Extend the Intuitionistic Logic Natural Deduction with Kolmogorov Double Negation

Yantian Yin Yifei Che

1 Idea

The key difference between intuitionistic logic and classical logic lies in the acceptance of the law of excluded middle. Our goal is to explore the connection between the two systems, showing that they are essentially equivalent under double negation translation.

1.1 Nature Deduction

For the natural deduction we have these rules for introduction and elimination.

$$\frac{}{\vdash \top} \ \top I \qquad \qquad \frac{\vdash \bot}{\vdash C} \ \bot E$$

Natural deduction (ND) corresponds to intuitionistic logic because it does not enforce that every proposition has a fixed complement. For example, if we apply negation introduction $(\neg I)$ to a proposition A and derive $\neg A$, we cannot simply repeat this process on $\neg A$ to recover A. This asymmetry is a key reason why ND is not classical logic.

To extend ND into classical logic, we need to incorporate the law of excluded middle. One way to do this is by adding the *Kolmogorov double negation rule*, which allows us to derive A from $\neg\neg A$. We refer to this rule as $\neg kdE$ (short for not Kolmogorov double Elimination, or nkdE). With this rule added, we define a new system—ND plus the Kolmogorov rule—which we call KND.

To fully complete KND as classical logic, we must also specify the unique complement of \top , which is \bot . We treat \bot as an atomic formula. With these additions, KND forms a proper classical logic system.

$$\frac{\frac{\vdash \neg \neg A}{\vdash A} \neg \neg_{kd} E}{\frac{\vdash \neg (A \lor \neg A)}{\vdash \neg (A \lor \neg A)} u \frac{\vdash A}{\vdash A \lor \neg A} \lor I_{1}} \frac{}{\neg E}$$

$$\frac{\frac{\vdash p}{\vdash \neg A} \neg I^{p,\nu}}{\frac{\vdash A \lor \neg A}{\vdash A \lor \neg A} \lor I_{2}}$$

$$\frac{\vdash p}{\vdash \neg \neg (A \lor \neg A)} \neg I^{p,u}$$

$$\frac{\vdash A \lor \neg A}{\vdash A \lor \neg A} \neg \neg E$$

prove of excluding middle

Now in our hand we have the intuitionistic logic ND and classical logic KND. We show that both these two logics are "the same" by proving that for any logical formula provable in KND it can also be proven in ND (soundness) and any logical formula provable in ND can also be proven in KND (completeness).

To do this first we need to define a translation function that translates formulas in ND or KND to their counterparts. We use the ktrans–Kolmogorov translation and it is defined as $(n \text{ for } \neg \neg)$:

2 Inference Rules

Before we step into the proof of soundness and completeness we need some inference rules to help us eliminate double negation in ND.

First, since we can prove $\neg \neg A$ from A in ND we have the inference rule $\neg \neg X$. Same with $\neg \neg_k X$.

Also we can eliminate $\neg\neg\neg A$ to $\neg A$, we make it as $\neg\neg\neg R$.

3 Lemma

Lemma 1 (Existence of Kolmogorov Translation). For every propositional formula A (built from atoms with \neg , \land , \lor , and \supset), there exists a formula A^* —its Kolmogorov translation—such that

$$kolm(A, A^*)$$

holds.

Proof. Define A^* by structural induction on A:

$$p^* := \neg \neg p \qquad (p \text{ atomic})$$

$$\top^* := \top$$

$$\bot^* := \bot$$

$$(A \land B)^* := \neg \neg (A^* \land B^*)$$

$$(A \lor B)^* := \neg \neg (A^* \lor B^*)$$

$$(A \supset B)^* := \neg \neg (A^* \supset B^*)$$

$$(\neg A)^* := \neg \neg A^*$$

Base case. If A is atomic (A = p), set $A^* = \neg \neg p$; then $kolm(p, \neg \neg p)$ is immediate.

Inductive step. Assume A^* and B^* already exist. The table above defines translations for each composite constructor, and the corresponding kolm derivations follow from the induction hypotheses.

Since the construction terminates for every syntax tree, the mapping $A \mapsto A^*$ is total; hence $kolm(A, A^*)$ holds for all formulas A.

Lemma 2 (Every Kolmogorov image is a double negation). Let A and B be propositional formulas. If kolm(A, B) holds, then there exists a formula C such that

$$B = \neg \neg C \quad and \quad \text{kolm}(A, C).$$

Proof. Proceed by induction on the derivation of kolm(A, B).

