

Homework #3 Jae Yul Woo (7466887196)

1 (a) Formulation of an LP which maximizes revenue corresponding to deterministic demands and identify the appropriate dual prices to use for comparisons with bids for each leg.

<Formulation with Pyomo>

```
from pyutilib.services import register_executable, registered_executable
register_executable(name='glpk')
import numpy as np
from pyomo.environ import *
import pyomo.environ as pyo
from pyomo.opt import SolverFactory

model = ConcreteModel()
opt = SolverFactory('glpk')
X = ['x1', 'x2', 'x3', 'x4', 'x5']
model.x = Var(X, within=NonNegativeIntegers)

v = {1:280, 2:300, 3:190, 4:220, 5:140}
c = [[0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1],
      [1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0],
      [0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1],
      [1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0],
      [0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0]]
capa = {1:100, 2:100, 3:300, 4:100, 5:100, 6:100}; d_mean = {1:50, 2:30, 3:200, 4:100, 5:160}

# Objective
model.obj = Objective(expr= model.x['x1']*v[1]+model.x['x2']*v[2]+model.x['x3']*v[3]
+model.x['x4']*v[4]+model.x['x5']*v[5], sense=maximize)

# Capacity Constraint
c1 = np.transpose(c)[0]
model.c1 = Constraint(expr= model.x['x1']*c1[0]+model.x['x2']*c1[1]+model.x['x3']*c
+model.x['x4']*c1[3]+model.x['x5']*c1[4] <= capa[1])

c2 = np.transpose(c)[1]
model.c2 = Constraint(expr= model.x['x1']*c2[0]+model.x['x2']*c2[1]+model.x['x3']*c
+model.x['x4']*c2[3]+model.x['x5']*c2[4] <= capa[2])

c3 = np.transpose(c)[2]
model.c3 = Constraint(expr=
model.x['x1']*c3[0]+model.x['x2']*c3[1]+model.x['x3']*c+model.x['x4']*c3[3]+model.x['x5']*c3[4] <= capa[3])

c4 = np.transpose(c)[3]
model.c4 = Constraint(expr=
model.x['x1']*c4[0]+model.x['x2']*c4[1]+model.x['x3']*c+model.x['x4']*c4[3]+model.x['x5']*c4[4] <= capa[4])

c5 = np.transpose(c)[4]
model.c5 = Constraint(expr=
model.x['x1']*c5[0]+model.x['x2']*c5[1]+model.x['x3']*c+model.x['x4']*c5[3]+model.x['x5']*c5[4] <= capa[5])

c6 = np.transpose(c)[5]
model.c6 = Constraint(expr=
model.x['x1']*c6[0]+model.x['x2']*c6[1]+model.x['x3']*c+model.x['x4']*c6[3]+model.x['x5']*c6[4] <= capa[6])
# Remaining Demand Constraint (when D is average D)
upper = {'x1':50, 'x2':30, 'x3':200, 'x4':100, 'x5':160}
model.d1 = Constraint(expr = model.x['x1'] <= upper['x1'])
model.d2 = Constraint(expr = model.x['x2'] <= upper['x2'])
```

```

model.d3 = Constraint(expr = model.x['x3'] <= upper['x3'])
model.d4 = Constraint(expr = model.x['x4'] <= upper['x4'])
model.d5 = Constraint(expr = model.x['x5'] <= upper['x5'])
model.dual = pyo.Suffix(direction=pyo.Suffix.IMPORT)
# model.pprint()

results = opt.solve(model)
model.display()

```

Output

```

Variables:
  x : Size=5, Index=x_index
      Key : Lower : Value : Upper : Fixed : Stale : Domain
  x1 :   0 : 50.0 : None : False : False : NonNegativeIntegers
  x2 :   0 : 30.0 : None : False : False : NonNegativeIntegers
  x3 :   0 : 50.0 : None : False : False : NonNegativeIntegers
  x4 :   0 : 70.0 : None : False : False : NonNegativeIntegers
  x5 :   0 : 100.0 : None : False : False :
NonNegativeIntegers

Objectives:
  obj : Size=1, Index=None, Active=True
      Key : Active : Value
      None : True : 61900.0

Constraints:
  c1 : Size=1
      Key : Lower : Body : Upper
      None : None : 100.0 : 100.0
  c2 : Size=1
      Key : Lower : Body : Upper
      None : None : 100.0 : 100.0
  c3 : Size=1
      Key : Lower : Body : Upper
      None : None : 300.0 : 300.0
  c4 : Size=1
      Key : Lower : Body : Upper
      None : None : 100.0 : 100.0

