DLP, PNLP Network Stochastic Programming

1 (a) Formulation of an LP which maximizes revenue corresponding to deterministic demands and identify the appropriate dual prices to use for comparisons with bids for each leg.

<Formulation with Pyomo>

```
from pyutilib.services import register executable, registered executable
register executable(name='glpk')
import numpy as np
from pyomo.environ import *
import pyomo.environ as pyo
from pyomo.opt import SolverFactory
model = ConcreteModel()
opt = SolverFactory('glpk')
X = ['x1', 'x2', 'x3', 'x4', 'x5']
model.x = Var(X,within=NonNegativeIntegers)
v = \{1:280, 2:300, 3:190, 4:220, 5:140\}
c = [[0,1,1,0,0,1],
     [1,0,1,1,0,0],
     [0,1,1,0,0,1],
     [1,0,1,1,0,0],
     [0,0,1,0,1,0]
capa = {1:100,2:100,3:300,4:100,5:100,6:100}; d_mean = {1:50,2:30,3:200,4:100,5:160}
# Objective
model.obj = Objective(expr= model.x['x1']*v[1]+model.x['x2']*v[2]+model.x['x3']*v[3
+model.x['x4']*v[4]+model.x['x5']*v[5],sense=maximize)
# Capacity Constraint
c1 =np.transpose(c)[0]
model.c1 = Constraint(expr= model.x['x1']*c1[0]+model.x['x2']*c1[1]+model.x['x3']*c
+model.x['x4']*c1[3]+model.x['x5']*c1[4] <= capa[1])
c2 =np.transpose(c)[1]
model.c2 = Constraint(expr= model.x['x1']*c2[0]+model.x['x2']*c2[1]+model.x['x3']*c
+model.x['x4']*c2[3]+model.x['x5']*c2[4] <= capa[2])
c3 =np.transpose(c)[2]
model.c3 = Constraint(expr=
model.x['x1']*c3[0]+model.x['x2']*c3[1]+model.x['x3']*c+model.x['x4']*c3[3]+model.x['x5']*c3[4] <= capa[3])
c4 =np.transpose(c)[3]
model.c4 = Constraint(expr=
model.x['x1']*c4[0]+model.x['x2']*c4[1]+model.x['x3']*c+model.x['x4']*c4[3]+model.x['x5']*c4[4] <= capa[4])
c5 =np.transpose(c)[4]
model.c5 = Constraint(expr=
model.x['x1']*c5[0]+model.x['x2']*c5[1]+model.x['x3']*c+model.x['x4']*c5[3]+model.x['x5']*c5[4] <= capa[5])
c6 =np.transpose(c)[5]
model.c6 = Constraint(expr=
model.x['x1']*c6[0]+model.x['x2']*c6[1]+model.x['x3']*c+model.x['x4']*c6[3]+model.x['x5']*c6[4] <= capa[6]
# Remaining Demand Constraint (when D is average D)
upper = {'x1':50, 'x2':30, 'x3':200, 'x4':100, 'x5':160}
model.d1 = Constraint(expr = model.x['x1'] <= upper['x1'])</pre>
model.d2 = Constraint(expr = model.x['x2'] <= upper['x2'])</pre>
```

```
model.d3 = Constraint(expr = model.x['x3'] <= upper['x3'])
model.d4 = Constraint(expr = model.x['x4'] <= upper['x4'])
model.d5 = Constraint(expr = model.x['x5'] <= upper['x5'])
model.dual = pyo.Suffix(direction=pyo.Suffix.IMPORT)
# model.pprint()

results = opt.solve(model)
model.display()</pre>
```

Output

```
Variables:
 x:Size=5, Index=x index
   Key: Lower: Value: Upper: Fixed: Stale: Domain
   x1: 0: 50.0: None: False: NonNegativeIntegers
   x2: 0: 30.0: None: False: NonNegativeIntegers
   x3: 0: 50.0: None: False: False: NonNegativeIntegers
    x4: 0: 70.0: None: False: NonNegativeIntegers
    x5: 0:100.0: None: False: False:
NonNegativeIntegers
 Objectives:
 obj: Size=1, Index=None, Active=True
   Key: Active: Value
   None: True: 61900.0
 Constraints:
 c1: Size=1
   Key:Lower:Body:Upper
   None: None: 100.0: 100.0
 c2:Size=1
   Key:Lower:Body:Upper
   None: None: 100.0: 100.0
 c3: Size=1
   Key:Lower:Body:Upper
   None: None: 300.0: 300.0
 c4: Size=1
   Key:Lower:Body:Upper
   None: None: 100.0: 100.0
```

