \frac{P_t}{M_t^2} \cdot \frac{1}{P_t} = \frac{\chi}{M_t^2}$$

Rewriting the budget constraint, we have:

$$P_t c_t + M_t^1 + M_t^2 + P_t^b B_t + S_t a_t - Y_t - M_{t-1}^1 - (1 + i_{t-1}^M) M_{t-1}^2 - B_{t-1} - (S_t + D_t) a_{t-1} = 0$$

Lagrangian:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} = & \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \beta^s u_{t+s} \left(c_{t+s}, \frac{M_{t+s}^1}{P_{t+s}}, \frac{M_{t+s}^2}{P_{t+s}} \right) \\ & - \lambda_t (P_t c_t + M_t^1 + M_t^2 + P_t^b B_t + S_t a_t - Y_t - M_{t-1}^1 - (1 + i_{t-1}^M) M_{t-1}^2 - B_{t-1} - (S_t + D_t) a_{t-1}) \\ & - \beta \lambda_{t+1} (P_{t+1} c_{t+1} + M_{t+1}^1 + M_{t+1}^2 + P_{t+1}^b B_{t+1} + S_{t+1} a_{t+1} \\ & \quad - Y_{t+1} - M_t^1 - (1 + i_t^M) M_t^2 - B_t - (S_{t+1} + D_{t+1}) a_t) \\ & \quad + \dots \end{aligned}$$

Equivalently,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L} = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \beta^s & \left(\ln(c_{t+s}) + \ln\left(\frac{M_{t+s}^1}{P_{t+s}}\right) + \chi \ln\left(\frac{M_{t+s}^2}{P_{t+s}}\right) \right) \\ & - \lambda_t (P_t c_t + M_t^1 + M_t^2 + P_t^b B_t + S_t a_t - Y_t - M_{t-1}^1 - (1 + i_{t-1}^M) M_{t-1}^2 - B_{t-1} - (S_t + D_t) a_{t-1}) \\ & - \beta \lambda_{t+1} (P_{t+1} c_{t+1} + M_{t+1}^1 + M_{t+1}^2 + P_{t+1}^b B_{t+1} + S_{t+1} a_{t+1} \\ & \quad - Y_{t+1} - M_t^1 - (1 + i_t^M) M_t^2 - B_t - (S_{t+1} + D_{t+1}) a_t) \\ & \quad + \dots\end{aligned}$$

FOC w.r.t. c_t :

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{c_t} - \lambda_t P_t &= 0 \\ \iff \\ \frac{1}{c_t} &= \lambda_t P_t\end{aligned}\tag{1.1}$$

FOC w.r.t. M_t^1 :

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{P_t}{M_t^1} \cdot \frac{1}{P_t} - \lambda_t + \beta \lambda_{t+1} &= 0 \\ \iff \\ \frac{1}{M_t^1} &= \lambda_t - \beta \lambda_{t+1}\end{aligned}\tag{1.2}$$

FOC w.r.t. M_t^2 :

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\chi P_t}{M_t^2} \cdot \frac{1}{P_t} - \lambda_t - \beta \lambda_{t+1} [-(1 + i_t^M)] &= 0 \\ \iff \\ \frac{\chi}{M_t^2} - \lambda_t + \beta \lambda_{t+1} (1 + i_t^M) &= 0 \\ \iff \\ \frac{\chi}{M_t^2} &= \lambda_t - \beta \lambda_{t+1} (1 + i_t^M)\end{aligned}\tag{1.3}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\therefore \frac{\frac{1}{M_t^1}}{\frac{\chi}{M_t^2}} &= \frac{\lambda_t - \beta \lambda_{t+1}}{\lambda_t - \beta \lambda_{t+1} (1 + i_t^M)} \\ \iff \\ \frac{M_t^2}{\chi M_t^1} &= \frac{\lambda_t - \beta \lambda_{t+1}}{\lambda_t - \beta \lambda_{t+1} - \beta \lambda_{t+1} i_t^M}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\Longleftrightarrow \\ \frac{\chi M_t^1}{M_t^2} &= \frac{\lambda_t - \beta\lambda_{t+1} - \beta\lambda_{t+1}i_t^M}{\lambda_t - \beta\lambda_{t+1}} = 1 - \frac{\beta\lambda_{t+1}i_t^M}{\lambda_t - \beta\lambda_{t+1}} \end{aligned}$$

FOC w.r.t. B_t :

$$-\lambda_t P_t^b - \beta\lambda_{t+1} \cdot (-1) = 0$$

$$\Longleftrightarrow$$

$$-\lambda_t P_t^b + \beta\lambda_{t+1} = 0$$

$$\Longleftrightarrow$$

$$\beta\lambda_{t+1} = \lambda_t P_t^b \tag{1.4}$$

According to definition:

$$1 + i_t = \frac{1}{P_t^b} \tag{1.5}$$

$$\therefore \beta\lambda_{t+1} = \lambda_t P_t^b = \frac{\lambda_t}{1 + i_t}$$

FOC w.r.t. a_t :

$$-\lambda_t S_t - \beta\lambda_{t+1} (- (S_{t+1} + D_{t+1})) = 0$$

$$\Longleftrightarrow$$

$$-\lambda_t S_t + \beta\lambda_{t+1} (S_{t+1} + D_{t+1}) = 0$$

$$\Longleftrightarrow$$

$$\lambda_t S_t = \beta\lambda_{t+1} (S_{t+1} + D_{t+1}) \tag{1.6}$$

According to definition:

$$1 + i_t = \frac{S_{t+1} + D_{t+1}}{S_t} \tag{1.7}$$

$$\therefore \lambda_t = \beta\lambda_{t+1} \left(\frac{S_{t+1} + D_{t+1}}{S_t} \right) = \beta\lambda_{t+1} (1 + i_t)$$

$P_t, P_t^b, S_t, D_t, Y_t$ are given. The above equation holds similarly with (1.5), but S_t and D_t should be carefully chosen to avoid contradiction.