```

```

c5 : Size=1
      Key : Lower : Body : Upper
      None : None : 100.0 : 100.0
c6 : Size=1
      Key : Lower : Body : Upper
      None : None : 100.0 : 100.0
d1 : Size=1
      Key : Lower : Body : Upper
      None : None : 50.0 : 50.0
d2 : Size=1
      Key : Lower : Body : Upper
      None : None : 30.0 : 30.0
d3 : Size=1
      Key : Lower : Body : Upper
      None : None : 50.0 : 200.0
d4 : Size=1
      Key : Lower : Body : Upper
      None : None : 70.0 : 100.0
d5 : Size=1
      Key : Lower : Body : Upper
      None : None : 100.0 : 160.0

```

I could get the primal solution for X (the number of demands to maximize the profit for each itinerary).
 Itinerary 1 : 50, Itinerary 2 : 30, Itinerary 3 : 50,
 Itinerary 4 : 70, Itinerary 5 : 100

<Formulation with AMPL>

```

var x1 integer;
var x2 integer;
var x3 integer;
var x4 integer;
var x5 integer;

maximize Profit:
280*x1+300*x2+190*x3+220*x4+140*x5;
subject to c1: x2+x4<=100;
subject to c2: x1+x3<=100;
subject to c3: x1+x2+x3+x4+x5<=300;
subject to c4: x2+x4<=100;
subject to c5: x5<=100;
subject to c6: x1+x3<=100;
subject to d1: x1<=50;
subject to d2: x2<=30;

```

```

subject to d3: x3<=200;
subject to d4: x4<=100;
subject to d5: x5<=160;

```

Output

```

ampl: reset;
ampl: model hw3.1.mod;
ampl: solve;
MINOS 5.51: ignoring integrality of 5 variables
MINOS 5.51: optimal solution found.
5 iterations, objective 61900

ampl: display x1,x2,x3,x4,x5;
x1 = 50
x2 = 30
x3 = 50
x4 = 70
x5 = 100

```

```
ampl: display c1,c2,c3,c4,c5,c6;  
c1 = 80  
c2 = 50  
c3 = 140  
c4 = 0  
c5 = 0  
c6 = 0
```

```

ampl: display d1,d2,d3,d4,d5;
d1 = 90
d2 = 80
d3 = 0
d4 = 0
d5 = 0

```

I derived the same primal solution with AMPL solver and the dual price for each leg.

Leg j	Link	Dual Price
1	C - A	80
2	D - A	50
3	A - B	140
4	B - E	0
5	B - F	0
6	B - G	0

1 (b) Suppose you are going to use the dual prices obtained in 1(a) to define a threshold for a passenger traveling from C-A-B-E. What threshold price would you use to accept/reject a bid.

The sum of dual prices for itinerary 2 (C-A-B-E) is 220. Hence, we could get the \$220 value from the itinerary 2. The threshold price for accepting/rejecting the bid for the travel C-A-B-E is **\$220**.

1 (c) Assume that you are deciding the pricing plan about 60 days before departure. Formulate the PNLP formulation presented in the paper by a linear program. Use the software to calculate the dual multipliers associated each leg, using the PNLP formulation.

I used PNL for the part (c) and (d). $\{x_i\}$ is the number of each itinerary we want to maximize our revenue, $\{d_i\}$ is the real demand observed after the formulation. The objective for this Stochastic Programming maximizing the revenue with respect to realized demand which is $\text{Min}\{x_i, d_i\}$. The first stage constraint is the capacity constraint for each leg. The second stage constraint is the $\text{Min}\{x_i, d_i\} = t_i$ which is equivalent to another LP:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Max } t_i \\ & \text{subject to } t_i \leq x_i; t_i \leq d_i \end{aligned}$$

First stage variables are $\{x_i\}$ and second stage variables are $\{t_i\}$ where $\{d_i\}$ is the stochastic RHS.

The Pyomo code is below.

