

Online Primal-Dual Algorithms for Maximizing Ad-Auctions Revenue

Shi Weijie

September 26, 2013

Model

Algorithm

Analyze

Online Allocation

- ▶ n buyers (users), m items provided by the provider

Online Allocation

- ▶ n buyers (users), m items provided by the provider
- ▶ items arrive one-by-one

Online Allocation

- ▶ n buyers (users), m items provided by the provider
- ▶ items arrive one-by-one
- ▶ buyer i bid for item j at price $b(i, j)$

Online Allocation

- ▶ n buyers (users), m items provided by the provider
- ▶ items arrive one-by-one
- ▶ buyer i bid for item j at price $b(i, j)$
- ▶ provider decides which buyer wins item j

Online Allocation

- ▶ n buyers (users), m items provided by the provider
- ▶ items arrive one-by-one
- ▶ buyer i bid for item j at price $b(i, j)$
- ▶ provider decides which buyer wins item j
- ▶ provider's goal: Maximize revenue

Example 1

- ▶ 2 users, 5 items

Example 1

- ▶ 2 users, 5 items
- ▶ Round 1: $b(1, 1) = \$5$, $b(2, 1) = \$3$

Example 1

- ▶ 2 users, 5 items
- ▶ Round 1: $b(1, 1) = \$5$, $b(2, 1) = \$3$
- ▶ Round 2: $b(1, 2) = \$4$, $b(2, 2) = \$3$

Example 1

- ▶ 2 users, 5 items
- ▶ Round 1: $b(1, 1) = \$5$, $b(2, 1) = \$3$
- ▶ Round 2: $b(1, 2) = \$4$, $b(2, 2) = \$3$
- ▶ Round 3: $b(1, 3) = \$4$, $b(2, 3) = \$1$

Example 1

- ▶ 2 users, 5 items
- ▶ Round 1: $b(1, 1) = \$5$, $b(2, 1) = \$3$
- ▶ Round 2: $b(1, 2) = \$4$, $b(2, 2) = \$3$
- ▶ Round 3: $b(1, 3) = \$4$, $b(2, 3) = \$1$
- ▶ Round 4: $b(1, 4) = \$4$, $b(2, 4) = \$1$

Example 1

- ▶ 2 users, 5 items
- ▶ Round 1: $b(1, 1) = \$5$, $b(2, 1) = \$3$
- ▶ Round 2: $b(1, 2) = \$4$, $b(2, 2) = \$3$
- ▶ Round 3: $b(1, 3) = \$4$, $b(2, 3) = \$1$
- ▶ Round 4: $b(1, 4) = \$4$, $b(2, 4) = \$1$
- ▶ Round 5: $b(1, 5) = \$3$, $b(2, 5) = \$1$

Example 1

- ▶ 2 users, 5 items
- ▶ Round 1: $b(1, 1) = \$5$, $b(2, 1) = \$3$
- ▶ Round 2: $b(1, 2) = \$4$, $b(2, 2) = \$3$
- ▶ Round 3: $b(1, 3) = \$4$, $b(2, 3) = \$1$
- ▶ Round 4: $b(1, 4) = \$4$, $b(2, 4) = \$1$
- ▶ Round 5: $b(1, 5) = \$3$, $b(2, 5) = \$1$
- ▶ Result: Revenue = \$20

User Budget

- ▶ User i has a total budget $B(i)$

User Budget

- ▶ User i has a total budget $B(i)$
- ▶ provider cannot charge more than his budget

Example 2

- ▶ 2 users, 5 items, $B(1) = \$10$, $B(2) = \$10$

Example 2

- ▶ 2 users, 5 items, $B(1) = \$10$, $B(2) = \$10$
- ▶ Round 1: $b(1, 1) = \$5$, $b(2, 1) = \$3$

Example 2

- ▶ 2 users, 5 items, $B(1) = \$10$, $B(2) = \$10$
- ▶ Round 1: $b(1, 1) = \$5$, $b(2, 1) = \$3$
- ▶ Round 2: $b(1, 2) = \$4$, $b(2, 2) = \$3$