Atomic case. If A = p and the derivation ends with the rule for atoms, we have $B = \neg \neg p$. Set C := p. Then kolm(p, C) is the very last step of the given derivation, so the claim holds.

Conjunction. Suppose $kolm(A \wedge B_0, B)$ is derived via

$$B = \neg \neg (D_1 \wedge D_2), \quad \operatorname{kolm}(A, D_1), \quad \operatorname{kolm}(B_0, D_2).$$

Take $C := D_1 \wedge D_2$. Both sub-derivations satisfy the induction hypothesis, hence $\operatorname{kolm}(A \wedge B_0, C)$ holds and $B = \neg \neg C$.

Disjunction and implication. The arguments are identical: each rule in the definition of kolm produces the outer pattern $\neg\neg(\cdot)$, so we peel off that pair of negations and re-assemble the inner sub-translations using the induction hypothesis.

Negation. From kolm($\neg A_0, B$) we get $B = \neg \neg \neg D$ with kolm(A_0, D). Let $C := \neg D$; then again $B = \neg \neg C$ and kolm($\neg A_0, C$).

Thus every derivation of $\operatorname{kolm}(A,B)$ factors through an inner formula C with $B=\neg\neg C$, proving the claim.

4 Soundness

Now with the help of our derivation rule, and lemma we can prove our soundness theorem. We do structural proof on the last used operation.

Case:

$$\frac{A \quad B}{A \wedge^k B} \wedge kI \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{\frac{\neg \neg A \quad \neg \neg B}{\neg \neg A \wedge \neg \neg B} \wedge I}{\neg \neg (\neg \neg A \wedge \neg \neg B)} \neg \neg X$$

Case:

$$\frac{\frac{\overline{\neg \neg A \wedge \neg \neg B}}{\neg \neg A} \stackrel{u}{\wedge E1}_{\overline{\neg A}} \stackrel{v}{\neg I^{q,u}}}{\frac{q}{\neg (\neg \neg A \wedge \neg \neg B)}} \stackrel{u}{\neg I^{q,u}}$$

$$\frac{A \wedge^k B}{A} \wedge kE1 \quad \Rightarrow \qquad \frac{p}{\neg \neg A} \neg I^{p,v}$$

Case:

$$\frac{\frac{\neg \neg A \wedge \neg \neg B}{\neg \neg B} \stackrel{u}{\wedge} E2_{\neg B}}{\neg \neg B} \stackrel{v}{\wedge} E2_{\neg B} \stackrel{v}{\neg} I^{q,u}} \frac{q}{\neg (\neg \neg A \wedge \neg \neg B)} \neg E$$

$$\frac{A \wedge^k B}{B} \wedge kE2 \quad \Rightarrow \qquad \frac{p}{\neg \neg B} \neg I^{p,v}$$

Case:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \overline{A} & u & & \overline{\neg \neg A} & u \\ \vdots & & & \vdots \\ \frac{\dot{B}}{A \supset^k B} \supset kI^u & \Rightarrow & \frac{\overline{\neg \neg A} \supset \neg \neg B}{\neg \neg (\neg \neg A \supset \neg \neg B)} \supset I^u \\ \end{array}$$

Case:

$$\frac{\overline{\neg \neg A \supset \neg \neg B} \stackrel{u}{\neg \neg A} E_{\overline{\neg B}} \stackrel{v}{\neg \neg B}}{\neg \neg B} \stackrel{v}{\neg \neg B} \neg E_{\overline{\neg B}} \stackrel{v}{\neg B} \stackrel{v}{\neg$$

Case:

$$\frac{A}{A \vee^k B} \vee kI1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{\neg \neg A}{\neg \neg A \vee \neg \neg B} \vee I1 \\ \neg \neg (\neg \neg A \vee \neg \neg B) \neg \neg X$$

Case:

$$\frac{B}{A \vee^k B} \vee kI2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{\frac{\neg \neg B}{\neg \neg A \vee \neg \neg B} \vee I2}{\neg \neg (\neg \neg A \vee \neg \neg B)} \neg \neg X$$

Case:

Case:

$$\frac{\neg \neg A}{A} \neg \neg E \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{\neg \neg \neg \neg A}{\neg \neg A} \neg \neg \neg R$$

