


ADVICES ON DOING RESEARCH FROM BIG NAMES


A reading & sharing session



1. Choosing, Defining a Research Problem

* Jim Kurose, Department of Computer Science, University of Massachusetts

Choosing, defining a research problem #2



A fool can ask more questions in a minute than a wise man/woman (or a Yoda) can answer in a lifetime

pick your problems carefully!

- ❑ what's the fundamental issue you're solving?
- ❑ will the problem be of interest five, ten years from now?
- ❑ focus on fundamentals in a world with an increasingly short attention span

QoS
multicast
congestion control
P2P
sensor networks
energy

Choosing, defining a research problem




Wisdom of crowds?

There are lots of smart people out there!

- ❑ avoid crowded areas unless you have a unique talent, viewpoint
 - ❖ low-hanging fruit has been picked
 - ❖ researchers working on “next big thing” are not in the crowd
- ❑ take risks (it's *research*)

Choosing, defining a research problem



- ❑ complexity, sophistication are themselves not of interest
- ❑ simple is sometimes harder!

[adapted from Hluchyj 2001]

maximum impact / mindshare

What have others suggested (2)?


- ❑ know your “secret weapon”
 - ❖ what “unfair advantage” do you have over everyone else?
- ❑ learn how to change topics
 - ❖ boring to do same thing for 30 years!
 - ❖ will result in gap in measurable “productivity”



2. How to Read a Paper

Jon Crowcroft, Cambridge

Based on CCR Article by Keshav (Waterloo)




Stand on the Shoulders of Giants

And do not stand on their toes


You read other papers so that

- You are learning what papers are like
- You are current in the field
- You may be writing survey (literature review)
- You want to find what to compare with
- We propose a 3 pass reading approach




Pass 1

- Structural overview of paper
 - Read abstract/title/intro
 - Read section headings, ignore bodies
 - Read conclusions
 - Scan references noting ones you know




Pass 1 output

- You can now say
 - Is this a system, theory or simulation paper (category defines methodology)
 - Check system measurement methodology
 - Check expressiveness/fit for purpose of formalism
 - Check simulation assumptions
 - What other papers/projects relate to this?
 - Are the assumptions valid?
 - What are the key novel contributions
 - Is the paper clear?
- Takes about 5 minutes
- 95% of reviewers will stop at pass 1 :-(
 - See Section 3 of this (on writing papers)




Pass 2

- Check integrity of paper
 - Look at figures/diagrams/exes/definitions
 - Note unfamiliar references
 - Do not check proofs yet
- Takes around 1 hour
- You should be able to summarise the paper to someone else now
- If it is unclear, you may need to pause overnight




Pass 3

- Virtually re-implement the paper
 - Challenge all assumptions
 - Think adversarially about experiments, proofs, simulation scenarios
 - Takes 4-5 hours
- You should be able to reconstruct paper completely now



Reading batches of papers

- E.g. for literature survey exercise
 - pick topic (hot or cold), and search on google scholar or citeseer for 10 top papers
 - Find shared citations and repeated author names - key papers (look at citation count/ impact too)
 - Go to venues for these papers and look at other papers



See also

- Timothy Roscoe's
 - Writing reviews for Systems Conferences
- Writing Technical Articles
 - Henning Schulzrinne's



3. How to write a great research paper

Simon Peyton Jones
Microsoft Research, Cambridge

Learn how to write *really* well #5




- ❑ can *not* overstress importance of good writing
 - ❖ the most important course?
- ❑ “unfair advantage” in paper, proposal review
- ❑ outstanding investment of your time
- ❑ study role models

"No tale is so good that it can't be spoiled in the telling"
Proverb

<http://www-net.cs.umass.edu/kurose/writing/>

Top-10 tips for writing a paper

1. Every paper tells a story
2. Write top down
3. Introduction: crucial, formulaic
4. Master basics of organized writing
5. Put yourself in place of reader
6. Write precisely (be specific, don't embellish)
7. No one (not even your mother) is as interested in this topic as you
8. State results carefully
9. Study the art of writing
10. Good writing takes time




Writing papers is a skill


- Many papers are badly written
- Good writing is a skill you can learn
- It's a skill that is worth learning:
 - You will get more brownie points (more papers accepted etc)
 - Your ideas will have more impact
 - You will have better ideas

Increasing importance






Writing papers: model 1






Writing papers: model 2



- Forces us to be clear, focused
- Crystallises what we don't understand
- Opens the way to dialogue with others: reality check, critique, and collaboration




Do not be intimidated

Fallacy

You need to have a fantastic idea before you can write a paper. (Everyone else seems to.)

