

Exercise Sheet 1 for
Algorithms for Big Data
2023 Spring
Solution

Note: In the following, we will refer the book “Foundations of Data Science” by Blum, Hopcroft and Kannan as [BHK].

Note: In the following, for a vector \mathbf{x} , $\|\mathbf{x}\| = \|\mathbf{x}\|_2$.

Exercise 1

(Exercise 3.12 in [BHK]) Let $\sum_i \sigma_i \mathbf{u}_i \mathbf{v}_i^\top$ be the singular value decomposition of a rank r matrix A . Let $A_k = \sum_{i=1}^k \sigma_i \mathbf{u}_i \mathbf{v}_i^\top$ be a rank k approximation to A for some $k < r$. Express the following quantities in terms of the singular values $\{\sigma_i, 1 \leq i \leq r\}$.

- (a) $\|A_k\|_F^2$
- (b) $\|A_k\|_2^2$
- (c) $\|A - A_k\|_F^2$
- (d) $\|A - A_k\|_2^2$

Solution.

- (a) The definition that $A_k = \sum_{i=1}^k \sigma_i \mathbf{u}_i \mathbf{v}_i^\top$ is also the SVD of A_k . As a result, the singular values of A_k are $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_k$. By the connection between Frobenius norm and singular values of a matrix, we can see that $\|A_k\|_F^2 = \sum_{i=1}^k \sigma_i^2$.
- (b) Since the singular values of A_k are $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_k$ and $\sigma_1 \geq \sigma_2 \geq \dots \geq \sigma_k$, we can see that the largest singular value of A_k is σ_1 . Since the 2-norm of a matrix is equal to its largest singular value, it is obvious that $\|A_k\|_2 = \sigma_1$ and thus $\|A_k\|_2^2 = \sigma_1^2$.
- (c) Since $A = \sum_{i=1}^r \sigma_i \mathbf{u}_i \mathbf{v}_i^\top$ and $A_k = \sum_{i=1}^k \sigma_i \mathbf{u}_i \mathbf{v}_i^\top$, we can see that $A - A_k = \sum_{i=k+1}^r \sigma_i \mathbf{u}_i \mathbf{v}_i^\top$, which is the SVD of $A - A_k$. As a result, the singular values of $A - A_k$ are $\sigma_{k+1}, \dots, \sigma_r$ and thus $\|A - A_k\|_F^2 = \sum_{i=k+1}^r \sigma_i^2$.
- (d) Since the singular values of $A - A_k$ are $\sigma_{k+1}, \dots, \sigma_r$ and $\sigma_{k+1} \geq \dots \geq \sigma_r$, we can see that the largest singular value of $A - A_k$ is σ_{k+1} and thus $\|A - A_k\|_2^2 = \sigma_{k+1}^2$.

Exercise 2

(Exercise 3.18 in [BHK]) A matrix A is positive semi-definite if for all \mathbf{x} , $\mathbf{x}^\top A \mathbf{x} \geq 0$.

- (a) Let A be a real valued matrix. Prove that $B = AA^\top$ is positive semi-definite.
- (b) Let A be the adjacency matrix of a graph. The Laplacian of A is $L = D - A$ where D is a diagonal matrix whose diagonal entries are the row sums of A . Prove that L is positive semi-definite by showing that $L = B^\top B$ where B is an m -by- n matrix with a row for each edge in the graph, a column for each vertex, and we define

$$b_{ei} = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } i \text{ is the endpoint of } e \text{ with lesser index} \\ 1 & \text{if } i \text{ is the endpoint of } e \text{ with greater index} \\ 0 & \text{if } i \text{ is not an endpoint of } e \end{cases}$$

Proof.

- (a) For all \mathbf{x} , we have $\mathbf{x}^\top B \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}^\top A A^\top \mathbf{x} = (A^\top \mathbf{x})^\top (A^\top \mathbf{x}) = \|A^\top \mathbf{x}\|_2^2 \geq 0$. Therefore, B is positive semi-definite.
- (b) Let $G = (V, E, \psi)$ denote the graph, where V denotes the set of vertices, E denotes the set of edges, and $\psi : E \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(V)$ is a function. Here $\mathcal{P}(V)$ denotes the power set of V . Note that the vertices in $\psi(e)$ are the endpoints of the edge e .