Case:

$$\frac{\neg \neg A}{A} \neg \neg E \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{\neg \neg \neg \neg A}{\neg \neg A} \neg \neg \neg R$$

5 Kolm rules

 $\mathsf{kolm}_{\wedge I}: \; \mathsf{kolm} \, A \, A^* \; \to \; \mathsf{kolm} \, B \, B^* \; \to \; \mathsf{kolm} \big(A \wedge B \big) \; \neg\neg \big(A^* \wedge B^* \big)$

 $\mathsf{kolm}_{\supset I}: \, \mathsf{kolm} \, A \, A^* \, \to \, \mathsf{kolm} \, B \, B^* \, \to \, \mathsf{kolm}(A \supset B) \, \, \neg\neg \big(A^* \supset B^*\big)$

 $\mathsf{kolm}_{\vee I}: \; \mathsf{kolm}\, A\, A^* \; \to \; \mathsf{kolm}\, B\, B^* \; \to \; \mathsf{kolm} \big(A \vee B\big) \; \neg\neg \big(A^* \vee B^*\big)$

 $\mathsf{kolm}_{\neg I} : \mathsf{kolm} A A^* \to \mathsf{kolm} (\neg A) \neg \neg (\neg A^*)$

 $\mathsf{kolm}_\top:\,\mathsf{kolm}\,\top\,\neg\neg\top$

 $\mathsf{kolm}_\perp : \mathsf{kolm} \perp \neg \neg \bot$

6 Completeness

lemma 1: nd A \rightarrow knd A

Proof.

Induction hypothesis (IH). For every proper subformula B of A, kolm (B, B^*) , $\vdash_{KND} B^* \Rightarrow \vdash_{KND} B$.

Assumptions for the current step

$$kolm(A, A^*), \qquad \Gamma \vdash_{KND} A^*.$$

Goal. $\Gamma \vdash_{KND} A$.

We distinguish the shape of A.

Atomic case (A = p).

$$\Gamma \vdash \neg \neg p \xrightarrow{\neg \neg E} \Gamma \vdash p.$$

Conjunction $(A = A_1 \wedge A_2)$.

Step 1:
$$\Gamma \vdash \neg \neg (A_1^* \land A_2^*)$$
 (assumption)

Step 2:
$$\Gamma \vdash A_1^* \wedge A_2^*$$

Step 3:
$$\Gamma \vdash A_1^*$$
, $\Gamma \vdash A_2^*$ $\land E_1, \land E_2$ Step 4: $\Gamma \vdash A_1$, $\Gamma \vdash A_2$ (IH on A_1, A_2)
Step 5: $\Gamma \vdash A_1 \land A_2$

Disjunction $(A = A_1 \vee A_2)$.

Step 1:
$$\Gamma \vdash \neg \neg (A_1^* \lor A_2^*)$$
.

Step 2:
$$\Gamma \vdash A_1^* \lor A_2^*$$

Step 3:
$$\Gamma, A_1^* \vdash A_1$$
 IH on A_1

Step 4:
$$\Gamma, A_1^* \vdash A_1 \lor A_2$$
 $\lor I_1$ on Step 3

Step 5:
$$\Gamma, A_2^* \vdash A_2$$
 IH on A_2

Step 6:
$$\Gamma, A_2^* \vdash A_1 \lor A_2$$
 $\lor I_2$ on Step 5

Step 7:
$$\Gamma \vdash A_1 \lor A_2$$
 $\lor E$ on Steps 2, 4, 6

Implication $(A = A_1 \supset A_2)$.

Step 1:
$$\Gamma \vdash \neg \neg (A_1^* \supset A_2^*)$$
.

Step 2:
$$\Gamma \vdash A_1^* \supset A_2^*$$

Step 3:
$$\Gamma, A_1 \vdash A_1^*$$
 (ND \rightarrow KND lemma)

Step 4:
$$\Gamma, A_1 \vdash A_2^*$$
 $\supset E$ on Steps 2–3

Step 5:
$$\Gamma, A_1 \vdash A_2$$
 IH on A_2

Step 6:
$$\Gamma \vdash A_1 \supset A_2$$
 $\supset I$ on Step 5

Other constructors. \top , \bot , and \neg are immediate $(\top I, \bot E, \neg I/E)$ and follow the same IH pattern.