Write a paper,
and give a talk, about
any idea,
no matter how weedy and insignificant it
may seem to you



Do not be intimidated

Write a paper, and give a talk, about any idea, no matter how insignificant it may seem to you

- Writing the paper is how you develop the idea in the first place
- It usually turns out to be more interesting and challenging than it seemed at first





The purpose of your paper

Why bother?

Fallacy

we write papers and give talks mainly to impress others, gain recognition, and get promoted




WITH A LITTLE PRACTICE, WRITING CAN BE AN INTIMIDATING AND IMPENETRABLE FOG! WANT TO SEE MY BOOK REPORT?




"THE DYNAMICS OF INTERBEING AND MONOLOGICAL IMPERATIVES IN DICK AND JANE: A STUDY IN PSYCHIC TRANSRELATIONAL GENDER MODES."






Papers communicate ideas

- Your goal: to infect the mind of your reader with **your idea**, like a virus
- Papers are far more durable than programs (think Mozart)



The greatest ideas are (literally)
worthless if you keep them to
yourself




The Idea

Idea

A re-usable insight,
useful to the reader


- Figure out what your idea is
- Make certain that the reader is in no doubt what the idea is. Be 100% explicit:
 - "The main idea of this paper is...."
 - "In this section we present the main contributions of the paper."
- Many papers contain good ideas, but do not distil what they are.



One ping


- Your paper should have just one “ping”: one clear, sharp idea
- Read your paper again: can you hear the “ping”?
- You may not know exactly what the ping is when you start writing; but you must know when you finish
- If you have lots of ideas, write lots of papers

Thanks to Joe Touch for “one ping”




The purpose of your paper is not...

To describe
the WizWoz
system




- Your reader does not have a WizWoz
- She is primarily interested in re-usable brain-stuff, not executable artefacts



Examples of WizWoz

- Crash Proof OS for Mobile Phones (singularity in F# on an iPhone)
- Go Faster VM (Xen)
- Nimrod





Your narrative flow

- Here is a problem
- It's an interesting problem
- It's an unsolved problem
- **Here is my idea**
- My idea works (details, data)
- Here's how my idea compares to other people's approaches

I wish I
knew how
to solve
that!


I see how
that
works.
Ingenious!






Structure (conference paper)

- Title (1000 readers)
- Abstract (4 sentences, 100 readers)
- Introduction (1 page, 100 readers)
- The problem (1 page, 10 readers)
- My idea (2 pages, 10 readers)
- The details (5 pages, 3 readers)
- Related work (1-2 pages, 10 readers)
- Conclusions and further work (0.5 pages)
 - See section 2 (on reading!)




The abstract

- I usually write the abstract last
- Used by program committee members to decide which papers to read
- Four sentences [Kent Beck]
 1. State the problem
 2. Say why it's an interesting problem
 3. Say what your solution achieves
 4. Say what follows from your solution




Example

1. Many papers are badly written and hard to understand
2. This is a pity, because their good ideas may go unappreciated
3. Following simple guidelines can dramatically improve the quality of your papers
4. Your work will be used more, and the feedback you get from others will in turn improve your research



Structure

- Abstract (4 sentences)
- **Introduction** (1 page)
- The problem (1 page)
- My idea (2 pages)
- The details (5 pages)
- Related work (1-2 pages)
- Conclusions and further work (0.5 pages)



The introduction (1 page)

1. Describe the problem
2. State your contributions

...and that is all

ONE PAGE!



Describe the problem


1 Introduction

There are two basic ways to implement function application in a higher-order language, when the function is unknown: the *push/enter* model or the *eval/apply* model [11]. To illustrate the difference, consider the higher-order function **zipWith**, which zips together two lists, using a function **k** to combine corresponding list elements:

```
zipWith :: (a->b->c) -> [a] -> [b] -> [c]
zipWith k []      []      = []
zipWith k (x:xs) (y:ys) = k x y : zipWith xs ys
```


Here **k** is an *unknown function*, passed as an argument; global flow analysis aside, the compiler does not know what function **k** is bound to. How should the compiler deal with the call **k x y** in the body of **zipWith**? It can't blithely apply **k** to two arguments, because **k** might in reality take just one argument and compute for a while before returning a function that consumes the next argument; or **k** might take three arguments, so that the result of the **zipWith** is a list of functions.

