

ChatGPT OpenAI 应该站出来为自己的学术不端道歉！


ChatGPT OpenAI should come forward and apologize for its academic misconduct!

以下是严子涵同学发布在 WeChat 朋友圈中的澄清内容。

The following is the clarification posted by Yan Zihan on her WeChat Moments.

这些信息可以在各个平台上搜索获取 小红书、微博、知乎、抖音以及其他网页新闻。

This information can be found on various platforms such as Xiaohongshu, Weibo, Zhihu, Douyin, and other online news websites.



Zhejiang University Yan Zihan

In the past two weeks, a series of posts posted online, threatening letters sent to me, complaint letters sent to my professors, inquiries directed at my family and friends, and tens of thousands of comments from netizens have trapped me in a storm. I have been lost, restless, and sleepless. I keep reflecting: Is that really me? Is that the me who was once full of passion, chasing academic dreams? I have always been timid and cowardly, wanting to shrink back into my shell like a turtle, avoiding the storm. But I understand now that I need to bravely admit my mistakes and take responsibility.

In 2023, for a course assignment, I used ChatGPT to polish and modify some of the user interview content, which led to the final result being inconsistent with the original data. Due to similar issues, my MIT supervisor immediately asked me to stop submitting two papers that had been accepted but not yet published. I realized the seriousness of the issue, but it was already too late. When I received the disciplinary result, the world seemed to blur, and I wanted to hide, to escape from this world... However, as time passed, I became increasingly grateful. I respect the decision made by MIT; this profound lesson is more valuable than a diploma. It has become the greatest gain in my life.



朋友圈

我愈发感激，我尊重MIT做出的决定，这深刻的教训比一张毕业证书更为珍贵，它是我人生最大的收获。

自2019年接触科研以来，我一直怀揣着热忱与好奇。在后续的时间里，我以线下或线上实习的方式在不同实验室参与了多项科研项目，并在2021年起陆续将部分成果以论文形式发表。坦诚地说，那时的我确实存在基本功不扎实、心存急躁的问题，对实验结果的复核存在疏漏。最近，我与合作者们已启动对发表论文的复查，我也愿意接受公众的监督。如果发现问题，我将秉持严谨的学术态度，及时勘误，甚至撤稿。我向辛苦指导我的老师、向克服重重困难推动研究进展的合作者们深表歉意，我为这些错误可能给大家带来的负面影响感到懊悔与抱歉。

我也想进行一些澄清，我的母校教风与学风严谨，我绝对没说过“学校没人教我道德和价值观”，也不曾声称“自己本科阶段一直以这种方式收集数据，从未有问题”。关于我目前在读的学校，在申请之初便主动告知相关情况，招生老师也对此进行了必要调查。我衷心感谢他们的宽容，我会在他们悉心的指导下以更严谨的方式前行。因此，我恳请大家不要对我曾经以及现在的学校产生不必要的质疑。



Since I began engaging in research in 2019, I have always been driven by passion and curiosity. Over time, I participated in several research projects in different labs, both offline and online, and started publishing some of the results as papers beginning in 2021. Honestly speaking, at that time, I had issues with lacking solid foundational knowledge and being impatient, which led to lapses in reviewing experimental results. Recently, my collaborators and I have initiated a review of the papers that have been published, and I am also open to public oversight. If any issues are found, I will adhere to a rigorous academic attitude, make corrections promptly, and even retract papers if necessary.

I sincerely apologize to my mentors who have worked hard to guide me and to my collaborators who have overcome many obstacles to push forward with research progress. I deeply regret and apologize for the negative impact that these mistakes may have caused them.

I also want to clarify that my alma mater emphasizes a rigorous academic and ethical environment. I absolutely never said "No one taught me ethics and values at school," nor did I ever claim that "I've always collected data this way during my undergraduate years, and there were never any problems." Regarding the school I am currently attending, I proactively informed them about the relevant situation when applying, and the admissions staff conducted a necessary investigation. I am truly grateful for their understanding, and I will proceed with greater rigor under their careful guidance. Therefore, I sincerely ask that no unnecessary doubts be cast on both my previous and current schools.



续将部分成果以论文形式发表。坦诚地说，那时的我确实存在基本功不扎实、心存急躁的问题，对实验结果的复核存在疏漏。最近，我与合作者们已启动对发表论文的复查，我也愿意接受公众的监督。如果发现问题，我将秉持严谨的学术态度，及时勘误，甚至撤稿。我向辛苦指导我的老师、向克服重重困难推动研究进展的合作者们深表歉意，我为这些错误可能给大家带来的负面影响感到懊悔与抱歉。

我也想进行一些澄清，我的母校教风与学风严谨，我绝对没说过“学校没人教我道德和价值观”，也不曾声称“自己本科阶段一直以这种方式收集数据，从未有问题”。关于我目前在读的学校，在申请之初便主动告知相关情况，招生老师也对此进行了必要调查。我衷心感谢他们的宽容，我会在他们悉心的指导下以更严谨的方式前行。因此，我恳请大家不要对我曾经以及现在的学校产生不必要的质疑。

我为此事真诚致歉！我一定从这次经历中吸取教训，认真学习与成长，勇敢承担应尽的责任。同时，我也向一路关心和支持我的师长、朋友表达深深的感激，正是你们让我在低谷中仍得以看到光亮，心中倍感温暖。

I sincerely apologize for this matter! I will surely learn from this experience, study and grow with dedication, and bravely take on the responsibilities I should bear. At the same time, I would like to express my deep gratitude to the mentors and friends who have cared for and supported me along the way. It is because of you that I have been able to see light even in the depths of my struggles, and my heart feels profoundly warmed.

我只想问，她就觉得全世界她最聪明吗？还是其他人都傻子？事到如今，依旧是 chatgpt 几次三番篡改她的数据喽，而且如此贴心地将原始数据改的让她的论文看起来更好？那我从今天开始真的要拜 GPT 为师了，这已经不是人工智能这是超人智能了，甩锅给 chatgpt 就像被抓到甩锅给浙大一样，严子涵同学觉得浙大同学会听你的道歉吗？何不直接上浙大论坛、上 X 公开澄清？只在自己的好友圈里澄清算什么？浙大学生已经将严子涵视作竺奖之耻、浙大之耻，我们请求严子涵同学在外不要说自己是浙大毕业的，是真的很丢人。

I just want to ask—does she really think she's the smartest person in the world? Or does she think everyone else is a fool? After everything that's happened, it's still ChatGPT that keeps altering her data, and so thoughtfully changing the original data to make her paper look better? I guess from today on, I really should worship GPT as my master. This is no longer artificial intelligence; it's superhuman intelligence. Shifting the blame to ChatGPT is just like being caught and blaming Zhejiang University. Does Yan Zihan really think Zhejiang University students will accept her apology? Why not directly go to the Zhejiang University forum or X to publicly clarify? What's the point of clarifying only in her circle of friends? Zhejiang University students already see Yan Zihan as a disgrace to the Zhuke Zhen Scholarship and to the university itself. We ask Yan Zihan to stop claiming she's a Zhejiang University graduate when she's outside—it's really embarrassing.

可以从严子涵同学言辞恳切的道歉信中看出她强烈的想要此事热度下降以及重拿轻放的态度。十分契合浙江大学为其颁发竺奖时所说的 文可颠倒黑白避重就轻，武可水军洗地重拿轻放。有人说严子涵同学的错误已经得到了应有的惩罚——“MIT 的开除”，在这些人眼中就是严子涵同学应有的惩罚，那她学术不端的论文，在 UIUC 继续一年九篇，甚至还发了一篇预印版是 MIT 发表出来挂 UIUC 的论文又作何解释？这种弄虚作假的人甚至还丢人丢到海外去的引起如此风波的才女，能在 UIUC 换个领域继续读博，能堂而皇之地说请你们监督，公众何以接触到严子涵同学的原始数据？她经过 MIT 一事只会更加在造假上屡有建树，更加从容不迫，何况现在直接到心理学学科中，简直是进一步造数据的天堂！本人以及浙江大学的同学们会积极向校长信箱反映，建议浙江大学竺可桢奖学金本科获得者严子涵的除名。

It can be seen from Classmate Yan Zihan's heartfelt apology letter that she strongly desires for the issue to lose its public attention and to be downplayed. This aligns perfectly with what Zhejiang University said when awarding her the Zhu Award: "She can twist the truth and downplay serious matters with words, and use online agents to whitewash her actions with force." Some people claim that Yan Zihan has already received the punishment she deserves—her expulsion from MIT. To them, this is the appropriate consequence. But what about her academically dishonest papers, which continued at UIUC for another year with nine more papers, including a preprint that MIT published under UIUC's name? How should we explain this? A person who engages in such falsification, and a once-promising talent who caused a scandal abroad, continues to pursue a PhD at UIUC in a different field. How can she confidently say, "Please supervise me"? How can the public gain access to Classmate Yan Zihan's raw data? After her experience at MIT, she will only become more skilled in academic fraud, more composed in her actions. Moreover, moving into the field of psychology is almost like entering a paradise for data manipulation! I, along with my classmates at Zhejiang University, will actively report to the university's president and suggest the removal of Yan Zihan, recipient of the Zhu Kezhen Scholarship, from the university.