Example 2

- ▶ 2 users, 5 items, $B(1) = \$10$, $B(2) = \$10$
- ▶ Round 1: $b(1, 1) = \$5$, $b(2, 1) = \$3$
- ▶ Round 2: $b(1, 2) = \$4$, $b(2, 2) = \$3$
- ▶ Round 3: $b(1, 3) = \$4$, $b(2, 3) = \$1$

Example 2

- ▶ 2 users, 5 items, $B(1) = \$10$, $B(2) = \$10$
- ▶ Round 1: $b(1, 1) = \$5$, $b(2, 1) = \$3$
- ▶ Round 2: $b(1, 2) = \$4$, $b(2, 2) = \$3$
- ▶ Round 3: $b(1, 3) = \$4$, $b(2, 3) = \$1$
- ▶ Round 4: $b(1, 4) = \$4$, $b(2, 4) = \$1$

Example 2

- ▶ 2 users, 5 items, $B(1) = \$10$, $B(2) = \$10$
- ▶ Round 1: $b(1, 1) = \$5$, $b(2, 1) = \$3$
- ▶ Round 2: $b(1, 2) = \$4$, $b(2, 2) = \$3$
- ▶ Round 3: $b(1, 3) = \$4$, $b(2, 3) = \$1$
- ▶ Round 4: $b(1, 4) = \$4$, $b(2, 4) = \$1$
- ▶ Round 5: $b(1, 5) = \$3$, $b(2, 5) = \$1$

Example 2

- ▶ 2 users, 5 items, $B(1) = \$10$, $B(2) = \$10$
- ▶ Round 1: $b(1, 1) = \$5$, $b(2, 1) = \$3$
- ▶ Round 2: $b(1, 2) = \$4$, $b(2, 2) = \$3$
- ▶ Round 3: $b(1, 3) = \$4$, $b(2, 3) = \$1$
- ▶ Round 4: $b(1, 4) = \$4$, $b(2, 4) = \$1$
- ▶ Round 5: $b(1, 5) = \$3$, $b(2, 5) = \$1$
- ▶ “Greedy” strategy: \$12

Example 2

- ▶ 2 users, 5 items, $B(1) = \$10$, $B(2) = \$10$
- ▶ Round 1: $b(1, 1) = \$5$, $b(2, 1) = \$3$
- ▶ Round 2: $b(1, 2) = \$4$, $b(2, 2) = \$3$
- ▶ Round 3: $b(1, 3) = \$4$, $b(2, 3) = \$1$
- ▶ Round 4: $b(1, 4) = \$4$, $b(2, 4) = \$1$
- ▶ Round 5: $b(1, 5) = \$3$, $b(2, 5) = \$1$
- ▶ “Greedy” strategy: \$12
- ▶ Best offline strategy: \$16 (User 1 wins item 3 & 4 & 5)

Example 2

- ▶ 2 users, 5 items, $B(1) = \$10$, $B(2) = \$10$
- ▶ Round 1: $b(1, 1) = \$5$, $b(2, 1) = \$3$
- ▶ Round 2: $b(1, 2) = \$4$, $b(2, 2) = \$3$
- ▶ Round 3: $b(1, 3) = \$4$, $b(2, 3) = \$1$
- ▶ Round 4: $b(1, 4) = \$4$, $b(2, 4) = \$1$
- ▶ Round 5: $b(1, 5) = \$3$, $b(2, 5) = \$1$
- ▶ “Greedy” strategy: \$12
- ▶ Best offline strategy: \$16 (User 1 wins item 3 & 4 & 5)
- ▶ “Clever” strategy: \$14 (User 1 wins item 1 & 3 & 5)

Difficulties

- ▶ No online algorithm can guarantee optimal result

Difficulties

- ▶ No online algorithm can guarantee optimal result
- ▶ Objective: a good competitive ratio

Difficulties

- ▶ No online algorithm can guarantee optimal result
- ▶ Objective: a good competitive ratio
- ▶ NP-hard, even with future information (offline problem)

Intuition 1

- ▶ We hope each user has a large budget $B(i)$, compared with his bid $b(i, j)$

Intuition 1

- ▶ We hope each user has a large budget $B(i)$, compared with his bid $b(i, j)$
- ▶ Then each decision only has a small impact on the final revenue

