# Written Analysis

# **Optimal Plans**

ALL TAKEN FROM BFS

PROBLEM 1:

Load(C2, P2, JFK)

Load(C1, P1, SFO)

Fly(P2, JFK, SFO)

Load(C2, P2, SFO)

Fly(P1, SFO, JFK)

Load(C1, P1, JFK)

# PROBLEM 2:

Load(C2, P2, JFK)

Load(C1, P1, SFO)

Load(C3, P3, ATL)

Fly(P2, JFK, SFO)

Load(C2, P2, SFO)

Fly(P1, SFO, JFK)

Load(C1, P1, JFK)

Fly(P3, ATL, SFO)

Load(C3, P3, SFO)

# PROBLEM 3:

Load(C2, P2, JFK)

Load(C1, P1, SFO)

Fly(P2, JFK, ORD)

Load(C4, P2, ORD)

Fly(P1, SFO, ATL)

Load(C3, P1, ATL)

Fly(P1, ATL, JFK)

Load(C1, P1, JFK)

Load(C3, P1, JFK)

Fly(P2, ORD, SFO)

Load(C2, P2, SFO)

Load(C4, P2, SFO)

# Result for non-heuristic search:

Per [1] section 3.4, search strategies that come under the heading of uninformed search (a.k.a., blind search) have no additional information about states beyond that provided in the problem definition. All they can do is generate successors and distinguish a goal state from a non-goal state.

## PROBLEM 1

|              | breadth_first | breadth_first | depth_first_g | depth_limited | uniform_cost |
|--------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|
|              | _search       | _tree_search  | raph_search   | _search       | _search      |
| Expansions   | 43            | 1458          | 12            | 101           | 55           |
| Goal Tests   | 56            | 1459          | 13            | 271           | 57           |
| Time elapsed | 0.033198938   | 1.049229473   | 0.009058805   | 0.116782741   | 0.083431082  |
|              | 99606541      | 1084257       | 997483432     | 85396731      | 07918704     |
| Plan length  | 6             | 6             | 12            | 50            | 6            |

#### PROBLEM 2

|              | breadth_first | breadth_first | depth_first_g | depth_limited | uniform_cost |
|--------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|
|              | _search       | _tree_search  | raph_search   | _search       | _search      |
| Expansions   | 3343          |               | 582           | 222719        | 4853         |
| Goal Tests   | 4609          |               | 583           | 2053741       | 4855         |
| Time elapsed | 14.89696321   |               | 3.392203800   | 1014.067314   | 24.15560687  |
|              | 5986034       |               | 00188         | 1360749       | 4031946      |
| Plan length  | 9             |               | 575           | 50            | 9            |

## PROBLEM 3

|              | breadth_first | breadth_first | depth_first_g | depth_limited | uniform_cost |
|--------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|
|              | _search       | _tree_search  | raph_search   | _search       | _search      |
| Expansions   | 14663         |               | 627           |               | 18151        |
| Goal Tests   | 18098         |               | 628           |               | 18153        |
| Time elapsed | 114.4821847   |               | 3.722325151   |               | 57.45030542  |
|              | 6600596       |               | 0004047       |               | 6027626      |
| Plan length  | 12            |               | 596           |               | 12           |

In PROBLEM 1 ,there three ones has the same shortest Plan length:BFS,BFTS,UCS.BFS has the least Expansions Goal Tests and Time elapsed,so the optimal plan is BFS.

In PROBLEM 2, here two ones has the same shortest Plan length:BFS,UCS.BFS has the least Expansions Goal Tests and Time elapsed,so the optimal plan is BFS.

In PROBLEM 3, here two ones has the shortest same Plan length:BFS,UCS.BFS has the least Expansions Goal Tests and Time elapsed,so the optimal plan is BFS.