我相信严子涵企图瞒天过海丧事喜办，可是到现在想要轻轻地将这件事彻底放下的时候还没有到——因为没有任何一个人被她骗到。如果选择相信严子涵或者相信 mit 种族歧视教授顶会上公开 ppt 内容，那我必然选择后者。我不知道严子涵嘴里有没有真话——数据是 chatgpt 改的，严子涵同学的过错在于使用 chatgpt 润色，她自始至终没有任何错误，她仅有的错误是“复勘”，我简直要为她的文笔欢呼雀跃了，对学术诚信如此基础甚至最深层的底线这样轻轻一笔带过，我能相信她尊重科研？尊重学术研究？我相信她仅仅尊重名誉，尊重利益，尊重造假取得的成果给她带来的称赞。连道歉文都能写的如此大义凛然，是我低估了严子涵同学使用 chatgpt 的高超艺能。当然我也贴心地想到了这些中文且发布在好友列表中的内容不能为科研圈所知，我决定公之于众，不日我将在 X 上公开严子涵同学情真意切的道歉信，重点发给 mit media lab。看看这个颠倒是非黑白，说别人质疑她学术不端为“网暴”，自己造假是“没有充分复勘”，满嘴谎言的谎话精如今天摇大摆在 UIUC 继续读 phd，好生快活！

I believe Yan Zihan attempted to deceive everyone and turned a tragedy into a celebration. Now, as she wants to quietly let this go, the time hasn't come yet—because no one has been fooled by her. If I had to choose between believing Yan Zihan or believing the MIT racist professor and the public PowerPoint content from the conference, I would undoubtedly choose the latter. I don't know if there's any truth in what Yan Zihan says—data was modified by ChatGPT, and Yan Zihan's fault lies in using ChatGPT for polishing. She has never made any mistakes, except for her "review" error. I'm almost cheering for her writing skills! To lightly brush over such a basic and fundamental ethical violation in academic integrity—can I believe she respects research? Academic studies? I believe she only respects

reputation, interests, and the praise that comes from falsifying results. The fact that she can write such a righteous apology makes me underestimate her superb skills with ChatGPT. Of course, I also thought ahead that these Chinese posts, published in her friend list, shouldn't be known in the academic world, so I've decided to make them public. Soon, I will post Yan Zihan's heartfelt apology letter on X, specifically for the MIT Media Lab. Let's see this reversal of truth, where she calls others questioning her academic misconduct "online bullying" and claims her own falsification is due to "insufficient review." This liar, who is now boldly continuing her PhD at UIUC, is living her life happily!

另外，严子涵同学现在已经不像以前一样举报发布其“被 MIT 开除”的帖子了，澄清帖果然还是有效的，承认了部分事实，也就是确有学术不端记录，当然在道歉信中是 chatgpt 的陷害。Furthermore, Yan Zihan no longer reports or posts about her expulsion from MIT like she did before. The clarification post has indeed been effective; it acknowledges part of the truth, which is that there is a record of academic misconduct. Of course, in the apology letter, it's all blamed on ChatGPT's framing.

她是真的意识到自己错了还是意识到自己快被自己的学术不端的不堪往事弄死了？她在被 MIT 开除的时候为何对身边人宣称是心理压力，从未提及学术不端？而如今被举报就突然良心发现要自陈罪状？

Did she really realize she was wrong, or did she just realize that her past academic misconduct is about to ruin her? When she was expelled from MIT, why did she tell those around her it was due to psychological pressure, never mentioning academic misconduct? And now, all of a sudden, she claims to have had a change of heart and is confessing her wrongdoings?

关于严子涵同学道歉内容发布后的 social media 平台的公众反应如下

The public reaction on social media platforms following Yan Zihan's apology is as follows:




3 I posted related content and was reported by Yan Zihan.



Who still writes papers honestly these days?



I'm not too familiar with other situations, but it's quite interesting how the focus is on academic misconduct in course assignments, while the more significant issues



with the papers are brushed over with a single phrase like "due to similar problems."

里的人儿
被毒害的一代人，他们打心底里认为：这是正常的，是被允许的，大家都这么做

The generation that has been poisoned believes from the bottom of their hearts that this is normal, allowed, and everyone is doing it this way

发朋友圈有个蛋用，发推特啊 😂 8

What's the point of posting on your social media? Post it on Twitter instead!

momo 感觉这不是她本人写的，不会蠢成这样吧直接承认造假事实了，她要是咬死没造假还真拿她没办法，这种用户调研的结果基本难以复现 3小时前 广东 回复

三昆子 之前在 MIT 被抓到了那边有证据，当然做不到“咬死”刚刚 浙江 回复

热乎的哈佛日记 作者 这是她本人发的 41分钟前 美国 回复

摇到月亮桥 🌙 论文造假也能洗白??? 置千千万万辛辛苦苦做实验收数据的学生于何地？更何况，你拿了那么多资源（教育是最大的资源）、因为竺奖身份更是收割一波又一波奖学金，就一句简简单单的“心存温暖”，就洗白了??? 浙大的“求是”精神，是一点都没听啊 😂 7小时前 浙江 回复

She probably didn't write this herself, right? She couldn't be so dumb as to directly admit to fabricating data. If she doesn't completely deny it, there's really no way to deal with her. This kind of user research method can't even be replicated.

She was caught at MIT before, and there's evidence. Of course, she couldn't fully deny it.

Can academic fraud be cleared up now? What does it mean for the countless students who have worked so hard conducting experiments and doing research? Moreover, this person has received so many high-quality educational resources, including multiple scholarships such as the Zhuxiang Award. Can a simple phrase like "keeping warmth in your heart" erase it all? It seems like the spirit of seeking truth at Zhejiang University has been completely ignored.

更好笑的是，严子涵同学压根不认为自己的学术造假给多少后来的人造成了阻碍？我先不谈顶会上被人借题发挥说中國人搞科研就都是弄虚作假学术不端的事，我也不谈这件事爆出来之后对多少 ZJU 本来打算申 MIT 的同学的影响，我就单单说严子涵同学的学术不端严重影响了学术成果的积累，所有引用她学术不端论文的文章都多少会受到影响，更不提 HCI 同样做类似研究的研究生？博士生？想要复现她的研究或者在其基础上深入研究？他们会得到什么？他们会得到自己宝贵的科研时间和精力的浪费！我不接受这个道歉，浙江大学的同学不接受这个道歉，浙江大学竺可桢校长不接受这种人可以获得他冠名的奖学金！

What's even more laughable is that Yan Zihan doesn't think her academic fraud has caused any significant obstacles for those who came after her. Let me not even mention how people at top conferences have used her case to stereotype Chinese researchers as all involved in fraud and academic misconduct. I won't discuss the impact this scandal has had on ZJU students who originally planned to apply to MIT either. Let me just say that Yan Zihan's academic misconduct has seriously hindered the accumulation of academic achievements. Any paper that cites her academically dishonest work will be affected to some extent, not to mention the graduate students and PhD candidates in HCI, who are doing similar research. What will they get? They'll waste their precious research time and energy! I do not accept this apology, Zhejiang University students do not accept this apology, and the president of Zhejiang University, who the Zhuke Zhen Scholarship is named after, should not accept that such a person is allowed to receive his namesake scholarship!

小红薯 65BB1B62
这不就是chatGPT篡改了原始数据吗
Isn't this GPT tampering with the data?

momo
gpt不会改数据的哦，别甩锅
昨天 11:30 美国 回复
GPT won't change data, don't throw the blame randomly

叫子涵的大部分都是这样的
昨天 19:06 四川 回复

dilidulu
Her biggest takeaway from MIT was that it is not acceptable to falsify or deceive.....

舒舒今天练琴了吗
不可以弄虚作假居然是她在MIT读博时候最大的收获... 昨天 15:31 美国 回复

舒舒今天练琴了吗
犯的错在申明里只有避重就轻的一句话，很难想象这种人到底之前还造过多少假 昨天 15:33 美国 回复

momo
怪chat? 是因为chat好欺负吗，真能甩锅
昨天 18:50 江苏 回复

Blame GPT? Is it because GPT is easy to bully and can't speak up?

The mistakes are only brushed over with a vague statement in the declaration. It's hard to imagine how many other lies this person may have fabricated in the past.