Hence

$$\frac{\chi M_t^1}{M_t^2} = 1 - \frac{\beta\lambda_{t+1}i_t^M}{\beta\lambda_{t+1}(1 + i_t) - \beta\lambda_{t+1}} = 1 - \frac{i_t^M}{1 + i_t - 1} = 1 - \frac{i_t^M}{i_t}$$

FOC w.r.t. λ_t :

$$P_t c_t + M_t^1 + M_t^2 + P_t^b B_t + S_t a_t - Y_t - M_{t-1}^1 - (1 + i_{t-1}^M) M_{t-1}^2 - B_{t-1} - (S_t + D_t) a_{t-1} = 0 \quad (1.8)$$

Now let's replace M_t^1 :

$$M_t^1 = \frac{1}{\lambda_t - \beta \lambda_{t+1}} = \frac{1}{\lambda_t - \frac{\lambda_t}{1 + i_t}} = \frac{1 + i_t}{(1 + i_t - 1) \lambda_t} = \frac{1 + i_t}{i_t \lambda_t}$$

$$\therefore \lambda_t = \frac{1}{c_t P_t}$$

$$\therefore \chi M_t^1 = \frac{\chi (1 + i_t) c_t P_t}{i_t}$$

$$M_t^2 = \frac{\chi M_t^1}{1 - \frac{i_t^M}{i_t}} = \frac{\frac{\chi (1 + i_t) c_t P_t}{i_t}}{1 - \frac{i_t^M}{i_t}} = \frac{\chi (1 + i_t) c_t P_t}{i_t - i_t^M}$$

$$\boxed{\rho^{M_2}(c_t, i_t, i_t^M) = \frac{M_t^2}{P_t} = \frac{\chi (1 + i_t) c_t}{i_t - i_t^M}}$$

Apart from some strange case where $\rho^{M_2} < 0$, such as $i_t < i_t^M$,

c_t : positively related to $\frac{M_t^2}{P_t}$. Holding all else equal, the more I consume, the more cash I need.

i_t^M : positively related to $\frac{M_t^2}{P_t}$. The more interest rate of M_2 is, the higher return it is, and the more worthwhile to invest.

i_t : To see the relationship:

$$\rho^{M_2}(c_t, i_t, i_t^M) = \chi c_t \cdot \frac{1 + i_t}{i_t - i_t^M}$$

$$\frac{\partial \frac{1 + i_t}{i_t - i_t^M}}{\partial i_t} = \frac{1 \cdot (i_t - i_t^M) - (1 + i_t) \cdot 1}{(i_t - i_t^M)^2} = \frac{(i_t - i_t^M) - (1 + i_t)}{(i_t - i_t^M)^2} = \frac{i_t - i_t^M - 1 - i_t}{(i_t - i_t^M)^2} = \frac{-(1 + i_t^M)}{(i_t - i_t^M)^2}$$

Which is usually negative.

The higher i_t is, consumers are more eager to substitute M_2 with bonds and stocks.

d.

Modeling after RBC slide, we assume that:

$$\ln(\chi_t) = \Lambda \ln(\chi_{t-1}) + e_t \quad (1.9)$$

where Λ describes the persistence of productivity shock.

Using (1.1) across periods:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\frac{1}{c_1}}{\frac{1}{c_{t+1}}} &= \frac{\lambda_t P_t}{\lambda_{t+1} P_{t+1}} = \frac{\lambda_t}{\lambda_{t+1}} \cdot \frac{P_t}{P_{t+1}} = \frac{\beta \lambda_{t+1} (1 + i_t)}{\lambda_{t+1}} \cdot \frac{P_t}{P_{t+1}} = \frac{\beta (1 + i_t) P_t}{P_{t+1}} \\ &\iff \\ \frac{c_{t+1}}{c_t} &= \frac{\beta (1 + i_t) P_t}{P_{t+1}} \end{aligned} \quad (1.10)$$

Using (1.2) across periods:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\frac{1}{M_t^1}}{\frac{1}{M_{t+1}^1}} &= \frac{\lambda_t - \beta \lambda_{t+1}}{\lambda_{t+1} - \beta \lambda_{t+2}} = \frac{\beta \lambda_{t+1} (1 + i_t) - \beta \lambda_{t+1}}{\beta \lambda_{t+2} (1 + i_{t+1}) - \beta \lambda_{t+2}} = \frac{\beta \lambda_{t+1} i_t}{\beta \lambda_{t+2} i_{t+1}} = \frac{\lambda_{t+1}}{\lambda_{t+2}} \cdot \frac{i_t}{i_{t+1}} \\ &\iff \\ \frac{M_{t+1}^1}{M_t^1} &= \frac{\beta \lambda_{t+2} (1 + i_{t+1})}{\lambda_{t+2}} \cdot \frac{i_t}{i_{t+1}} \\ &\iff \\ \frac{M_{t+1}^1}{M_t^1} &= \frac{\beta (1 + i_{t+1}) i_t}{i_{t+1}} \end{aligned} \quad (1.11)$$

Using (1.3) across periods:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\frac{\chi_t}{M_t^2}}{\frac{\chi_t}{M_{t+1}^2}} &= \frac{\lambda_t - \beta \lambda_{t+1} (1 + i_t^M)}{\lambda_{t+1} - \beta \lambda_{t+2} (1 + i_{t+1}^M)} = \frac{\beta \lambda_{t+1} (1 + i_t) - \beta \lambda_{t+1} (1 + i_t^M)}{\beta \lambda_{t+2} (1 + i_{t+1}) - \beta \lambda_{t+2} (1 + i_{t+1}^M)} = \frac{\beta \lambda_{t+1} (i_t - i_t^M)}{\beta \lambda_{t+2} (i_{t+1} - i_{t+1}^M)} \\ &\iff \\ \frac{\chi_t}{M_t^2} \cdot \frac{M_{t+1}^2}{\chi_t} &= \frac{\lambda_{t+1} (i_t - i_t^M)}{\lambda_{t+2} (i_{t+1} - i_{t+1}^M)} = \frac{\beta \lambda_{t+2} (1 + i_{t+1}) (i_t - i_t^M)}{\lambda_{t+2} (i_{t+1} - i_{t+1}^M)} = \frac{\beta (1 + i_{t+1}) (i_t - i_t^M)}{i_{t+1} - i_{t+1}^M} \\ &\iff \\ \frac{M_{t+1}^2}{M_t^2} &= \frac{\beta (1 + i_{t+1}) (i_t - i_t^M)}{i_{t+1} - i_{t+1}^M} \end{aligned} \quad (1.12)$$

MRS between c_t and M_t^1 :

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\frac{1}{c_t}}{\frac{1}{M_t^1}} &= \frac{\lambda_t P_t}{\lambda_t - \beta \lambda_{t+1}} = \frac{\beta \lambda_{t+1} (1 + i_t) P_t}{\beta \lambda_{t+1} (1 + i_t) - \beta \lambda_{t+1}} = \frac{(1 + i_t) P_t}{i_t} \\
&\iff \\
M_t^1 &= \frac{(1 + i_t) P_t c_t}{i_t}
\end{aligned} \tag{1.13}$$

By part c. we have:

$$M_t^2 = \frac{\chi_t (1 + i_t) c_t P_t}{i_t - i_t^M} \tag{1.14}$$

(1.7) to (1.14) solves $c, M_1, M_2, \chi, i, i^M, a_t, B_t$.

