

Fourier transform.

$$f \in C_c^\infty. \quad \hat{f}(\xi) = \int e^{-ix \cdot \xi} f(x) dx, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

But $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is not very good space for F.T.

Definition. (Schwartz)

(later show $f \in C_c^\infty$ then
 \hat{f} cannot be compactly supp.)

$$\mathcal{J}(\mathbb{R}^n) := \left\{ \begin{array}{l} f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \text{ smooth} \\ \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |x^\beta \partial^\alpha f| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta} \end{array} \right\}$$

$$x^\beta = x_1^{\beta_1} \cdots x_n^{\beta_n}. \quad \beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n)$$

Example 1) $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \subseteq \mathcal{J}(\mathbb{R}^n)$

$$2) \quad f(x) = e^{-|x|^2} \in \mathcal{J}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

Theorem: 1) $f \in \mathcal{J}(\mathbb{R}^n) \Rightarrow \partial^\alpha f \in \mathcal{J}(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad \forall \alpha.$

$$\widehat{\partial_j f}(\xi) = i \xi_j \widehat{f}(\xi)$$

proof:

$$\widehat{\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}}(\xi) = \int e^{-ix \cdot \xi} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}(x) dx$$

integrate by parts

$$\text{no boundary term} \quad \int i \xi_j e^{-ix \cdot \xi} f(x) dx = i \xi_j \widehat{f}(\xi) \quad \square$$

since f decays fast

In particular, $\Delta f = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i^2}$

$$\Rightarrow \widehat{\Delta f}(\xi) = \sum_{i=1}^n \widehat{\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i^2}}(\xi) = - \sum \xi_i^2 \widehat{f}(\xi) \\ = - |\xi|^2 \widehat{f}(\xi)$$

2) $\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_j} \widehat{f}(\xi) = -i \widehat{x_j f}(\xi)$

Proof: $\partial_j \widehat{f}(\xi) = \partial_j \int f(x) e^{-ix \cdot \xi} dx$
LDT $\subseteq \int f(x) (-ix_j e^{-ix \cdot \xi}) dx$
 $= -i \widehat{x_j f}(\xi)$ □

Example: F.T. of Gaussian $e^{-|x|^2/2}$:

1D: $f(x) = e^{-x^2/2}$. Note $\frac{d}{dx} f + x f = 0$.

Take F.T. on both sides:

$$i\xi \widehat{f}(\xi) + i \frac{d}{d\xi} \widehat{f}(\xi) = 0.$$

$\Rightarrow \widehat{f}(\xi)$ satisfies the same ODE as f .

$$\Rightarrow \widehat{f} = C f$$

$$\widehat{f}(0) = C f(0) = C = \int e^{-x^2/2} dx = \sqrt{\pi}$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{f} = \sqrt{2\lambda} e^{-\zeta^2/2}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 n \text{ D: } \hat{f}(\zeta) &= \int e^{-(x_1\zeta_1 + \dots + x_n\zeta_n)} e^{-x_1^2/2} e^{-x_2^2/2} \dots e^{-x_n^2/2} dx \\
 &= \prod_{i=1}^n \int e^{-\zeta_i x_i} e^{-x_i^2/2} dx_i \\
 &= \prod_{i=1}^n \sqrt{2\lambda} e^{-\zeta_i^2/2} \\
 &= (2\lambda)^{\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\|\zeta\|^2/2}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$3) f \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^n) \Rightarrow \hat{f} \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Proof: } \zeta^\beta \partial^\alpha \hat{f}(\zeta) &= \zeta^\beta (-i)^{|\alpha|} \overbrace{x^\alpha f}^{\widehat{x^\alpha f}}(\zeta) \\
 &= (-i)^{|\alpha|} (i)^{|\beta|} \overbrace{\partial^\beta(x^\alpha f)}^{\widehat{\partial^\beta(x^\alpha f)}}(\zeta)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\sup_{\zeta \in \mathbb{R}^n} |\zeta^\beta \partial^\alpha \hat{f}(\zeta)| \leq \sup_{\zeta \in \mathbb{R}^n} |\overbrace{\partial^\beta(x^\alpha f)}^{\widehat{\partial^\beta(x^\alpha f)}}(\zeta)|$$

$$f \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^n) \Rightarrow \partial^\beta(x^\alpha f) \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

