안 녕 하 세 요 !

Training Neural Network 1

Kuggle Deeplearning

노태욱

INDEX

1 Activation Functions

2 Data Processing

3 Weight initialization

4 Batch nomalization

1 Babysitting the learning process

2 Hyperparameter optimization

Activation functions

1. Sigmoid



$$\sigma(x) = 1/(1 + e^{-x})$$

features

-Squashes numbers to range [0,1]

-Historically popular

1. Sigmoid



$$\sigma(x) = 1/(1+e^{-x})$$

problems

-Saturated neurons “kill” the gradients

-Sigmoid outputs are not zero-centured

- exp() is a compute expensive



"0"

="Kill the gradient"

Consider what happens when the input to a neuron (x) is always positive:





What can we say about the gradients on **w**?

Always all positive or all negative

1. Sigmoid



$$\sigma(x) = 1/(1 + e^{-x})$$

problems

-Saturated neurons “kill” the gradients

-Sigmoid outputs are not zero-centured

- exp() is a compute expensive

2. tanh(x)



features

-Squashes numbers to range [-1,1]

-Zero centered

- still kills gradient when satureated

3. ReLu



$$f(x) = \max(0,x)$$

features

-Does not saturate

-Very computationally efficient

-Converges much faster than sigmold, tanh in practice (약 6배)

-Not zero-centered





10%~20%

-Miss initialization

-Learning rate is big

Initialize ReLU neurons with slightly positive biases like 0.01

4. Leaky ReLu



Leaky ReLU

$$f(x) = \max(0.01x, x)$$

Parametric Rectifier (PReLU)

$$\boxed{f(x) = \max(\alpha x, x)}$$

backprop into \alpha
(parameter)

5. Exponential Linear Units (ELU)



$$f(x) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x > 0 \\ \alpha\left(\exp(x) - 1\right) & \text{if } x \le 0 \end{cases}$$

- -All benefits of ReLU
- -Does not die
- -Closer to zero mean outputs
- -Computation requires exp()

6. Maxout “Neuron”

$$\max(w_1^T x + b_1, w_2^T x + b_2)$$

-Does not saturate, Does not die

-Generalizes ReLU and Leaky ReLU

Problem: doubles the number of parameters/neuron

- Use ReLU. Be careful with your learning rates
- Try out Leaky ReLU / Maxout / ELU
- Try out tanh but don't expect much
- Don't use sigmoid

Data processing

Step 1: Preprocess the data




```
X -= np.mean(X, axis = 0)
X /= np.std(X, axis = 0)
```



이미지에 대해서는 큰 의미가 X

In practice for Images: center only

e.g. consider CIFAR-10 example with [32,32,3] images

- Subtract the mean image (e.g. AlexNet)
  (mean image = [32,32,3] array)
- Subtract per-channel mean (e.g. VGGNet)
  (mean along each channel = 3 numbers)

