84

1.    Requirements: would have liked to see the ReqID, requirements statements (i.e., "The app shall . . . "), and line source reference to PD. Understand it's a presentation.

Would be better if we had added excel screenshot or similar to it.

2.    OK on design approach but expected something similar to our class engineering process.

Class engineering process- Design Approach?


3.    UCD: the extends arrow head goes the other way.  Could have used subsystem names to better describe the 3 UCDs.  Missing HLUCs.

Oh so we did:  Assign Task Due → Notify

But correct: Assign Task Due ← Notify

However, we excluded this in the next flow as it seemed it is not a use-case as it does not involve the user.


4.    DD: Looks too complicated for this 'To Do list' app.  Class names should be nouns.

Took feedback from the professor and updated to simple and smaller DD.

It should have nouns, attributes.

5.    Summary chart should be a short summary or reminder of what we all just talked about and the important take-aways for the talk.

It would be better if had included few sentences describing those topics.

6.    Talk went about a minute longer than the maximum time.

Next time wrap up soon.