It suffices to show $g \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^n)$

$$\sup_{\zeta \in \mathbb{R}^n} |\hat{g}| \leq +\infty$$

$$|\hat{g}| = \left| \int e^{-ix \cdot \xi} g(x) dx \right| \leq \|g\|_{\infty} < +\infty$$

since $g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

□

Hence $\mathcal{F}: \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ is a linear operator over Schwartz space

proposition. Take $A \in GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$

F.T. under $f_A(x) := f(Ax) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$

linear trans. Then $\hat{f}_A(\xi) = \frac{1}{|\det(A)|} \hat{f}\left((A^\top)^{-1}\xi\right)$

proof:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{f}_A(\xi) &= \int e^{-ix \cdot \xi} f_A(x) dx \\ &= \int e^{-iy \cdot \xi} f(Ax) dx \quad y = Ax \\ &= \int \frac{1}{|\det A|} e^{-i(A^\top y)^\top \xi} f(y) dy \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{1}{|\det A|} \int e^{-iy \cdot ((A^\top)^T \xi)} f(y) dy$$

$$= \frac{1}{|\det A|} \hat{f}\left((A^\top)^T \xi\right)$$

Examples 1) $Ax = ax$, $a \neq 0$. $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$\hat{f}_A(\xi) = \frac{1}{|a|^n} \hat{f}\left(\frac{\xi}{a}\right)$$

$$2) \widehat{e^{-\frac{\varepsilon|x|^2}{2}}} = ?$$

$$f(x) = e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{2}}, a = \sqrt{\varepsilon} \text{ as in (1)}$$

$$\Rightarrow \widehat{e^{-\frac{\varepsilon|x|^2}{2}}} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{n/2}} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} e^{-\frac{|\xi|^2}{2\varepsilon}}$$

↑ verified later

$$3) O \in SO(n). O^{-1} = O^T. \det O = 1$$

$$\hat{f}_O(\xi) = \hat{f}(O^{-1}\xi) = \hat{f}(O\xi)$$

Hence f.T. is invariant (commute with)
under rotations

proposition $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $f \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ $\hat{f}_a(x) := f(x+a)$

Translation $\Rightarrow \hat{f}_a(\xi) = e^{ias} \hat{f}(\xi)$
proof is omitted.

* Proposition. $f, g \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^n)$

$$\int \hat{f}(\xi) g(\xi) d\xi = \int f(\xi) \hat{g}(\xi) d\xi$$

Proof: Fubini Theorem.

proposition. $f, g \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. then

$$\widehat{f * g}(\xi) = \hat{f}(\xi) \cdot \hat{g}(\xi)$$

Proof: Fubini Theorem.

Theorem. (Fourier Inversion on $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^n)$)

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int e^{ix \cdot \xi} \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi.$$

$$\forall f \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

Corollary. $\mathcal{F}: \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a linear isomorphism.

Proof of $f \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^n) \Rightarrow \hat{f}(\mathbb{R}^n) \neq \emptyset$

Corollary. Now if $\hat{f}(\xi) \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Inversion formula $\Rightarrow f(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \widehat{f}(-x)$

Hence $f \in \mathcal{J}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. □

proof of the
Theorem:

$$\text{RHS} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int e^{ixs} \widehat{f}(s) ds$$

$\notin \mathcal{J}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, cannot apply $\int \widehat{f}(s) g$

$$= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int e^{-\frac{\varepsilon |s|^2}{2}} e^{ixs} \widehat{f}(s) ds = \int \widehat{g} \cdot f$$

$$= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int e^{-\frac{\varepsilon |s|^2}{2}} \widehat{f_x}(s) ds$$

$\in \mathcal{J}(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad \in \mathcal{J}(\mathbb{R}^n)$

where $f_x(g) = f(x+y)$.

$$= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int e^{-\frac{\varepsilon |y|^2}{2}} (y) f_x(y) dy$$

$$= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} (2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}} \left[e^{-\frac{|y|^2}{2\varepsilon}} \cdot \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{n/2}} \right] f(x+y) dy$$

δ -like sequence. $\rightarrow C f$ for some const. C

$$= \cdot f(x).$$

Then calculate the const. $C = (2\pi)^{-n/2}$ □

Now suppose $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$

$$f = \operatorname{Re} f + i \operatorname{Im} f$$

proposition.

$$\widehat{\overline{f}}(\xi) = \overline{\widehat{f}(-\xi)} \quad f \in \mathcal{J}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

proof:

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\overline{f}}(\xi) &= \frac{\int e^{-ix\xi} \overline{f}(x) dx}{\int e^{ix\xi} f(x) dx} \\ &= \overline{\widehat{f}(-\xi)}\end{aligned}$$

□

Definition.

$$\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n) := \{f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid \int |f|^2 dx < \infty\}$$

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^2} = \left(\int |f|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int f(x) \overline{g}(x) dx.$$

Note:

$$\langle \widehat{f}, \widehat{g} \rangle = \int \widehat{f}(\xi) \overline{\widehat{g}}(\xi) d\xi \quad \begin{matrix} \text{Recall} \\ \int \widehat{f} g = \int \widehat{g} f \end{matrix}$$

$$= \int \widehat{f} \overline{\widehat{g}(-\xi)} d\xi$$

$$= \int \widehat{f}(x) \overline{\widehat{g}(-x)} dx$$

Inversion formula

$$= (2\pi)^n \int f(-x) \bar{g}(-x) dx$$

$$= \int f(x) \bar{g}(x) dx = (2\pi)^n \langle f, g \rangle$$

\Rightarrow Fourier Transform preserves L^2 -inner product on $f(\mathbb{R}^n)$.
(Plancherel)

Corollary: $\|f\|_{L^2} = (2\pi)^{-n} \|\hat{f}\|_{L^2}$.