Use an
example
to
introduc
e the
problem




e.g. of systems problem

- Mobile Phones crash a lot
- Wireless media is vulnerable
- Bad software on mobile phone can hurt user (cost money, time, pain)
- Bad software on radio can hurt all users
- We have a lot better tools to write safer software and have done so on desktops and servers
- Can they work on small devices with limited resources, and if so, how well?



State your contributions

- Write the list of contributions first
- The list of contributions drives the entire paper: the paper substantiates the claims you have made
- Reader thinks "gosh, if they can really deliver this, that's be exciting; I'd better read on"



State your contributions


Which of the two is best in practice? The trouble is that the evaluation model has a pervasive effect on the implementation, so it is too much work to implement both and pick the best. Historically, compilers for strict languages (using call-by-value) have tended to use eval/apply, while those for lazy languages (using call-by-need) have often used push/enter, but this is 90% historical accident — either approach will work in both settings. In practice, implementors choose one of the two approaches based on a qualitative assessment of the trade-offs. In this paper we put the choice on a firmer basis:

- We explain precisely what the two models are, in a common notational framework (Section 4). Surprisingly, this has not been done before.
- The choice of evaluation model affects many other design choices in subtle but pervasive ways. We identify and discuss these effects in Sections 5 and 6, and contrast them in Section 7. There are lots of nitty-gritty details here, for which we make no apology — they were far from obvious to us, and articulating these details is one of our main contributions.

In terms of its impact on compiler and run-time system complexity, eval/apply seems decisively superior, principally because push/enter requires a stack like no other: stack-walking


Bulleted list
of
contributions

Do not leave the
reader to guess what
your contributions are!




E.g. of systems contributions

- We encapsulate all the modules of software on a cell phone in F# behavioural description wrappers, and
- Run a model checker on them (e.g. isobel)
- And then try various well known attacks that fail on desk top but succeed on windows mobile and symbian phones
- We then show our software is also smaller and faster....



Contributions should be refutable

NO!	YES!
We describe the WizWoz system. It is really cool.	We give the syntax and semantics of a language that supports concurrent processes (Section 3). Its innovative features are...
We study its properties	We prove that the type system is sound, and that type checking is decidable (Section 4)
We have used WizWoz in practice	We have built a GUI toolkit in WizWoz, and used it to implement a text editor (Section 5). The result is half the length of the Java version.




No “rest of this paper is...”

- Not:


“The rest of this paper is structured as follows. Section 2 introduces the problem. Section 3 ... Finally, Section 8 concludes”.
- Instead, **use forward references from the narrative in the introduction.**

The introduction (including the contributions) should survey the whole paper, and therefore forward reference every important part.



Structure


- Abstract (4 sentences)
- Introduction (1 page)
- ~~Related work~~
- The problem (1 page)
- My idea (2 pages)
- The details (5 pages)
- Related work (1-2 pages)
- Conclusions and further work (0.5 pages)




No related work yet!



Your reader



Related
work



Your idea

We adopt the notion of transaction from Brown [1], as modified for distributed systems by White [2], using the four-phase interpolation algorithm of Green [3]. Our work differs from White in our advanced revocation protocol, which deals with the case of priority inversion as described by Yellow [4].




No related work yet

- **Problem 1:** the reader knows nothing about the problem yet; so your (carefully trimmed) description of various technical tradeoffs is absolutely incomprehensible
- **Problem 2:** describing alternative approaches gets between the reader and your idea

I feel stupid




I feel tired




Related work and survey

- Obviously, if your paper is a survey...
- ...then it is all related work😊
- Gap analysis is sometimes useful in an introduction, but it is not quite the same as related work
- Taxonomies...are quite handy in that case



Structure

- Abstract (4 sentences)
- Introduction (1 page)
- The problem (1 page)
- My idea (2 pages)
- The details (5 pages)
- Related work (1-2 pages)
- Conclusions and further work (0.5 pages)




Presenting the idea

3. The idea


Consider a bifurcated semi-lattice D , over a hyper-modulated signature S . Suppose p_i is an element of D . Then we know for every such p_i there is an epi-modulus j , such that $p_j < p_i$.

