## sicp-ex-2.27

[Top Page	[Recent Changes]	[All Pages]	[Settings]	[Categories]	[Wiki Howto]
				[Edit]	[Edit History]
				Search:	

## Previous exercise (2.26) | Index | Next exercise (2.28) >>

```
;; A value for testing.
          (define x (list (list 1 2) (list 3 (list 4 5))))
;; My environment doesn't have nil.
(define nil '())
;; Here's reverse for reference:
(define (reverse items)
  (define (rev-imp items result)
    (if (null? items)
        result
        (rev-imp (cdr items) (cons (car items) result))))
  (rev-imp items nil))
;; Usage:
(reverse x)
;; Deep reverse. Same as reverse, but when adding the car to the
;; result, need to check if the car is a list. If so, deep reverse
;; it.
;; First try:
(define (deep-reverse items)
  (define (deep-rev-imp items result)
    (if (null? items)
        result
        (let ((first (car items)))
          (deep-rev-imp (cdr items)
                   (cons (if (not (pair? first))
                             first
                              (deep-reverse first))
                         result)))))
  (deep-rev-imp items nil))
;; Usage:
(deep-reverse x)
;; Works, but it's a bit hard to read? Refactoring:
(define (deep-reverse-2 items)
  (define (deep-rev-if-required item)
    (if (not (pair? item))
```

Here's Eli Bendersky's code, translated into Scheme. It's pretty sharp, and better than my own since it's more concise:

This works for me:

A solution that uses reverse to do the work:

```
(define (deep-reverse t)
  (if (pair? t)
          (reverse (map deep-reverse t))
          t))
```

```
(iter (cdr items) (cons x result)))
  (iter (cdr items) (cons (car items) result)))))
(iter items ()))
```

varoun

Solution that is a simple modification of reverse

meteorgan

there is another solution. it may be simpler.

Daniel-Amariei

Took me a while to implement it without append and reverse.

atrika

Solution with no use of append. Would be nice to have a full iterative process, but this problem is naturally recursive.

adam

My solution which is very similar to the original.

```
(define (deep-reverse items)
  (define (try-deep item)
    (if (not (list? item))
        item
        (iter item '())))
  (define (iter old new)
    (if (null? old)
        new
        (iter (cdr old)
              (cons (try-deep (car old)) new))))
 (iter items '()))
;; Testing
(define x (list (list 1 2) (list 3 (list 4 5)) (list (list 2 3) 3)))
;; ((1 2) (3 (4 5)) ((2 3) 3))
(deep-reverse x)
;; ((3 (3 2)) ((5 4) 3) (2 1))
```

yves

I though I'll found my version. Very close to some version here tough, but using map for clarity. It looks like it is working. May I be wrong somewhere?

```
(display (deep-reverse (list (list 1 2) (list 3 4))))
  (newline)
  (display (deep-reverse (list (list 1 (list 5 6)) (list 3 4))))
  (newline)
```

Lambdalef

The most briefly solution

This solution only works with list of lists

```
(define (deep-reverse 1)
(reverse (map reverse 1)))
```

DeepDolphin

This solution doesn't require to check for the end of list.

```
joshwarrior
           (define (reverse item)
             (define (reverse-iter item result)
     (if (null? item)
         result.
          (reverse-iter (cdr item) (cons (car item) result))))
   (reverse-iter item nil))
 (define (deep-reverse item)
   (define (deep-reverse-iter item result)
     (cond ((null? item) result)
           ((pair? (car item)) (deep-reverse-iter (cdr item) (cons (deep-
reverse (car item)) result)))
           (else (deep-reverse-iter (cdr item) (cons (car item) result)))))
   (deep-reverse-iter item nil))
 ;;Testing
 > (define x (list (list 1 2) (list 3 4)))
 (mcons (mcons 1 (mcons 2 '())) (mcons (mcons 3 (mcons 4 '())) '()))
 > (reverse x)
 (mcons (mcons 3 (mcons 4 '())) (mcons (mcons 1 (mcons 2 '())) '()))
 > (deep-reverse x)
 (mcons (mcons 4 (mcons 3 '())) (mcons (mcons 2 (mcons 1 '())) '()))
 > (deep-reverse (list 1 2 3))
 (mcons 3 (mcons 2 (mcons 1 '())))
```

```
> (deep-reverse (list (list 1 2 3)))
(mcons (mcons 3 (mcons 2 (mcons 1 '()))) '())
```

Last modified: 2017-08-13 06:35:41 WiLiKi 0.5-tekili-7 running on Gauche 0.9