

**Exercise Sheet 3 for  
Algorithms for Big Data  
2023 Spring  
Solution**

---

**Exercise 1**

Given a stream  $\mathcal{S}$  of  $m$  integers from the set  $[n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$ , let  $\text{HH}_k = \{i \in [n] : f_i > \frac{m}{k}\}$  be the set of  $k$ -heavy hitters, where  $f_i$  is the frequency (i.e. the number of occurrences) of  $i$  in  $\mathcal{S}$ . Modify Misra-Gries algorithm to find a set  $H$  such that

$$\text{HH}_k(\mathcal{S}) \subseteq H \subseteq \text{HH}_{2k}(\mathcal{S}).$$

Your algorithm should only use one pass and use at most  $O(k(\log n + \log m))$  bits of space.

*Solution.*

**Algorithm description:**

- (a) Run Misra-Gries algorithm to maintain  $2k - 1$  items  $i$  and counters  $\hat{f}_i$ .
- (b) Output  $H = \{i \in [n] : \hat{f}_i > \frac{m}{2k}\}$ .

**Correctness:**

By Theorem in Lecture 11, we have

$$f_i - \frac{m}{2k} \leq \hat{f}_i \leq f_i.$$

If  $f_i > \frac{m}{k}$ , then  $\hat{f}_i \geq f_i - \frac{m}{2k} > \frac{m}{2k}$  and the algorithm will output  $i$ . If  $f_i \leq \frac{m}{2k}$ , then  $\hat{f}_i \leq \frac{m}{2k}$  and the algorithm will not output  $i$ . Therefore, the algorithm outputs all items  $i$  that  $f_i > \frac{m}{k}$  and every item  $i$  in  $H$  satisfies  $f_i > \frac{m}{2k}$ , i.e.,  $\text{HH}_k(\mathcal{S}) \subseteq H \subseteq \text{HH}_{2k}(\mathcal{S})$ .

---

**Exercise 2**

Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be finite sets and let  $Y^X$  denote the set of all functions from  $X$  to  $Y$ . We will think of these functions as “hash” functions. A family  $\mathcal{H} \subseteq Y^X$  is said to be strongly 2-universal if the following property holds, with  $h \in \mathcal{H}$  picked uniformly at random:

$$\forall x, x' \in X \ \forall y, y' \in Y \left( x \neq x' \Rightarrow \Pr_h[h(x) = y \wedge h(x') = y'] = \frac{1}{|Y|^2} \right).$$

We are given a stream  $\mathcal{S}$  of elements of  $X$ , and suppose that  $\mathcal{S}$  contains at most  $s$  distinct elements. Let  $\mathcal{H} \subseteq Y^X$  be a strongly 2-universal hash family with  $|Y| = cs^2$  for some constant  $c > 0$ . Suppose we use a random function  $h \in \mathcal{H}$  to hash.

Prove that the probability of a collision (i.e., the event that two distinct elements of  $\mathcal{S}$  hash to the same location) is at most  $1/(2c)$ .

*Proof.* We use  $h$  chosen uniformly at random from  $\mathcal{H}$  to hash the stream  $\mathcal{S}$ . Let the random variable  $C$  be the total number of collisions between any pair of distinct numbers in the stream. We are asked to show that  $\Pr[C \geq 1] \leq 1/(2c)$ . For any pair of distinct numbers  $x$  and  $x'$ , let  $\chi_{\{h(x)=h(x')\}} = 1$  if

$h(x) = h(x')$ , and  $\chi_{\{h(x)=h(x')\}} = 0$  otherwise. Then the total number of pairwise collisions can be written as  $C = \sum_{x \neq x'} \chi_{\{h(x)=h(x')\}}$ , and by linearity of expectation,

$$\mathbb{E}[C] = \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{x \neq x'} \chi_{\{h(x)=h(x')\}} \right] = \sum_{x \neq x'} \mathbb{E} [\chi_{\{h(x)=h(x')\}}].$$

From the definition of strong 2-universality follows with  $y = y'$ , that for  $x \neq x'$ ,  $\mathbb{E} [\chi_{\{h(x)=h(x')\}}] = \Pr[h(x) = h(x')] \leq \sum_y \Pr[(h(x) = y) \wedge (h(x') = y)] = \sum_y 1/|Y|^2 = 1/|Y| = 1/cs^2$ . There are at most  $\binom{s}{2}$  distinct pairs in the stream  $\mathcal{S}$ , therefore

$$\mathbb{E}[C] \leq \frac{\binom{s}{2}}{cs^2} \leq \frac{\frac{1}{2}s^2}{cs^2} = \frac{1}{2c}$$

By Markov's inequality, we obtain

$$\Pr[C \geq 1] \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[C]}{1} = \frac{1}{2c}.$$

□

### Exercise 3

Consider the following two problems for analyzing a stream  $\mathcal{S}$  of integers from  $[n]$  in the strict turnstile model.

