2. Notation

We use the shorthands $[i, j] = \{i, ..., j\}$ and [i, j) = [i, j - 1] for ranges of integers and extend to substrings as seen below.

The *input* of a suffix array construction algorithm is a *string* $T = T[0, n) = t_0t_1\cdots t_{n-1}$ over the alphabet [1, n], that is, a sequence of n integers from the range [1, n]. For convenience, we assume that $t_j = 0$ for $j \ge n$. Sometimes we also assume that n + 1 is a multiple of some constant v or a square to avoid a proliferation of trivial case distinctions and $\lceil \cdot \rceil$ operations. An implementation will either spell out the case distinctions or pad (sub)problems with an appropriate number of zero characters. The restriction to the alphabet [1, n] is not a serious one. For a string T over any alphabet, we can first sort the characters of T, remove duplicates, assign a rank to each character, and construct a new string T' over the alphabet [1, n] by renaming the characters of T with their ranks. Since the renaming is order preserving, the order of the suffixes does not change.

For $i \in [0, n]$, let S_i denote the *suffix* $T[i, n) = t_i t_{i+1} \cdots t_{n-1}$. We also extend the notation to sets: for $C \subseteq [0, n]$, $S_C = \{S_i \mid i \in C\}$. The goal is to sort the set $S_{[0,n]}$ of suffixes of T, where comparison of substrings or tuples assumes the lexicographic order throughout this article. The *output* is the *suffix array* SA[0, n] of T, a permutation of [0, n] saltisfying $S_{SA[0]} < S_{SA[1]} < \cdots < S_{SA[n]}$.

3. Linear-Time Algorithm

We begin with a detailed description of the simple linear-time algorithm, which we call DC3 (for Difference Cover modulo 3, see Section 4).

The execution of the algorithm is illustrated with the following example

$$T[0,n) = y a b b a d a b b a d o,$$

where we are looking for the suffix array

$$SA = (12, 1, 6, 4, 9, 3, 8, 2, 7, 5, 10, 11, 0).$$

Step 0: Construct a Sample For k = 0, 1, 2, define

$$B_k = \{i \in [0, n] \mid i \mod 3 = k\}.$$

Let $C = B_1 \cup B_2$ be the set of *sample positions* and S_C the set of *sample suffixes*.

Example 3.1. $B_1 = \{1, 4, 7, 10\}, B_2 = \{2, 5, 8, 11\}, \text{ that is, } C = \{1, 4, 7, 10, 2, 5, 8, 11\}.$

For k = 1, 2, construct the strings

$$R_k = [t_k t_{k+1} t_{k+2}][t_{k+3} t_{k+4} t_{k+5}] \dots [t_{\max B_k} t_{\max B_k+1} t_{\max B_k+2}]$$

whose characters are triples $[t_it_{i+1}t_{i+2}]$. Note that the last character of R_k is unique because $t_{\max B_k+2} = 0$. Let $R = R_1 \odot R_2$ be the concatenation of R_1 and R_2 . Then, the (nonempty) suffixes of R correspond to the set S_C of sample suffixes: $[t_it_{i+1}t_{i+2}][t_{i+3}t_{i+4}t_{i+5}]\cdots$ corresponds to S_i . The correspondence is order preserving, that is, by sorting the suffixes of R we get the order of the sample suffixes S_C .

Example 3.2.
$$R = [abb][ada][bba][do0][bba][dab][bad][o00]$$
.

To sort the suffixes of R, first radix sort the characters of R and rename them with their ranks to obtain the string R'. If all characters are different, the order of characters gives directly the order of suffixes. Otherwise, sort the suffixes of R' using Algorithm DC3.

Example 3.3.
$$R' = (1, 2, 4, 6, 4, 5, 3, 7)$$
 and $SA_{R'} = (8, 0, 1, 6, 4, 2, 5, 3, 7)$.

Once the sample suffixes are sorted, assign a rank to each suffix. For $i \in C$, let $rank(S_i)$ denote the rank of S_i in the sample set S_C . Additionally, define $rank(S_{n+1}) = rank(S_{n+2}) = 0$. For $i \in B_0$, $rank(S_i)$ is undefined.

Example 3.4.
$$i$$
 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 $Example$ 3.4. $rank(S_i)$ \bot 1 4 \bot 2 6 \bot 5 3 \bot 7 8 \bot 0 0.

Step 2: Sort Nonsample Suffixes

Represent each nonsample suffix $S_i \in S_{B_0}$ with the pair $(t_i, rank(S_{i+1}))$. Note that $rank(S_{i+1})$ is always defined for $i \in B_0$. Clearly, we have, for all $i, j \in B_0$,

$$S_i \leq S_j \iff (t_i, rank(S_{i+1})) \leq (t_j, rank(S_{j+1})).$$

The pairs $(t_i, rank(S_{i+1}))$ are then radix sorted.

Example 3.5.
$$S_{12} < S_6 < S_9 < S_3 < S_0$$
 because $(0,0) < (a,5) < (a,7) < (b,2) < (y,1)$.

Step 3: Merge

The two sorted sets of suffixes are merged using a standard comparison-based merging. To compare suffix $S_i \in S_C$ with $S_j \in S_{B_0}$, we distinguish two cases:

$$i \in B_1: S_i \leq S_j \iff (t_i, rank(S_{i+1})) \leq (t_j, rank(S_{j+1}))$$

 $i \in B_2: S_i \leq S_j \iff (t_i, t_{i+1}, rank(S_{i+2})) \leq (t_j, t_{j+1}, rank(S_{j+2}))$

Note that the ranks are defined in all cases.

Example 3.6. $S_1 < S_6$ because (a, 4) < (a, 5) and $S_3 < S_8$ because (b, a, 6) < (b, a, 7).

The time complexity is established by the following theorem.

THEOREM 3.7. The time complexity of Algorithm DC3 is $\mathcal{O}(n)$.

PROOF. Excluding the recursive call, everything can clearly be done in linear time. The recursion is on a string of length $\lceil 2n/3 \rceil$. Thus, the time is given by the recurrence $T(n) = T(2n/3) + \mathcal{O}(n)$, whose solution is $T(n) = \mathcal{O}(n)$. \square