<Formulation with AMPL>

```
var x1 integer;
var x2 integer;
var x3 integer;
var x4 integer;
var x5 integer;

maximize Profit:
280*x1+300*x2+190*x3+220*x4+140*x5;
subject to c1: x2+x4<=100;
subject to c2: x1+x3<=100;
subject to c3: x1+x2+x3+x4+x5<=300;
subject to c4: x2+x4<=100;
subject to c5: x5<=100;
subject to c6: x1+x3<=100;
subject to d1: x1<=50;
subject to d2: x2<=30;</pre>
```

```
c5: Size=1
 Key:Lower:Body:Upper
 None: None: 100.0: 100.0
c6: Size=1
 Key:Lower:Body:Upper
 None: None: 100.0: 100.0
d1:Size=1
 Key: Lower: Body: Upper
 None: None: 50.0: 50.0
d2: Size=1
 Key:Lower:Body:Upper
 None: None: 30.0: 30.0
d3: Size=1
 Key: Lower: Body: Upper
 None: None: 50.0: 200.0
d4: Size=1
 Key: Lower: Body: Upper
 None: None: 70.0: 100.0
d5: Size=1
 Key: Lower: Body: Upper
 None: None: 100.0: 160.0
```

I could get the primal solution for X (the numer of demands to maximize the profit for each itinerary). Itinerary 1:50, Itinerary 2:30, Itinerary 3:50,

Itinerary 4:70, Itinerary 5:100

```
subject to d3: x3<=200;
subject to d4: x4<=100;
subject to d5: x5<=160;</pre>
```

Output

```
ampl: reset;
ampl: model hw3.1.mod;
ampl: solve;
MINOS 5.51: ignoring integrality of 5 variables
MINOS 5.51: optimal solution found.
5 iterations, objective 61900

ampl: display x1,x2,x3,x4,x5;
x1 = 50
x2 = 30
x3 = 50
x4 = 70
x5 = 100
```

```
ampl: display c1,c2,c3,c4,c5,c6;
c1 = 80
c2 = 50
c3 = 140
c4 = 0
c5 = 0
```

```
ampl: display d1,d2,d3,d4,d5;
d1 = 90
d2 = 80
d3 = 0
d4 = 0
d5 = 0
```

I derived the same primal solution with AMPL solver and the dual price for each leg.

Legj	Link	Dual Price
1	C-A	80
2	D - A	50
3	A - B	140
4	B - E	0
5	B-F	0
6	B - G	0

1 (b) Suppose you are going to use the dual prices obtained in 1(a) to define a threshold for a passenger traveling from C-A-B-E. What threshold price would you use to accept/reject a bid.

The sum of dual prices for itinerary 2 (C-A-B-E) is 220. Hence, we could get the \$220 value from the itinerary 2. The threshold price for accepting/rejecting the bid for the travel C-A-B-E is **\$220**.

1 (c) Assume that you are deciding the pricing plan about 60 days before departure. Formulate the PNLP formulation presented in the paper by a linear program. Use the software to calculate the dual multipliers associated each leg, using the PNLP formulation.

I used PNLP for the part (c) and (d). $\{x_i\}$ is the number of each itinerary we want to maximize our revenue, $\{d_i\}$ is the real demand observed after the formulation. The objective for this Stochastic Programming maximizing the revenue with respect to realized demand which is $\min\{x_i, d_i\}$. The first stage constraint is the capacity constraint for each leg. The second stage constraint is the $\min\{x_i, d_i\} = t_i$ which is equivalent to another LP:

```
Max t_i
subject to t_i <= x_i; t_i <= d_i
```

First stage variables are $\{x_i\}$ and second stage variables are $\{t_i\}$ where $\{d_i\}$ is the stochastic RHS.

