This report overviews our methods, and focus on implementation details. We will skip the proofs and derivation.

1. Notation and Set-up

We consider a historical pricing policy $\pi_0(\cdot)$ which maps a feature vector \boldsymbol{x} to a deterministic price $\pi_0(\boldsymbol{x}) \in \mathbb{R}_+$, and a new candidate policy $\pi_1(\cdot)$ of similar form. We have data $\{(\boldsymbol{x}_1, D_1), \dots, (\boldsymbol{x}_n, D_n)\}$ consisting of feature and binary demand pairs, where

$$D_i \sim \text{Ber}(d(\boldsymbol{x}_i, \pi_0(\boldsymbol{x}_i))) \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

It will be convenient to define $R_i = \pi_0(\mathbf{x}_i)D_i$, which is the observed revenue in the data, and the expected revenue function $r(\mathbf{x}, p) = pd(\mathbf{x}, p)$.

Our goal is to estimate the expected revenue earned under the new pricing policy $\pi_1(\cdot)$, applied to a new set of covariates $\{x_{n+1}, \ldots, x_{2n}\}$, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{R} \equiv \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=n+1}^{2n} \pi_1(\boldsymbol{x}_i) d(\boldsymbol{x}_i, \pi_1(\boldsymbol{x}_i)) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=n+1}^{2n} r(\boldsymbol{x}_i, \pi_1(\boldsymbol{x}_i)).$$
 (Target)

Of particular interest is when the new covariates are equal to the old sample, i.e., $x_i = x_{n+i}$ for $1 \le i \le n$. We focus on weighted revenue estimators of the form

$$\hat{\mathcal{R}}(\boldsymbol{w}) \equiv \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_j R_j, \tag{Estimator}$$

We assume

Assumption 1 (Revenue is in RKHS). There exists an RKHS \mathcal{H} , a known reference revenue $r_0(\cdot, \cdot)$, and a perturbation function $\Delta(\cdot, \cdot) \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $r(\cdot, \cdot) = r_0(\cdot, \cdot) + \Delta(\cdot, \cdot)$ and $\|\Delta(\cdot, \cdot)\|_{\mathcal{H}} = \Gamma < \infty$.

Importantly, under Assumption 1 there exists an $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ such that

$$\Delta = G\alpha$$
, and $\alpha^{\top}G\alpha = \Gamma^2$. (RKHS norm)

When G is invertible, this is equivalent to the condition

$$\mathbf{\Delta}^{\top} \mathbf{G}^{-1} \mathbf{\Delta} = \Gamma^2.$$

2. MSE

Define

$$\boldsymbol{b}(\boldsymbol{w}) = (w_1, \dots, w_n, -1/n, \dots, -1/n)^{\top} \in \mathbb{R}^{2n},$$

$$\boldsymbol{v}(\boldsymbol{w}) = (w_1^2 \pi_1, \dots, w_n^2 \pi_n, 0, \dots, 0)^{\top} \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$$

$$Bias(\boldsymbol{w}; \boldsymbol{r}) \equiv \mathbb{E} \left[\hat{\mathcal{R}}(\boldsymbol{w}) - \mathcal{R} \right] = \boldsymbol{b}(\boldsymbol{w})^{\top} \boldsymbol{r}$$

$$Var(\boldsymbol{w}; \boldsymbol{r}) \equiv \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\hat{\mathcal{R}}(\boldsymbol{w}) - \mathbb{E} \left[\hat{\mathcal{R}}(\boldsymbol{w}) \right] \right)^{2} \right] = \boldsymbol{v}(\boldsymbol{w})^{\top} \boldsymbol{r} - \boldsymbol{r}^{\top} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{diag}(w_{1}^{2}, \dots, w_{n}^{2}) & \boldsymbol{0} \\ \boldsymbol{0} & \boldsymbol{0} \end{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{r},$$

$$MSE(\boldsymbol{w}; \boldsymbol{r}) \equiv \boldsymbol{v}(\boldsymbol{w})^{\top} \boldsymbol{r} + \boldsymbol{r}^{\top} \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{b}(\boldsymbol{w}) \boldsymbol{b}(\boldsymbol{w})^{\top} - \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{diag}(w_{1}^{2}, \dots, w_{n}^{2}) & \boldsymbol{0} \\ \boldsymbol{0} & \boldsymbol{0} \end{pmatrix} \right) \boldsymbol{r}.$$

We seek weights that minimize some worst-case metric of our estimator, where the worst-case is taken over the convex set

$$\mathcal{U}_{\Gamma} \equiv \left\{ oldsymbol{r}_0 + oldsymbol{\Delta} \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} \; : \; oldsymbol{0} \leq oldsymbol{r}_0 + oldsymbol{\Delta} \leq \; oldsymbol{\pi}, \; \; oldsymbol{\Delta}^{ op} oldsymbol{G}^{-1} oldsymbol{\Delta} \leq \Gamma^2
ight\}.$$