Weight initialization

Small random numbers

```
W = 0.01* np.random.randn(D,H)
```

→ Works well for small networks

```python
# assume some unit gaussian 10-D input data
D = np.random.randn(1000, 500)
hidden_layer_sizes = [500]*10
nonlinearities = ['tanh']*len(hidden_layer_sizes)
```

```python
act = {'relu':lambda x:np.maximum(0,x), 'tanh':lambda x:np.tanh(x)}
Hs = {}
for i in xrange(len(hidden_layer_sizes)):
    X = D if i == 0 else Hs[i-1] # input at this layer
    fan_in = X.shape[1]
    fan_out = hidden_layer_sizes[i]
    W = np.random.randn(fan_in, fan_out) * 0.01 # layer initialization

    H = np.dot(X, W) # matrix multiply
    H = act[nonlinearities[i]](H) # nonlinearity
    Hs[i] = H # cache result on this layer
```

```
# look at distributions at each layer
print 'input layer had mean %f and std %f' % (np.mean(D), np.std(D))
layer_means = [np.mean(H) for i,H in Hs.iteritems()]
layer_stds = [np.std(H) for i,H in Hs.iteritems()]
for i,H in Hs.iteritems():
    print 'hidden layer %d had mean %f and std %f' % (i+1, layer_means[i], layer_stds[i])

# plot the means and standard deviations
plt.figure()
plt.subplot(121)
plt.plot(Hs.keys(), layer_means, 'ob-')
plt.title('layer mean')
plt.subplot(122)
plt.plot(Hs.keys(), layer_stds, 'or-')
plt.title('layer std')

# plot the raw distributions
plt.figure()
for i,H in Hs.iteritems():
    plt.subplot(1,len(Hs),i+1)
    plt.hist(H.ravel(), 30, range=(-1,1))
```

```
input layer had mean 0.000927 and std 0.998388
hidden layer 1 had mean -0.000117 and std 0.213081
hidden layer 2 had mean -0.000001 and std 0.047551
hidden layer 3 had mean -0.000002 and std 0.010630
hidden layer 4 had mean 0.000001 and std 0.002378
hidden layer 5 had mean 0.000002 and std 0.000532
hidden layer 6 had mean -0.000000 and std 0.000119
hidden layer 7 had mean 0.000000 and std 0.000026
hidden layer 8 had mean -0.000000 and std 0.000006
hidden layer 9 had mean 0.000000 and std 0.000001
hidden layer 10 had mean -0.000000 and std 0.000000
```



```
W = np.random.randn(fan_in, fan_out) * 1.0
```

```
input layer had mean 0.001800 and std 1.001311
hidden layer 1 had mean -0.000430 and std 0.981879
hidden layer 2 had mean -0.000849 and std 0.981649
hidden layer 3 had mean 0.000566 and std 0.981601
hidden layer 4 had mean 0.000483 and std 0.981755
hidden layer 5 had mean -0.000682 and std 0.981614
hidden layer 6 had mean -0.000401 and std 0.981560
hidden layer 7 had mean -0.000237 and std 0.981520
hidden layer 8 had mean -0.000448 and std 0.981913
hidden layer 9 had mean -0.000899 and std 0.981728
hidden layer 10 had mean 0.000584 and std 0.981736
```

*1.0 instead of *0.01



```
W = np.random.randn(fan_in, fan_out) / np.sqrt(fan_in) # layer initialization
```

```
input layer had mean 0.001800 and std 1.001311
hidden layer 1 had mean 0.001198 and std 0.627953
hidden layer 2 had mean -0.000175 and std 0.486051
hidden layer 3 had mean 0.000055 and std 0.407723
hidden layer 4 had mean -0.000306 and std 0.357108
hidden layer 5 had mean 0.000142 and std 0.320917
hidden layer 6 had mean -0.000389 and std 0.292116
hidden layer 7 had mean -0.000228 and std 0.273387
hidden layer 8 had mean -0.000291 and std 0.254935
hidden layer 9 had mean 0.000361 and std 0.239266
hidden layer 10 had mean 0.000139 and std 0.228008
```

"Xavier initialization"
[Glorot et al., 2010]



Batch Normalization

-Activation function을 설정

-가중치 초기화를 신중하게

→ 기본적인 해결책??

→ 문제점은 과연 무엇인가??

"내부 공변량 변화"

4 Batch Nomalization

$$\widehat{x}^{(k)} = \frac{x^{(k)} - \mathrm{E}[x^{(k)}]}{\sqrt{\mathrm{Var}[x^{(k)}]}}$$

$$y^{(k)} = \gamma^{(k)}\widehat{x}^{(k)} + \beta^{(k)}$$



Input: Values of x over a mini-batch: $\mathcal{B} = \{x_{1\ldots m}\}$;
Parameters to be learned: γ, β

Outp

Training data 전체의 평균과 표준편차를 사용하여 test 데이터에 입력, (not batch)

$\mu_\mathcal{B}$

$\sigma^2_\mathcal{B}$

$i=1$

$$\widehat{x}_i \leftarrow \frac{x_i - \mu_\mathcal{B}}{\sqrt{\sigma^2_\mathcal{B} + \epsilon}} \qquad \text{// normalize}$$

$$y_i \leftarrow \gamma \widehat{x}_i + \beta \equiv \mathrm{BN}_{\gamma,\beta}(x_i) \qquad \text{// scale and shift}$$

ce

on initialization

Babysitting the Learning Process

Step 1: Preprocess the data




```
X -= np.mean(X, axis = 0)
```

```
X /= np.std(X, axis = 0)
```

Step 2: Choose the architecture



Step 3: double check that the loss is reasonable.