- Sounds impressive...but
- Sends readers to sleep
- In a paper you **MUST** provide the details, but **FIRST** convey the idea




Presenting the idea

- Explain it as if you were speaking to someone using a whiteboard
- **Conveying the intuition is primary**, not secondary
- Once your reader has the intuition, she can follow the details (but not vice versa)
- Even if she skips the details, she still takes away something valuable



Putting the reader first

- **Do not** recapitulate your personal journey of discovery. This route may be soaked with your blood, but that is not interesting to the reader.
- Instead, choose the most direct route to the idea.



The payload of your paper

Introduce the problem, and
your idea, using

EXAMPLES

and only then present the
general case



Using examples

The Simon PJ
question: is there
any typewriter
font?

2 Background


To set the scene for this paper, we begin with a brief overview of the *Scrap your boilerplate* approach to generic programming. Suppose that we want to write a function that computes the size of an arbitrary data structure. The basic algorithm is “for each node, add the sizes of the children, and add 1 for the node itself”. Here is the entire code for `gsize`:

```
gsize :: Data a => a -> Int
gsize t = 1 + sum (gmapQ gsize t)
```

The type for `gsize` says that it works over any type `a`, provided `a` is a *data* type — that is, that it is an instance of the class `Data`¹. The definition of `gsize` refers to the operation `gmapQ`, which is a method of the `Data` class:


```
class Typeable a => Data a where
  ...other methods of class Data...
  gmapQ :: (forall b. Data b => b -> r) -> a -> [r]
```

Example
right
away




The details: evidence

- Your introduction makes claims
- The body of the paper provides **evidence to support each claim**
- Check each claim in the introduction, identify the evidence, and forward-reference it from the claim
- Evidence can be: analysis and comparison, theorems, measurements, case studies




In my safeOS for handset e.g.

- One would give code fragments of unsafe code
- And examples of threats...
- ...and examples of safe code
- And some performance results...
- Before launching in to the description of the small fast efficient compile and runtime checks possible in new system...



Structure


- Abstract (4 sentences)
- Introduction (1 page)
- The problem (1 page)
- My idea (2 pages)
- The details (5 pages)
- **Related work** (1-2 pages)
- Conclusions and further work (0.5 pages)



Related work

Fallacy


To make my work look good, I have to make other people's work look bad



The truth: credit is not like money

Giving credit to others does not diminish the credit you get from your paper

- Warmly acknowledge people who have helped you
- Be generous to the competition. "In his inspiring paper [Foo98] Foogle shows.... We develop his foundation in the following ways..."
- Acknowledge weaknesses in your approach




Credit is not like money

Failing to give credit to others
can kill your paper


If you imply that an idea is yours, and the referee knows it is not, then either

- You don't know that it's an old idea (bad)
- You do know, but are pretending it's yours (very bad)



Structure

- Abstract (4 sentences)
- Introduction (1 page)
- The problem (1 page)
- My idea (2 pages)
- The details (5 pages)
- Related work (1-2 pages)
- Conclusions and further work (0.5 pages)




Conclusions and further work

- Be brief.




The process of writing



The process


- Start early. Very early.
 - Hastily-written papers get rejected.
 - Papers are like wine: they need time to mature
- Collaborate
- Use *CVS* to support collaboration



Getting help


Get your paper read by as many friendly guinea pigs as possible

- Experts are good
- Non-experts are also very good
- Each reader can only read your paper for the first time once! So use them carefully
- Explain carefully what you want ("I got lost here" is much more important than "Jarva is mis-spelt".)



Getting expert help

- A good plan: when you think you are done, send the draft to the competition saying "could you help me ensure that I describe your work fairly?".
- Often they will respond with helpful critique (they are interested in the area)
- They are likely to be your referees anyway, so getting their comments or criticism up front is Jolly Good.



Listening to your reviewers


Treat every review like gold dust

Be (truly) grateful for criticism as well as praise

This is **really, really, really** hard


But it's
really, really, really, really, really, really,
really, really, really, really
important

What have others suggested (1)?




learn how to deal with rejection

- ❖ it'll happen now and then, for the rest of your professional life (hopefully not with your partner)
- ❖ learn from rejection: *Why* was paper/proposal rejected? *What* did/didn't reviewers see/like?