Real prices case and Steady state are attached to the Appendix.

2. Cash vs Credit goods

a.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\max \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \beta^s U(c_{1,t}, c_{2,t}) \\
&\text{subject to} \\
&P_t c_{1,t} + P_t c_{2,t} + M_t = P_t y_t + M_{t-1} + \tau_t, \\
&P_t c_{1,t} - M_{t-1} - \tau_t \leq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

The Lagrangian:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L} &= \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \beta^s U(c_{1,t}, c_{2,t}) \\
&\quad - \lambda_t (P_t c_{1,t} + P_t c_{2,t} + M_t - P_t y_t - M_{t-1} - \tau_t) \\
&\quad - \mu_t (P_t c_{1,t} - M_{t-1} - \tau_t) \\
&\quad - \beta \lambda_{t+1} (P_{t+1} c_{1,t+1} + P_{t+1} c_{2,t+1} + M_{t+1} - P_{t+1} y_{t+1} - M_t - \tau_{t+1}) \\
&\quad - \beta \mu_{t+1} (P_{t+1} c_{1,t+1} - M_t - \tau_{t+1}) \\
&\quad + \dots
\end{aligned}$$

FOC w.r.t. $c_{1,t}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
U_1(c_{1,t}, c_{2,t}) - \lambda_t P_t - \mu_t P_t &= 0 \\
\frac{U_1(c_{1,t}, c_{2,t})}{P_t} &= \lambda_t + \mu_t
\end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

FOC w.r.t. $c_{2,t}$:

$$U_2(c_{1,t}, c_{2,t}) - \lambda_t P_t = 0$$

$$\frac{U_2(c_{1,t}, c_{2,t})}{P_t} = \lambda_t \quad (2.2)$$

FOC w.r.t. M_t :

$$-\lambda_t \times 1 - \beta \lambda_{t+1} \times (-1) - \beta \mu_{t+1} \times (-1) = 0$$

$$-\lambda_t + \beta \lambda_{t+1} + \beta \mu_{t+1} = 0$$

$$\lambda_t = \beta (\lambda_{t+1} + \mu_{t+1}) \quad (2.3)$$

b.

FOC w.r.t. λ_t :

$$P_t c_{1,t} + P_t c_{2,t} + M_t - P_t y_t - M_{t-1} - \tau_t = 0 \quad (2.4)$$

Kuhn-Tucker condition of μ_t :

$$\mu_t \geq 0, \text{ with } \mu_t = 0 \text{ if } P_t c_{1,t} - M_{t-1} - \tau_t < 0 \quad (2.5)$$

But we only consider the case where the equation holds. Case 1 is in the Appendix.

By (2.1) we have:

$$\frac{U_1(c_{1,t+1}, c_{2,t+1})}{P_{t+1}} = \lambda_{t+1} + \mu_{t+1}$$

Plug this equation into (2.3) we have:

$$\lambda_t = \beta \frac{U_1(c_{1,t+1}, c_{2,t+1})}{P_{t+1}}$$

Plug in (2.2) we have:

$$\frac{U_2(c_{1,t}, c_{2,t})}{P_t} = \frac{\beta U_1(c_{1,t+1}, c_{2,t+1})}{P_{t+1}}$$

\Longleftrightarrow

$$\boxed{\frac{U_2(c_{1,t}, c_{2,t})}{U_1(c_{1,t+1}, c_{2,t+1})} = \frac{\beta P_t}{P_{t+1}} = \frac{\beta}{1 + \pi_{t+1}}}$$

It also holds when $\mu_t = 0$:

$$\therefore \frac{U_1(c_{1,t}, c_{2,t})}{P_t} = \lambda_t = \frac{U_2(c_{1,t}, c_{2,t})}{P_t}$$

\Longleftrightarrow

$$\frac{U_2(c_{1,t}, c_{2,t})}{U_1(c_{1,t}, c_{2,t})} = 1$$

We also have:

$$\lambda_t = \beta \lambda_{t+1} + 0$$

Plug in (2.1) or (2.2):

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{U_1(c_{1,t}, c_{2,t})}{P_t} &= \beta \frac{U_1(c_{1,t+1}, c_{2,t+1})}{P_{t+1}} \\ \frac{U_1(c_{1,t}, c_{2,t})}{U_1(c_{1,t+1}, c_{2,t+1})} &= \frac{\beta P_t}{P_{t+1}} = \frac{\beta}{\frac{P_{t+1}}{P_t}} = \frac{\beta}{1 + \pi_{t+1}} \end{aligned}$$

Or,

$$\begin{aligned} U_2(c_{1,t}, c_{2,t}) P_{t+1} &= \beta U_1(c_{1,t+1}, c_{2,t+1}) P_t \\ \iff \\ \frac{U_1(c_{1,t+1}, c_{2,t+1})}{U_2(c_{1,t}, c_{2,t})} &= \frac{P_{t+1}}{\beta P_t} = 1 + \pi_{t+1} \end{aligned}$$

The monetary budget constraint for authority is

$$M_t^s = M_{t-1}^s (1 + g_t) \quad (2.6)$$

where

$$g_t = \frac{M_t^s - M_{t-1}^s}{M_{t-1}^s} \quad (2.7)$$

Money demand equals supply:

$$M_t = M_t^s \quad (2.8)$$

We only consider Case 1 here: $P_t c_{1,t} - M_{t-1} - \tau_t = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \iff \\ P_t c_{1,t} &= M_{t-1} + \tau_t = M_t \\ \iff \\ \frac{M_t}{P_t} &= \frac{c_t}{c_{t-1}} \\ \iff \\ \frac{1 + g_t}{1 + \pi_t} &= \frac{c_t}{c_{t-1}} \end{aligned}$$

Thus in steady state:

$$\frac{1+g}{1+\pi} = \frac{\bar{c}}{\bar{c}} = 1$$

$$\Longleftrightarrow$$

$$g = \pi$$

$$\therefore \frac{U_2(c_{1,t}, c_{2,t})}{U_1(c_{1,t+1}, c_{2,t+1})} = \frac{\beta P_t}{P_{t+1}} = \frac{\beta}{1+\pi_{t+1}}$$

$$\therefore \boxed{\frac{U_2(\bar{c}_1, \bar{c}_2)}{U_1(\bar{c}_1, \bar{c}_2)} = \frac{\beta}{1+g}}$$

c.