严子涵同学的道歉信中还提到在 MIT 最宝贵的收获是不能学术不端。竟然？最宝贵的一课是所有科研人的第一课，那么，作为严子涵同学本科学校的浙江大学，依旧还是没有把学术诚信课给严子涵同学讲明白不是吗？亦或许，这压根就不是教不教的问题，而是有些人心里只有利益，不择手段，欺上瞒下，被 MIT 开除还能够镇定自若地到 UIUC 继续读博，并继续一年发八九篇文章，而身边的合作者一个都不明白内情。她定然不知道学术诚信是什么，她太明白谎言给自己的甜蜜了，金奖，论文，MIT，UIUC，如果不是因为 NeuRIPS 的极小概率的 MIT 教授的种族歧视言论，谁能查到她身上呢。好美的算盘，好厉害的局，严子涵同学如果不是被录取到学术规范如此严格的 MIT 学校，大概率会平步青云吧，即便她的论文都是假的，她也会尝到学术不端的甜蜜成果吧！即便被抓到依旧咬死不认，学术造假的两篇恰好就是 MIT 抓的两篇，MIT 原来导师已经让自己实验室的人不许引用严子涵同学日后的所有文章，这恰恰体现的是正确的面对学术不端的态度，学术研究成果的积累离不开对已发表论文的信任，如今这种信任在一篇论文中的作者包括了严子涵时就丢失的情况，才是审慎面对科研的态度才是对科研的尊重，不止一次的造假已经证明这个人毫无底线，而面对自己的学术不端满口漂亮话更是说明这个人没有一丝一毫对科研的尊重，我问一句可能比较重的话，严子涵同学真的有良心吗？她对得起她所享受的教育资源、奖学金等所有的优待条件吗？。

What's even more laughable is that Yan Zihan doesn't think her academic fraud has caused any significant obstacles for those who came after her. Let me not even mention how people at top conferences have used her case to stereotype Chinese researchers as all involved in fraud and academic misconduct. I won't discuss the impact this scandal has had on ZJU students who originally planned

to apply to MIT either. Let me just say that Yan Zihan's academic misconduct has seriously hindered the accumulation of academic achievements. Any paper that cites her academically dishonest work will be affected to some extent, not to mention the graduate students and PhD candidates in HCI, who are doing similar research. What will they get? They'll waste their precious research time and energy! I do not accept this apology, Zhejiang University students do not accept this apology, and the president of Zhejiang University, who the Zhuke Zhen Scholarship is named after, should not accept that such a person is allowed to receive his namesake scholarship!

方向，这篇论文的工作后来被他证实是造假的，从他开始这个方向到他怀疑这个方向造假已经过去了4.5年，他从硕士就开始这个方向，在这期间没有任何工作产出，并且不断怀疑自己，迷茫。而且等他抽出空来证实这个论文造假并整理成一项工作的时候好像已经博士毕业了。学术论文你发在国际期刊上这个影响很大的，想想有不有可能有一个博士因为你的论文造假却一直在你这里耽误时间，我说的这个博士至少毕业了，那些没毕业的呢？还有一些参考她的研究成果的呢？这不就是杀人吗😊

昨天 14:38 北京 回复



Mmk

你怎么这么好骗？来我这里买保健品吧
昨天 17:18 浙江 回复



The impact of publishing fraudulent academic papers is huge. Just think about it—there could be a PhD student who has been delayed for years because of your falsified paper. At least that PhD student graduated, but what about those who didn't? And what about others who relied on her work as a reference? Isn't this essentially murder?



扣好纽扣！

课堂作业上的问题可能没有那么大，毕竟不是公开发表的，但是投的论文继续造假就是锤锤的学术不端且胆大包天

😊😊 昨天 18:58 广东 回复



The issues with the course assignments might not be as serious, since they weren't publicly published. However, continuing to falsify papers that are submitted for publication is academic misconduct and downright audacious.



来丫

光在课程作业上 GPT 修改数据导师♀会让她把论文撤稿通报全实验室最后把她开除吗？小姐姐在道歉的时候都在粉饰太平避重就轻.....

昨天 19:36 广东 回复



If she only used GPT to modify data in the course assignments, would her advisor really make her retract the paper, notify the entire lab, and eventually expel her? When she apologized, she was just whitewashing the situation and downplaying the serious issues.

- momo
避重就轻。她是只有课程论文这么做的吗？后续发的文章有不止一篇也有这样的问题，妥妥学术不端 昨天 13:48 江苏 回复
- momo
“出于类似的问题”她真的很会一笔带过，其实她的论文也是和课程论文一样修改过原始数据的
昨天 19:16 浙江 回复
- momo
而且她还故意把修改数据放在了使用chatgpt的后面，让大家猜不透是chat篡改的还是她自己修改的
昨天 19:17 浙江 回复
- Evan
精致的利己主义者 昨天 17:48 浙江 回复
- Alpha4AGI
@LuSH FOLIaGe 昨天 11:23 上海 回复
- LuSH FOLIaGe
我也刷到了hhh 昨天 22:24 意大利 回复
- km101
学术弯道翻车了就得认，甩锅给学校忒不厚道，人品有问题 昨天 11:48 泰国 回复
- 不肉 (伦敦留子版)
什么东西呀，学术不端说的这么云淡风轻。这种人就应该学术封杀并编成典型案例每年分享以示警戒 昨天 20:46 英国 回复
- momo
把锅甩给了GPT，真是强大的心理素质
昨天 17:17 湖北 回复
- 胖乎乎
@yyyyy 昨天 13:38 河南 回复
- 葩渊潜利利
@AAA大头菜批发卖卖 (95一颗
昨天 13:19 浙江 回复
- 不完美的烟火.
@Osaka 昨天 13:05 北京 回复
- 艾昆

Downplaying the serious issues—was it only her course papers that were done this way? There are multiple articles she published later that also have similar problems. It's clear academic misconduct.

Due to similar issues. She really has a way of glossing over things. In fact, her papers are just like her course assignments—original data was also modified.

Moreover, she deliberately placed the data modifications after the use of ChatGPT, making it unclear to everyone who actually modified the data.

A sophisticated egoist.

If your academic work crashes on a sharp turn, own up to it. Blaming the school is unethical, and it shows a lack of character.

What is this? Talking about academic misconduct so nonchalantly. People like this should be banned from academia and turned into a typical case study, shared every year as a warning.

Blaming ChatGPT—truly impressive psychological resilience.

1



刘**🐻🐻**
自曝自己造假我是真没想到的

1天前 加拿大 回复



1

I never expected her to expose her own falsification.

三昆子

因为被举报了所有合作者都知道了导师
还有系里施压，不然指望她大半年不澄清
现在良心发现吗

昨天 00:08 浙江 回复

She only came clean because she was reported and received too many emails, with pressure from her advisor and collaborators. Otherwise, do you really think she would have cleared things up after half a year if her conscience hadn't kicked in now?



George_Sun

有点好奇访谈内容怎么修改/造假，生编么

昨天 23:21 英国 回复



1

How can interview content be modified/falsified?



沈

你是分子，我们是分母，没想到分子竟然也
不是那么光鲜的 9小时前 浙江 回复



1



Grand廣錚

还是没承认自己的错 昨天 17:53 江苏 回复



3

She still hasn't admitted her mistake.



小红薯 5370C556

1天前 英国 回复



1



碧录苑-全美高中群主

不是连被两个美国博士导师作弊开除吗，第
二个开除不写的？ 1天前 美国 回复



9

Didn't she get rejected directly by two American PhD advisors?

Mmk

她第二个开除了？你有确定消息吗



1



碧录苑-全美高中群主

不是连被两个美国博士导师作弊开除吗，第
二个开除不写的？ 1天前 美国 回复



9

Did the second advisor also reject her? Are you sure about that?



碧录苑-全美高中群主

回复 Mmk: 现在是第三个！第二个是
华人导师 昨天 02:55 美国 回复



6

Her current advisor is already the third one. The second advisor was a Chinese mentor.



海悦

回复 碧录苑-全美高中群主: 现在哪个
学校？ 昨天 15:43 浙江 回复



1



小红薯 6764AA01

幽默 1天前 上海 回复



1

humorous



Marcinho

怎么可能只是《课程作业》

昨天 02:32 美国 回复



5

How could it possibly be just course assignments?



momo

怪chat？是因为chat好欺负吗，真能甩锅

1天前 江苏 回复



3

1-5 23:49 来自 微博网页版

我拥有极强的学术热忱和长远的学术梦想
我也不知道怎么就造假了
我只是用GPT润色，就给我修改了部分数据，导致和原始数据对不上
GPT才是我被MIT退学的首要原因
而这竟然引发了对我的网暴
我不想做任何回应
但是有人将举报信发给我的学校的系研究生院和我的导师
还发给我的coauthor
我真的没有办法，我不能说我没有造假，因为一旦他们去MIT取证我就完了
但是我也不能说是我自己的错啊
需要OpenAI出来个声明，洗去我学术不端的污名
来保护我的导师我的学校我的亲友我的coauthor
世上还有比我更高尚的人吗
#MIT #浙大##学术不端##种族歧视##竺可桢奖学金##润色
##chatgpt##UIUC##HCI##开除##偶像的力量##高产##HCI##人机交互##心理学##精致利己# 收起



I have a strong academic passion and long-term academic dreams.

I don't even know how I ended up committing academic fraud. I just used GPT to polish my work, and it ended up modifying some of the data, which caused it to mismatch the original data. GPT is the main reason I was expelled from MIT.

And this has led to online harassment against me.

I don't want to respond to any of it.

But someone sent the complaint letter to the graduate school department of my current university and my advisor, as well as to my coauthor.

I really have no way out. I can't say I didn't falsify data, because if they go to MIT to verify it, I'm done for.

But I also can't say it's entirely my fault.

I need OpenAI to issue a statement to clear my name of academic misconduct and protect my advisor, my university, my family, and my coauthor.

Is there anyone in this world more noble than me?

#MIT #ZhejiangUniversity #AcademicMisconduct

#RacialDiscrimination #ZhukeZhenScholarship #Polishing



A recipient of Zhejiang University's highest honor, the "Zhuke Zhen Scholarship," went on to pursue a Master's at MIT, but was expelled after their advisor discovered data falsification in their paper.