1. Disable regularization을 한 뒤 train data에 대해 loss를 확인

2. Enable regularization을 한 뒤 loss가 이전보다 올라가는 지 확인

3. training data의 작은 portion에 overfit되는지 확인

```
model = init_two_layer_model(32*32*3, 50, 10) # input size, hidden size, number of classes
loss, grad = two_layer_net(X_train, model, y_train, 0.0)
print loss
```

disable regularization

returns the loss and the gradient for all parameters

```
2.30261216167
```

loss ~2.3.
“correct “ for
10 classes

```
model = init_two_layer_model(32*32*3, 50, 10) # input size, hidden size, number of classes
loss, grad = two_layer_net(X_train, model, y_train, 1e3)
print loss
```

crank up regularization

```
3.06859716482
```

loss went up, good. (sanity check)

```
model = init_two_layer_model(32*32*3, 50, 10) # input size, hidden size, number of classes
trainer = ClassifierTrainer()
```

```
Finished epoch 195 / 200: cost 0.002694, train: 1.000000, val 1.000000, lr 1.000000e-03
Finished epoch 196 / 200: cost 0.002674, train: 1.000000, val 1.000000, lr 1.000000e-03
Finished epoch 197 / 200: cost 0.002655, train: 1.000000, val 1.000000, lr 1.000000e-03
Finished epoch 198 / 200: cost 0.002635, train: 1.000000, val 1.000000, lr 1.000000e-03
Finished epoch 199 / 200: cost 0.002617, train: 1.000000, val 1.000000, lr 1.000000e-03
Finished epoch 200 / 200: cost 0.002597, train: 1.000000, val 1.000000, lr 1.000000e-03
finished optimization. best validation accuracy: 1.000000
```

```
Finished epoch 4 / 200: cost 2.301196, train: 0.650000, val 0.650000, lr 1.000000e-03
Finished epoch 5 / 200: cost 2.300044, train: 0.650000, val 0.650000, lr 1.000000e-03
Finished epoch 6 / 200: cost 2.297864, train: 0.550000, val 0.550000, lr 1.000000e-03
Finished epoch 7 / 200: cost 2.293595, train: 0.600000, val 0.600000, lr 1.000000e-03
Finished epoch 8 / 200: cost 2.285096, train: 0.550000, val 0.550000, lr 1.000000e-03
Finished epoch 9 / 200: cost 2.268094, train: 0.550000, val 0.550000, lr 1.000000e-03
Finished epoch 10 / 200: cost [illegible], train: [illegible], val [illegible], lr 1.000000e-03
Finished epoch 11 / 200: cost [illegible], train: 0.500000, val 0.500000, lr 1.000000e-03
Finished epoch 12 / 200: cost 2.076862, train: 0.500000, val 0.500000, lr 1.000000e-03
Finished epoch 13 / 200: cost 1.974090, train: 0.400000, val 0.400000, lr 1.000000e-03
Finished epoch 14 / 200: cost 1.895885, train: 0.400000, val 0.400000, lr 1.000000e-03
Finished epoch 15 / 200: cost 1.820876, train: 0.450000, val 0.450000, lr 1.000000e-03
Finished epoch 16 / 200: cost [illegible], train: 0.450000, val 0.450000, lr 1.000000e-03
Finished epoch 17 / 200: cost 1.642356, train: 0.500000, val 0.500000, lr 1.000000e-03
Finished epoch 18 / 200: cost 1.535239, train: 0.600000, val 0.600000, lr 1.000000e-03
Finished epoch 19 / 200: cost 1.421527, train: 0.600000, val 0.600000, lr 1.000000e-03
```