In every case the goal $\Gamma \vdash A$ is obtained, completing the induction. \Box

7 Beluga

```
\ensuremath{\text{i}} : type. % Type of individuals; we leave elements abstract.
LF o : type = % formulas
 | : 0 -> 0 -> 0
 1 : 0
 1 : 0
 | : 0 →0 →0
 | : 0 →0 →0
 | ¬ : o -> o
 | : (i →o) →o % \x. A(x) B \x. A(x) B(x)
 | : (i →o) →o % \x. A(x) B \x. A(x) B(x)
--prefix ¬ 10.
--infix 6 right.
--infix 5 right.
--infix 4 right.
--prefix 8.
--prefix 8.
LF nd : o -> type =
 | I : (nd A -> nd B)
  -> nd (A B)
 | E : nd (A B) -> nd A
  -> nd B
 | ¬I : ({p:o} nd A -> nd p)
  -> nd (¬ A)
 | ¬E : nd (¬ A) -> nd A
  -> nd C
 | I : nd A →nd B→
   nd (A B)
  | El : nd (A B)→
    {\tt nd}\ {\tt A}
 | Er : nd (A B)→
    nd B
 | I1 : nd A→
   nd (A B)
  | Ir : nd B →nd (A B)
  \mid E : nd (A B) \rightarrow
        (nd A \rightarrow nd C) \rightarrow
        (nd B →nd C)→
        {\tt nd}\ {\tt C}
 | I : nd
 | E : nd →
        nd C
```

```
LF knd : o →type =
  | kI : (knd A →knd B)→
         knd (A B)
  | kE : knd (A B) \rightarrowknd A\rightarrow
          knd B
  | kI : knd A →knd B→
         knd (A B)
  | kEl : knd (A B)→
         knd A
  | kEr : knd (A B)→
         knd B
  | kIl : knd A→
         knd (A B)
  | kIr : knd B→
         knd (A B)
  | kE : knd (A B)→
          (knd A \rightarrowknd C)\rightarrow
          (knd B \rightarrow knd C) \rightarrow
         knd\ C
  | kI : knd
  | kE : knd \rightarrow
         knd C
  | \neg kI : (\{p:o\} \text{ knd A } \rightarrow \text{knd p}) \rightarrow
             knd (¬ A)
  | ¬kE : knd (¬ A) -> knd A
        \rightarrow knd C
 | ¬¬kE : knd (¬ (¬ A))→
            knd A
LF ktrans : o \rightarrow o \rightarrow type =
 | ktrans_I : ktrans A A* -> ktrans B B* ->
              ktrans (A B) (¬ (¬ (A* B*)))
  | ktrans_El : ktrans (A B) (¬ (¬ (A* B*))) ->
                ktrans A A*
  | ktrans_Er : ktrans (A B) (¬ (¬ (A* B*))) ->
                ktrans B B*
  | ktrans_I : ktrans A A* -> ktrans B B* ->
              ktrans (A B) (¬ (¬ (A* B*)))
```

```
| ktrans_E : ktrans (A B) (¬ (¬ (A* B*))) -> ktrans A A* ->
             ktrans B B*
  | ktrans_I : ktrans A A* -> ktrans B B* ->
             ktrans (A B) (¬ (¬ (A* B*)))
  | ktrans_E : ktrans (A B) (¬ (¬ (A* B*))) -> ktrans A A* -> ktrans B B* -> ktrans C C*
             ktrans C C*
  | ktrans_¬I : ktrans A A* ->
             ktrans (¬ A) (¬ (¬ (¬ A*)))
  | ktrans_¬E : ktrans (¬ A) (¬ (¬ (¬ A*))) -> ktrans A A* -> ktrans C C* ->
             ktrans C C*
  | ktrans_ : ktrans (¬ (¬ ))
 | ktrans_ : ktrans (¬ (¬ ))
%{
Translation context
}%
schema ctx = knd A ;
schema nctx = nd A ;