水硕被MIT证明质量更高，把关更严。

浙大一最高荣誉“竺可桢奖学金”获得者，赴MIT读硕士，因论文数据造假，被其导师发现，被MIT开除。



竺将偶像勉开金口，MIT开除全是GPT的错
如果不是有人举报到了她现在的系和导师那里，
她会发这些澄清了吗？

“”满怀热忱追求学术梦想，只让我觉得恶心
造假的时候怎么不想想学术梦想被抓到终于想到了

如果犯了这种错误只要写的可怜一些委屈一些，
就可以继续逍遥自在，那我觉得某些研究没有做的
必要了

因为劣币驱逐良币

如果没有举报，这位同学会继续在某些平台举
报某些帖子讨论其学术不端被退学-因为没有证据

现在有证据了，她装的楚楚可怜

有人就心软了

不就是学术吗，在纵容者手下彻底变成一个笑话
哈哈哈哈

#浙大 #退学 #人机交互 #竺将 #科研 #偶像 #
写论文 #有时候真的很无助 #博士 #文章

my idol, has spoken up, and now MIT's expulsion is all GPT's fault.

If no one had reported it to her current department and advisor, would she have issued these clarifications?

"With great enthusiasm pursuing academic dreams, it only makes me feel disgusted."

Why didn't she think about her academic dreams when she was falsifying the data? Only when caught did she finally think about them.

If making up a sob story and playing the victim means you can continue living freely after making such a mistake, then I think some research just isn't worth doing anymore.

If no one had reported it, would this student have continued reporting posts about her academic misconduct and expulsion on certain platforms—because there was no evidence?

Academic integrity becomes a joke when enabled by those who turn a blind eye.

#ZhejiangUniversity #Expulsion #HumanComputerInteraction #ZhuJian #Research #Idol #WritingPapers #SometimesReallyHelpless #PhD #Papers

我想知道chgpt润色作业怎么改变
数据？还能把快发表的文章的数据也
润了？假的不能再假，凡事不绝就怪
AI是吧。 10小时前 美国 回复

28

4

I want to know how ChatGPT polishing can change data?
Can it also polish the data of a paper that's about to be published? It's unbelievable—how fake can it get? So now everything is AI's fault, right?

今天想喝一点点
那LLM出现之前是谁在帮你润数
据啊同学 10小时前 美国 回复

4

Before the emergence of LLMs, who was helping you polish the data?

jiu
文字游戏，让你觉得是chatgpt改
的数据，实际她可以解释用
chatgpt润色和改数据是没有关系
的两件事。 6小时前 北京 回复

12

She's playing word games, making you think GPT modified the data, when in reality it has nothing to do with polishing.

bzlehri
润色就是修改原数据。说个锤子
5小时前 山西 回复

12

Polishing is only for language. What was she trying to do with the original data?

Dr. Sheldon
润色只针对语言 润色对原始数
据是要干嘛 4小时前 湖南 回复

10

10

momo
回复 Dr. Sheldon: 访谈这种质性
研究，很多时候针对访谈内容需
要编码。如果原始访谈内容没有
期待的语句意思能够支持编码，
可以用chatgpt润色，让他表达出
那个意思，就可以编码了

- 昏昏入睡 有点好奇yzh和招生老师是怎么说的？想学习一下。也想被录进去
10小时前 美国 回复 作者赞过
- offer收割机 +1 5小时前 英国 回复
- 造假是为了骗人，是为了发，都是功利的，不功利的那叫学术错误。
10小时前 北京 回复 作者赞过
- Plain101 🐶用gpt润色 在浙大也属于违规吧
1小时前 浙江 回复
- 羊小羊的日常 很好奇她发的是否属实，如果属实为什么不公开发出来，单纯朋友圈澄清，甚至也没在英文平台发布；如果属实到此为止吧，毕竟现在的教授和学校知晓
10小时前 冰岛 回复
- 刘 🐶 澄清啥？自曝gpt造假。
6小时前 加拿大 回复
- 石榴花开 放心吧 后面她还会继续造数据的~
3小时前 广东 回复
- omom 🐶 避重就轻毫无诚意。
5小时前 上海 回复
- FRP 没错，用作业回避发表的论文，用GPT回避主观恶性
1小时前 浙江 回复
- 止滑稽 蓝方 真会往轻了说，不是10篇吗，怎么就两篇。
4小时前 安徽 回复 作者赞过
- 小红薯674C7D98 蓝方 她不是有X账号吗？为什么不发X
4小时前 美国 回复 作者赞过
- 无聊的那由多 典中典之pyq道歉
9小时前 德国 回复 作者赞过
- I'm curious what Yan Zihan and the admissions officer said. I want to learn from them and also get admitted to UIUC.
- Falsification is always for deceiving others, for publishing papers—it's all for selfish gain. If it's not for selfish gain, it's called an academic mistake.
- Are all the things she posted true? Why not make it public and release it, instead of sharing it on WeChat Moments? And why hasn't she posted it on any English platforms?
- She has nothing to clarify. It was all done by GPT.
- Don't worry, she'll continue falsifying data in the future.
- Downplaying the serious issues with no sincerity.
- Exactly, using assignments to avoid the paper issue, and using GPT to avoid the subjective malice.
- Really downplaying it. Weren't there 10 papers? Why are there only two now?
- Doesn't she have a X account? Why doesn't she post on X?
- A classic WeChat Moments apology.

momo 蓝方
但为什么xhs她一直举报说这个事的帖子? 3小时前 美国 回复
作者赞过

是你的低调的神明大人
不想被扒皮吧, 但这是事实啊
1小时前 江苏 回复

小红薯674C7D98 蓝方
太长不看: 不要给我现在的学校UIUC发邮件了 4小时前 美国 回复
作者赞过

逍遥子(跑步版) 蓝方
她说她绝没有说过“本科学校没有教我道德和价值观”和“自己本科阶段一直这样收集数据, 一直没问题”, 我想知道这是谁在栽赃陷害她, 往他身上泼粪。或者她在说谎, 自己说过不敢承认。谁去调查一下这个事情的确实性, 她到底说了没有?
5小时前 山西 回复

momo
那句话是MIT的搞歧视的那个教授说的, 说这是“那个学生的原话
(based on the student's quote)
这个是截图

擒猫官
为什么UIUC不开除啊
59分钟前 美国 回复

Chad
搞笑吧, 居然把锅推给chatgpt
1小时前 加拿大 回复

Camellia 蓝方
chatgpt润色作业是怎么改变数据的?
2小时前 河南 回复

小鲸鱼 蓝方
如果你强行给ChatGPT下命令达到某个效果, 那么ChatGPT会开始瞎编
2小时前 加拿大 回复
展开 1 条回复

凌云摧剑舞
这种人居然能连续三年拿到浙大的国奖 4小时前 浙江 回复

alchemist 蓝方
就是要“胆大心细”, 造假才能拿国奖呀 4小时前 四川 回复
作者赞过

Why is Yan Zihan continuously reporting posts about this issue on Xiaohongshu (XHS)?
She doesn't want others to know about her ugly deeds, but unfortunately, these are all facts.
To summarize, stop sending emails to my current school, UIUC.
She said she has never smeared Zhejiang University and never said, "My undergraduate school never taught me morals and values, and it's fine to collect data like this." Is she lying and too afraid to admit it? Who can investigate to find out whether she actually said it or not?
This quote was said by the MIT professor, Picard. He said, "Based on the student's quote, here's the screenshot."

Why hasn't UIUC expelled her?
It's laughable—she actually blames ChatGPT.

How can ChatGPT polishing assignments change the data?
If you force ChatGPT to follow certain commands to achieve a specific effect, then ChatGPT will start making things up.

It's unbelievable that someone like this could receive scholarships for so many years.

It's all about being "bold and meticulous"—only by falsifying can you win scholarships.

47分钟前

•••

**浙大严子涵**

近半月，一篇篇发在网上的帖子、一封封寄给我的恐吓信和给导师的投诉信、一条条掷给我亲友的质询，以及数以万计的网友评论，让我深陷风波，亦无所适从、辗转难眠……我都在反思，那是我吗？是那个曾满怀热忱、追逐学术梦想的我吗？我本怯而懦，欲当缩头乌龟于壳中缩首，逃避风波，但我明白，我需要勇敢承认错误、承担责任。

2023年，在一门课程作业中，我使用ChatGPT进行润色并修改了部分用户访谈内容，使得最终的结果呈现与原始数据不符。出于类似的问题，我的MIT导师立即要求我停止两篇已接受、但未发表论文的提交。我意识到问题的严重性，但为时已晚。当收到处分结果时，我感到世界变得恍惚，我想把自己藏起来，逃避这个世界……然而，随着时间推移我愈发感激，我尊重MIT做出的决定，这深刻的教训比一张毕业证书更为珍贵，它是我人生最大的收获。

小红书账号：421000346

•••

mit歧视严子涵（浙大竺奖、uiuc）道歉？

看到一个哈佛哥的帖子，这是真的吗？为什么问“那是我吗？”但又在后文间接承认？为什么会在xhs被yzh们反复举报？

#picard #歧视 #mit #MIT #浙大 #浙大竺院 #竺可桢奖学金 #uiuc #造假 ⚡支持功利造假吗？



小小小小丁

这人人品有大问题。我也是做研究的，真的无法想象为什么要改数据……这层道德底线都能突破的话……真的别留学术圈了。

11小时前 美国 回复



64

This person has serious issues with character. I'm also a researcher, and I really can't imagine why someone would alter data. If you can cross that moral line, then honestly, you shouldn't stay in academia.



13

It's usually a case of wishful thinking—only when something goes wrong do they come out with an apology letter.