The Pyomo code is below.

```
model = ConcreteModel()
v = \{1:280, 2:300, 3:190, 4:220, 5:140\}
c = [[0,1,1,0,0,1],
     [1,0,1,1,0,0],
     [0,1,1,0,0,1],
     [1,0,1,1,0,0],
     [0,0,1,0,1,0]]
capa = {1:100,2:100,3:300,4:100,5:100,6:100}
d_mean = {1:50,2:30,3:200,4:100,5:160}
# Possible Demands
d1_rhs_table = [25,50,75]
d2 rhs table = [15,30,45]
d3_rhs_table = [100,200,300]
d4_rhs_table = [50,100,150]
d5_rhs_table = [80,160,240]
model.constraint_stage = PySP_ConstraintStageAnnotation()
model.stoch_rhs = StochasticConstraintBoundsAnnotation()
num_scenarios = len(d1_rhs_table) * len(d2_rhs_table) * len(d3_rhs_table) * len(d4_rhs_table) *
len(d5 rhs table)
scenario_data = dict(('Scenario'+str(i), (d1val, d2val, d3val, d4val, d5val))
                     for i, (d1val, d22val, d3val, d4val, d5val) in
                     enumerate (itertools.product(d1 rhs table, d2 rhs table, d3 rhs table, d4 rhs table,
d5_rhs_table),1))
# Declare Variables
model.x1 = Var(within=NonNegativeIntegers)
model.x2 = Var(within=NonNegativeIntegers)
model.x3 = Var(within=NonNegativeIntegers)
model.x4 = Var(within=NonNegativeIntegers)
model.x5 = Var(within=NonNegativeIntegers)
model.t1 = Var(within=NonNegativeIntegers)
model.t2 = Var(within=NonNegativeIntegers)
model.t3 = Var(within=NonNegativeIntegers)
model.t4 = Var(within=NonNegativeIntegers)
model.t5 = Var(within=NonNegativeIntegers)
model.d1_rhs = Param(mutable=True, initialize=0.0)
model.d2_rhs = Param(mutable=True, initialize=0.0)
model.d3_rhs = Param(mutable=True, initialize=0.0)
model.d4 rhs = Param(mutable=True, initialize=0.0)
model.d5 rhs = Param(mutable=True, initialize=0.0)
# Objective
model.FirstStageCost = Expression(initialize=0)
model.SecondStageCost = Expression(initialize=model.t1*v[1]+model.t2*v[2]+model.t3*v[3]
                      +model.t4*v[4]+model.t5*v[5])
# Capacity Constraints
c1 =np.transpose(c)[0]
model.c1 = Constraint(expr= model.x1*c1[0]+model.x2*c1[1]+model.x3*c1[2]
                      +model.x4*c1[3]+model.x5*c1[4] <= capa[1])
model.constraint_stage.declare(model.c1,1)
c2 =np.transpose(c)[1]
model.c2 = Constraint(expr= model.x1*c2[0]+model.x2*c2[1]+model.x3*c2[2]
                      +model.x4*c2[3]+model.x5*c2[4] <= capa[2])
```

```
model.constraint_stage.declare(model.c2,1)
c3 =np.transpose(c)[2]
model.c3 = Constraint(expr= model.x1*c3[0]+model.x2*c3[1]+model.x3*c3[2]
                      +model.x4*c3[3]+model.x5*c3[4] <= capa[3])
model.constraint_stage.declare(model.c3,1)
c4 =np.transpose(c)[3]
model.c4 = Constraint(expr= model.x1*c4[0]+model.x2*c4[1]+model.x3*c4[2]
                      +model.x4*c4[3]+model.x5*c4[4] <= capa[4])
model.constraint_stage.declare(model.c4,1)
c5 =np.transpose(c)[4]
model.c5 = Constraint(expr= model.x1*c5[0]+model.x2*c5[1]+model.x3*c5[2]
                      +model.x4*c5[3]+model.x5*c5[4] <= capa[5])
model.constraint_stage.declare(model.c5,1)
c6 =np.transpose(c)[5]
model.c6 = Constraint(expr= model.x1*c6[0]+model.x2*c6[1]+model.x3*c6[2]
                      +model.x4*c6[3]+model.x5*c6[4] <= capa[6])