It suffices to prove that each entry of $B^\top B$ is equal to that of L , i.e., $\ell_{ij} = (B^\top B)_{ij}$, $\forall i, j \in V$. We consider the following two cases:

(i) $i \neq j$

Since D is a diagonal matrix, $d_{ij} = 0$. Since A is the adjacency matrix of G , a_{ij} is equal to the number of edges between vertices i and j , i.e., $a_{ij} = |\{e \in E \mid \psi(e) = \{i, j\}\}|$. Therefore, $\ell_{ij} = d_{ij} - a_{ij} = -|\{e \in E \mid \psi(e) = \{i, j\}\}|$ because $L = D - A$.

Note that $(B^\top B)_{ij} = \sum_{e \in E} b_{ei} b_{ej}$. For each $e \in E$, if $b_{ei} \neq 0$ and $b_{ej} \neq 0$ hold, either (1) $b_{ei} = 1$ and $b_{ej} = -1$ or (2) $b_{ei} = -1$ and $b_{ej} = 1$ must hold, because 1 and -1 are the only two possible non-zero values in the e -th row of B . As a result, $b_{ei} b_{ej} = -1$ or $b_{ei} b_{ej} = 0$ holds for all $e \in E$ and $i, j \in V$.

By the definition of B , $b_{ei} \neq 0$ iff (if and only if) i is the endpoint of e . Therefore, $b_{ei} b_{ej} = -1$ iff i and j are both the endpoints of e , i.e., $\psi(e) = \{i, j\}$. As a result,

$$\begin{aligned} (B^\top B)_{ij} &= \sum_{e \in E} b_{ei} b_{ej} = \sum_{e \in E, b_{ei} b_{ej} = -1} b_{ei} b_{ej} + \sum_{e \in E, b_{ei} b_{ej} = 0} b_{ei} b_{ej} \\ &= \sum_{e \in E, b_{ei} b_{ej} = -1} -1 + \sum_{e \in E, b_{ei} b_{ej} = 0} 0 \\ &= -|\{e \in E \mid b_{ei} b_{ej} = -1\}| \\ &= -|\{e \in E \mid \psi(e) = \{i, j\}\}| \\ &= \ell_{ij} \end{aligned}$$

(ii) $i = j$

In this case, we only need to prove that $\forall i \in V$, $\ell_{ii} = (B^\top B)_{ii}$. Note that $(B^\top B)_{ii} = \sum_{e \in E} b_{ei}^2$. Since $b_{ei}^2 \in \{0, 1\}$, $b_{ei}^2 = 1$ iff i is an endpoint of e , i.e., $i \in \psi(e)$. It is easy to obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} (B^\top B)_{ii} &= \sum_{e \in E} b_{ei}^2 = \sum_{e \in E, b_{ei}^2 = 1} b_{ei}^2 + \sum_{e \in E, b_{ei}^2 = 0} b_{ei}^2 \\ &= \sum_{e \in E, b_{ei}^2 = 1} 1 + \sum_{e \in E, b_{ei}^2 = 0} 0 \\ &= |\{e \in E \mid b_{ei}^2 = 1\}| \\ &= |\{e \in E \mid i \in \psi(e)\}| \\ &= \sum_{j \in V \setminus \{i\}} |\{e \in E \mid \psi(e) = \{i, j\}\}| \\ &= \sum_{j \in V \setminus \{i\}} a_{ij} \\ &= \sum_{j \in V} a_{ij} - a_{ii} \\ &= d_{ii} - a_{ii} \\ &= \ell_{ii} \end{aligned}$$

□

Exercise 3

(Exercise 3.22 in [BHK])

- (a) For any matrix A , show that $\sigma_k \leq \frac{\|A\|_F}{\sqrt{k}}$.
- (b) Prove that there exists a matrix B of rank at most k such that $\|A - B\|_2 \leq \frac{\|A\|_F}{\sqrt{k}}$.
- (c) Can the 2-norm on the left-hand side in (b) be replaced by Frobenius norm?

Proof.