The government's goal:

$$\max_g \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \beta^s U(\bar{c}_1, \bar{c}_2) = \max_g U(\bar{c}_1, \bar{c}_2) \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \beta^s = \max_g U(\bar{c}_1, \bar{c}_2) \cdot \frac{1}{1-\beta} = \frac{\max_g U(\bar{c}_1, \bar{c}_2)}{1-\beta}$$

FOC w.r.t. g :

$$U_1 \frac{\partial \bar{c}_1}{\partial g} + U_2 \frac{\partial \bar{c}_2}{\partial g} = 0$$

$$\therefore \bar{c}_1 + \bar{c}_2 = y$$

$$\therefore \frac{\partial \bar{c}_1}{\partial g} = -\frac{\partial \bar{c}_2}{\partial g}$$

Hence,

$$U_1 = U_2$$

$$\frac{\beta}{1+g} = 1$$

$$\Longleftrightarrow$$

$$\beta = 1+g$$

$$\Longleftrightarrow$$

$$\boxed{g = \beta - 1}$$

d.

To maximize consumers' utility, the best policy to do is deflation (according to this model).

In solving this problem, we solve the hidden system of equations as well, where all consumers are involved. Since we use representative consumers here, the government's goal is equivalent to maximizing the welfare of the whole society.

If there is inflation, consumers' cash will depreciate, their wage will depreciate, and their money used for consuming goods will depreciate. All these factors decrease consumers' utility, discourage them from work. On the other hand, CIA will loosen if there is deflation, and increase consumers' utility and labor.

3. Deriving the Phillips Curve and Price Indexation

a.

The decision retail firms make is to maximize its profit, by adjusting y_{it} : the revenue from selling in the perfect competitive market, minus the cost: buying from the monopolistically competitive market.

$$\begin{aligned} & \max_{y_{jt}} P_t y_t - \int_0^1 p_{it} y_{it} di \\ \iff & \max_{y_{jt}} P_t \left[\int_0^1 y_{it}^{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}} di \right]^{\varepsilon} - \int_0^1 p_{it} y_{it} di \end{aligned}$$

The FOC is:

$$P_t \varepsilon \left[\int_0^1 y_{it}^{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}} di \right]^{\varepsilon-1} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} y_{jt}^{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}-1} - p_{jt} = 0$$

\iff

$$P_t \left[\int_0^1 y_{it}^{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}} di \right]^{\varepsilon-1} y_{jt}^{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}-1} - p_{jt} = 0$$

$$\therefore y_t = \left[\int_0^1 y_{it}^{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}} di \right]^{\varepsilon}$$

$$\therefore \left[\int_0^1 y_{it}^{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}} di \right]^{\varepsilon-1} = y_t^{\frac{\varepsilon-1}{\varepsilon}}$$

$$P_t y_t^{\frac{\varepsilon-1}{\varepsilon}} y_{jt}^{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}-1} - p_{jt} = 0$$

$$P_t y_t^{\frac{\varepsilon-1}{\varepsilon}} y_{jt}^{\frac{1-\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}} = p_{jt}$$

$$P_t y_t^{\frac{\varepsilon-1}{\varepsilon}} y_{jt}^{-\frac{\varepsilon-1}{\varepsilon}} = p_{jt}$$

$$y_{jt}^{\frac{\varepsilon-1}{\varepsilon}} p_{jt} = P_t y_t^{\frac{\varepsilon-1}{\varepsilon}}$$

$$y_{jt}^{\frac{\varepsilon-1}{\varepsilon}} = \left(\frac{P_t}{p_{jt}} \right) y_t^{\frac{\varepsilon-1}{\varepsilon}}$$

$$y_{jt} = \left(\frac{P_t}{p_{jt}} \right)^{\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon-1}} y_t$$

$$y_{jt} = \left(\frac{p_{jt}}{P_t} \right)^{\frac{\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}} y_t$$

b.

Different notation in prices: Because we assume that the retail firms buy the input in the monopolistically competitive market but sell in the perfect competitive market.

$\varepsilon > 1$, so that $p_{j,t}$ and $y_{j,t}$ are negatively correlated.

c.

Denote the period t menu cost as $C(p_{j,t}, p_{j,t-1})$.

$$p_{j,t}y_{j,t} - P_t \text{avc}(y_{j,t}) y_{j,t} - C(p_{j,t}, p_{j,t-1}) P_t$$

$mc = \text{avc}(y)$ when fixed cost is 0.

d.

The wholesale firm maximizes profit function:

$$p_{j,t}y_{j,t} - P_t mc_{j,t} y_{j,t} - \frac{\psi}{2} \left(\frac{p_{j,t}}{p_{j,t-1}} - 1 \right)^2 P_t + \frac{\beta}{1 + \pi_{t+1}} \left[p_{j,t+1}y_{j,t+1} - P_{t+1} mc_{j,t+1} y_{j,t+1} - \frac{\psi}{2} \left(\frac{p_{j,t+1}}{p_{j,t}} - 1 \right)^2 P_{t+1} \right]$$

The firm chooses $p_{j,t}$. Since the wholesale firm has market power, the firm takes the relationship between quantity and price into account.

Equivalently,

$$p_{j,t} \left(\frac{p_{j,t}}{P_t} \right)^{\frac{\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}} y_t - P_t mc_{j,t} \left(\frac{p_{j,t}}{P_t} \right)^{\frac{\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}} y_t - \frac{\psi}{2} \left(\frac{p_{j,t}}{p_{j,t-1}} - 1 \right)^2 P_t + \frac{\beta}{1 + \pi_{t+1}} \left[p_{j,t+1} \left(\frac{p_{j,t+1}}{P_{t+1}} \right)^{\frac{\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}} y_{t+1} - P_{t+1} mc_{j,t+1} \left(\frac{p_{j,t+1}}{P_{t+1}} \right)^{\frac{\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}} y_{t+1} - \frac{\psi}{2} \left(\frac{p_{j,t+1}}{p_{j,t}} - 1 \right)^2 P_{t+1} \right]$$

\Longleftrightarrow

$$\frac{p_{j,t}^{\frac{\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}+1}}{P_t^{\frac{\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}}} y_t - P_t mc_{j,t} \left(\frac{p_{j,t}}{P_t} \right)^{\frac{\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}} y_t - \frac{\psi}{2} \left(\frac{p_{j,t}}{p_{j,t-1}} - 1 \right)^2 P_t + \frac{\beta}{1 + \pi_{t+1}} \left[\frac{p_{j,t+1}^{\frac{\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}+1}}{P_{t+1}^{\frac{\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}}} y_{t+1} - P_{t+1} mc_{j,t+1} \left(\frac{p_{j,t+1}}{P_{t+1}} \right)^{\frac{\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}} y_{t+1} - \frac{\psi}{2} \left(\frac{p_{j,t+1}}{p_{j,t}} - 1 \right)^2 P_{t+1} \right]$$

