CS 615 Deep Learning

Final Project Guidelines

As hopefully you are aware, there are four components to your final project:

- 1. Video presentation
- 2. Associated slide deck.
- 3. Paper
- 4. Source code (data available on demand)

To help clarify my expectations for each, here are some guidelines.

I: Slidedeck/Presentation

You should create a video presentation, of a maximum length of 10 minutes (I typically watch these in tandem with flipping through your slides).

Your slide deck should include at least (basically following the sections of your paper):

- 1. What is the problem you are tackling?
- 2. What related work is out there (and therefore which prior work(s) are you basing your approach off of)
- 3. What is the basic approach?
- 4. Where are you getting your data from and what does it look like?
- 5. What are your results and observations?
- 6. What could be future extensions of your work?

II: Paper

Your paper should be "conference style". Different conferences have different styles but it should be a Latex typeset document (saved as a PDF) with at least the following sections:

- 1. Abstract
- 2. Background
- 3. Related Work
- 4. Then various sections explaining what you did including all the applicable mathematics that would allow someone to recreate your work
- 5. Evaluation
 - a. Including information about your data
 - b. Applicable figures (graphs, architecture, etc..)
 - c. Applicable tables/statistics
- 6. Conclusions
- 7. Future Work/Extensions
- 8. Bibliography

III: Source Code and Data

Although you are not required to submit your data (since it may be large), you must submit your source-code to verify authenticity. As a rule of thumb, your results (as shown in the evaluation section of your paper) should be reproducible. If I have any questions/issues on what I see (in the paper and/or code) then I may ask you to demonstrate how to run your code (with its related data).

IV: Submission

In all you should be submitting:

- Presentation Slides
- 2. (Online section only) Voice Annotated Presentation
- 3. PDF paper
- 4. README file for code
 - a. How to link, compile, etc..
 - b. Additional libraries needed, how to install them, etc..
 - c. What (and how) to run to reproduce the results in your paper.
- 5. Source code

V: Grading Rubric

Your grade will be based on three components (I don't' even know why it's out of 400! But it will be scaled accordingly \bigcirc):

- 1. Subjective opinion on quality (100pts)
- 2. Presentation Quality (100pts)
- 3. Paper Quality (200pts)

My subjective point allocation will be:

100pts - Amazing (may not be any of these)

Novel and ready to go to a conference

95pts - Excellent

Lots of work, extensive evaluation. Didn't leave me with any questions.

90pts-Very good

Good amount of work good evaluation but left some questions.

85pts - Good

Good evaluation but not a lot of work and/or lots of questions.

80pts - Decent

Lacking some "depth"

75pts - Some major issues

I.e No eval, missing sections, too vague/incomplete, missing data, files, code

70pts - Major issues

Several of the above issues

50pts - Barely did anything

Opts - Didn't do anything

Presentation Points will be allocated as

1.	Presentation has all necessary components	60pts
2.	Presentation address all major questions/concerns	20pts
3.	Presentation is well put together	20pts

Paper Points will be allocated as:

1.	Abstract	10pts
2.	Background	10pts
3.	Related Work/Bibliography	20pts
4.	Main Content	30pts
5.	Evaluation	30pts
6.	Conclusions	30pts
7.	Future Work	10pts
8.	Overall Analysis	30pts
9.	Proper Submission with reproducible and original code	30pts