Intuition 1

- ▶ We hope each user has a large budget $B(i)$, compared with his bid $b(i, j)$
- ▶ Then each decision only has a small impact on the final revenue
- ▶ Define: $R = \max_{i,j} \{b(i, j)/B(i)\}$

Intuition 2

- ▶ The user with more remaining budget should have higher priority

Intuition 2

- ▶ The user with more remaining budget should have higher priority
- ▶ For the user with less remaining budget, maybe we should wait

Intuition 2

- ▶ The user with more remaining budget should have higher priority
- ▶ For the user with less remaining budget, maybe we should wait
- ▶ Reduce the original bid by some amount

Intuition 2

- ▶ The user with more remaining budget should have higher priority
- ▶ For the user with less remaining budget, maybe we should wait
- ▶ Reduce the original bid by some amount
- ▶ This “amount” depends on the remaining budget

Intuition 2

- ▶ The user with more remaining budget should have higher priority
- ▶ For the user with less remaining budget, maybe we should wait
- ▶ Reduce the original bid by some amount
- ▶ This “amount” depends on the remaining budget
- ▶ Define “Budget Index” $x(i)$

Intuition 2

- ▶ The user with more remaining budget should have higher priority
- ▶ For the user with less remaining budget, maybe we should wait
- ▶ Reduce the original bid by some amount
- ▶ This “amount” depends on the remaining budget
- ▶ Define “Budget Index” $x(i)$
- ▶ At the beginning $x(i) = 0$

Intuition 2

- ▶ The user with more remaining budget should have higher priority
- ▶ For the user with less remaining budget, maybe we should wait
- ▶ Reduce the original bid by some amount
- ▶ This “amount” depends on the remaining budget
- ▶ Define “Budget Index” $x(i)$
- ▶ At the beginning $x(i) = 0$
- ▶ $x(i)$ increases as remaining budget decreases

Intuition 2

- ▶ The user with more remaining budget should have higher priority
- ▶ For the user with less remaining budget, maybe we should wait
- ▶ Reduce the original bid by some amount
- ▶ This “amount” depends on the remaining budget
- ▶ Define “Budget Index” $x(i)$
- ▶ At the beginning $x(i) = 0$
- ▶ $x(i)$ increases as remaining budget decreases
- ▶ Budget used up, then $x(i) = 1$

Intuition 2

- ▶ The user with more remaining budget should have higher priority
- ▶ For the user with less remaining budget, maybe we should wait
- ▶ Reduce the original bid by some amount
- ▶ This “amount” depends on the remaining budget
- ▶ Define “Budget Index” $x(i)$
- ▶ At the beginning $x(i) = 0$
- ▶ $x(i)$ increases as remaining budget decreases
- ▶ Budget used up, then $x(i) = 1$
- ▶ “Adjusted Bid”: $(1 - x(i))b(i, j)$

Online Algorithm

Allocation Algorithm: Initially $\forall i \ x(i) \leftarrow 0$.

Upon arrival of a new product j allocate the product to the buyer i that maximizes $b(i, j)(1 - x(i))$.

If $x(i) \geq 1$ then do nothing. Otherwise:

1. Charge the buyer the minimum between $b(i, j)$ and its remaining budget and set $y(i, j) \leftarrow 1$
2. $z(j) \leftarrow b(i, j)(1 - x(i))$
3. $x(i) \leftarrow x(i) \left(1 + \frac{b(i, j)}{B(i)}\right) + \frac{b(i, j)}{(c-1) \cdot B(i)}$ (c is determined later). $(x(i) \leftarrow x(i) + \Delta)$

Fractional Dual and Primal

Primal (Covering)		Dual (Packing)	
Maximize:	$\sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^n b(i, j) y(i, j)$	Minimize :	$\sum_{i=1}^n B(i) x(i) + \sum_{j=1}^m z(j)$
Subject to:		Subject to:	
For each $1 \leq j \leq m$:	$\sum_{i=1}^n y(i, j) \leq 1$	For each (i, j) :	$b(i, j) x(i) + z(j) \geq b(i, j)$
For each $1 \leq i \leq n$:	$\sum_{j=1}^m b(i, j) y(i, j) \leq B(i)$	For each i, j :	$x(i), z(j) \geq 0$
For each i, j :	$y(i, j) \geq 0$		