Wasland

而且发个朋友圈给谁看…这不还是躲起来当缩头乌龟么，倒是去学术圈去推特公开正式地为zju澄清啊。搁朋友圈最终幻想呢，措辞还高拿轻放的，嘴脸真是令人作呕，完全看不出来认识到自己错在哪

11小时前 浙江 回复



43

Who's she posting on WeChat Moments for? Still acting like a coward, why not go public on Twitter to clarify for ZJU? Instead, she's fantasizing in Moments, with vague and downplayed wording. Her attitude is truly disgusting, and it's clear she hasn't acknowledged where she went wrong at all.



momo

怎么给她搞得这么大义凛然了？丧事喜办给她学明白了。

8小时前 新加坡 回复



15

She comes out to clarify and makes it sound so righteous? She's making a big deal out of it, turning a tragedy into a celebration.



刘

gpt“修改”数据？这不就是造假实锤

8小时前 加拿大 回复



6

GPT modifying data? Isn't that just a fact of falsification?



打瓦糕手

遮大连校史邀请信都敢造假。教出只敢造假数据的学生算好的了。

4小时前 福建 回复



5



小红薯644890B4

看到这朋友圈就知道没救了，这玩意儿一碰就碎。

6小时前 江苏 回复



6

Seeing her WeChat Moments, it's clear there's no saving her. This thing is doomed as soon as it's touched.



爸爸



- 大个脑 Chatgpt不背锅, 6小时前 北京 回复
- 方佳瑞 我认为 gpt 应该发出官方声明 6小时前 北京 回复
- 小红薯4258327 🍉 5小时前 福建 回复
- 数据思念统筹体 双手一摊, 假装无事发生 11小时前 湖北 回复
- Sophie 被发现了还要拉踩一下母校 🎓 真是无语了 🤯 3小时前 浙江 回复
- Yzzyc 他是没关系了, 遭殃的是浙大其他的无辜同学 😢 3小时前 浙江 回复
- 香jiojio 笑, 原始数据都能丢chatgpt改 10小时前 上海 回复
- fei 我感觉她又撒谎了呢。mit 教授的確是racist, 但是说中国大学没教她学术道德怎么看都是她自己开脱时说的话啊。现在是mit教授说她说了, 她自己说没说。结合这个道歉风格, 百分之九十九她又撒谎了 6小时前 上海 回复
- IrisPan 惯犯了 毫无诚信可言 6小时前 美国 回复
- Judy Marx 估计她的道歉文也是GPT生成的 6小时前 广东 回复
- mono 避重就轻了。先轻描淡写说了课程作业用了GPT, 然后说"出于类似问题"导致导师撤销论文, 一笔带过了重点了。然而根据同lab的消息, 这个"类似问题"比gpt润色严重多了, 而两篇"论文"也是顶会。真是毫无诚意了 1小时前 美国 回复
- momo 感觉她高中母校镇海中学对她影响应该比浙大高, mit应该拉黑浙江省著名私立学校镇海中学
- ChatGPT is not taking the blame.**
- I believe GPT should issue an official statement.**
- Shrugging it off, pretending nothing happened.**
- It's really speechless that she still tries to drag the school down after being caught.**
- This is hilarious—she can even alter the original data.**
- I feel like she's lying again. The MIT professor is indeed racist, but when she says that Chinese universities didn't teach her academic ethics, it sounds like she's just trying to make excuses for herself. Now the MIT professor says she said it, but she denies it. Given the style of this apology, I'm 99% sure she's lying again.**
- A repeat offender, with no integrity whatsoever.**
- Downplaying the serious issue, glossing over the paper falsification with vague phrasing like "due to similar issues." However, according to sources from the same lab, this problem is far more serious than just GPT polishing. These two papers are also for top-tier conferences, and there's no sincerity at all.**

-  好好生活皆如所愿
她这几年论文高产的离谱。别的不说，打字还要时间呢。
10小时前 美国 回复
-  momo
Chatgpt 润色可比人工反复研读修改快速多了能不高产吗?
8小时前 北京 回复
-  PuroMonster
感觉这道歉信对自己的问题轻描淡写，估计是ChatGPT写的吧
8小时前 加拿大 回复
-  momo
省流，不是因为造假而害怕。而是因为造假出了事而害怕。
6小时前 江西 回复
-  momo
他不是搞AI的吗，“chatgpt修改原数据”是什么鬼，这又不是调查问卷那种
5小时前 广东 回复
-  热乎的哈佛日记 作者
不是AI，之前有个网友读了她 Google scholar论文，大部分用的
-  emm我姑且不讨论其是否真心悔过，这个只有她自己知道，但是p2中说的“那时的我xxx复核疏漏”，让我感觉她除了两片造假之外，之前的论文也有问题，怎么说呢，事已至此，只能希望她真按他说的一样去勘误和撤稿吧。
10小时前 北京 回复
-  momo
这句话的意思就是：之前的文章也造假了，现在正在被审查中。
9小时前 美国 回复
-  回复 momo: 更正论文是亡羊补牢的第一步
9小时前 北京 回复
-  fei
而且她又撒谎了……给自己开拓时把造假推给浙大百分之九十九是她说过的话。那个mit 女教授的确实是racist，但是不至于无中生有。而且她都不敢发twitter，只发了个朋友圈。
6小时前 上海 回复
-  IrisPan
回复 fei: 6小时前 美国 回复
-  三赛
回复 fei: 估计已经有人翻译给
-  Her paper output these past few years is absurd. Let's not even talk about anything else—typing alone takes time.
-  ChatGPT polishing is much faster than manual revisions through repeated reading and editing. How could she not have a high output?
-  It feels like the apology letter downplays her issues. Probably written by ChatGPT too.
-  In summary, it's not because she's afraid of the fraud itself, but because the fraud got her into trouble.
- 
-  I don't want to discuss whether she's genuinely remorseful, but in page 2, she says, "At that time, I overlooked XXX during the review," which makes me feel that there were issues with her previous papers as well, not just those two. Given how things have turned out, I hope she really will correct the errors and retract the papers.
-  The meaning of this sentence is: her previous papers were also falsified, and they are currently under review.
-  She lied again, trying to shift the blame for the falsification onto Zhejiang University, which is something she actually said. It's true that the MIT female professor is racist, but that doesn't mean she made things up. As for her, she only dares to post on WeChat Moments, not even Twitter.



小红薯6736A26A

哇，写出了一种“我犯了个无心的错，但引发了网爆，为了保护母校和家人我主动承认了错误”的白莲花感。 4小时前 广东 回复



104

御风

我不太会用gpt，担心科技手段不在我的掌控范围内，不确定这种错误有多少主观成分在内，对错只有自己知道，毕竟错的时候谁都能站在道德制高点，而时间过了知错能改还是变本加厉也没啥人知道了 4小时前 浙江 回复



2



小红薯6736A26A

回复 御风：chatpgt就是一个工具，掌控权还是在人手里。润色一般文本没问题，但作为实验数据的访谈内容都“润色”了，还不止一次，你说她是不是故意的。文章避重就轻的手法就知道她根本没真心改过。

3小时前 广东 回复



4



nonono

回复 小红薯6736A26A：我觉得已经不是避重就轻，就是颠倒黑白谎话连篇，明明就是改数据了还说是没认真复核，这哪是一个性质 2小时前 浙江 回复



Pluto

她不是知道错了，她只是知道自己快完蛋了 5小时前 浙江 回复



223



乌鱼子不可以抢话啦

比较好奇她现在的导师怎么忍受下来的 5小时前 湖北 回复



19



_Emerick

和现在犯错误后道歉的网红一个德行 4小时前 江苏 回复



1

Wow, she's written a "I made an invisible mistake, but it led to online harassment, so to protect my alma mater and family, I'm voluntarily admitting my fault" kind of white lotus vibe.

ChatGPT is just a tool, and the control lies with the user. Polishing text is fine, but when experimental data and interview data are also "polished," and it's not just once, you have to wonder if she did it intentionally. The way she downplays everything in her initial publication clearly shows she hasn't truly changed.

I think it's not just downplaying the serious issues, but completely twisting right and wrong with a series of lies. She clearly altered the data, yet says she didn't carefully review it. This is a completely different matter.

另外，我同样好奇 UIUC 和严子涵同学现在的导师的态度，两年换三个导师，我想任何对学术研究有一定标准和底线的教授大概都不愿意收取这样的学生，而严子涵同学在道歉信中说自己在申请 UIUC 的时候已经向相关方坦白，这些人包括导师和招生处都知晓，那么 UIUC 的治学规章制度就这样包庇学术不端者吗？即便一个学生有多次的学术不端记录依旧可以在 UIUC 继续学习，那么她刚进入 UIUC 的华人导师为何与其撇清关系？既然申请时已经知晓，为何又划清界限？实是有趣。

Additionally, I am equally curious about the attitude of UIUC and Yan Zihan's current supervisor. Changing three supervisors in two years, I think any professor with a certain standard and bottom line for academic research would likely be unwilling to accept such a student. Yan Zihan mentioned in her

She doesn't know she was wrong; she just knows she's about to be finished.