**$(k, \ell_2)$ -point query problem:** given a query( $i$ ),  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , find a value  $\tilde{x}_i \in [x_i - \frac{\|\mathbf{x}\|_2}{k}, x_i + \frac{\|\mathbf{x}\|_2}{k}]$ .

**$(k, \ell_2)$ -heavy hitters problem:** given a query(), find a set  $L \subset [n]$  such that  $|L| = O(k^2)$  and if  $x_i > \frac{\|\mathbf{x}\|_2}{k}$  then  $i \in L$ .

Suppose there exists an algorithm  $\mathcal{A}$  for the  $(k, \ell_2)$ -point query problem with failure probability  $\frac{\delta}{n}$  and using  $s$  bits of space.

Give an algorithm  $\mathcal{A}'$  for the  $(\frac{k}{4}, \ell_2)$ -heavy hitters problem with failure probability  $\delta$  and using small space.

*Solution.*

#### Algorithm description:

Iteratively query all points with algorithm  $\mathcal{A}$  and obtain  $\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2, \dots, \tilde{x}_n$ . Remember  $\frac{k^2}{4}$  indices with the biggest estimators and denote it as  $L$ . Output  $L$ .

#### Correctness:

Let  $Y_i$  be the event that the query on  $\tilde{x}_i$  fails, i.e., the event that  $\tilde{x}_i \notin [x_i - \frac{\|\mathbf{x}\|_2}{k}, x_i + \frac{\|\mathbf{x}\|_2}{k}]$ . Denote  $Y = \bigcap_{i=1}^n \overline{Y_i} = \overline{\bigcup_{i=1}^n Y_i}$ , i.e., the event that all  $n$  point queries succeed. Since the failure probability of algorithm  $\mathcal{A}$  is at most  $\frac{\delta}{n}$ , we can see that  $\Pr[Y_i] \leq \frac{\delta}{n}$ . By union bound,  $\Pr[Y] = 1 - \Pr[\bigcup_{i=1}^n Y_i] \geq 1 - \sum_{i=1}^n \Pr[Y_i] \geq 1 - \delta$ .

We show that our algorithm  $\mathcal{A}'$  always succeeds when all point queries succeed. Assume  $\tilde{x}_i \in [x_i - \frac{\|\mathbf{x}\|_2}{k}, x_i + \frac{\|\mathbf{x}\|_2}{k}]$  holds for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

- If  $x_i$  is a  $(\frac{k}{4}, \ell_2)$  heavy hitter, then  $x_i \geq \frac{4}{k}\|\mathbf{x}\|_2$ . Therefore  $\tilde{x}_i \geq x_i - \frac{1}{k}\|\mathbf{x}\|_2 \geq \frac{3}{k}\|\mathbf{x}\|_2$ .
- If  $\tilde{x}_i \geq \frac{3}{k}\|\mathbf{x}\|_2$ , then  $x_i \geq \tilde{x}_i - \frac{1}{k}\|\mathbf{x}\|_2 \geq \frac{2}{k}\|\mathbf{x}\|_2$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{x}\|_2^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 \geq \sum_{i: x_i \geq \frac{2}{k}\|\mathbf{x}\|_2} x_i^2 \geq \frac{4}{k^2} \|\mathbf{x}\|_2^2 \left| \left\{ i : x_i \geq \frac{2}{k}\|\mathbf{x}\|_2 \right\} \right| \\ &\left| \left\{ i : \tilde{x}_i \geq \frac{3}{k}\|\mathbf{x}\|_2 \right\} \right| \leq \left| \left\{ i : x_i \geq \frac{2}{k}\|\mathbf{x}\|_2 \right\} \right| \leq \frac{k^2}{4} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, if  $i$  is a  $(\frac{k}{4}, \ell_2)$ -heavy hitter, then  $\tilde{x}_i$  is among the  $\frac{k^2}{4}$  biggest entries of  $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}$ , i.e.,  $i \in L$ . Therefore, the set  $L$  output by our algorithm solves the  $(k, \ell_2)$ -heavy hitters problem.