Exercise: $f(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is dense in L^2 .

Definition,

Take $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ Let $\{\varphi_n\} \in f(\mathbb{R}^n)$

F.T. on
 L^2 space

$\varphi_n \rightarrow f$ in L^2 then $\hat{\varphi}_n$ is Cauchy

Define

by Plancherel

$\hat{f} := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \hat{\varphi}_n$ in L^2 -sense.

Exercise: Check independence of $\{\varphi_n\}$.

Proposition. $\widehat{fg}(\xi) = (2\lambda)^{-n} \widehat{f} * \widehat{g}(\xi)$

Proof : Recall $\widehat{f * g}(\xi) = \widehat{f} \widehat{g}$

take F.T. on LHS :

$$\widehat{\widehat{fg}} = (2\lambda)^n f(-x) g(-x)$$

take F.T. on RHS :

$$\begin{aligned} (2\lambda)^{-n} \widehat{\widehat{f} * \widehat{g}} &= \widehat{\widehat{f}}(x) \cdot \widehat{\widehat{g}}(x) \cdot (2\lambda)^{-n} \\ &= (2\lambda)^n f(-x) g(-x) \cdot (2\lambda)^{-n} \end{aligned}$$

Hence F.T. of LHS = F.T. of RHS.

\hookrightarrow LHS = RHS , by bijectivity of F.T.



Summary F.T. on $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^n)$

a) $\widehat{\partial_j f}(\xi) = i \xi_j \widehat{f}(\xi)$

b) $\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_j} \widehat{f}(\xi) = -i \widehat{x_j f}(\xi)$

c) $A \in GL(n, \mathbb{R}) . \quad f_A(x) = f(Ax)$

$$\widehat{f}_A(\xi) = \frac{1}{|\det A|} \widehat{f}\left((A^{-1})^T \xi\right)$$

d) $a \in \mathbb{R}^n . \quad f_a(x) = f(a+x)$

$$\widehat{f}_a(\xi) = e^{ias\xi} \widehat{f}(\xi)$$

e) $\int \widehat{f} \widehat{g} = \int \widehat{g} \widehat{f}$

f) $f(x) = \int \widehat{f}(\xi) e^{ix \cdot \xi} d\xi \cdot \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n}$

g) $\widehat{\bar{f}}(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} f(-x)$

h) $\widehat{\bar{f}}(\xi) = \widehat{f}(-\xi)$

i) $\widehat{f * g} = \widehat{f} \widehat{g}$

j) $\widehat{fg}(\xi) = (2\pi)^{-n} \widehat{f * g}(\xi)$

$$(k) \langle \hat{f}, \hat{g} \rangle_{L^2} = (2\pi)^n \langle f, g \rangle_{L^2}$$

Definition
 Topology of
 (Sobolev Space.)

$$\{\varphi_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

$\varphi_n \rightarrow \varphi$ in $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if

$$\forall \alpha, \beta, \|\varphi_n\|_{\alpha, \beta} \rightarrow \|\varphi\|_{\alpha, \beta}$$

$$i.e., \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |x^\beta \partial^\alpha \varphi_n| \rightarrow \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |x^\beta \partial^\alpha \varphi|$$

Proposition: f.t. is continuous on $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

proof: take $f_j \rightarrow 0$ in $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^n)$

Claim: $\hat{f}_j \rightarrow 0$ in $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta^\beta \partial^\alpha \hat{f}_j(\xi) &\approx \zeta^\beta (-i)^{|\alpha|} \widehat{x^\alpha f_j}(\xi) \\ &= (-i)^{|\alpha|+|\beta|} \widehat{\partial^\beta (x^\alpha f_j)}(\xi) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} |\zeta^\beta \partial^\alpha \hat{f}_j(\xi)| &= |\widehat{\partial^\beta (x^\alpha f_j)}(\xi)| = \left| \int e^{-ix\xi} \partial^\beta (x^\alpha f_j) dx \right| \\ &\leq \int |\partial^\beta (x^\alpha f_j)| dx \end{aligned}$$

$$= \int |\partial^\beta (x^\alpha f_j)| \cdot (1+|x|^2)^{-N} \cdot (1+|x|^2)^N dx$$

$$\leq \sup_{\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } f_j \rightarrow 0} |\partial^\beta (x^\alpha f_j) \cdot (1+|x|^2)^N| \int \left(\frac{1}{1+|x|^2}\right)^N dx$$

Choose large N s.t. the above integral $< +\infty$.