Listening to your reviewers

- Read every criticism as a positive suggestion for something you could explain more clearly
- DO NOT respond "you stupid person, I meant X". Fix the paper so that X is apparent even to the stupidest reader.
- Thank them warmly. They have given up their time for you.




Language and style




Basic stuff

- Submit by the deadline
- Keep to the length restrictions
 - Do not narrow the margins
 - Do not use 6pt font
 - On occasion, supply supporting evidence (e.g. experimental data, or a written-out proof) in an appendix
- Always use a spell checker



Visual structure

- Give strong visual structure to your paper using
 - sections and sub-sections
 - bullets
 - italics
 - laid-out code
- Find out how to draw pictures, and use them



Visual structure




Figure 3. A heap object

The three cases above do not exhaust the possible forms of f . It might also be a *THUNK*, but we have already dealt with that case (rule *THUNK*). It might be a *CON*, in which case there cannot be any pending arguments on the stack, and rules *UPDATE* or *RET* apply.

4.3 The eval/apply model

The last block of Figure 2 shows how the eval/apply model deals with function application. The first three rules all deal with the case of a *FUN* applied to some arguments:

- If there are exactly the right number of arguments, we behave exactly like rule *KNOWNCALL*, by tail-calling the function. Rule *EXACT* is still necessary — and indeed has a direct counterpart in the implementation — because the function might not be statically known.
- If there are too many arguments, rule *CALLK* pushes a *call*


remainder of the object is called the *payload*, and may consist of a mixture of pointers and non-pointers. For example, the object $CON(C\ a_1 \dots a_n)$ would be represented by an object whose info pointer represented the constructor C and whose payload is the arguments $a_1 \dots a_n$.

The info table contains:

- Executable code for the object. For example, a *FUN* object has code for the function body.
- An object-type field, which distinguishes the various kinds of objects (*FUN*, *PAP*, *CON* etc) from each other.
- Layout information for garbage collection purposes, which describes the size and layout of the payload. By “layout” we mean which fields contain pointers and which contain non-pointers, information that is essential for accurate garbage collection.
- Type-specific information, which varies depending on the object type. For example, a *FUN* object contains its arity; a *CON* object contains its constructor tag, a small integer that distinguishes the different constructors of a data type; and so on.

In the case of a *PAP*, the size of the object is not fixed by its info table; instead, its size is stored in the object itself. The layout of its fields (e.g. which are pointers) is described by the (initial segment of) an argument-descriptor field in the info table of the *FUN* object which is always the first field of a *PAP*. The other kinds of heap object all have a size that is statically fixed by their info table.

A very common operation is to jump to the entry code for the object, so GHC uses a slightly-optimised version of the representation in Figure 3. GHC places the info table at the addresses *immediately*



Use the active voice

The passive voice is "respectable" but it DEADENS your paper. Avoid it at all costs.

NO

It can be seen that...

34 tests were run

These properties were
thought desirable

It might be thought that
this would be a type error

YES

We can see that...

We ran 34 tests


We wanted to retain these
properties

You might think this would
be a type error

"We" = you
and the
reader

"We" = the
authors

"You" = the
reader



Use simple, direct language

NO

The object under study was
displaced horizontally

On an annual basis

Endeavour to ascertain

It could be considered that the
speed of storage reclamation
left something to be desired


YES

The ball moved sideways

Yearly

Find out

The garbage collector was really
slow



Summary

If you remember nothing else:

- Identify your key idea
- Make your contributions explicit
- Use examples

A good starting point:

"Advice on Research and Writing"

[http://www-2.cs.cmu.edu/afs/cs.cmu.edu/user/
mleone/web/how-to.html](http://www-2.cs.cmu.edu/afs/cs.cmu.edu/user/mleone/web/how-to.html)



4. How to give a good research talk

Simon Peyton Jones
Microsoft Research, Cambridge


1993 paper joint with
John Hughes (Chalmers),
John Launchbury (Oregon Graduate Institute)



4. How to give a good research talk

Simon Peyton Jones
Microsoft Research, Cambridge

1993 paper joint with
John Hughes (Chalmers),
John Launchbury (Oregon Graduate Institute)




Research is communication

The greatest ideas are worthless if you keep them to yourself

Your papers and talks

- Crystallise your ideas
- Communicate them to others
- Get feedback
- Build relationships
- (And garner research brownie points)




Do it! Do it! Do it!