If finding the optimal path length is critical, what strategy should we use? Because it performs faster than Uniform Cost Search, Breadth First Search is the recommended search strategy. This isn't much of a surprise, as BFS is complete and optimal. As shown in [1] section 3.4.7:

| Criterion | Breadth-<br>First | Uniform-<br>Cost                        | Depth-<br>First | Depth-<br>Limited | Iterative<br>Deepening | Bidirectional (if applicable) |
|-----------|-------------------|---|-----------------|-------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Complete? | Yesa              | Yesa,b                                  | No              | No                | Yesa                   | Yesa,d                        |
| Time      | $O(b^d)$          | $O(b^{1+\lfloor C^*/\epsilon \rfloor})$ | $O(b^m)$        | $O(b^{\ell})$     | $O(b^d)$               | $O(b^{d/2})$                  |
| Space     | $O(b^d)$          | $O(b^{1+\lfloor C^*/\epsilon \rfloor})$ | O(bm)           | $O(b\ell)$        | O(bd)                  | $O(b^{d/2})$                  |
| Optimal?  | Yesc              | Yes                                     | No              | No                | Yesc                   | $\mathrm{Yes}^{c,d}$          |

Figure 3.21 Evaluation of tree-search strategies. b is the branching factor; d is the depth of the shallowest solution; m is the maximum depth of the search tree; l is the depth limit. Superscript caveats are as follows: a complete if b is finite; b complete if step costs b for positive b optimal if step costs are all identical; b if both directions use breadth-first search.

Which search strategy should we use, if having an optimal path length is not the primary criteria? For problems 2 and 3, DFS has the least Time elapsed,however has more longer Plan length. If you wanna get a plan quickly, you can choose this algorithm.

## Result for heuristic search:

## PROBELM 1

|             | recursive_best | greedy_best_first | astar_search | astar_search | astar_search  |
|-------------|----------------|-------------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|
|             | _first_search  | _graph_search     |              | h_ignore_pr  | h_pg_levelsum |
|             |                |                   |              | econditions  |               |
| Expansions  | 4229           | 7                 | 55           | 41           | 60            |
| Goal Tests  | 4230           | 9                 | 57           | 43           | 62            |
| Time        | 3.0680696859   | 0.005921821109    | 0.04932075   | 0.043797663  | 0.6410302770  |
| elapsed     | 490126         | 9505424           | 506076217    | 995064795    | 091221        |
| Plan length | 6              | 6                 | 6            | 6            | 6             |

# PROBLEM2

|             | recursive_best | greedy_best_first | astar_search | astar_search | astar_search  |
|-------------|----------------|-------------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|
|             | _first_search  | _graph_search     |              | h_ignore_pr  | h_pg_levelsum |
|             |                |                   |              | econditions  |               |
| Expansions  |                | 998               | 4853         | 1450         | 5446          |
| Goal Tests  |                | 1000              | 4855         | 1452         | 5448          |
| Time        |                | 2.672985436860    | 13.4492457   | 4.634387897  | 305.66087429  |
| elapsed     |                | 472               | 9304643      | 909619       | 004256        |
| Plan length |                | 17                | 9            | 9            | 9             |

# PROBLEM3

| recursive_best | greedy_best_first | astar_search | astar_search | astar_search  |
|----------------|-------------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|
| _first_search  | _graph_search     |              | h_ignore_pr  | h_pg_levelsum |
|                |                   |              | econditions  |               |

| Expansions  | <br>5398           | 18151      | 5038        | 20457        |
|-------------|--------------------|------------|-------------|--------------|
| Goal Tests  | <br>5400           | 18153      | 5040        | 20459        |
| Time        | <br>17.15792502695 | 58.0671973 | 18.11798137 | 1587.4482484 |
| elapsed     | 6946               | 7092499    | 2983195     | 669425       |
| Plan length | <br>26             | 12         | 12          | 12           |

In PROBLEM 1, there five ones has the same shortest Plan length:

RBFS,GBFGS,A\*(ASH1),A\*(ASHIP),A\*(ASHPGL).GBFGS has the least Expansions Goal Tests and Time elapsed.In A\* algorithm,ASHIP has has the least Expansions Goal Tests and Time elapsed.

In PROBLEM 2, there three ones has the same shortest Plan length:

A\*(ASH1),A\*(ASHIP),A\*(ASHPGL).A\*(ASHIP) has the least Expansions Goal Tests and Time elapsed.

In PROBLEM 2, there three ones has the same shortest Plan length:

A\*(ASH1),A\*(ASHIP),A\*(ASHPGL).A\*(ASHIP) has the least Expansions Goal Tests and Time elapsed.

What was the best heuristic used in these problems? Was it better than non-heuristic search planning methods for all problems? Why or why not?

A\*(ASHIP) is the best heuristic in these problems. Yes, It is better than all non-heuristic search planning methods. It drop some pre conditions, so i will got a better time elapsed.