\Longleftrightarrow

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{p_{j,t}^{\frac{\varepsilon+1-\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}}}{P_t^{\frac{\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}}} y_t - P_t mc_{j,t} \left(\frac{p_{j,t}}{P_t} \right)^{\frac{\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}} y_t - \frac{\psi}{2} \left(\frac{p_{j,t}}{p_{j,t-1}} - 1 \right)^2 P_t \\ & + \frac{\beta}{1 + \pi_{t+1}} \left[\frac{p_{j,t+1}^{\frac{\varepsilon+1-\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}}}{P_{t+1}^{\frac{\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}}} y_{t+1} - P_{t+1} mc_{j,t+1} \left(\frac{p_{j,t+1}}{P_{t+1}} \right)^{\frac{\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}} y_{t+1} - \frac{\psi}{2} \left(\frac{p_{j,t+1}}{p_{j,t}} - 1 \right)^2 P_{t+1} \right] \end{aligned}$$

\Longleftrightarrow

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{p_{j,t}^{\frac{1}{1-\varepsilon}}}{P_t^{\frac{\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}}} y_t - P_t mc_{j,t} \left(\frac{p_{j,t}}{P_t} \right)^{\frac{\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}} y_t - \frac{\psi}{2} \left(\frac{p_{j,t}}{p_{j,t-1}} - 1 \right)^2 P_t \\ & + \frac{\beta}{1 + \pi_{t+1}} \left[\frac{p_{j,t+1}^{\frac{1}{1-\varepsilon}}}{P_{t+1}^{\frac{\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}}} y_{t+1} - P_{t+1} mc_{j,t+1} \left(\frac{p_{j,t+1}}{P_{t+1}} \right)^{\frac{\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}} y_{t+1} - \frac{\psi}{2} \left(\frac{p_{j,t+1}}{p_{j,t}} - 1 \right)^2 P_{t+1} \right] \end{aligned}$$

e.

FOC w.r.t. $p_{j,t}$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{1-\varepsilon} p_{j,t}^{\frac{1}{1-\varepsilon}-1} \frac{y_t}{P_t^{\frac{\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}}} - P_t mc_{j,t} \left(\frac{1}{P_t} \right)^{\frac{\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}} y_t \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon} p_{j,t}^{\frac{\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}-1} - \frac{\psi}{2} \cdot 2 \cdot \left(\frac{p_{j,t}}{p_{j,t-1}} - 1 \right) \cdot \frac{1}{p_{j,t-1}} P_t \\ & + \frac{\beta}{1 + \pi_{t+1}} \left[-\frac{\psi}{2} \cdot 2 \cdot \left(\frac{p_{j,t+1}}{p_{j,t}} - 1 \right) \cdot p_{j,t+1} \left(-\frac{1}{p_{j,t}^2} \right) P_{t+1} \right] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

\Longleftrightarrow

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{1-\varepsilon} p_{j,t}^{\frac{1-(1-\varepsilon)}{1-\varepsilon}} y_t P_t^{\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon-1}} - \frac{\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon} P_t mc_{j,t} P_t^{\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon-1}} y_t \cdot p_{j,t}^{\frac{\varepsilon-(1-\varepsilon)}{1-\varepsilon}} - \psi \left(\frac{p_{j,t}}{p_{j,t-1}} - 1 \right) \cdot \frac{P_t}{p_{j,t-1}} \\ & + \frac{\beta\psi}{1 + \pi_{t+1}} \left[\left(\frac{p_{j,t+1}}{p_{j,t}} - 1 \right) \cdot \frac{p_{j,t+1}}{p_{j,t}} \cdot \frac{P_{t+1}}{p_{j,t}} \right] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

\Longleftrightarrow

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{1-\varepsilon} p_{j,t}^{\frac{1-1+\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}} y_t P_t^{\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon-1}} - \frac{\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon} P_t^{1+\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon-1}} p_{j,t}^{\frac{\varepsilon-1+\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}} mc_{j,t} y_t - \psi \left(\frac{p_{j,t}}{p_{j,t-1}} - 1 \right) \frac{P_t}{p_{j,t-1}} \\ & + \frac{\beta\psi}{1 + \pi_{t+1}} \left[\left(\frac{p_{j,t+1}}{p_{j,t}} - 1 \right) \cdot \frac{p_{j,t+1}}{p_{j,t}} \cdot \frac{P_{t+1}}{p_{j,t}} \right] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

\Longleftrightarrow

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{1-\varepsilon} p_{j,t}^{\frac{\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}} P_t^{\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon-1}} y_t - \frac{\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon} P_t^{\frac{2\varepsilon-1}{\varepsilon-1}} p_{j,t}^{\frac{2\varepsilon-1}{1-\varepsilon}} mc_{j,t} y_t - \psi \left(\frac{p_{j,t}}{p_{j,t-1}} - 1 \right) \frac{P_t}{p_{j,t-1}} \\ & + \frac{\beta\psi}{1 + \pi_{t+1}} \left[\left(\frac{p_{j,t+1}}{p_{j,t}} - 1 \right) \frac{p_{j,t+1}}{p_{j,t}} \frac{P_{t+1}}{p_{j,t}} \right] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Since price and quantity are correlated, increasing $p_{j,t}$ increases the first term which shows marginal revenue, but the second term, which is limited to variable cost in each period, increases as well. As $p_{j,t}$ goes up, the effect on menu cost decreases, and the last term related to intertemporal effect goes down.

f.