Proof and calculate

- ▶ We hope this algorithm can be $(1 + 1/(c - 1))$ -competitive

Proof and calculate

- ▶ We hope this algorithm can be $(1 + 1/(c - 1))$ -competitive
- ▶ Find the maximal c

Proof and calculate

- ▶ We hope this algorithm can be $(1 + 1/(c - 1))$ -competitive
- ▶ Find the maximal c
- ▶ 1. The dual is satisfied

Proof and calculate

- ▶ We hope this algorithm can be $(1 + 1/(c - 1))$ -competitive
- ▶ Find the maximal c
- ▶ 1. The dual is satisfied
- ▶ 2. The ratio between the primal and the dual

Proof and calculate

- ▶ We hope this algorithm can be $(1 + 1/(c - 1))$ -competitive
- ▶ Find the maximal c
- ▶ 1. The dual is satisfied
- ▶ 2. The ratio between the primal and the dual
- ▶ 3. The primal is satisfied?

The dual satisfied

- ▶ The dual is always satisfied

The dual satisfied

- ▶ The dual is always satisfied
- ▶ The constraint is: $b(i,j)x(i) + z(j) \geq b(i,j), \forall(i,j)$

The dual satisfied

- ▶ The dual is always satisfied
- ▶ The constraint is: $b(i,j)x(i) + z(j) \geq b(i,j), \forall(i,j)$
- ▶ Equivalent form: $z(j) \geq b(i,j)(1 - x(i))$

The dual satisfied

- ▶ The dual is always satisfied
- ▶ The constraint is: $b(i,j)x(i) + z(j) \geq b(i,j), \forall(i,j)$
- ▶ Equivalent form: $z(j) \geq b(i,j)(1 - x(i))$
- ▶ For each item j ,

The dual satisfied

- ▶ The dual is always satisfied
- ▶ The constraint is: $b(i,j)x(i) + z(j) \geq b(i,j), \forall(i,j)$
- ▶ Equivalent form: $z(j) \geq b(i,j)(1 - x(i))$
- ▶ For each item j ,
- ▶ Greedily choose: $\max_i \{b(i,j)(1 - x(i))\}$

The dual satisfied

- ▶ The dual is always satisfied
- ▶ The constraint is: $b(i,j)x(i) + z(j) \geq b(i,j), \forall(i,j)$
- ▶ Equivalent form: $z(j) \geq b(i,j)(1 - x(i))$
- ▶ For each item j ,
- ▶ Greedily choose: $\max_i \{b(i,j)(1 - x(i))\}$
- ▶ And sets $z(j)$ to this value

The dual satisfied

- ▶ The dual is always satisfied
- ▶ The constraint is: $b(i,j)x(i) + z(j) \geq b(i,j), \forall(i,j)$
- ▶ Equivalent form: $z(j) \geq b(i,j)(1 - x(i))$
- ▶ For each item j ,
- ▶ Greedily choose: $\max_i \{b(i,j)(1 - x(i))\}$
- ▶ And sets $z(j)$ to this value
- ▶ Also notice: $x(i)$ only increases

The ratio between 2 objectives

- Denote the dual's objective function by D , the primal's by P

The ratio between 2 objectives

- ▶ Denote the dual's objective function by D , the primal's by P
- ▶ $P/D \geq 1 + 1/(c - 1) \Rightarrow$ competitive ratio

The ratio between 2 objectives

- ▶ Denote the dual's objective function by D , the primal's by P
- ▶ $P/D \geq 1 + 1/(c - 1) \Rightarrow$ competitive ratio
- ▶ In each round

$$\frac{\Delta D}{\Delta P} \geq 1 - 1/c \Rightarrow \frac{P}{D} \geq 1 + \frac{1}{c - 1}$$