1. Disable regularization을 한 뒤 train data에 대해 loss를 확인

2. Enable regularization을 한 뒤 loss가 이전보다 올라가는 지 확인

3. training data의 작은 portion에 overfit되는지 확인

Step 4: Find learning rate that makes the loss go down

Case 1. Learning rate가 작은 경우

- loss가 거의 변하지 않음.
- train, val accuracy는 증가
- weight가 맞는 방향으로 바뀌었기 때문

Case 2. Learning rate가 큰 경우

-loss가 Nan이 나옴. Cost가 explode

결론 : learning rate는 [1e-3,,,1e-5] 즈음이 적당

```
model = init_two_layer_model(32*32*3, 50, 10) # input size, hidden size, number of classes
trainer = ClassifierTrainer()
best_model, stats = trainer.train(X_train, y_train, X_val, y_val,
                                  model, two_layer_net,
                                  num_epochs=10, reg=0.000001,
                                  update='sgd', learning_rate_decay=1,
                                  sample_batches = True,
                                  learning_rate=1e-6, verbose=True)
Finished epoch 1 / 10: cost 2.302576, train: 0.080000, val 0.103000, lr 1.000000e-06
Finished epoch 2 / 10: cost 2.302582, train: 0.121000, val 0.124000, lr 1.000000e-06
Finished epoch 3 / 10: cost 2.302558, train: 0.119000, val 0.138000, lr 1.000000e-06
Finished epoch 4 / 10: cost 2.302519, train: 0.127000, val 0.151000, lr 1.000000e-06
Finished epoch 5 / 10: cost 2.302517, train: 0.158000, val 0.171000, lr 1.000000e-06
Finished epoch 6 / 10: cost 2.302518, train: 0.179000, val 0.172000, lr 1.000000e-06
Finished epoch 7 / 10: cost 2.302466, train: 0.180000, val 0.176000, lr 1.000000e-06
Finished epoch 8 / 10: cost 2.302452, train: 0.175000, val 0.185000, lr 1.000000e-06
Finished epoch 9 / 10: cost 2.302459, train: 0.206000, val 0.192000, lr 1.000000e-06
Finished epoch 10 / 10: cost 2.302420, train: 0.190000, val 0.192000, lr 1.000000e-06
finished optimization. best validation accuracy: 0.192000
```

Case 1. Learning rate가 작은 경우

- loss가 거의 변하지 않음.
- train, val accuracy는 증가(대체로)
- weight가 맞는 방향으로 바뀌었기 때문

```
model = init_two_layer_model(32*32*3, 50, 10) # input size, hidden size, number of classes
trainer = ClassifierTrainer()
best_model, stats = trainer.train(X_train, y_train, X_val, y_val,
                                  model, two_layer_net,
                                  num_epochs=10, reg=0.000001,
                                  update='sgd', learning_rate_decay=1,
                                  sample_batches = True,
                                  learning_rate=1e6, verbose=True)
/home/karpathy/cs231n/code/cs231n/classifiers/neural_net.py:50: RuntimeWarning: divide by zero en
countered in log
  data_loss = -np.sum(np.log(probs[range(N), y])) / N
/home/karpathy/cs231n/code/cs231n/classifiers/neural_net.py:48: RuntimeWarning: invalid value enc
ountered in subtract
  probs = np.exp(scores - np.max(scores, axis=1, keepdims=True))
Finished epoch 1 / 10: cost nan, train: 0.091000, val 0.087000, lr 1.000000e+06
Finished epoch 2 / 10: cost nan, train: 0.095000, val 0.087000, lr 1.000000e+06
Finished epoch 3 / 10: cost nan, train: 0.100000, val 0.087000, lr 1.000000e+06
```

Case 2. Learning rate가 큰 경우

-loss가 Nan이 나옴. Cost가 explode

Hyperparameter Optimization

Cross-validation strategy

1. Coarse단계

   only a few epochs

2. Fine 단계

   longer running time, finer search

```
max_count = 100
for count in xrange(max_count):
      reg = 10**uniform(-5, 5)
      lr = 10**uniform(-3, -6)

      trainer = ClassifierTrainer()
      model = init_two_layer_model(32*32*3, 50, 10) # input size, hidden size, number of classes
      trainer = ClassifierTrainer()
      best_model_local, stats = trainer.train(X_train, y_train, X_val, y_val,
                                   model, two_layer_net,
                                   num_epochs=5, reg=reg,
                                   update='momentum', learning_rate_decay=0.9,
                                   sample_batches = True, batch_size = 100,
                                   learning_rate=lr, verbose=False)
```

note it's best to optimize in log space!