inductive Rel : \Gamma\{:ctx\} \Gamma\{' : nctx\} ctype =
 | empty : Rel [ ] [ ]
 | cons : Rel \Gamma[] \Gamma['] \rightarrow
          Rel \Gamma[, a:knd A[]] \Gamma[', a:nd A[]];
rec ktrans_of : [ o] \rightarrow [ o] =
fn A =>
 case A of
   | [ A1 B1] =>
      let [ A1*] = ktrans_of [ A1] in
      let [ B1*] = ktrans_of [ B1] in
      [ ¬ (¬ (A1* B1*))]
   | [ A1 B1] =>
      let [ A1*] = ktrans_of [ A1] in
       let [ B1*] = ktrans_of [ B1] in
      [ ¬ (¬ (A1* B1*))]
   | [ A1 B1] =>
      let [ A1*] = ktrans_of [ A1] in
      let [ B1*] = ktrans_of [ B1] in
      [ ¬ (¬ (A1* B1*))]
   | [ ¬ A1] =>
      let [ A1*] = ktrans_of [ A1] in
      [ ¬ (¬ (¬ A1*))]
   | [ ] =>
      [ ¬ (¬ )]
   | [ ] =>
      [ ¬ (¬ )]
```

```
;
rec existsktrans : {A : [ o]} {A* : [ o]} [ ktrans A A*] =
mlam A => mlam A* =>
 case [ A] of
  | [ A1 B1] =>
    (case [ A*] of
    | [ ¬ (¬ (A1* B1*))] =>
     let [ KA] = existsktrans [ A1] [ A1*] in
      let [ KB] = existsktrans [ B1] [ B1*] in
     [ ktrans_I KA KB])
  | [ A1 B1] =>
    (case [ A*] of
    | [ ¬ (¬ (A1* B1*))] =>
      let [KA] = existsktrans [A1] [A1*] in
      let [ KB] = existsktrans [ B1] [ B1*] in
      [ ktrans_I KA KB])
  | [ A1 B1] =>
    (case [ A*] of
    | [ ¬ (¬ (A1* B1*))] =>
      let [ KA] = existsktrans [ A1] [ A1*] in
      let [ KB] = existsktrans [ B1] [ B1*] in
      [ ktrans_I KA KB])
  | [ ¬ A1] =>
    (case [ A*] of
    | [ ¬ (¬ (¬ A1*))] =>
      let [ KA] = existsktrans [ A1] [ A1*] in
      [ ktrans_¬I KA])
  | [ ] =>
   (case [ A*] of
    | [ ¬ (¬ )] =>
      [ ktrans_])
  | [ ] =>
    (case [ A*] of
    | [ ¬ (¬ )] =>
      [ ktrans_])
rec dnotx : Rel \Gamma[] \Gamma['] \rightarrow \Gamma[' nd A] \rightarrow \Gamma[' nd (\neg (\neg A))] =
fn rel => fn prf =>
 let \Gamma[' D[]] = prf in\Gamma
 [' ¬I (\p. \u. ¬E u D[])]
\texttt{rec triple\_neg\_red} \; : \; \texttt{Rel } \Gamma[] \;\; \Gamma['] \;\; \rightarrow \Gamma[' \;\; \texttt{nd } (\neg \; (\neg \; A[])))] \;\; \rightarrow \Gamma[' \;\; \texttt{nd } (\neg \; A[])] \;\; = \;\; \\
fn rel => fn prf =>
 let \Gamma[' D[]] = prf in\Gamma
 [' ¬I (\p. \u. ¬E D[] (¬I (\q. \v. ¬E v u)))]
rec dneg_falser : Rel \Gamma[] \Gamma['] \rightarrow \Gamma[' nd (\neg (\neg ))] \rightarrow \Gamma[' nd C[]] =
fn rel => fn prf =>
 let \Gamma[' D[]] = prf in\Gamma
 [' ¬E D[] (¬I \p. \u. (E u))]
rec sound : Rel \Gamma[] \Gamma['] \rightarrow \Gamma[ knd A[]] \rightarrow [ ktrans A A*] \rightarrow \Gamma[' nd A*[]] =
```

```
fn rel => fn prf => fn kolm =>
  case (prf, kolm) of
  | Γ([ kI NKA NKB], [ ktrans_I KA KB]) =>
   let \Gamma['] NJA] = sound rel \Gamma[] NKA] [ KA] in