The ratio between 2 objectives

- ▶ Denote the dual's objective function by D , the primal's by P
- ▶ $P/D \geq 1 + 1/(c - 1) \Rightarrow$ competitive ratio
- ▶ In each round

$$\frac{\Delta D}{\Delta P} \geq 1 - 1/c \Rightarrow \frac{P}{D} \geq 1 + \frac{1}{c - 1}$$

- ▶ $\Delta P = b(i, j)$

The ratio between 2 objectives

- ▶ Denote the dual's objective function by D , the primal's by P
- ▶ $P/D \geq 1 + 1/(c - 1) \Rightarrow$ competitive ratio
- ▶ In each round

$$\frac{\Delta D}{\Delta P} \geq 1 - 1/c \Rightarrow \frac{P}{D} \geq 1 + \frac{1}{c - 1}$$

- ▶ $\Delta P = b(i, j)$
- ▶ $\Delta D = B(i)\Delta + z(j)$

The ratio between 2 objectives

- ▶ If we can prove (we want to prove)

$$B(i)\Delta + z(j) \leq (1 + \frac{1}{c-1})b(i,j)$$

The ratio between 2 objectives

- ▶ If we can prove (we want to prove)

$$B(i)\Delta + z(j) \leq (1 + \frac{1}{c-1})b(i,j)$$

- ▶ So

$$\Delta \leq (x(i) + \frac{1}{c-1}) \cdot \frac{b(i,j)}{B(i)}$$

The ratio between 2 objectives

- ▶ If we can prove (we want to prove)

$$B(i)\Delta + z(j) \leq (1 + \frac{1}{c-1})b(i,j)$$

- ▶ So

$$\Delta \leq (x(i) + \frac{1}{c-1}) \cdot \frac{b(i,j)}{B(i)}$$

- ▶ So the updating equation for $x(i)$ is

$$x(i) \leftarrow x(i) \left(1 + \frac{b(i,j)}{B(i)}\right) + \frac{b(i,j)}{(c-1)B(i)}$$

The dual

- ▶ Suppose we have this property: when user i 's budget is used up, $\Rightarrow x(i) \geq 1$

The dual

- ▶ Suppose we have this property: when user i 's budget is used up, $\Rightarrow x(i) \geq 1$
- ▶ We always stop selling him items when $x(i) \geq 1$

The dual

- ▶ Suppose we have this property: when user i 's budget is used up, $\Rightarrow x(i) \geq 1$
- ▶ We always stop selling him items when $x(i) \geq 1$
- ▶ But this cannot guarantee that the dual is not violated! (Constraint 2)

The dual

- ▶ Suppose we have this property: when user i 's budget is used up, $\Rightarrow x(i) \geq 1$
- ▶ We always stop selling him items when $x(i) \geq 1$
- ▶ But this cannot guarantee that the dual is not violated! (Constraint 2)
- ▶ Consider the final item

The dual

- ▶ Suppose we have this property: when user i 's budget is used up, $\Rightarrow x(i) \geq 1$
- ▶ We always stop selling him items when $x(i) \geq 1$
- ▶ But this cannot guarantee that the dual is not violated! (Constraint 2)
- ▶ Consider the final item
- ▶ Before the final item, the budget is not used up

The dual

- ▶ Suppose we have this property: when user i 's budget is used up, $\Rightarrow x(i) \geq 1$
- ▶ We always stop selling him items when $x(i) \geq 1$
- ▶ But this cannot guarantee that the dual is not violated! (Constraint 2)
- ▶ Consider the final item
- ▶ Before the final item, the budget is not used up
- ▶ Add this item, the total price may exceed the budget

The dual

- ▶ Suppose we have this property: when user i 's budget is used up, $\Rightarrow x(i) \geq 1$
- ▶ We always stop selling him items when $x(i) \geq 1$
- ▶ But this cannot guarantee that the dual is not violated! (Constraint 2)
- ▶ Consider the final item
- ▶ Before the final item, the budget is not used up
- ▶ Add this item, the total price may exceed the budget
- ▶ Exceed by no more than $b(i, j)$