Done.



RMK.

The last theorem says F.T. is a linear homeomorphism

$$\text{on } \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^n). \quad (\mathcal{F}^{-1} f)(\xi) = \hat{f}(-\xi)$$

↑ const.

This allows us to generalize F.T. to (tempered) distributions via duality.

Now we are going to generalize F.T. on (tempered) distributions.

Definition.

Tempered distribution $\mathcal{f}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$

$\left\{ T : \mathcal{f}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \text{ linear, } \underline{\text{continuous}} \right\}$

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_n &\rightarrow \varphi \text{ in } \mathcal{f}(\mathbb{R}^n) \\ \Rightarrow T(\varphi_n) &\rightarrow T(\varphi) \text{ in } \mathbb{C}. \end{aligned}$$

Examples: 1) $T \equiv 1 : T(\varphi) = \int \varphi dx$

2) $\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}^n) \subseteq \mathcal{f}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

$u \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}^n) \Rightarrow u(f)$ is well-defined
 $= (C^\infty)'$.

3) $f \in L_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n), |f(x)| \leq C|x|^M, \text{ for large } x$.
 $(M > 0)$
 $\Rightarrow f \in \mathcal{f}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$

$$0 \int f \varphi = \underbrace{\int_{|x| \leq N} f \varphi}_{<+\infty} + \underbrace{\int_{|x| \geq N} f \varphi}_{\text{if } \int |x|^M \varphi <+\infty} <+\infty \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{f}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

4) Non-example: $f = e^x$.

Definition. F.T. on tempered distributions

$$\widehat{T}(\varphi) := T(\widehat{\varphi}), \quad T \in \mathcal{F}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

$$\text{Motivation: } \int \widehat{f} \widehat{g} = \int f \widehat{g}, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

Example, 1) $\widehat{\delta}(\varphi) = \delta(\widehat{\varphi}) = \widehat{\varphi}(0) = \int (\varphi(x)) dx$
 $\Rightarrow \widehat{\delta} \equiv 1 \text{ in } \mathcal{F}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$

$$2) \widehat{1}(\varphi) = 1(\widehat{\varphi}) = \int \widehat{\varphi}(\xi) d\xi$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Inversion formula} \\ = (2\lambda)^n f(0). \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \widehat{1} = (2\lambda)^n \delta \text{ in } \mathcal{F}'(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

$$\text{RMK. } \widehat{\delta} = (2\lambda)^n \delta.$$

Proposition. $u \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^n) \Rightarrow \hat{u} \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^n)$

Proof:

take $f \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^n)$

$$\hat{u}(f) = u(\hat{f})$$

Let $f_j \rightarrow 0$ in $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then $\hat{f}_j \rightarrow 0$ in $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^n)$

$$\hat{u}(\hat{f}_j) = u(\hat{f}_j) \rightarrow u(0) = 0$$

since u is continuous on $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

proposition.

$$\widehat{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} u} = i \xi_j \widehat{u}$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} u}(f) &= \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} u \right)(\hat{f}) = -u\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \hat{f} \right) \\ &= -u(-i \widehat{\xi_j f}) \\ &= i u(\widehat{\xi_j f}) \\ &= i \xi_j \widehat{u}(f) \end{aligned}$$

proposition. $\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_j} \widehat{u} = -i \widehat{x_j u}$

□

Proof: $\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_j} \widehat{u} \right)(f) = -\widehat{u}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} f \right)$

$$= -u(\widehat{\partial_j f})$$

$$= -u(i \times \widehat{f})$$

$$= -i * u(\widehat{f}).$$

$$= -i \widehat{\times} u(\widehat{f}) \quad \square$$

*Theorem.

F.T. $f'(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow f'(\mathbb{R}^n)$

is a linear homeomorphism

with $(f^{-1}(u))(q) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \widehat{u}(\tilde{f})$.

The same formula as functions

proof.

Claim: $\widehat{\tilde{f}} = f$. Just inversion formula
on $f(\mathbb{R}^n)$

then the theorem follows.

Now if $u \in f'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, in general $f \cdot u \notin f'(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

$(fu)(g) = u(fg)$. fg may not be
in Schwartz.

Definition. $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. $|f| \leq Cx^N$.

then if $g \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $fg \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as well.

Define $(f \cdot u)(y) := u(fy)$