model.constraint_stage.declare(model.c6,1)
# Second Stage Min X,D Constraint (when D is stochastic)
model.d11 = Constraint(expr=model.t1 <= model.d1_rhs)</pre>
model.constraint_stage.declare(model.d11,2)
model.stoch rhs.declare(model.d11)
model.d12 = Constraint(expr=model.t1 - model.x1 <= 0)</pre>
model.constraint stage.declare(model.d12,2)
model.d21 = Constraint(expr=model.t2 <= model.d2_rhs)</pre>
model.constraint_stage.declare(model.d21,2)
model.stoch rhs.declare(model.d21)
model.d22 = Constraint(expr=model.t2 - model.x2 <= 0)</pre>
model.constraint_stage.declare(model.d22,2)
model.d31 = Constraint(expr=model.t3 <= model.d3_rhs)</pre>
model.constraint_stage.declare(model.d31,2)
model.stoch_rhs.declare(model.d31)
model.d32 = Constraint(expr=model.t3 - model.x3 <= 0)</pre>
model.constraint_stage.declare(model.d32,2)
model.d41 = Constraint(expr=model.t4 <= model.d4_rhs)</pre>
model.constraint_stage.declare(model.d41,2)
model.stoch_rhs.declare(model.d41)
model.d42 = Constraint(expr=model.t4 - model.x4 <= 0)</pre>
model.constraint_stage.declare(model.d42,2)
model.d51 = Constraint(expr=model.t5 <= model.d5 rhs)</pre>
model.constraint stage.declare(model.d51,2)
model.stoch_rhs.declare(model.d51)
model.d52 = Constraint(expr=model.t5 - model.x5 <= 0)</pre>
model.constraint_stage.declare(model.d52,2)
# Final Objective
model.obj = Objective(expr=model.FirstStageCost+(model.SecondStageCost)*(-1))
def pysp_scenario_tree_model_callback():
    from pyomo.pysp.scenariotree.tree_structure_model import \
```

```
{\tt CreateConcreteTwoStageScenarioTreeModel}
    st model = CreateConcreteTwoStageScenarioTreeModel(num scenarios)
    first_stage = st_model.Stages.first()
    second stage = st model.Stages.last()
    # First Stage
    st_model.StageCost[first_stage] = 'FirstStageCost'
    st_model.StageVariables[first_stage].add('x1')
    st_model.StageVariables[first_stage].add('x2')
    st_model.StageVariables[first_stage].add('x3')
    st_model.StageVariables[first_stage].add('x4')
    st_model.StageVariables[first_stage].add('x5')
    # Second Stage
    st_model.StageCost[second_stage] = 'SecondStageCost'
    st_model.StageVariables[second_stage].add('t1')
    st_model.StageVariables[second_stage].add('t2')
    st_model.StageVariables[second_stage].add('t3')
    st_model.StageVariables[second_stage].add('t4')
    st_model.StageVariables[second_stage].add('t5')
    return st model
def pysp_instance_creation_callback(scenario_name, node_names):
    # Clone a new instance and update the stochastic
    # parameters from the sampled scenario
    instance = model.clone()
    d1_rhs_val, d2_rhs_val, d3_rhs_val, d4_rhs_val, d5_rhs_val = scenario_data[scenario_name]
    instance.d1_rhs.value = d1_rhs_val
    instance.d2_rhs.value = d2_rhs_val
    instance.d3_rhs.value = d3_rhs_val
    instance.d4 rhs.value = d4 rhs val
    instance.d5_rhs.value = d5_rhs_val
    return instance
# python -m pyomo.pysp.convert.smps -m itinerary_network.py --basename Itinerary \--output-directory
sdinput/Itinerary --symbolic-solver-labels
```