[illegible]

```

model = ConcreteModel()

v = {1:280,2:300,3:190,4:220,5:140}
c = [[0,1,1,0,0,1],
      [1,0,1,1,0,0],
      [0,1,1,0,0,1],
      [1,0,1,1,0,0],
      [0,0,1,0,1,0]]
capa = {1:100,2:100,3:300,4:100,5:100,6:100}
d_mean = {1:50,2:30,3:200,4:100,5:160}

# Possible Demands
d1_rhs_table = [25,50,75]
d2_rhs_table = [15,30,45]
d3_rhs_table = [100,200,300]
d4_rhs_table = [50,100,150]
d5_rhs_table = [80,160,240]

model.constraint_stage = PySP_ConstraintStageAnnotation()
model.stoch_rhs = StochasticConstraintBoundsAnnotation()
num_scenarios = len(d1_rhs_table) * len(d2_rhs_table) * len(d3_rhs_table) * len(d4_rhs_table) *
len(d5_rhs_table)
scenario_data = dict(('Scenario'+str(i), (d1val, d2val, d3val, d4val, d5val))
                     for i, (d1val, d2val, d3val, d4val, d5val) in
                     enumerate (itertools.product(d1_rhs_table, d2_rhs_table, d3_rhs_table, d4_rhs_table,
d5_rhs_table),1))

# Declare Variables
model.x1 = Var(within=NonNegativeIntegers)
model.x2 = Var(within=NonNegativeIntegers)
model.x3 = Var(within=NonNegativeIntegers)
model.x4 = Var(within=NonNegativeIntegers)
model.x5 = Var(within=NonNegativeIntegers)

model.t1 = Var(within=NonNegativeIntegers)
model.t2 = Var(within=NonNegativeIntegers)
model.t3 = Var(within=NonNegativeIntegers)
model.t4 = Var(within=NonNegativeIntegers)
model.t5 = Var(within=NonNegativeIntegers)

model.d1_rhs = Param(mutable=True, initialize=0.0)
model.d2_rhs = Param(mutable=True, initialize=0.0)
model.d3_rhs = Param(mutable=True, initialize=0.0)
model.d4_rhs = Param(mutable=True, initialize=0.0)
model.d5_rhs = Param(mutable=True, initialize=0.0)

# Objective
model.FirstStageCost = Expression(initialize=0)
model.SecondStageCost = Expression(initialize=model.t1*v[1]+model.t2*v[2]+model.t3*v[3]
+model.t4*v[4]+model.t5*v[5])

# Capacity Constraints
c1 = np.transpose(c)[0]
model.c1 = Constraint(expr= model.x1*c1[0]+model.x2*c1[1]+model.x3*c1[2]
+model.x4*c1[3]+model.x5*c1[4] <= capa[1])
model.constraint_stage.declare(model.c1,1)
c2 = np.transpose(c)[1]
model.c2 = Constraint(expr= model.x1*c2[0]+model.x2*c2[1]+model.x3*c2[2]
+model.x4*c2[3]+model.x5*c2[4] <= capa[2])

```

```

model.constraint_stage.declare(model.c2,1)
c3 =np.transpose(c)[2]
model.c3 = Constraint(expr= model.x1*c3[0]+model.x2*c3[1]+model.x3*c3[2]
                        +model.x4*c3[3]+model.x5*c3[4] <= capa[3])
model.constraint_stage.declare(model.c3,1)
c4 =np.transpose(c)[3]
model.c4 = Constraint(expr= model.x1*c4[0]+model.x2*c4[1]+model.x3*c4[2]
                        +model.x4*c4[3]+model.x5*c4[4] <= capa[4])
model.constraint_stage.declare(model.c4,1)
c5 =np.transpose(c)[4]
model.c5 = Constraint(expr= model.x1*c5[0]+model.x2*c5[1]+model.x3*c5[2]
                        +model.x4*c5[3]+model.x5*c5[4] <= capa[5])
model.constraint_stage.declare(model.c5,1)
c6 =np.transpose(c)[5]
model.c6 = Constraint(expr= model.x1*c6[0]+model.x2*c6[1]+model.x3*c6[2]
                        +model.x4*c6[3]+model.x5*c6[4] <= capa[6])
model.constraint_stage.declare(model.c6,1)

# Second Stage Min X,D Constraint (when D is stochastic)
model.d11 = Constraint(expr=model.t1 <= model.d1_rhs)
model.constraint_stage.declare(model.d11,2)
model.stoch_rhs.declare(model.d11)

model.d12 = Constraint(expr=model.t1 - model.x1 <= 0)
model.constraint_stage.declare(model.d12,2)

model.d21 = Constraint(expr=model.t2 <= model.d2_rhs)
model.constraint_stage.declare(model.d21,2)
model.stoch_rhs.declare(model.d21)

model.d22 = Constraint(expr=model.t2 - model.x2 <= 0)
model.constraint_stage.declare(model.d22,2)

model.d31 = Constraint(expr=model.t3 <= model.d3_rhs)
model.constraint_stage.declare(model.d31,2)
model.stoch_rhs.declare(model.d31)

model.d32 = Constraint(expr=model.t3 - model.x3 <= 0)
model.constraint_stage.declare(model.d32,2)

model.d41 = Constraint(expr=model.t4 <= model.d4_rhs)
model.constraint_stage.declare(model.d41,2)
model.stoch_rhs.declare(model.d41)

model.d42 = Constraint(expr=model.t4 - model.x4 <= 0)
model.constraint_stage.declare(model.d42,2)

model.d51 = Constraint(expr=model.t5 <= model.d5_rhs)
model.constraint_stage.declare(model.d51,2)
model.stoch_rhs.declare(model.d51)

model.d52 = Constraint(expr=model.t5 - model.x5 <= 0)
model.constraint_stage.declare(model.d52,2)

# Final Objective
model.obj = Objective(expr=model.FirstStageCost+(model.SecondStageCost)*(-1))

def pysp_scenario_tree_model_callback():
    from pyomo.pysp.scenariotree.tree_structure_model import \