The optimization problem is

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{w}} \max_{\boldsymbol{r} \in \mathcal{U}_{\Gamma}} MSE(\boldsymbol{w}; \boldsymbol{r}).$$

3. Bernstein Bound

Let

$$q_{\max}(\boldsymbol{w}) \equiv \max_{1 \le j \le n} |w_j| \, \pi_j$$

The Bernstein Bound says with probability at least $1 - \epsilon$,

$$\hat{\mathcal{R}} - \mathcal{R} \leq \boldsymbol{b}(\boldsymbol{w})^{\top} \boldsymbol{r} + \sqrt{2Var(\boldsymbol{w}; \boldsymbol{r})\log(1/\epsilon)} + \frac{q_{\max}(\boldsymbol{w})\log(1/\epsilon)}{3}.$$

The resulting optimization problem is

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{w}} \max_{\boldsymbol{r} \in \mathcal{U}_{\Gamma}} \boldsymbol{b}(\boldsymbol{w})^{\top} \boldsymbol{r} + \sqrt{2Var(\boldsymbol{w}; \boldsymbol{r}) \log(1/\epsilon)} + \frac{q_{\max}(\boldsymbol{w}) \log(1/\epsilon)}{3}.$$

Rewriting the inner optimization in terms of Δ and dropping constants yields

$$\max_{\boldsymbol{\Delta},t} \quad \boldsymbol{b}(\boldsymbol{w})^{\top} \boldsymbol{\Delta} + t \sqrt{2 \log(1/\epsilon)}$$
s.t.
$$t^{2} \leq Var(\boldsymbol{w}, \boldsymbol{r}_{0} + \boldsymbol{\Delta})$$

$$\boldsymbol{0} \leq \boldsymbol{r}_{0} + \boldsymbol{\Delta} \leq \boldsymbol{\pi}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\Delta}^{\top} \boldsymbol{G}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Delta} \leq \Gamma^{2}.$$

We rewrite this problem as a convex QCQP, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{\boldsymbol{\Delta},t} \quad \boldsymbol{b}(\boldsymbol{w})^{\top} \boldsymbol{\Delta} + t \sqrt{2 \log(1/\epsilon)} \\ \text{s.t.} \quad t^2 + \boldsymbol{\Delta}^{\top} \boldsymbol{Q}(\boldsymbol{w}) \boldsymbol{\Delta} + 2 \boldsymbol{r}_0^{\top} \boldsymbol{Q}(\boldsymbol{w}) \boldsymbol{\Delta} - \boldsymbol{v}(\boldsymbol{w})^{\top} \boldsymbol{\Delta} \leq \boldsymbol{v}(\boldsymbol{w})^{\top} \boldsymbol{r}_0 - \boldsymbol{r}_0^{\top} \boldsymbol{Q}(\boldsymbol{w}) \boldsymbol{r}_0, \\ \boldsymbol{0} \leq \boldsymbol{r}_0 + \boldsymbol{\Delta} \leq \boldsymbol{\pi} \\ \boldsymbol{\Delta}^{\top} \boldsymbol{G}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Delta} < \Gamma^2. \end{aligned}$$

where

$$Q(w) \equiv egin{pmatrix} diag(w_1^2, \dots, w_n^2) & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Under Assumption 1, above problem is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{\boldsymbol{\alpha},t} & & & & & & & & \\ & \text{s.t.} & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & \\ & & & \\ & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\$$

4. NATHAN'S METHOD

$$Bias(\boldsymbol{w};\boldsymbol{r})^2 \equiv \mathbb{E}\left[\hat{\mathcal{R}}(\boldsymbol{w}) - \mathcal{R}\right]^2 = (\boldsymbol{b}(\boldsymbol{w})^\top \boldsymbol{r})^2 = \boldsymbol{r}^\top (\boldsymbol{b}(\boldsymbol{w}) \boldsymbol{b}(\boldsymbol{w})^\top) \boldsymbol{r}$$

Nathan computes weights that minimize the worst-case bias square, plus some constant regularization. The optimization problem is

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{w}} \max_{\boldsymbol{r}: ||\boldsymbol{r}||_{\mathcal{H}} \leq \Gamma} \boldsymbol{r}^\top (\boldsymbol{b}(\boldsymbol{w}) \boldsymbol{b}(\boldsymbol{w})^\top) \boldsymbol{r} + \lambda ||\boldsymbol{w}||_2^2$$

Under Assumption 1, above problem is equivalent to

$$\min_{oldsymbol{w}} oldsymbol{b}(oldsymbol{w})^ op \left(oldsymbol{G} + egin{pmatrix} \lambda \mathbb{I}^n & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix}
ight) oldsymbol{b}(oldsymbol{w})$$