- (a) Let r denote the rank of A and $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_r$ denote the singular values of A . By the connection between singular values and Frobenius norm of a matrix, and the fact that singular values are non-negative, we can see that

$$\|A\|_F^2 = \sum_{i=1}^r \sigma_i^2 \geq \sum_{i=1}^k \sigma_i^2 \geq \sum_{i=1}^k \sigma_k^2 = k\sigma_k^2$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_k^2 &\leq \frac{\|A\|_F^2}{k} \\ \sigma_k &\leq \frac{\|A\|_F}{\sqrt{k}} \end{aligned}$$

- (b) We choose $B = A_k$, i.e., the projection of A onto the best-fitting k -dimensional subspace, and thus $\|A - B\|_2 = \|A - A_k\|_2 = \sigma_{k+1} \leq \sigma_k \leq \frac{\|A\|_F}{\sqrt{k}}$.
- (c) No. We choose $r = 5$, $k = 2$ and $A = I_r$ (the $r \times r$ identity matrix). It is obvious that all the singular values of A are 1. By the properties of SVD, we can see that $A_k = \arg \min_{\text{rank}(B)=k} \|A - B\|_F$. Therefore,

$$\|A - B\|_F \geq \|A - A_k\|_F = \sqrt{\sum_{i=k+1}^r \sigma_i^2} = \sqrt{3} > \frac{\sqrt{5}}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{\|A\|_F}{\sqrt{k}}$$

□

.....

(Exercise 3.23 in [BHK]) Suppose an $n \times d$ matrix A is given and you are allowed to preprocess A . Then you are given a number of d -dimensional vectors $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_m$ and for each of these vectors you must find the vector $A\mathbf{x}_j$ approximately, in the sense that you must find a vector \mathbf{y}_j satisfying $\|\mathbf{y}_j - A\mathbf{x}_j\| \leq \varepsilon \|A\|_F \|\mathbf{x}_j\|$. Here $\varepsilon > 0$ is a given error bound. Describe an algorithm that accomplishes this in time $O\left(\frac{d+n}{\varepsilon^2}\right)$ per \mathbf{x}_j not counting the preprocessing time.

Hint: use Exercise 3.22 in [BHK].

Solution. When preprocessing, we calculate the SVD of A , i.e., $\{(\sigma_i, \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{v}_i)\}_{i=1}^r$ s.t. $A = \sum_{i=1}^r \sigma_i \mathbf{u}_i \mathbf{v}_i^\top$ and $\sigma_1 \geq \sigma_2 \geq \dots \geq \sigma_r$. When answering a query, we use the following algorithm:

Algorithm 1: Query

Data: Singular value decomposed matrix $A = \sum_{i=1}^r \sigma_i \mathbf{u}_i \mathbf{v}_i^\top$

Input: Input vector \mathbf{x} and error bound ε

Output: $\mathbf{y} \approx A\mathbf{x}$

- 1 Calculate $k = \lceil \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \rceil$.
 - 2 For $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$, iteratively calculate $a_i \triangleq \sigma_i \mathbf{v}_i^\top \mathbf{x}$.
 - 3 Calculate $\mathbf{y} \triangleq \sum_{i=1}^k a_i \mathbf{u}_i$ and return.
-

Analysis of the algorithm:

- (a) Running time: The above algorithm calculates $\mathbf{y} = A_k \mathbf{x}$ in $O(k(d+n)) = O(\frac{d+n}{\varepsilon^2})$ time, as calculating k inner products of two d -dimensional vectors (Step 2) takes $O(kd)$ time and accumulating k vectors of n dimensions (Step 3) takes $O(kn)$ time.
- (b) Correctness: Note that $\sqrt{k} \geq \frac{1}{\varepsilon}$ (since $k = \lceil \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \rceil \geq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}$), and $\|A\mathbf{x}\|_2 \leq \|A\|_2 \|\mathbf{x}\|_2$ (since $\|A\|_2 = \sup_{\|\mathbf{x}\|_2=1} \|A\mathbf{x}\|_2 = \sup_{\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}} \frac{\|A\mathbf{x}\|_2}{\|\mathbf{x}\|_2} \geq \frac{\|A\mathbf{x}\|_2}{\|\mathbf{x}\|_2}$ when $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}$), we have

$$\|\mathbf{y} - A\mathbf{x}\|_2 = \|(A_k - A)\mathbf{x}\|_2 \leq \|A_k - A\|_2 \|\mathbf{x}\|_2 \leq \frac{\|A\|_F}{\sqrt{k}} \|\mathbf{x}\|_2 \leq \varepsilon \|A\|_F \|\mathbf{x}\|_2$$

Exercise 4

Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$ be a data matrix with the SVD: $A = UDV^\top = \sum_{i=1}^r \sigma_i \mathbf{u}_i \mathbf{v}_i^\top$, where $r \leq d$. Suppose that $\sigma_2 < (1 - \varepsilon)\sigma_1$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$. Let \mathbf{x} be a unit vector such that $\mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{v}_1 \geq \frac{1}{2}$. For each integer $k \geq 1$, define a vector $\mathbf{b}_k = (A^\top A)^k \mathbf{x}$.