   let \Gamma['] NJB] = sound rel \Gamma[] NKB] [ KB] in
   dnotx rel \Gamma[' \quad I \quad NJA \quad NJB]
  | \Gamma([kEl(NK1:knd(A[B[]))], [(KA:(ktransA(¬(¬A**)))]) =>
   let [ B*] = ktrans_of [ B] in
   let [ KB] = existsktrans [ B] [ B*] in
   let \Gamma[' NJ1[]] = sound rel \Gamma[ NK1] [ ktrans_I KA KB] in\Gamma
   [' ¬I (\p. \u.
      ¬E NJ1[] (
       ¬I (\q. \v.
          ¬E (E1 v) u)))]
  | Γ([ kEr (NK1 : knd (A[] B[]))], [ (KB : (ktrans B (¬(¬ B**))))]) =>
   let [ A*] = ktrans_of [ A] in
   let [ KA] = existsktrans [ A] [ A*] in
   let \Gamma[' NJ1[]] = sound rel \Gamma[ NK1] [ ktrans_I KA KB] in\Gamma
   [' ¬I (\p. \u.
      ¬E NJ1[] (
       ¬I (\q. \v.
          ¬E (Er v) u)))]
  | \( \( \text{KI \u. (NKB : knd B[])} \), \( \text{ktrans_I (KA : (ktrans A A*)) (KB : (ktrans B B*))} \)
   let \Gamma[', v:nd A*[] v] = sound (cons rel) \Gamma[, u:knd A[] u] [ KA] in
   let \Gamma[', v:nd A*[] NJB] = sound (cons rel) \Gamma[, u:knd NKB] [KB] in
   dnotx rel \Gamma[' I \setminus u. NJB]
  | \Gamma([kE(NKI:knd(A[B[]))(NKA:kndA[])], [(KB:(ktransB(¬(¬B**))))]) =>
   let [ A*] = ktrans_of [ A] in
   let [ KA] = existsktrans [ A] [ A*] in
   let \Gamma[' NJA[]] = sound rel \Gamma[ NKA] [ KA] in
   let \Gamma['\ NJI[]] = sound rel \Gamma[\ NKI] [ ktrans_I KA KB] in\Gamma
   [' ¬I (\p. \u.
      ¬E NJI[] (
       \neg \text{I (} \backslash \text{q. } \backslash \text{v.}
          ¬E (E v NJA[]) u)))]
  | Γ([ kIl NK], [ ktrans_I KA KB]) =>
   let \Gamma[' NJ] = sound rel \Gamma[NK] [KA] in
   {\tt dnotx\ rel\ \Gamma['\ Il\ NJ]}
  | Γ([ kIr NK], [ ktrans_I KA KB]) =>
   let \Gamma[' NJ] = sound rel \Gamma[NK] [KB] in
   dnotx rel \Gamma[' Ir NJ]
  | \( \( \text{KE (NK[]} : \text{knd (A[] B[])) (\ua. NKA[]) (\ub. NKB[]) ], [ \text{ktrans_E (KO : (ktrans (A)) } \)
          B) (¬ (¬(¬(¬A**) ¬(¬B**)))))) (KA : (ktrans A (¬(¬A**)))) (KB : (ktrans B (¬(
        ¬ B**)))) (KC : (ktrans C (¬(¬ C**))))]) =>
   let \Gamma[' NJ[]] = sound rel \Gamma[ NK[]] [ KO] in
   let \Gamma[', va:nd (\neg(\neg A**[])) va] = sound (cons rel) \Gamma[, ua:knd A[] ua] [ KA] in
   let \Gamma[', vb:nd (\neg(\neg B**[])) vb] = sound (cons rel) \Gamma[, ub:knd B[] ub] [ KB] in
   let \Gamma[', \text{ va:nd } (\neg(\neg \text{ A**}[])) \text{ NJA}[]] = \text{sound } (\text{cons rel}) \Gamma[, \text{ ua:knd A}[] \text{ NKA}[]] [ KC] in
   let \Gamma[', vb:nd (\neg(\neg B**[])) NJB[]] = sound (cons rel) <math>\Gamma[, ub:knd B[] NKB[]] [KC] in\Gamma
   [' ¬I (\p. \u.
      ¬E NJ[] (
       ¬I (\q. \v.
          ¬E (E v (\va. NJA[]) (\vb.NJB[])) u)))]
  %{| \Gamma([ \neg kI \ p. \ u. \ NK1], [ ktrans\_\neg I (K : (ktrans A A*))]) =>