By assumption, the FOC becomes:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{1-\varepsilon} P_t^{\frac{\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}} P_t^{\frac{\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}} y_t - \frac{\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon} P_t^{\frac{2\varepsilon-1}{1-\varepsilon}} P_t^{\frac{2\varepsilon-1}{1-\varepsilon}} mc_t y_t - \psi \left(\frac{P_t}{P_{t-1}} - 1 \right) \frac{P_t}{P_{t-1}} \\
& \quad + \frac{\beta\psi}{1+\pi_{t+1}} \left[\left(\frac{P_{t+1}}{P_t} - 1 \right) \frac{P_{t+1}}{P_t} \frac{P_{t+1}}{P_t} \right] = 0 \\
& \iff \\
& \frac{1}{1-\varepsilon} y_t - \frac{\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon} mc_t y_t - \psi \left(\frac{P_t}{P_{t-1}} - 1 \right) \frac{P_t}{P_{t-1}} + \frac{\beta\psi}{1+\pi_{t+1}} \left[\left(\frac{P_{t+1}}{P_t} - 1 \right) \left(\frac{P_{t+1}}{P_t} \right)^2 \right] = 0 \\
& \iff \\
& \frac{y_t}{1-\varepsilon} (1 - \varepsilon mc_t) - \psi ((1 + \pi_t) - 1) (1 + \pi_t) + \frac{\beta\psi}{1+\pi_{t+1}} \left[((1 + \pi_{t+1}) - 1) (1 + \pi_{t+1})^2 \right] = 0 \\
& \iff \\
& \frac{y_t}{1-\varepsilon} (1 - \varepsilon mc_t) - \psi \pi_t (1 + \pi_t) + \frac{\beta\psi}{1+\pi_{t+1}} \left[\pi_{t+1} (1 + \pi_{t+1})^2 \right] = 0 \\
& \iff \\
& \psi \pi_t (1 + \pi_t) = \frac{y_t}{1-\varepsilon} (1 - \varepsilon mc_t) + \beta \psi \pi_{t+1} (1 + \pi_{t+1})
\end{aligned}$$

g.

NKPC links intertemporal inflation and marginal cost.

Marginal cost and inflation are positively related. Higher price to pay in the future, higher cost is expected, the lower mark-up is. Since mc is labor intensive, higher mc leads to lower unemployment.

Current inflation is related to future inflation (or expectation about inflation), that comes into frms' mind when they adjust their mark-up. It is because prices at different periods are correlated.

h.

(a)

The wholesale firm maximizes profit function:

$$\begin{aligned}
& p_{j,t} y_{j,t} - P_t mc_{j,t} y_{j,t} - \frac{\psi}{2} \left(\frac{p_{j,t}}{p_{j,t-1} (1 + \pi)^\nu} - 1 \right)^2 P_t \\
& \quad + \frac{\beta}{1 + \pi_{t+1}} \left[p_{j,t+1} y_{j,t+1} - P_{t+1} mc_{j,t+1} y_{j,t+1} - \frac{\psi}{2} \left(\frac{p_{j,t+1}}{p_{j,t} (1 + \pi)^\nu} - 1 \right)^2 P_{t+1} \right]
\end{aligned}$$

Equivalently,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{p_{j,t}^{\frac{1}{1-\varepsilon}}}{P_t^{\frac{\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}}} y_t - P_t m c_{j,t} \left(\frac{p_{j,t}}{P_t} \right)^{\frac{\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}} y_t - \frac{\psi}{2} \left(\frac{p_{j,t}}{p_{j,t-1} (1+\pi)^\nu} - 1 \right)^2 P_t \\ & + \frac{\beta}{1+\pi_{t+1}} \left[\frac{p_{j,t+1}^{\frac{1}{1-\varepsilon}}}{P_{t+1}^{\frac{\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}}} y_{t+1} - P_{t+1} m c_{j,t+1} \left(\frac{p_{j,t+1}}{P_{t+1}} \right)^{\frac{\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}} y_{t+1} - \frac{\psi}{2} \left(\frac{p_{j,t+1}}{p_{j,t} (1+\pi)^\nu} - 1 \right)^2 P_{t+1} \right] \end{aligned}$$

(b)

The firm maximizes $p_{j,t}$. Since the wholesale firm has market power, the firm takes the relationship between quantity and price into account.

FOC w.r.t. $p_{j,t}$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{1-\varepsilon} p_{j,t}^{\frac{1}{1-\varepsilon}-1} \frac{y_t}{P_t^{\frac{\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}}} - P_t m c_{j,t} \left(\frac{1}{P_t} \right)^{\frac{\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}} y_t \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon} p_{j,t}^{\frac{\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}-1} \\ & - \frac{\psi}{2} \cdot 2 \cdot \left(\frac{p_{j,t}}{p_{j,t-1} (1+\pi)^\nu} - 1 \right) \cdot \frac{1}{p_{j,t-1} (1+\pi)^\nu} P_t \\ & + \frac{\beta}{1+\pi_{t+1}} \left[-\frac{\psi}{2} \cdot 2 \cdot \left(\frac{p_{j,t+1}}{p_{j,t} (1+\pi)^\nu} - 1 \right) \cdot \frac{p_{j,t+1}}{(1+\pi)^\nu} \left(-\frac{1}{p_{j,t}^2} \right) P_{t+1} \right] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

\Longleftrightarrow

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{1-\varepsilon} p_{j,t}^{\frac{1}{1-\varepsilon}} P_t^{\frac{\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}-1} y_t - \frac{\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon} P_t^{\frac{2\varepsilon-1}{\varepsilon-1}} p_{j,t}^{\frac{2\varepsilon-1}{1-\varepsilon}} m c_{j,t} y_t - \psi \left(\frac{p_{j,t}}{p_{j,t-1} (1+\pi)^\nu} - 1 \right) \frac{P_t}{p_{j,t-1} (1+\pi)^\nu} \\ & + \frac{\beta\psi}{1+\pi_{t+1}} \left[\left(\frac{p_{j,t+1}}{p_{j,t} (1+\pi)^\nu} - 1 \right) \frac{p_{j,t+1}}{p_{j,t}} \frac{P_{t+1}}{p_{j,t}} \frac{1}{(1+\pi)^\nu} \right] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

(c)

By assumption, the FOC above becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{1-\varepsilon} P_t^{\frac{1}{1-\varepsilon}} P_t^{\frac{\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}-1} y_t - \frac{\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon} P_t^{\frac{2\varepsilon-1}{\varepsilon-1}} P_t^{\frac{2\varepsilon-1}{1-\varepsilon}} m c_t y_t - \psi \left(\frac{P_t}{P_{t-1} (1+\pi)^\nu} - 1 \right) \frac{P_t}{P_{t-1} (1+\pi)^\nu} \\ & + \frac{\beta\psi}{1+\pi_{t+1}} \left[\left(\frac{P_{t+1}}{P_t (1+\pi)^\nu} - 1 \right) \frac{P_{t+1}}{P_t} \frac{P_{t+1}}{P_t} \frac{1}{(1+\pi)^\nu} \right] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

\Longleftrightarrow

$$\frac{y_t}{1-\varepsilon} (1-\varepsilon m c_t) - \psi \left(\frac{1+\pi_t}{(1+\pi)^\nu} - 1 \right) \frac{1+\pi_t}{(1+\pi)^\nu} + \frac{\beta\psi}{1+\pi_{t+1}} \left[\left(\frac{1+\pi_{t+1}}{(1+\pi)^\nu} - 1 \right) \frac{(1+\pi_{t+1})^2}{(1+\pi)^\nu} \right] = 0$$

\Leftrightarrow

$$\psi \left(\frac{1 + \pi_t}{(1 + \pi)^\nu} - 1 \right) \frac{1 + \pi_t}{(1 + \pi)^\nu} = \frac{y_t}{1 - \varepsilon} (1 - \varepsilon mc_t) + \beta \psi \left[\left(\frac{1 + \pi_{t+1}}{(1 + \pi)^\nu} - 1 \right) \frac{1 + \pi_{t+1}}{(1 + \pi)^\nu} \right]$$

Inflation is not only convoluted across periods, but also affiliate with steady state inflation level. Similar to traditional NKPC, higher current prices increase the nominal marginal cost and expectation about future inflation. However, it is more sticky to the steady state price level.