Find the smallest possible k such that

$$|\mathbf{b}_k^\top \cdot \mathbf{v}_1| \geq (1 - \varepsilon^{10}) \|\mathbf{b}_k\|,$$

and explain why.

Solution. Since the right singular vectors are orthonormal, we can add $\mathbf{v}_{r+1}, \mathbf{v}_{r+2}, \dots, \mathbf{v}_d$ so that $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_d\}$ forms an orthonormal basis of \mathbb{R}^d . Hence we can write \mathbf{x} as the linear combination of $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_d$, i.e., $\mathbf{x} = \sum_{i=1}^d a_i \mathbf{v}_i$ where $a_i = \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}_i \rangle$. Since $a_1 = \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}_1 \rangle = \mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{v}_1 \geq \frac{1}{2}$, i.e., $4a_1^2 \geq 1$, we can see that

$$\sum_{i=2}^r a_i^2 \leq \sum_{i=2}^d a_i^2 = 1 - a_1^2 \leq 3a_1^2$$

Notice that we can expand \mathbf{b}_1 in the following way:

$$\mathbf{b}_1 = A^\top A \mathbf{x} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^r \sigma_i \mathbf{u}_i \mathbf{v}_i^\top \right)^\top \left(\sum_{i=1}^r \sigma_i \mathbf{u}_i \mathbf{v}_i^\top \right) \sum_{i=1}^d a_i \mathbf{v}_i = \left(\sum_{i=1}^r \sigma_i \mathbf{v}_i \mathbf{u}_i^\top \right) \sum_{i=1}^r a_i \sigma_i \mathbf{u}_i = \sum_{i=1}^r a_i \sigma_i^2 \mathbf{v}_i$$

It is easy to prove that $\mathbf{b}_k = \sum_{i=1}^d a_i \sigma_i^{2k} \mathbf{v}_i$ by induction. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{b}_k^\top \mathbf{v}_1| &= \left| \left(\sum_{i=1}^r a_i \sigma_i^{2k} \mathbf{v}_i^\top \right) \mathbf{v}_1 \right| = a_1 \sigma_1^{2k} \\ \|\mathbf{b}_k\| &= \|\mathbf{b}_k\|_2 = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^r (a_i \sigma_i^{2k})^2} \leq \sqrt{(a_1 \sigma_1^{2k})^2 + \sum_{i=2}^r (a_i \sigma_i^{2k})^2} < \sqrt{(a_1 \sigma_1^{2k})^2 + (1 - \varepsilon)^{4k} \sigma_1^{4k} \sum_{i=2}^r a_i^2} \\ &\leq a_1 \sigma_1^{2k} \sqrt{1 + 3(1 - \varepsilon)^{4k}} \end{aligned}$$

In order to make $\left| \mathbf{b}_k^\top \mathbf{v}_1 \right| \geq (1 - \varepsilon^{10}) \|\mathbf{b}_k\|$, we can just make sure that

$$\begin{aligned}
& (1 - \varepsilon^{10})^2 (1 + 3(1 - \varepsilon)^{4k}) &\leq 1 \\
\Longleftarrow & 1 + 3(1 - \varepsilon)^{4k} &\leq (1 + \varepsilon^{10})^2 \\
\Longleftarrow & 3(1 - \varepsilon)^{4k} &\leq 2\varepsilon^{10} \\
\Longleftarrow & 3e^{-4k\varepsilon} &\leq 2\varepsilon^{10} \\
\Longleftarrow & k &\geq \frac{\ln \frac{3}{2} - 10 \ln \varepsilon}{4\varepsilon} \\
\Longleftarrow & k &\geq \frac{1}{9}\varepsilon^{-1} + \frac{5}{2}\varepsilon^{-1} \ln \varepsilon^{-1}
\end{aligned}$$
