

Yiyun Zhang(Benny)
yz523
CS383-HW1

1.

(a).

$$\mu_1 = \frac{-2-5-3+0-8-2+1+5-1+6}{10} = -0.9$$

$$\mu_2 = \frac{1-4+1+3+11+5+0-1-3+1}{10} = 1.4$$

$$\sigma_1 = \sqrt{\frac{1}{10-1} \times |-2 - \mu_1|^2 + |-5 - \mu_1|^2 + \dots + |6 - \mu_1|^2} = \sqrt{\frac{1609}{90}} = 4.2282$$

$$\sigma_2 = \sqrt{\frac{1}{10-1} \times |1 - \mu_2|^2 + |-4 - \mu_2|^2 + \dots + |1 - \mu_2|^2} = \sqrt{\frac{274}{15}} = 4.2740$$

Standardized data is:

$X' \times X \div (N - 1)$

$$\begin{bmatrix} -0.2602 & -0.9697 & -0.4967 & 0.2129 & -1.6792 & -0.2602 & 0.4494 & 1.3954 & -0.0237 & 1.6319 \\ -0.0936 & -1.2635 & -0.0936 & 0.3744 & 2.2462 & 0.8423 & -0.3276 & -0.5615 & -1.0295 & -0.0936 \end{bmatrix}$$

\times

$$\begin{bmatrix} -0.2602 & -0.0936 \\ -0.9697 & -1.2635 \\ -0.4967 & -0.0936 \\ 0.2129 & 0.3744 \\ -1.6792 & 2.2462 \\ -0.2602 & 0.8423 \\ 0.4494 & -0.3276 \\ 1.3954 & -0.5615 \\ -0.0237 & -1.0295 \\ 1.6319 & -0.0936 \end{bmatrix} \div 9 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -0.4083 \\ -0.4083 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Eigenvalue :

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -0.4083 \\ -0.4083 & 1 \end{bmatrix} - \lambda I = 0$$

$$(1 - \lambda)^2 + (0.4083)^2 = 0$$

$$\lambda = \begin{bmatrix} 0.5917 \\ 1.4083 \end{bmatrix}$$

Eigenvector:

$$\lambda = 0.5917$$

$$I_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -0.7071 \\ -0.7071 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\lambda = 1.4083$$

$$I_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -0.7071 \\ 0.7071 \end{bmatrix}$$

(b).

$$\begin{bmatrix} -0.2602 & -0.0936 \\ -0.9697 & -1.2635 \\ -0.4967 & -0.0936 \\ 0.2129 & 0.3744 \\ -1.6792 & 2.2462 \\ -0.2602 & 0.8423 \\ 0.4494 & -0.3276 \\ 1.3954 & -0.5615 \\ -0.0237 & -1.0295 \\ 1.6319 & -0.0936 \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} -0.7071 \\ 0.7071 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.1178 \\ -0.2077 \\ 0.2850 \\ 0.1142 \\ 2.7756 \\ 0.7796 \\ -0.5494 \\ -1.3838 \\ -0.7112 \\ -1.2201 \end{bmatrix}$$

2. (a)

$$\text{Remainder}_1 = \frac{2}{10}H\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right) + \frac{4}{10}H\left(\frac{1}{1}, \frac{0}{1}\right) + \frac{4}{10}H\left(\frac{0}{1}, \frac{1}{1}\right) = 0.2$$

$$H\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right) = 1$$

$$H(1, 0) = 1 \log 1 - 0 = 0$$

$$H(0, 1) = 0 - 1 \log 1 = 0$$

$$\text{IG}(1) = 1 - 0.2 = 0.8$$

$$\text{Remainder}_2 = \frac{3}{10}H\left(\frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{3}\right) + \frac{8}{10}H\left(\frac{1}{1}, \frac{0}{1}\right) = 0.2755$$

$$H\left(\frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{3}\right) = \frac{2}{3} \log \frac{2}{3} - \frac{1}{3} \log \frac{1}{3} = 0.9183$$

$$H(1, 0) = 1 \log 1 - 0 = 0$$

$$\text{IG}(2) = 1 - 0.2755 = 0.7245$$

(b)

$\text{IG}(1) > \text{IG}(2)$, so class 1 is more discriminating.

(c)

$$C_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -0.2602 & -0.0936 \\ -0.9697 & -1.2635 \\ -0.4967 & -0.0936 \\ 0.2129 & 0.3744 \\ -1.6792 & 2.2462 \end{bmatrix} \quad C_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -0.2602 & 0.8423 \\ 0.4494 & -0.3276 \\ 1.3954 & -0.5615 \\ -0.0237 & -1.0295 \\ 1.6319 & -0.0936 \end{bmatrix}$$

Mean for C_1 is $[-0.6386 \ 0.2340]$ Mean for C_2 is $[0.6386 \ -0.2340]$

$$\sigma_i^2 = (N - 1)cov(C_i)$$

$$\sigma_1^2 = 4cov(C_1) = \begin{bmatrix} 2.0808 & -1.6490 \\ -1.6490 & 6.5255 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\sigma_2^2 = 4cov(C_2) = \begin{bmatrix} 2.8415 & -0.5312 \\ -0.5312 & 1.9270 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 4.9223 & -2.1803 \\ -2.1803 & 8.4526 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2)^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.2294 & 0.0592 \\ 0.0592 & 0.1336 \end{bmatrix}$$

Eigenvalue of this product is $\begin{bmatrix} 0.3327 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$

Eigenvector of this product is $\begin{bmatrix} 0.9998 \\ 0.0493 \end{bmatrix}$

(d)

$$\begin{bmatrix} -0.2602 & -0.0936 \\ -0.9697 & -1.2635 \\ -0.4967 & -0.0936 \\ 0.2129 & 0.3744 \\ -1.6792 & 2.2462 \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} 0.9998 \\ 0.0493 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -0.2645 \\ -1.0308 \\ -0.5007 \\ 0.2311 \\ -1.5664 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} -0.2602 & 0.8423 \\ 0.4494 & -0.3276 \\ 1.3954 & -0.5615 \\ -0.0237 & -1.0295 \\ 1.6319 & -0.0936 \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} 0.9998 \\ 0.0493 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -0.2184 \\ 0.4327 \\ 1.3660 \\ -0.0744 \\ 1.6253 \end{bmatrix}$$

(e)

Yes. Because $IG(\text{previous})$ seems larger than $IG(1)$, which was better than $IG(2)$.