nice

```
val_acc: 0.412000, lr: 1.405206e-04, reg: 4.793564e-01, (1 / 100)
val_acc: 0.214000, lr: 7.231888e-06, reg: 2.321281e-04, (2 / 100)
val_acc: 0.208000, lr: 2.119571e-06, reg: 8.011857e+01, (3 / 100)
val_acc: 0.196000, lr: 1.551131e-05, reg: 4.374936e-05, (4 / 100)
val_acc: 0.079000, lr: 1.753300e-05, reg: 1.200424e+03, (5 / 100)
val_acc: 0.223000, lr: 4.215128e-05, reg: 4.196174e+01, (6 / 100)
val_acc: 0.441000, lr: 1.750259e-04, reg: 2.110807e-04, (7 / 100)
val_acc: 0.241000, lr: 6.749231e-05, reg: 4.226413e+01, (8 / 100)
val_acc: 0.482000, lr: 4.296863e-04, reg: 6.642555e-01, (9 / 100)
val_acc: 0.079000, lr: 5.401602e-06, reg: 1.599828e+04, (10 / 100)
val_acc: 0.154000, lr: 1.618508e-06, reg: 4.925252e-01, (11 / 100)
```

```
max_count = 100
for count in xrange(max_count):
      reg = 10**uniform(-5, 5)
      lr = 10**uniform(-3, -6)
```

adjust range

```
max_count = 100
for count in xrange(max_count):
      reg = 10**uniform(-4, 0)
      lr = 10**uniform(-3, -4)
```

```
val_acc: 0.527000, lr: 5.340517e-04, reg: 4.097824e-01, (0 / 100)
val_acc: 0.492000, lr: 2.279484e-04, reg: 9.991345e-04, (1 / 100)
val_acc: 0.512000, lr: 8.680827e-04, reg: 1.349727e-02, (2 / 100)
val_acc: 0.461000, lr: 1.028377e-04, reg: 1.220193e-02, (3 / 100)
val_acc: 0.460000, lr: 1.113730e-04, reg: 5.244309e-02, (4 / 100)
val_acc: 0.498000, lr: 9.477776e-04, reg: 2.001293e-03, (5 / 100)
val_acc: 0.469000, lr: 1.484369e-04, reg: 4.328313e-01, (6 / 100)
val_acc: 0.522000, lr: 5.586261e-04, reg: 2.312685e-04, (7 / 100)
val_acc: 0.530000, lr: 5.808183e-04, reg: 8.259964e-02, (8 / 100)
val_acc: 0.489000, lr: 1.979168e-04, reg: 1.010889e-04, (9 / 100)
val_acc: 0.490000, lr: 2.036031e-04, reg: 2.406271e-03, (10 / 100)
val_acc: 0.475000, lr: 2.021162e-04, reg: 2.287807e-01, (11 / 100)
val_acc: 0.460000, lr: 1.135527e-04, reg: 3.905040e-02, (12 / 100)
val_acc: 0.515000, lr: 6.947668e-04, reg: 1.562808e-02, (13 / 100)
val_acc: 0.531000, lr: 9.471549e-04, reg: 1.433895e-03, (14 / 100)
val_acc: 0.509000, lr: 3.140888e-04, reg: 2.857518e-01, (15 / 100)
val_acc: 0.514000, lr: 6.438349e-04, reg: 3.033781e-01, (16 / 100)
val_acc: 0.502000, lr: 3.921784e-04, reg: 2.707126e-04, (17 / 100)
val_acc: 0.509000, lr: 9.752279e-04, reg: 2.850865e-03, (18 / 100)
val_acc: 0.500000, lr: 2.412048e-04, reg: 4.997821e-04, (19 / 100)
val_acc: 0.466000, lr: 1.319314e-04, reg: 1.189915e-02, (20 / 100)
val_acc: 0.516000, lr: 8.039527e-04, reg: 1.528291e-02, (21 / 100)
```

53% - relatively good for a 2-layer neural net with 50 hidden neurons.

Random Search vs. Grid Search



Monitor and visualize the loss curve






Loss
Bad initialization
a prime suspect
time

감 사 합 니 다 !

발표 들어주셔서 감사합니다