(d)

In steady state, $\pi_t = \pi$, $y_t = y$, $mc_t = mc$

Substitute we get:

$$\psi \left(\frac{1 + \pi}{(1 + \pi)^\nu} - 1 \right) \frac{1 + \pi}{(1 + \pi)^\nu} = \frac{y}{1 - \varepsilon} (1 - \varepsilon mc) + \beta \psi \left[\left(\frac{1 + \pi}{(1 + \pi)^\nu} - 1 \right) \frac{1 + \pi}{(1 + \pi)^\nu} \right]$$

\Leftrightarrow

$$\psi \left((1 + \pi)^{1-\nu} - 1 \right) (1 + \pi)^{1-\nu} = \frac{y}{1 - \varepsilon} (1 - \varepsilon mc) + \beta \psi \left[\left((1 + \pi)^{1-\nu} - 1 \right) (1 + \pi)^{1-\nu} \right]$$

\Leftrightarrow

$$(1 - \beta) \psi \left((1 + \pi)^{1-\nu} - 1 \right) (1 + \pi)^{1-\nu} = \frac{y}{1 - \varepsilon} (1 - \varepsilon mc)$$

\Leftrightarrow

$$(1 - \beta) \psi \left((1 + \pi)^{1-\nu} - 1 \right) (1 + \pi)^{1-\nu} \frac{1 - \varepsilon}{y} = 1 - \varepsilon mc$$

\Leftrightarrow

$$\varepsilon mc = 1 - (1 - \beta) \psi \left((1 + \pi)^{1-\nu} - 1 \right) (1 + \pi)^{1-\nu} \frac{1 - \varepsilon}{y}$$

\Leftrightarrow

$$mc = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left(1 - (1 - \beta) \psi \left((1 + \pi)^{1-\nu} - 1 \right) (1 + \pi)^{1-\nu} \frac{1 - \varepsilon}{y} \right)$$

(e)

If $\nu = 0$, the steady state term in the adjustment cost expression degenerates. Therefore, the PC, in this case, is the same as the original NKPC. Obviously, the expression above is the same with the Rotemberg case where steady price level doesn't come into effect.

Appendix

1

d.

In real term:

$$\frac{M_t^1}{P_t} = m_t^1$$

$$\frac{M_t^2}{P_t} = m_t^2$$

$$p_t^b = \frac{P_t^b}{P_t}$$

$$s_t = \frac{S_t}{P_t}$$

$$d_t = \frac{D_t}{P_t}$$

$$y_t = \frac{Y_t}{P_t}$$

$$P_t c_t + M_t^1 + M_t^2 + P_t^b B_t + S_t a_t - Y_t - M_{t-1}^1 - (1 + i_{t-1}^M) M_{t-1}^2 - B_{t-1} - (S_t + D_t) a_{t-1} = 0$$

$$\Longleftrightarrow$$

$$P_t (c_t + m_t^1 + m_t^2 + p_t^b B_t + s_t a_t - y_t) - P_{t-1} (m_{t-1}^1 - (1 + i_{t-1}^M) m_{t-1}^2) - (1 + i_{t-1}) P_{t-1}^b B_{t-1} - P_t (s_t + d_t) a_{t-1} = 0$$

$$\Longleftrightarrow$$

$$P_t (c_t + m_t^1 + m_t^2 + p_t^b B_t + s_t a_t - y_t - (s_t + d_t) a_{t-1}) - P_{t-1} (m_{t-1}^1 - (1 + i_{t-1}^M) m_{t-1}^2) - (1 + i_{t-1}) P_{t-1} p_{t-1}^b B_{t-1} = 0$$

$$\Longleftrightarrow$$

$$\frac{P_t}{P_{t-1}} (c_t + m_t^1 + m_t^2 + p_t^b B_t + s_t a_t - y_t - (s_t + d_t) a_{t-1}) - (m_{t-1}^1 - (1 + i_{t-1}^M) m_{t-1}^2 - (1 + i_{t-1}) p_{t-1}^b B_{t-1}) = 0$$

$$\Longleftrightarrow$$

$$(1 + \pi_t) (c_t + m_t^1 + m_t^2 + p_t^b B_t + s_t a_t - y_t - (s_t + d_t) a_{t-1}) - (m_{t-1}^1 - (1 + i_{t-1}^M) m_{t-1}^2 - (1 + i_{t-1}) p_{t-1}^b B_{t-1}) = 0$$