---

**Exercise 4**

In the class, we have seen a KMV algorithm, denoted by  $\mathcal{A}$ , for estimating the number of distinct elements. The algorithm  $\mathcal{A}$  has failure probability  $\frac{1}{3}$ . Design a new algorithm for the Distinct Elements problem with failure probability at most  $\delta$ , for any  $\delta < \frac{1}{3}$ . Your algorithm can use  $\mathcal{A}$  as a subroutine.

*Solution.*

**Algorithm description:**

- (a) Independently run  $s = \lceil 18 \ln \frac{1}{\delta} \rceil$  copies of  $\mathcal{A}$  and obtain the estimators  $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_s$ .
- (b) Output  $t^* \triangleq \text{median}(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_s)$ .

**Correctness:**

Denote these  $s$  subroutines as  $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2, \dots, \mathcal{A}_s$  where  $\mathcal{A}_i$  outputs  $t_i$ . Denote the exact number of distinct elements as  $t$ . So  $\mathcal{A}_i$  fails if and only if  $|t_i - t| > \varepsilon$ . Since each subroutine  $\mathcal{A}_i$  has a failure probability  $\frac{1}{3}$ , we have  $\Pr[|t_i - t| > \varepsilon] \leq \frac{1}{3}$ . We then show that our algorithm fails with probability at most  $\delta$ , i.e.,

$$\Pr[|t^* - t| > \varepsilon] \leq \delta. \text{ Denote } Y_i \triangleq [|t_i - t| > \varepsilon] = [\mathcal{A}_i \text{ fails}] = \begin{cases} 1 & |t_i - t| > \varepsilon \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \text{ and } Y \triangleq \sum_{i=1}^s Y_i.$$

We first show that  $t^* < t - \varepsilon \implies Y \geq \frac{s}{2}$ . Without loss of generality, assume  $t_1 \leq t_2 \leq \dots \leq t_s$ . Since  $t^*$  is the median, by the definition of the median, we have  $t_1 \leq t_2 \leq \dots \leq t_{\lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil} \leq t^*$ . So when  $t^* < t - \varepsilon$ ,  $t_1 \leq t_2 \leq \dots \leq t_{\lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil} < t - \varepsilon$  must hold. This indicates that  $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2, \dots, \mathcal{A}_{\lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil}$  fails, which indicates that at least  $\lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil$  of the  $s$  subroutines fail. This indicates that  $t^* < t - \varepsilon \implies Y \geq \lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil \geq \frac{s}{2}$ .

We can show that  $t^* > t + \varepsilon \implies Y \geq \frac{s}{2}$  in a similar way, by assuming  $t_1 \geq t_2 \geq \dots \geq t_s$  without loss of generality. Therefore,  $|t^* - t| > \varepsilon \iff t^* - t < -\varepsilon \vee t^* - t > \varepsilon \implies Y \geq \frac{s}{2}$  must hold.

Since  $Y_i = [|t_i - t| > \varepsilon]$ ,  $\mathbb{E}[Y_i] = \Pr[|t_i - t| > \varepsilon] \leq \frac{1}{3}$ . By linearity of expectation,  $\mathbb{E}[Y] = \mathbb{E}[\sum_{i=1}^s Y_i] = \sum_{i=1}^s \mathbb{E}[Y_i] \leq \frac{s}{3}$ . Hence  $|t^* - t| > \varepsilon \implies Y \geq \frac{s}{2} \implies Y - \mathbb{E}[Y] \geq \frac{s}{6}$  and thus  $\Pr[|t^* - t| > \varepsilon] \leq \Pr[Y - \mathbb{E}[Y] \geq \frac{s}{6}]$ .

By Chernoff bound, we have  $\Pr[Y - \mathbb{E}[Y] \geq \frac{s}{6}] \leq \exp\left(-2\left(\frac{s}{6}\right)^2 \frac{1}{s}\right)$ . Since  $s \geq 18 \ln \frac{1}{\delta}$ , we can see that  $\Pr[|t^* - t| > \varepsilon] \leq \delta$ .

---