Good papers and talks are a fundamental part of research excellence

- Invest time
- Learn skills
- Practice

Write a paper, and give a talk, about
any idea,
no matter how weedy and insignificant it
may seem to you




Giving a good talk

This presentation is about how to give a good research talk


- What your talk is for
- What to put in it (and what not to)
- How to present it





What your talk is for


Your paper = **The beef**



Your talk = **The beef
advertisement**




***Do not confuse the
two, even if you are
vegetarian***




The purpose of your talk...

..is not:

- To impress your audience with your brainpower
- To tell them all you know about your topic
- To present all the technical details






The purpose of your talk...

..but is:

- To give your audience an intuitive feel for your idea
- To make them foam at the mouth with eagerness to read your paper
- To engage, excite, provoke them






Your audience...

The audience you would like

- Have read all your earlier papers
- Thoroughly understand all the relevant theory of cartesian closed endomorphic bifunctors
- Are all agog to hear about the latest developments in your work
- Are fresh, alert, and ready for action



Your **actual** audience...


The audience you get

- Have never heard of you
- Have heard of bifunctors, but wish they hadn't
- Have just had lunch or been skiing for 5 hours and are ready for a doze

Your mission is to


WAKE THEM UP

And make them glad they did




What to put in





What to put in

1. Motivation (20%)
2. Your key idea (80%)
3. There is no 3




Motivation

You have 2 minutes to engage your audience before they start to doze

- Why should I tune into this talk?
- What is the problem?
- Why is it an interesting problem?

Example: Java class files are large (brief figures), and get sent over the network. Can we use language-aware compression to shrink them?

Example: synchronisation errors in concurrent programs are a nightmare to find. I'm going to show you a type system that finds many such errors at compile time.



Your key idea


If the audience remembers only one thing from your talk, what should it be?

- **You must identify a key idea.** "What I did this summer" is No Good.
- Be specific. Don't leave your audience to figure it out for themselves.
- Be absolutely specific. Say "If you remember nothing else, remember this."
- Organise your talk around this specific goal. Ruthlessly prune material that is irrelevant to this goal.





Narrow, deep beats wide, shallow



Avoid shallow overviews at all costs

Cut to the chase: the technical "meat"




Your main weapon

Examples are your main weapon

- To motivate the work
- To convey the basic intuition
- To illustrate The Idea in action
- To show extreme cases
- To highlight shortcomings

When time is short, omit the general case,
not the example



Exceptions in Haskell?

Exceptions are to do with **control flow**

There is no control flow in a lazy functional program




Solution 1: use data values to carry exceptions

```
data Maybe a = Nothing
              | Just a
```

```
lookup :: Name -> Dictionary -> Maybe Address
```


Often this is Just The Right Thing
[Spivey 1990, Wadler “list of successes”]






Another example

- Virtual diseases on handsets
- Give SIR equation
- Look at real population
- Compare range of S , I and R parameters
- and complexity of mix networks..



What to leave out






Outline of my talk

- Background
- The FLUGOL system
- Shortcomings of FLUGOL
- Overview of synthetic epimorphisms
- π -reducible decidability of the pseudo-curried fragment under the Snezhkovski invariant in FLUGOL
- Benchmark results
- Related work
- Conclusions and further work






No outline!


"Outline of my talk": conveys near zero information at the start of your talk

- But maybe put up an outline for orientation after your motivation
- ...and signposts at pause points during the talk



Related work


- [PMW83] The seminal paper
- [SPZ88] First use of epimorphisms
- [PN93] Application of epimorphisms to wibblification
- [BXX98] Lacks full abstraction
- [XXB99] Only runs on Sparc, no integration with GUI



Do not present related work

But


- You absolutely must know the related work; respond readily to questions
- Acknowledge co-authors (title slide), and pre-cursors (as you go along)
- Do not disparage the opposition
 - X's very interesting work does Y; I have extended it to do Z