I'm quite curious how her current advisor has tolerated this; it's a huge hit to their

apology letter that she had disclosed her past to the relevant parties when applying to UIUC, including her supervisor and the admissions office. Does this mean that UIUC's academic regulations protect someone with a history of academic misconduct? Even if a student has multiple instances of academic misconduct, they can still continue their studies at UIUC? Then why did her Chinese supervisor at UIUC distance themselves from her? Since they already knew about it during her application, why now make a clear distinction? It's truly intriguing.

-  新宿X尤名性晉
10 省流，用chatgpt改了原始数据 🐹 689
11小时前 加拿大 回复
-  Dr.niu
是个大学生都应该知道绝不容忍
篡改原始数据 🥰 161
10小时前 新加坡 回复
-  iBug
谢谢课代表 10小时前 芬兰 回复 2
-  翰
先射箭后画靶 🎯 7
10小时前 美国 回复
-  又耳同学
@Esther 10小时前 德国 回复 1
-  powerup
回复 Dr.niu: 是个大学生就知道
gpt还没有聪明到直接把用户访谈
源数据自动润色到符合你想要结
果的效果吧 🎉 还是说我用gpt的能
力有待提高? 9小时前 湖北 回复 22
-  快用你那无敌的唆麻想…
回复 powerup: 小样本访谈研
究，然后把转录稿内容篡改了那
种吧…… 9小时前 美国 回复 5
-  Momo
牛，连数据都敢改 😭 2



既发散又收敛的无穷级数



34

这对自己的问题也太轻描淡写了，这就是科研大神的文字功力吗？乍一看以为是课程作业有问题，仔细看才发现不仅作业问题，还有两篇发表的论文也有问题。

2023年，在一门课程作业中，我使用ChatGPT进行润色并修改了部分用户访谈内容，使得最终的结果呈现与原始数据不符。出于类似的问题，我的MIT导师立即要求我停止两篇已接受、但未发表论文的提交。我意识到问题的严重性，但为时已晚。当收到处分结果时，我感到世界变得恍惚，我想把自己藏起来，逃避这个世界……然而，随着时间推移我愈发感激，我尊重MIT做出的决定，这深刻的教训比一张毕业证书更为珍贵，它是我人生最大的收获。

13小时前 北京 回复



momo



15

这文字功力真的了得，“润色并修改了部分用户访谈内容”也差不多，篡改原始实验数据这么严重的错误，读起来就像仅仅是“润色”这样不痛不痒的问题。以她的写作水平和人品，应该去新闻界

8小时前 加拿大 回复



早晚开餐厅



我都没注意到...

9小时前 美国 回复



momo



回复 momo: xszl

8小时前 英国 回复

小红薯6463A036
把学术造假说的这么清新脱俗，呵呵。全文避重就轻，毫无悔改之意。
3小时前 陕西 回复

方伊龄
这回应避重就轻，把学术造假说得无关痛痒，很难相信真有悔过之心。
11小时前 河北 回复

Eidos
把造假说的真是轻巧，甩锅洗白有一手的🐶只可惜了浙大，我们在外的浙江学子提起浙大总是乐不出来。这下刻板印象更深了，浙大出的事情在华五里已经独一档了，可能这也是一种top3吧。替浙大同学们感到不值。
2小时前 北京 回复

小張同學-畢業版
竄改數據已經是底線的底線了，發個朋友圈給誰看呢！！明知故犯誰也救不了。
9小时前 加拿大 回复

不熬夜的momo
避重就轻。对科研连最基本的尊重都没有
5小时前 美国 回复

Downplaying the serious issues, with no basic respect for research.

She talks about academic fraud in such a fresh and elegant way, haha. The entire statement downplays the serious issues, with no sense of remorse at all.

Downplaying everything like this, making academic fraud seem insignificant—it's hard to believe there's any genuine remorse.

She makes falsification sound so effortless, and she's really good at shifting the blame and clearing her name. It's a pity for Zhejiang University to have accepted someone like this. I feel sorry for the students of Zhejiang

Tampering with data has already crossed the line. Who's she trying to impress with a WeChat Moment? When someone knowingly breaks the rules, no one can save them

关于严子涵同学道歉信在浙江大学校内论坛引起的回应：

Regarding the responses to Yan Zihan's apology letter in Zhejiang University's internal forum:

半夜刷到校友的朋友圈，大家怎么看？

标签：事件/讨论 2025-01-05 00:28:18 44325 ★ 56 已收藏 收起所有图片 分享帖子链接

校园信息 89 / 195938 #祝你过年不用饿了么 这回饿了 是真的可以喊 妈!!!

羊了个羊

感觉态度还是挺诚恳的，毕竟人无完人，谁还没犯过错呢...

朋友圈

近半月，一篇篇发在网上的帖子、一封封寄给我的恐吓信和给导师的投诉信、一条条掷给我亲友的质询，以及数以万计的网友评论，让我深陷风波，亦无所

用户	操作	理由
kuangyepb	风评值 -1	太不求是，被你暴击
麦克泰森	风评值 -1	太不求是，被你暴击
失物招领仔	风评值 +1	平衡一下，没必要扣
zq_zju	风评值 -1	太不求是，被你暴击
积木喵皮蛋	风评值 +1	平衡一下，没必要扣
扈司司	风评值 -1	不当盈利，滥用账号
木木零	风评值 -1	素质欠佳，有待提高
虚弥之外	风评值 -1	太不求是，被你暴击
一露	风评值 +1	平衡一下，没必要扣
EasonChen	风评值 -1	不当盈利，滥用账号
晖晖铁粉	风评值 +1	平衡一下，没必要扣
BevisChou	风评值 -1	太不求是，被你暴击
成环大师	风评值 -1	太不求是，被你暴击
；；	风评值 -1	太不求是，被你暴击
springkiss	风评值 -1	太不求是，被你暴击
Zilre	风评值 -1	太不求是，被你暴击

收起

发表于 2025-01-05 00:28:18

78 554

以下是热评：

The following are the top comments:

原来写的可怜点就可以掩盖学术不端被开除的事件了……如果不是有人举报发给她所有的合作者还有她现在的系她的导师，她还会发这些承认吗？她只会用这段时间去某些平台举报删帖……举报那些说她被 MIT 开除的帖子，因为“证据不足”

It turns out that writing a sob story can cover up the incident of academic misconduct and expulsion... If no one had reported it to all her collaborators, her current department, and her supervisor, would she have admitted to it? Instead, she would have used this time to report and delete posts on certain platforms... reporting posts that say she was expelled from MIT, citing "insufficient evidence."

道歉是道歉，理解是理解，接受是接受。消极地说，这事总归是要翻篇的，不接受也得接受，还能怎么样呢，道歉作结总比被所有人遗忘作结要好。大不了冷处理，久了自然忘了。中国人总是折衷的，温良的，你说要一个人无法无天断然不行，批倒批臭却又觉得不必如此。不过悲观地说，道歉就可以得到原谅怎么不算一种一厢情愿呢？学术不端泛滥如此，和这种心理脱不开关系。倘若安安可可这个那个，都乐见施以原谅，那什么都不会改变。

An apology is an apology, understanding is understanding, and acceptance is acceptance. Negatively speaking, this matter will eventually be put to rest, whether accepted or not—it has to be accepted, what else can be done? Ending with an apology is better than being forgotten by everyone. At worst, it will be handled coldly, and with time, people will naturally forget. The Chinese tend to be pragmatic and mild, finding a middle ground; you can't expect someone to be utterly lawless, but also don't think it's necessary to completely criticize and ruin them. However, pessimistically speaking, if an apology

alone is enough to gain forgiveness, isn't that a form of wishful thinking? The rampant nature of academic misconduct is closely related to this mentality. If everything is excused with leniency, nothing will ever change.

态度诚恳？不过是语言的艺术罢了，像她这种通过伪造数据能发那么多文章的，肯定在其他地方有过人之处，至少很会写文章。没办法，在不清楚事情的全貌前，大家很容易通过文字产生共情，这也让那些写小作文的有了可乘之机。总而言之，我觉得她活该。

Sincere attitude? It's just the art of language. Someone like her, who can publish so many papers by fabricating data, must have some other strengths—at the very least, she knows how to write. Unfortunately, when the full picture of the situation is unclear, people are easily swayed by the words, which allows those who write little essays to take advantage of the opportunity. All in all, I think she deserves it.

说实话，用户访谈是设计学论文数据的核心主观数据……（甚至在一些文章里会是唯一数据）。这一 part 用 ai 可不是简单的“润色”能概括的……

To be honest, user interviews are the core subjective data in design research papers... (In some articles, they may even be the only data). This part cannot simply be summarized as a "polish" by AI...

我听说她本科就是那种自己不干活，下派给别人，然后只负责写文章的那种

I've heard that during her undergraduate years, she was the type who didn't do the work herself, but delegated tasks to others and only took responsibility for writing the papers.

一次不端其实就可以结束学术生涯了，找点其他工作干，更何况这么多次。至于公众的宽容？浙大学生都知道考试作弊丢掉学位

One instance of misconduct could be the end of an academic career; it's better to find another job. Let alone the fact that there have been so many instances. As for public forgiveness? Students at Zhejiang University know that cheating on exams can result in losing one's degree.

对于有过学术不端行为的人就应该一票否决，绝不能再进科研圈。可惜现在并没有那么严格，“图片误用”的还在天上飘着呢。都是做事的时候求侥幸，道歉的时候求原谅，横竖是不亏
Anyone with a history of academic misconduct should be vetoed outright and never allowed back into the research community. Unfortunately, there aren't such strict standards right now—those who have "misused images" are still floating around in the field. It's always about taking chances when doing something, then seeking forgiveness when it comes time to apologize. In the end, they lose nothing.