$$\Longleftrightarrow$$

$$(c_t + m_t^1 + m_t^2 + p_t^b B_t + s_t a_t - y_t - (s_t + d_t) a_{t-1}) - \left(\frac{m_{t-1}^1}{1 + \pi_t} - (1 + r_{t-1}^M) m_{t-1}^2 - (1 + r_{t-1}) p_{t-1}^b B_{t-1} \right) = 0 \quad (1.15)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{c_{t+1}}{c_t} &= \frac{\beta(1+i_t)}{1+\pi_{t+1}} = \beta(1+r_t) \\
&\Longleftrightarrow \\
\frac{c_{t+1}}{c_t} &= \beta(1+r_t)
\end{aligned} \tag{1.16}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{(1+\pi_{t+1})m_{t+1}^1}{m_t^1} &= \frac{\beta(1+i_{t+1})i_t}{i_{t+1}} \\
&\Longleftrightarrow \\
\frac{m_{t+1}^1}{m_t^1} &= \frac{\beta(1+r_{t+1})((1+\pi_{t+1})(1+r_t)-1)}{(1+\pi_{t+2})(1+r_{t+1})-1}
\end{aligned} \tag{1.17}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{(1+\pi_{t+1})m_{t+1}^2}{m_t^2} &= \frac{\beta(1+i_{t+1})(1+i_t-1-i_t^M)}{1+i_{t+1}-1-i_{t+1}^M} \\
&\Longleftrightarrow \\
\frac{m_{t+1}^2}{m_t^2} &= \frac{\beta(1+r_{t+1})((1+\pi_{t+1})(1+r_t)-(1+\pi_{t+1})(1+r_t^M))}{(1+\pi_{t+2})(1+r_{t+1})-(1+\pi_{t+2})(1+r_{t+1}^M)} = \frac{\beta(1+r_{t+1})(1+\pi_{t+1})(r_t-r_t^M)}{(1+\pi_{t+2})(r_{t+1}-r_{t+1}^M)} \\
&\Longleftrightarrow \\
\frac{m_{t+1}^2}{m_t^2} &= \frac{\beta(1+r_{t+1})(1+\pi_{t+1})(r_t-r_t^M)}{(1+\pi_{t+2})(r_{t+1}-r_{t+1}^M)}
\end{aligned} \tag{1.18}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
m_t^1 &= \frac{(1+i_t)c_t}{i_t} \\
&\Longleftrightarrow \\
m_t^1 &= \frac{(1+\pi_{t+1})(1+r_t)c_t}{(1+\pi_{t+1})(1+r_t)-1}
\end{aligned} \tag{1.19}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
m_t^2 &= \frac{\chi_t(1+i_t)c_t}{i_t-i_t^M} \\
&\Longleftrightarrow \\
m_t^2 &= \frac{\chi_t(1+\pi_{t+1})(1+r_t)c_t}{(1+\pi_t)(r_t-r_t^M)}
\end{aligned} \tag{1.20}$$

By (1.7):

$$\begin{aligned}
1+i_t &= (1+\pi_{t1}) \frac{s_{t+1}+d_{t+1}}{s_t} \\
1+r_t &= \frac{s_{t+1}+d_{t+1}}{s_t}
\end{aligned} \tag{1.21}$$

(1.9), (1.15) to (1.21) solves $c, m_1, m_2, \chi, r, r^M, a_t, B_t$.

Consider the steady state:

$$(c + m^1 + m^2 + p^b B + sa - y - (s + d) a) - \left(\frac{m^1}{1 + \pi} - (1 + r^M) m^2 - (1 + r) p^b B \right) = 0 \quad (1.22)$$

By (1.16):

$$\beta (1 + r) = \frac{c}{c} = 1$$

$$r = \frac{1}{\beta} - 1 \quad (1.23)$$

By (1.17):

$$\frac{\beta (1 + r) ((1 + \pi) (1 + r) - 1)}{(1 + \pi) (1 + r) - 1} = \frac{m^1}{m^1} = 1$$

The same as above.

(1.18) is similar.

By (1.19):

$$m^1 = \frac{(1 + \pi) (1 + r) c}{(1 + \pi) (1 + r) - 1} \quad (1.24)$$

By (1.20):

$$m^2 = \frac{\chi (1 + \pi) (1 + r) c}{(1 + \pi) (r - r^M)} \quad (1.25)$$

By (1.21):

$$1 + r = \frac{s + d}{s} \quad (1.26)$$

But using the MRS_{23} we have:

$$\frac{\chi_t M_t^1}{M_t^2} = 1 - \frac{i_t^M}{i_t} = 1 - \frac{(1 + \pi_{t+1}) (1 + r_t^M)}{(1 + \pi_{t+1}) (1 + r_t)} = 1 - \frac{1 + r_t^M}{1 + r_t} = \frac{(1 + r_t) - (1 + r_t^M)}{1 + r_t} = \frac{r_t - r_t^M}{1 + r_t}$$

In steady state:

$$\frac{\chi m^1}{m^2} = \frac{r - r^M}{1 + r} \quad (1.27)$$

2

b

Case 2: $P_t c_{1,t} - M_{t-1} - \tau_t < 0$

By (2.6), (2.7) & (2.8), the equations used to define, the original budget constraint becomes:

$$P_t c_{1,t} + P_t c_{2,t} = P_t y_t$$

$$\iff$$

$$c_{1,t} + c_{2,t} = y_t$$

$$c_{2,t} = y_t - c_{1,t}$$

The problem becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} & \max \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \beta^s U(c_{1,t}, y_t - c_{1,t}) \\ & \text{subject to} \\ & P_t c_{1,t} - M_t < 0. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial U(c_{1,t}, y_t - c_{1,t})}{\partial c_{1,t}} &= U_1(c_{1,t}, y_t - c_{1,t}) + U_2(c_{1,t}, y_t - c_{1,t})(-1) \\ &= U_1(c_{1,t}, y_t - c_{1,t}) - U_2(c_{1,t}, y_t - c_{1,t}) \end{aligned}$$

But

$$U_1(c_{1,t}, y_t - c_{1,t}) = U_2(c_{1,t}, y_t - c_{1,t}) \text{ when } \mu_t = 0, \text{ as shown above,}$$

$$\therefore \frac{\partial U(c_{1,t}, y_t - c_{1,t})}{\partial c_{1,t}} = 0$$

Consumers are indifferent with any pair $c_{1,t}$ and $c_{2,t}$, or they are not maximizing.

Denote the best pair in Case 1 as $(c_{1,t}^*, c_{2,t}^*)$.

We know $c_{1,t}^* + c_{2,t}^* = c_{1,t} + c_{2,t} = y_t$ and $c_{1,t}^* > c_{1,t}$

$U(c_{1,t}^*, y_t - c_{1,t}^*)$ is the utility in Case 1.

$U(c_{1,t}, y_t - c_{1,t})$ has the same indifference level as other pairs in Case 2.

In Case 1:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial U(c_{1,t}, y_t - c_{1,t})}{\partial c_{1,t}} &= U_1(c_{1,t}, y_t - c_{1,t}) + U_2(c_{1,t}, y_t - c_{1,t})(-1) \\ &= U_1(c_{1,t}, y_t - c_{1,t}) - U_2(c_{1,t}, y_t - c_{1,t}) \\ &= \mu_t \\ &> 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore U(c_{1,t}^*, y_t - c_{1,t}^*) > U(c_{1,t}, y_t - c_{1,t})$$

Case 1 brings higher utility for consumers.

In conclusion,

$$\boxed{\frac{U_2(\bar{c}_1, \bar{c}_2)}{U_1(\bar{c}_1, \bar{c}_2)} = \frac{\beta}{1+g}}$$

3

d. If $\nu = 1$, the FOC in h.(d) degenerates to $mc = \frac{1}{\varepsilon}$, the Dixit-Stiglitz marginal cost expression in steady state and symmetric case. As long as the steady state has reached, price is fully flexible (the price level is at the steady level) since numerator and denominator cancel, there is no adjustment cost.