   let [ kp] = existsktrans [ ] [ \neg (\neg )] in
   let \Gamma[', v: nd A*[] v] = sound (cons rel) \Gamma[, u:knd A[] u] [K] in
```

```
let \Gamma[', v: nd A*[] NJ1[..,_,]] = sound (cons rel) <math>\Gamma[, u: knd A[] NK1[..,_,]] [ kp]
    let \Gamma[' NJ1N[]] = dneg_falser rel \Gamma[' NJ1] in
    dnotx rel \Gamma[' \neg I (\p. \u. NJ1N[..,p])]%
  | \Gamma([\neg kE \ NK1[] \ (NK2[] : knd \ A[])], \ [ktrans_<math>\neg E \ (KN : (ktrans \ (\neg \ A) \ (\neg \ (\neg \ A*))))) \ (KA)
         : (ktrans A A*)) (KC : (ktrans C C*))]) =>
    let \Gamma[' NJ1] = sound rel \Gamma[ NK1[]] [ KN] in
    let \Gamma \mbox{[' NJ2]} = sound rel \Gamma \mbox{[ NK2[]]} [ KA] in
   let \Gamma[' \ NJ1'] = triple_neg_red rel \Gamma[' \ NJ1] in\Gamma
    [' ¬E NJ1' NJ2]
rec nj_nk : Rel \Gamma[] \Gamma['] \rightarrow \Gamma[' nd A[]] \rightarrow \Gamma[ knd A[]] =
fn rel => fn prf =>
  case prf of
  | \Gamma[' I NJA NJB] =>
   let \Gamma[NKA] = nj_nk rel \Gamma['NJA] in
   let \Gamma[ NKB] = nj_nk rel \Gamma[' NJB] in\Gamma
   [ kI NKA NKB]
  | Γ[' El NJ] =>
   let \Gamma[ NK] = nj_nk rel \Gamma[' NJ] in\Gamma
   [ kEl NK]
  | Γ[' Er NJ] =>
   let \Gamma[ NK] = nj_nk rel \Gamma[' NJ] in\Gamma
   [ kEr NK]
  | Γ[' I \u. NJ] =>
   let \Gamma[, a: knd A[] NK] = nj_nk (cons rel) \Gamma[', a:nd _ NJ] in\Gamma
    [ kI \u. NK]
  | \Gamma[' E NJI NJA] =>
   let \Gamma[NKI] = nj_nk rel \Gamma['NJI] in
   let \Gamma[ NKA] = nj_nk rel \Gamma[' NJA] in\Gamma
   [ kE NKI NKA]
  | Γ[' I1 NJ] =>
   let \Gamma[ NK] = nj_nk rel \Gamma[' NJ] in\Gamma
   [ kIl NK]
  | Γ[' Ir NJ] =>
   let \Gamma[ NK] = nj_nk rel \Gamma[' NJ] in\Gamma
   [ kIr NK]
  | Γ[' E NJ (\ua. NJ1) (\ub. NJ2)] =>
   let \Gamma[ NK] = nj_nk rel \Gamma[' NJ] in
   let \Gamma[, a: knd A[] NK1] = nj_nk (cons rel) \Gamma[', a:nd _ NJ1] in
   let \Gamma[, a: knd B[] NK2] = nj_nk (cons rel) \Gamma[', a:nd _ NJ2] in\Gamma
   [ kE NK (\va.NK1) (\vb.NK2)]
  %{| Γ[' ¬I \p. \u. NJ] =>
   let \Gamma[, a: knd A[] NK] = nj_nk (cons rel) \Gamma[', a:nd _ NJ[..]] in\Gamma
   [' kI \q. \v. NK]}%
  | \Gamma[' \neg E NJ1 NJ2] \Rightarrow
   let \Gamma[ NK1] = nj_nk rel \Gamma[' NJ1] in
    let \Gamma[ NK2] = nj_nk rel \Gamma[' NJ2] in\Gamma
    [ ¬kE NK1 NK2]
rec equiv : Rel \Gamma[] \Gamma['] \rightarrow [ ktrans A A*] \rightarrow\Gamma[ knd A*[]] \rightarrow\Gamma[ knd A[]] =
fn rel => fn kolm => fn prf =>
  case (kolm, prf) of
  | ([ ktrans_I KA KB], Γ[ (u : knd (¬(¬(A* B*))))]) =>
   let \Gamma[ NKA] = equiv rel [ KA] \Gamma[ kEl (¬¬kE u)] in
```

```
let \Gamma[ NKB] = equiv rel [ KB] \Gamma[ kEr (¬¬kE u)] in\Gamma[ kI NKA NKB]
```