终于在有人举报的一周内有了回应

Finally, there was a response within a week after someone reported it.

谁知道是不是鳄鱼的眼泪呢？

Who knows if it's just crocodile tears?

信一个字都笑一辈子

Believing in a single word can make you laugh for a lifetime.

人家好像说了，现在学校知道这个事情，申请前就被查过了

It seems that they mentioned the school is aware of the situation, and the application had been reviewed before submission.

但是她对外宣称是心理原因，抑郁症，当然如果你信的话也可以信，这次是被举报到她现在系她才说话了，如果现在学校早就知道，根本不会理会举报信

But she claims it's due to psychological reasons, like depression. Of course, if you choose to believe that, you can. This time, she only spoke up after being reported to her current department. If the school had known earlier, they wouldn't have paid any attention to the report.

她不是知道自己错了，她是知道自己要死了

She didn't realize her mistake; she realized she was about to be finished.

鳄鱼的眼泪罢了，即使是这段朋友圈也有把自己的问题往小了说的嫌疑吧... 学术不端被她形容成“润色”... 说明认错的态度是有但是不多啊在浙大我听说过期中考试作弊都差一点点失去学位的，而她多次学术不端还能在 UIUC 读书已经得了便宜就别卖乖了，更何况因为她多少浙大本科生受到影响了，属于是与有罪焉了还不如闭麦

Just crocodile tears. Even in this post, there's a tendency to downplay her issues... Academic misconduct is described as "polishing"... It shows that while she has some acknowledgment of her mistakes, it's not sincere. At Zhejiang University, I've heard that students who cheat on midterm exams nearly lose their degrees, yet she has committed academic misconduct multiple times and is still studying at UIUC. She's already gotten away with it, so there's no need for her to pretend. Moreover, so many Zhejiang University undergraduates have been affected because of her. It's better to remain silent than try to defend herself now.

学术是在一层层垒高塔，每一次在已有工作上的改进都基于对现有研究的信任，可要是真实性都不能保证还有什么信任，这还算什么研究，还搞什么学术。在现实生活里，如果犯了小错，可以道歉取得原谅，犯了大错触犯法律，那就该承受法律后果。道歉是必要的，但不该是结束，犯了错误就该受罚。并且需要足够的措施来保证这一错误不再发生。genai 技术出来了这么久，也宣传了无数次它的幻觉问题和不可靠，很多期刊也会要求你提交时签下声明不使用 genai。更别说学术造假，这和考试的时候用手机查答案有什么区别。这不是一个可以一笔带过的小错误，只是缺少明确的处罚制度。就像是醉驾或者多次酒驾就该吊销驾照。人生又不是只有搞学术一条路走，何必让自己让别人都不痛快。

Academia is like building a tower, and each improvement upon existing work is based on trust in current research. But if the integrity of that research can't be guaranteed, what trust remains? What kind of research is that, and what kind of academic pursuit is it? In real life, if you make a small mistake, you can apologize and earn forgiveness. But if you commit a serious offense and break the law, you should face legal consequences. Apology is necessary, but it shouldn't be the end. When you make a mistake, you should be punished. Moreover, sufficient measures must be taken to ensure that this mistake doesn't happen again. GenAI technology has been out for a while, and the issue of its hallucinations and unreliability has been highlighted countless times. Many journals even require a declaration not to use GenAI when submitting. Not to mention academic fraud—how is it any different from using a phone to look up answers during an exam? This is not a small mistake that can be glossed over; it's just that there isn't a clear punishment system. It's like how repeated drunk driving should lead to the revocation of one's license. Life isn't only about pursuing academia, so why make things unpleasant for yourself and others?

论文造假的问题用“出于类似的问题”避重就轻就说过去了？两篇撤稿了，那已经发出去的那么多篇没撤的都是没问题的？

Is the issue of paper fraud being brushed aside with a vague phrase like "due to similar issues"? Two papers have been retracted, but what about all the others that have been published and not retracted? Does that mean they're fine?

你不能只在没被处罚的时候才承认学术诚信的重要性

You can't only acknowledge the importance of academic integrity when there are no consequences for misconduct.

我犯了无心之失，LLM 失手帮我改了原始数据，引发了网暴和恐吓，为了保护学校家人和 coauthors 我主动地勇敢地站出来面对这一切！

I made an unintentional mistake, and the LLM mistakenly helped me modify the raw data, which led to online harassment and threats. In order to protect my school, family, and co-authors, I bravely and proactively stepped forward to face everything!

Note:我在翻译这句话的时候甚至 GPT 还给我弹出 note:

Note: When I was translating this sentence, GPT even popped up a note for me.

我犯了无心之失，LLM失手帮我改了原始数据，引发了网暴和恐吓，为了保护学校家人和coauthors我主动地勇敢地站出来面对这一切！

"I made an unintentional mistake, and the LLM mistakenly helped me modify the raw data, which led to online harassment and threats. In order to protect my school, family, and co-authors, I bravely and proactively stepped forward to face everything!"

(Note: This statement mirrors a common deflection strategy, but any manipulation of research data is still a serious issue regardless of intention.)

严子涵，你怎么好意思甩锅 chatgpt.....

GPT 润色完要检查的。但是就算没有检查，也不至于闹到这种程度吧，怕不是造数据了

After GPT does the editing, it should be reviewed. But even without review, it shouldn't escalate to this extent. Could it be that data was fabricated?

大学里造假获得荣誉是理所当然接受的，被扒出来就想着翻篇了？先把既得利益吐出来再说话

It's taken for granted that one can receive honors through falsification in university. But when exposed, now they just want to move on? They should give up the benefits they've gained before speaking.

其实怎么说呢，学术造假这件事像考试作弊，人家兢兢业业认认真真诚信考试，而你在考试带小抄。人家兢兢业业每天认认真真做实验，而你就把实验结果的数据按照你的意愿修改。我觉得这是人的素质和操守的问题，不值得同情。有的人宁可在难以毕业的边缘也根本不会想到学术造假这种违背道德的方式。

To be honest, academic misconduct is much like cheating in an exam. Some people work diligently and honestly during the exam, while you bring in a cheat sheet. Some people dedicate themselves to doing experiments with integrity, while you manipulate the data to fit your desires. I believe this is a matter of personal character and ethics, and it's not something to be sympathized with. There are those who, even when facing difficulty in graduating, would never resort to academic fraud, a morally wrong approach.

这姐们和我是老乡，初中同个学校，当时她造假的消息出来我还考证了下和她同届的学长，据他们同届的同学说她初中就是一个“不择手段”的人，具体有什么行径我也不了解，反正大家就看个乐呵，总之，我觉得能干出学术造假的人也不个什么人品好的人，这条朋友圈也有些避重就轻的言论，再怎么心浮气躁急功近利也不能学术造假，这是底线，更何况你是计院的学子，你诚信守则都怎么写的，怎么忘的一干二净

This woman is from the same hometown as me, and we went to the same middle school. When the news about her academic fraud came out, I even verified it with seniors from her cohort. According to classmates from her year, she was always someone who would "stop at nothing" to get ahead. I don't know the specifics of her actions, but people just enjoy watching for amusement. Anyway, I believe that someone who engages in academic fraud is not someone with good character. This post also contains some statements that downplay the seriousness of the situation. No matter how impatient or eager for quick success one is, academic fraud is crossing a line. Moreover, as a student from the School of Computing, how can you forget the principles of integrity and ethics that you were taught?

不要看 ta 说了什么，要看 ta 做了什么. 婉转动听的话谁都会说，不值钱的
Don't focus on what they say, focus on what they've done. Anyone can speak in sweet, persuasive words, but they're worth nothing.

惩罚真够了吗，学术造假本来就是学术界的死刑. 她说的究竟是不是实话，我偏向是参了一半真话的假话。课程作业可能只是一个契机，让导师发现在之前的论文里就出现了造假，不然不至于撤稿的

Has the punishment really been enough? Academic fraud is essentially a death sentence in the academic world. Whether what she says is the truth, I lean toward believing it's half-truths mixed with lies. The coursework might have just been an opportunity for her advisor to discover the fraud in her previous papers; otherwise, the papers wouldn't have been retracted.

搞的好像是别人逼她学术不端的一样。。。
It almost seems like she's making it look as if someone forced her into academic misconduct...

好多人都抱着“她都认错了，你们还想怎么样”的态度，那其他认认真真搞科研，最后却比不过用 ai 的生成的论文的同学又有谁来关心和原谅

Many people adopt the attitude of "She already admitted her mistake, what more do you want from her?" But who will care for and forgive the students who diligently conduct research, only to be outdone by those who use AI-generated papers?

这个道歉也就纯敷衍罢了，为什么可以原谅呢. 具体的说：明明只承认了使用 chatgpt 润色并修改了部分数据，并且对实验结果的复核存在疏漏，从没有承认她真正犯下的严重的学术不端问题——用 ChatGPT 生成了一堆本不存在的用户访谈数据，并用这些数据进行学术讨论。

mit 这个教训，只让她学会了如何防止学术欺诈被抓罢了

This apology is just a formality. Why should it be forgiven? To be specific: she only admitted to using ChatGPT for editing and modifying some data, and acknowledged some lapses in reviewing the experimental results. She never admitted to the serious academic misconduct she actually committed—using ChatGPT to generate a bunch of non-existent user interview data and then using that data for academic discussions. The lesson from MIT only taught her how to avoid getting caught for academic fraud, nothing more.