Technical detail (typicaly Greek)

$$\begin{array}{c} \frac{}{\Gamma \vdash k : \tau_k} \quad \frac{\Gamma \cup \{x : \tau\} \vdash e : \tau'}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x. e : \tau \rightarrow \tau'} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \text{ST } \tau^\circ \tau \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau \rightarrow \text{ST } \tau^\circ \tau'}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 \gg e_2 : \text{ST } \tau^\circ \tau'} \\[10pt] \frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \text{returnST } e : \text{ST } \tau^\circ \tau} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \text{newVar } e : \text{ST } \tau^\circ (\text{MutVar } \tau^\circ \tau)} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \text{MutVar } \tau^\circ \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \text{readVar } e : \text{ST } \tau^\circ \tau} \\[10pt] \frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \text{MutVar } \tau^\circ \tau \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \text{writeVar } e_1 e_2 : \text{ST } \tau^\circ \text{Unit}} \quad \frac{}{\Gamma \cup \{x : \forall \alpha_i. \tau\} \vdash x : \tau[\tau_i/\alpha_i]} \\[10pt] \frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \tau' \rightarrow \tau \quad \Gamma \vdash e' : \tau'}{\Gamma \vdash e e' : \tau} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \text{ST } \alpha^\circ \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \text{runST } e : \tau} \quad \alpha^\circ \notin FV(\Gamma, \tau) \\[10pt] \frac{\forall j. \Gamma \cup \{x_i : \tau_i\}_i \vdash e_j : \tau_j \quad \Gamma \cup \{x_i : \forall \alpha_{j_i}. \tau_i\}_i \vdash e' : \tau'}{\Gamma \vdash \text{let } \{x_i = e_i\}_i \text{ in } e' : \tau'} \quad \alpha_{j_i} \in FV(\tau_i) - FV(\Gamma) \end{array}$$


Figure 1. Typing Rules



Omit technical details


- Even though every line is **drenched** in your **blood** and **sweat**, dense clouds of notation will send your audience to sleep
- Present specific aspects only; refer to the paper for the details
- By all means have backup slides to use in response to questions






Do not apologise

- "I didn't have time to prepare this talk properly"
- "My computer broke down, so I don't have the results I expected"
- "I don't have time to tell you about this"
- "I don't feel qualified to address this audience"



Presenting your talk






Write your slides the night before

(not like these ☺...or at least, polish it then)

Your talk absolutely must be fresh in your mind

- Ideas will occur to you during the conference, as you obsess on your talk during other people's presentations
- Do not use typeset slides, unless you have a laptop too
- Handwritten slides are fine
 - Use permanent ink
 - Get an eraser: toothpaste does not work




How to present your talk

By far the most important thing is to


be enthusiastic





Enthusiasm


- If you do not seem excited by your idea, why should the audience be?
- It wakes 'em up
- Enthusiasm makes people dramatically more receptive
- It gets you loosened up, breathing, moving around



The jelly effect


If you are anything like me, you will experience apparently-severe pre-talk symptoms

- Inability to breathe
- Inability to stand up (legs give way)
- Inability to operate brain




What to do about it

- Deep breathing during previous talk
- Yoga and Tai Chi are not bogus either
- *Script your first few sentences precisely*
(=> no brain required)
- Move around a lot, use large gestures, wave your arms, stand on chairs
- Go to the loo first
- You are not a wimp. Everyone feels this way.




Being seen, being heard

- Point at the screen, not at the overhead projector
- Speak to someone at the back of the room, even if you have a microphone on
- Make eye contact; identify a **nodder (you for example)**, and speak to him or her (better still, more than one)
- Watch audience for questions...



Questions

- Questions are not a problem
- Questions are a **golden golden golden** opportunity to connect with your audience
- Specifically encourage questions during your talk: pause briefly now and then, ask for questions
- Be prepared to truncate your talk if you run out of time. Better to connect, and not to present all your material




Presenting your slides

A very annoying technique

- is to reveal
- your points
- one
- by one
- by one, unless...
- there is a punch line





Presenting your slides

Use animation effects

very

very

very


very

very

very

very


sparingly



Finishing

Absolutely without fail,
finish on time

- Audiences get restive and essentially **stop listening** when your time is up. Continuing is very counter productive
- Simply truncate and conclude
- Do **not** say "would you like me to go on?" (it's hard to say "no thanks")



There is hope

The general standard is
so low that you don't
have to be outstanding
to stand out

You will attend 50x as many talks as you give.
Watch other people's talks intelligently, and pick
up ideas for what to do and what to avoid.