output

```
mkdir: ./sdoutput: File exists
mkdir: ./sdoutput/itinerary: File exists
Reading problems from ./sdinput/itinerary/itinerary.cor
the filename is : ./sdinput/itinerary/itinerary.cor
Specified objective sense: MINIMIZE
Selected objective name: obj
Selected RHS name: RHS
Selected bound name: BOUND
finished loading core file via external Solver.
25.000000
30.000000
200.000000
100.000000
160.000000
Vector x k from the mean problem :: 600.99999999740123257652 25.00000000014840040308 29.9999999991164401081
74.9999999948012430195 69.9999999979175413500 99.9999999936866856842 25.00000000014840040308
```

```
29.999999991164401081 74.9999999948012430195 69.9999999979175413500 99.99999999936866856842
Objective from the mean problem: -59650.000000
Tried aggregator 1 time.
LP Presolve eliminated 17 rows and 11 columns.
All rows and columns eliminated.
Presolve time = 0.00 sec. (0.01 ticks)
lower bound is -55000.000000
Eta0 is -59650.000000
num_rv = 5;
num_cipher = 1;
------ Replication No.0 ------
+++++++++Warning: Output names have been modified to conform to LP format.
>***********Max pi**************: 1130.000000
------ Replication No.1 ------
+++++++** Distribution of the state of the s
------ Replication No.2 ------
<<<<<<<<<<<
Begin evaluation of average solution
Final Estimate
obs:499 mean:-55577.454921
0.95 C.I.: [-55854.883036, -55300.026806]
Ending SD...
Total running time: 2860.830000 ms
                                                                                                <u>iti</u>nerary
                            Problem:
                           First Stage Rows:
                           First Stage Columns:
                           First Stage Non-zeros:
                           Mean solution is recommended for this instance.
                           Number of replications:
                           Status:
                                                                                               MEAN SOLUTION
                                                                                               -55577.455, [-55854.883036,-55300.026806], half-width:277.428,
                           Total Objective Function Upper Bound:
                           stdev:141.545
                           Total Objective Function Lower Bound:
                                                                                               -55858.584, [-56141.896414,-55575.271904], half-width: 283.312,
                           stdev:66.334
                           First Stage Solutions:
                                          Row name
                                                             Activity
                                                                                     Lower bound
                                                                                                             Upper bound
                                                                                                                                     Dual
                                                                                                                                                             Dual STDEV
                                No.
                                    0 obi
                                                              -5.557745e+04
                                                               1.000000e+02
                                                                                                               1.000000e+02 0.000000e+00 0.000000e+00
                                    1 c_u_c1_
                                                               1.000000e+02
                                                                                                               1.000000e+02
                                                                                                                                      0.000000e+00
                                    2 c_u_c2_
                                                                                                                                                               0.000000e+00
                                                               3.000000e+02
                                                                                                               3.000000e+02 -5.292233e+01
                                                                                                                                                               1.805748e+00
                                    3 c_u_c3_
                                    4 c_u_c4_
                                                               1.000000e+02
                                                                                                               1.000000e+02 -9.739842e+01
                                                                                                                                                               3.506494e+00
                                       c_u_c5_
                                                               1.000000e+02
                                                                                                               1.000000e+02 -3.798426e+01
                                                                                                                                                               8.747996e-01
                                                               1.000000e+02
                                                                                                               1.000000e+02 -1.366615e+02
                                                                                                                                                               1.883460e+00
                                    6 c_u_c6_
                                No. Column name Activity
                                                                                     Lower bound
                                                                                                             Upper bound Reduced Cost RC STDEV
                                   1 x1
2 x2
3 x3
                                                               2.500000e+01
                                                                                                                                     0.000000e+00
                                                                                      0.000000e+00
                                                                                                                                                             0.000000e+00
                                                               3.000000e+01
                                                                                       0.000000e+00
                                                                                                                                     0.000000e+00
                                                                                                                                                             0.000000e+00
                                                               7.500000e+01
                                                                                       0.000000e+00
                                                                                                                                     0.000000e+00
                                                                                                                                                             0.000000e+00
                                   4 x4
                                                               7.000000e+01
                                                                                       0.000000e+00
                                                                                                                                     0.000000e+00
                                                                                                                                                             0.000000e+00
                                   5 x5
                                                               1.000000e+02
                                                                                       0.000000e+00
                                                                                                                                     0.000000e+00
                                                                                                                                                             0.000000e+00
```

I could derived the primal solution with SD solver.

Itinerary	Primal Solution
1	25
2	30
3	75
4	70
5	100

I could also derived the dual solution for each leg with SD solver.

Legj	Link	Dual Price
1	C - A	0
2	D - A	0
3	A - B	52.92
4	B - E	97.40
5	B-F	37.98
6	B-G	136.66

1 (d) Repeat the exercise of 1 (b) by using prices from 1 (c).

The sum of dual prices for itinerary 2 (C-A-B-E) is 150.32. Hence, we could get the \$152.32 value from the itinerary 2. The threshold price for accepting/rejecting the bid for the travel C-A-B-E is **\$152.32**