```

```

CreateConcreteTwoStageScenarioTreeModel

st_model = CreateConcreteTwoStageScenarioTreeModel(num_scenarios)

first_stage = st_model.Stages.first()
second_stage = st_model.Stages.last()

# First Stage
st_model.StageCost[first_stage] = 'FirstStageCost'
st_model.StageVariables[first_stage].add('x1')
st_model.StageVariables[first_stage].add('x2')
st_model.StageVariables[first_stage].add('x3')
st_model.StageVariables[first_stage].add('x4')
st_model.StageVariables[first_stage].add('x5')
# Second Stage
st_model.StageCost[second_stage] = 'SecondStageCost'
st_model.StageVariables[second_stage].add('t1')
st_model.StageVariables[second_stage].add('t2')
st_model.StageVariables[second_stage].add('t3')
st_model.StageVariables[second_stage].add('t4')
st_model.StageVariables[second_stage].add('t5')
return st_model

def pyp_instance_creation_callback(scenario_name, node_names):

    #
    # Clone a new instance and update the stochastic
    # parameters from the sampled scenario
    #

    instance = model.clone()

    d1_rhs_val, d2_rhs_val, d3_rhs_val, d4_rhs_val, d5_rhs_val = scenario_data[scenario_name]
    instance.d1_rhs.value = d1_rhs_val
    instance.d2_rhs.value = d2_rhs_val
    instance.d3_rhs.value = d3_rhs_val
    instance.d4_rhs.value = d4_rhs_val
    instance.d5_rhs.value = d5_rhs_val

    return instance

# python -m pyomo.pyp.convert.smps -m itinerary_network.py --basename Itinerary --output-directory
# sdinput/Itinerary --symbolic-solver-labels

```

output

```

mkdir: ./sdoutput: File exists
mkdir: ./sdoutput/itinerary: File exists
Reading problems from ./sdinput/itinerary/itinerary.cor
the filename is: ./sdinput/itinerary/itinerary.cor
Specified objective sense: MINIMIZE
Selected objective name: obj
Selected RHS name: RHS
Selected bound name: BOUND
finished loading core file via external Solver.
25.000000
30.000000
200.000000
100.000000
160.000000
Vector x_k from the mean problem :: 600.99999999740123257652 25.00000000014840040308 29.9999999991164401081
74.99999999948012430195 69.99999999979175413500 99.99999999936866856842 25.00000000014840040308

```

```
num_rv = 5;
num_cipher = 1;
```

```
++++++Warning: Output names have been modified to conform to LP format.
>*****Max_pi*****: 1130.000000
```

[illegible]

•

Total running time: 2860.830000 ms

First Stage Solutions:

No.	Row name	Activity	Lower bound	Upper bound	Dual	Dual STDEV
0	obj	-5.557745e+04				
1	c_u_c1	1.000000e+02		1.000000e+02	0.000000e+00	0.000000e+00
2	c_u_c2	1.000000e+02		1.000000e+02	0.000000e+00	0.000000e+00
3	c_u_c3	3.000000e+02		3.000000e+02	-5.292233e+01	1.805748e+00
4	c_u_c4	1.000000e+02		1.000000e+02	-9.739842e+01	3.506494e+00
5	c_u_c5	1.000000e+02		1.000000e+02	-3.798426e+01	8.747996e-01
6	c_u_c6	1.000000e+02		1.000000e+02	-1.366615e+02	1.883460e+00
No.	Column name	Activity	Lower bound	Upper bound	Reduced Cost	RC STDEV
1	x1	2.500000e+01	0.000000e+00		0.000000e+00	0.000000e+00
2	x2	3.000000e+01	0.000000e+00		0.000000e+00	0.000000e+00
3	x3	7.500000e+01	0.000000e+00		0.000000e+00	0.000000e+00
4	x4	7.000000e+01	0.000000e+00		0.000000e+00	0.000000e+00
5	x5	1.000000e+02	0.000000e+00		0.000000e+00	0.000000e+00

I could derived the primal solution with SD solver.

Itinerary	Primal Solution
1	25
2	30
3	75
4	70
5	100

I could also derived the dual solution for each leg with SD solver.

Leg j	Link	Dual Price
1	C - A	0
2	D - A	0
3	A - B	52.92
4	B - E	97.40
5	B - F	37.98
6	B - G	136.66

1 (d) Repeat the exercise of 1 (b) by using prices from 1 (c).

The sum of dual prices for itinerary 2 (C-A-B-E) is 150.32. Hence, we could get the \$152.32 value from the itinerary 2. The threshold price for accepting/rejecting the bid for the travel C-A-B-E is **\$152.32**