

Examination Process Algebra (2IMF10)

16 August 2022, 9:00 – 12:00

The number between parentheses in front of a problem indicates how many points you score with a correct answer to it. A partially correct answer is sometimes awarded with a fraction of those points. The grade for this examination will be determined by dividing the total number of scored points by 2.

- (1) 1. (a) Prove that $\text{BCP}_{\text{rec}}(A, \emptyset) \vdash x \parallel y = y \parallel x$ and $\text{BCP}_{\text{rec}}(A, \emptyset) \vdash 0 \parallel 0 = 0$.

Consider the recursive specification E consisting of the following equation:

$$X = a.(X \parallel X) + b.0 \text{ .}$$

(Feel free to abbreviate $\mu X.E$ by simply writing X .) Furthermore, define closed $\text{BCP}_{\text{rec}}(A, \emptyset)$ -terms p_i ($i \in \mathbb{N}$) inductively by

$$\begin{aligned} p_0 &\equiv \mu X.E \\ p_{i+1} &\equiv p_i \parallel \mu X.E \quad (i \in \mathbb{N}) \text{ .} \end{aligned}$$

- (2) (b) Prove that $\text{BCP}_{\text{rec}}(A, \emptyset) + \text{RSP} \vdash p_i \parallel 0 = p_i$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. (Suggestion: First establish $\text{BCP}_{\text{rec}}(A, \emptyset) + \text{RSP} \vdash \mu X.E \parallel 0 = \mu X.E$ and then prove the required result with induction on $i \in \mathbb{N}$.)

Let F be the recursive specification consisting of the following equations:

$$\begin{aligned} Y_0 &= a.Y_1 + b.0 \\ Y_{i+1} &= a.Y_{i+2} + b.Y_i \quad (i \in \mathbb{N}) \end{aligned}$$

(Feel free to abbreviate $\mu Y_i.F$ by simply writing Y_i .)

- (2) (c) Prove that $\text{BCP}_{\text{rec}}(A, \emptyset) + \text{RSP} \vdash \mu X.E = \mu Y_0.F$.
 (1) (d) Is the behaviour denoted by $\mu X.E$ finitely definable? (A simple ‘Yes’ or ‘No’ suffices.)
 (2) 2. Let A be a set of actions that contains at least three distinct actions a , b and c .

Prove that there exist a communication function γ on A and a set $H \subseteq A$ such that

$$\text{TCP}_\tau(A, \gamma) \not\vdash \partial_H(x \parallel y) = \partial_H(x) \parallel \partial_H(y) \text{ .}$$

(You need to define γ and H and then prove that $\partial_H(x \parallel y) = \partial_H(x) \parallel \partial_H(y)$ is not derivable from $\text{TCP}_\tau(A, \gamma)$.)

3. A binary relation R on the set of states S of a transition-system space is a simulation relation if, and only if, the following condition holds:

- for all states $s, t, s' \in S$ and for every a in the set of labels of the transition system space, whenever $s R t$ and $s \xrightarrow{a} s'$, then there exists a state $t' \in S$ such that $t \xrightarrow{a} t'$ and $s' R t'$.

Two states $s, t \in S$ are simulation equivalent (notation: $s \sqsubseteq t$) if, and only if, there exist simulation relations R_1 and R_2 such that $s R_1 t$ and $t R_2 s$.

- (2) (a) Prove that the equation $a.(x + y) = a.(x + y) + a.y$ is valid in $\mathbb{P}(\text{MPT}(A))/\sqsubseteq$.
 (3) (b) Is the process theory $\text{MPT}(A)$ a ground-complete axiomatisation of $\mathbb{P}(\text{MPT}(A))/\sqsubseteq$? Motivate your answer with a proof.

4. Consider the recursive specification E over $\text{TSP}(A)$ consisting of the following equations:

$$\begin{aligned} X &= X \cdot Z + 1 \\ Y &= b.Y \cdot Z + 1 \\ Z &= a.1 + 1 \end{aligned}$$

(Feel free to abbreviate $\mu X.E$, $\mu Y.E$ and $\mu Z.E$ by simply writing X , Y and Z , respectively.)

- (2) (a) Give formal derivations within the term deduction system (i.e., the structural operational semantics) for $\text{TSP}_{\text{rec}}(A)$ of two distinct transitions that have the closed term $\mu Y.E \cdot \mu Z.E$ as a source.
- (2) (b) Sketch (representative parts of) the transition systems associated with $\mu X.E$ and $\mu Y.E$ by the term deduction system for $\text{TSP}_{\text{rec}}(A)$.
- (3) (c) Does it hold that $\tau_{\{b\}}(\mu Y.E) \xrightarrow{b} \mu X.E$? Motivate your answer with a proof.