The dual

- ▶ Suppose we have this property: when user i 's budget is used up, $\Rightarrow x(i) \geq 1$
- ▶ We always stop selling him items when $x(i) \geq 1$
- ▶ But this cannot guarantee that the dual is not violated! (Constraint 2)
- ▶ Consider the final item
- ▶ Before the final item, the budget is not used up
- ▶ Add this item, the total price may exceed the budget
- ▶ Exceed by no more than $b(i, j)$
- ▶ Total price is no more than $B(i) + b(i, j)$

The dual

- ▶ Suppose we have this property: when user i 's budget is used up, $\Rightarrow x(i) \geq 1$
- ▶ We always stop selling him items when $x(i) \geq 1$
- ▶ But this cannot guarantee that the dual is not violated! (Constraint 2)
- ▶ Consider the final item
- ▶ Before the final item, the budget is not used up
- ▶ Add this item, the total price may exceed the budget
- ▶ Exceed by no more than $b(i, j)$
- ▶ Total price is no more than $B(i) + b(i, j)$
- ▶ $B(i) + b(i, j) \leq B(i)(1 + R)$

The dual

- ▶ Suppose we have this property: when user i 's budget is used up, $\Rightarrow x(i) \geq 1$
- ▶ We always stop selling him items when $x(i) \geq 1$
- ▶ But this cannot guarantee that the dual is not violated! (Constraint 2)
- ▶ Consider the final item
- ▶ Before the final item, the budget is not used up
- ▶ Add this item, the total price may exceed the budget
- ▶ Exceed by no more than $b(i, j)$
- ▶ Total price is no more than $B(i) + b(i, j)$
- ▶ $B(i) + b(i, j) \leq B(i)(1 + R)$
- ▶ Actual competitive ratio is

$$\frac{1}{R+1} \cdot \left(1 + \frac{1}{c-1}\right)$$

The property of $x(i)$

- ▶ Prove: when user i 's budget is used up, $\Rightarrow x(i) \geq 1$

The property of $x(i)$

- ▶ Prove: when user i 's budget is used up, $\Rightarrow x(i) \geq 1$
- ▶ If we can prove:

$$x(i) \geq \frac{1}{c-1} \left(c^{\sum_j (b(i,j)y(i,j))/B(i)} - 1 \right)$$

The property of $x(i)$

- ▶ Prove: when user i 's budget is used up, $\Rightarrow x(i) \geq 1$
- ▶ If we can prove:

$$x(i) \geq \frac{1}{c-1} \left(c^{\sum_j (b(i,j)y(i,j))/B(i)} - 1 \right)$$

- ▶ Prove by induction

The property of $x(i)$

- ▶ Prove: when user i 's budget is used up, $\Rightarrow x(i) \geq 1$
- ▶ If we can prove:

$$x(i) \geq \frac{1}{c-1} \left(c^{\sum_j (b(i,j)y(i,j))/B(i)} - 1 \right)$$

- ▶ Prove by induction
- ▶ We need to prove:

$$1 + \frac{b(i,j)}{B(i)} \geq c^{\frac{b(i,j)}{B(i)}}$$

The property of $x(i)$

- ▶ Prove: when user i 's budget is used up, $\Rightarrow x(i) \geq 1$
- ▶ If we can prove:

$$x(i) \geq \frac{1}{c-1} \left(c^{\sum_j (b(i,j)y(i,j))/B(i)} - 1 \right)$$

- ▶ Prove by induction
- ▶ We need to prove:

$$1 + \frac{b(i,j)}{B(i)} \geq c^{\frac{b(i,j)}{B(i)}}$$

- ▶ Conclusion is:

$$c \leq (1 + R)^{1/R}$$

Conclusion

- ▶ The competitive ratio is $\frac{c}{(c-1)(R+1)}$, where $c = (1 + R)^{1/R}$

Conclusion

- ▶ The competitive ratio is $\frac{c}{(c-1)(R+1)}$, where $c = (1 + R)^{1/R}$
- ▶ When R is a small value, c is close to e

Conclusion

- ▶ The competitive ratio is $\frac{c}{(c-1)(R+1)}$, where $c = (1 + R)^{1/R}$
- ▶ When R is a small value, c is close to e
- ▶ The ratio is close to $e/(e - 1)$ (or 1.58-competitive)