严厉打击（抗议）学术不端行为，这种事情没得商量

There should be a strong crackdown (protest) against academic misconduct—there's no room for compromise on this matter.

只是怕了不是知道错了

It's more about being afraid than actually realizing the mistake.

事情败露了才想起反悔，这些人游走在不道德的边缘，活该。

They only thought of backtracking once their actions were exposed. These people walk on the edge of immorality, and they deserve the consequences.

出事了之后光速甩锅说本科学校没有教她学术道德，不反思自己只想着把屎盆子分散扣给更大的校友群体现在开始道歉有寄吧用，对待这种人我只信她的第一反应

After the incident, she quickly shifted the blame, claiming her undergraduate school never taught her academic ethics. Instead of reflecting on her own actions, she tried to divert the responsibility to a larger alumni group. Now, starting to apologize seems meaningless. When it comes to people like her, I only trust her initial reaction.

1. 性质不一样的，要是个岩壁老伯挑数据被发现我觉得人无完人，这么个一年近十篇 A 的编数据的，是个锤子的人无完人。2. 通篇春秋笔法避重就轻，ChatGPT 润色的是课程作业，paper 是“类似问题”，“复核疏漏”，emmm… 3. 别洗母校了，浙大什么学术氛围我不知道吗，在浙大造假就是没事，我铁信她说类似“浙大都没说什么怎么就你 MIT 事多”的话。

1. The nature is different. If it were an older scholar manipulating data and got caught, I'd think no one is perfect. But for someone who's fabricated data for nearly ten A-grade papers in a year, that's beyond excusable—no, people aren't perfect, but this is something else.
2. The whole thing is written with subtle language that avoids the main issue. ChatGPT was used to edit coursework, but for papers, it's just “similar issues” and “oversight in verification,” umm…
3. Stop defending the alma mater. I know well what kind of academic environment Zhejiang University has. At Zhejiang University, academic fraud is essentially overlooked. I strongly believe she said something like, "Zhejiang University didn't make a big deal out of it, so why are you making a fuss about MIT?"

道歉有用的话，要警察干嘛

If apologies were effective, what would be the point of the police?

她不是知道错了，她只是知道快死了

She didn't realize her mistake; she just realized she's about to face the consequences.

竺老校长看完后气活了

After reading it, Professor Zhu must have been absolutely furious.

早干嘛去了

Why wasn't something done earlier?

语言的艺术

The art of language.

道歉就能翻篇的话，还要法院、监狱干什么

If apologies could resolve everything, then what would be the point of courts and prisons?

接触了这么多科研工作连基本的数据诚信都没有，很难评价对着道歉也不怎么看，口头上说谁都会，但是其行为我也观测不到，看不了

After being involved in so much research work and still lacking basic data integrity, it's hard to assess. I don't really pay much attention to the apology either—anyone can say things verbally. But when it comes to their actions, I can't observe anything to back it up.

不能把人逼的太死，但这件事可能还没有到轻轻放下的时候

We shouldn't push someone too hard, but this situation might not have reached the point where it can be lightly let go of yet.

《修改了部分用户访谈内容》chatgpt 润色感觉常见，修改内容不常见

"Modified some user interview content" – using ChatGPT for editing feels common, but modifying content is not as frequent.

还声誉声誉，现在有什么声誉呢？（别的造假竺奖还照样挂在墙上，哦，对了，有没有人知道 wqf 被开了没）Mit 都能开除，zju 口口声声原谅，这就是一流和三流的区别。别说什么 zju 比 mit 更懂教育

Reputation, reputation—what reputation is left now? (Other instances of fraud, and the Zhu Award is still hanging on the wall. Oh, by the way, does anyone know if WQF was expelled?) MIT could expel someone, yet ZJU continues to "forgive." This is the difference between a top-tier institution and a lower-tier one. Don't even bring up the idea that ZJU understands education better than MIT.

她不是知道错了，她只是被发现了

She didn't realize her mistake; she was just caught.

到现在都不愿意承认造假，甩锅 GPT

She still refuses to admit to the fraud and is shifting the blame to GPT.

造假，意味着本人声誉是负的，就该退出这个领域了

Fraud means one's reputation is tarnished, and they should exit this field.

好奇一下 这个翻译成英文在国外发了吗

I'm curious—has this been translated into English and posted abroad?

怎么看？在我朋友圈里我直接评论一句傻逼的程度

How should I view it? In my circle, I'd just comment with a simple "idiot" to express my thoughts.

6。学术不端就是学术不端。

Academic misconduct is academic misconduct.

读下来有点像 GPT 写的

Reading it feels a bit like something GPT would write.

这种心机女还是别信了

It's best not to trust someone with such manipulative behavior.

你这话术无敌了呀，不对“过错”的严重程度进行界定，直接给一个人无完人？那你咋不去给砂仁犯做辩护呢，学术不端都能洗啊？

Your rhetoric is unbeatable! Instead of addressing the seriousness of the "wrongdoing," you simply say "no one is perfect"? Why don't you go defend murders? Can academic fraud just be washed away like that?

非常绿茶的话术。人在做天在看，总有一天会天收。

That's a very manipulative way of speaking. People may deceive for a while, but the truth always comes out eventually. One day, the consequences will catch up.

哪里态度诚恳

Where is the sincerity in the attitude?

她不是错了，是知道自己要死了

She didn't realize her mistake; she just knew she was about to face the consequences.

承认错误不是最基本的素养吗？还有不要默认把浙大人都归为跟你一类，搞的好像大家都跟你一样自己的作业和报告经不住检验一样。

Isn't admitting mistakes the most basic form of integrity? Also, don't assume all Zhejiang University people are like you, making it seem as if everyone's work and reports are as untrustworthy as yours.

这种人就不值得原谅，建议你浙倒查她在浙期间有没有类似行为，如果说有的话，撤销荣誉甚至学位，以儆效尤。还原谅她，她哪怕不走学术这条路她的人生也好过我们大多数人，而因为她受到影响的人呢？

Such a person doesn't deserve forgiveness. I suggest ZJU look into whether she engaged in similar behavior during her time there. If so, revoking her honors or even degree could set a precedent. Forgiving her means that, even if she doesn't pursue academia, her life will still be better than most of ours. What about those affected by her actions?

你一个丫鬟 你不觉得自己可怜 你觉得你锦衣玉食的主子可怜

You're a servant, don't you feel pitiful? Instead, you feel sorry for your master, who lives in luxury and

wealth.

造假非我本意，都怪 GPT 太菜歪曲了我的意思，所以 OpenAI 才是罪魁祸首
The fraud wasn't my intention; it's all GPT's fault for being so poor at interpreting and distorting my meaning. So, OpenAI is the real culprit.

学术不端是比考试作弊更严重的行为吧，考试作弊丢掉学位。那么学术不端应该？
Academic misconduct is definitely more serious than exam cheating. If exam cheating can lead to losing a degree, then academic misconduct should result in even harsher consequences, such as?.

道歉是最没有成本的了
Apologies are the least costly thing to offer.

讲真，这种灵活的造假、说谎的水平和丝毫不觉得自己有错的 npd 程度不适合在学术界混，在社会上干别的行业倒是可能吃得开
Honestly, this level of flexible lying and fabricating, combined with a complete lack of remorse, suggests a narcissistic personality disorder (NPD) that isn't suited for academia. In other industries, they might be able to get by, but they don't belong in the academic world.

浙大就是会因为这样的人和你这样的包庇倒灶的
ZJU is likely to defend people like this and cover up for those who protect them, even when they don't deserve it.

之前看到过这个人，科研不端被开除了有什么好争辩的，在 MIT 还说国内都这样的，我只能评价好似。
I've seen this person before, and there's no point in debating the academic misconduct that led to their expulsion. They even said "it's like this in China" while at MIT. All I can say is, haosi.

mit 确实是世一大，能把课程论文好好批改并发现 ai 润色开除博士生这俩点感觉在国内大学研究生甚至是本科生教学阶段都做不到的
MIT truly is one of the top universities in the world. The fact that they can properly grade course papers, detect AI-enhanced edits, and expel a PhD student for this shows a level of rigor that seems unattainable in many universities in China, even at the graduate or undergraduate levels.

即使再把作弊的过程说的这么轻描淡写，作弊的性质始终没有改变
Even if the process of cheating is downplayed, the nature of the misconduct remains unchanged.

真的很好笑，每次什么道歉帖澄清帖一发就有人共情了原谅了，公关语言的艺术
It's really funny—every time an apology or clarification post is released, some people immediately empathize and forgive. It's the art of public relations language at work.

不要看她说什么 要看她将来真真切切做了什么 而且她所有的补救行为只能弥补一部分而非全部 . 就像吸毒一样 世界上是存在绝对错误的行为 而这些行为是不可能被原谅的 它是永远存在的 无法翻篇的

Don't focus on what she says; look at what she will truly do in the future. And all her remedial actions

can only make up for part of it, not the whole. Just like drug use, there are absolutely wrong behaviors in this world, and those actions cannot be forgiven. They will always exist and cannot be undone.

什么？？？一句“倍感温暖”就能为论文造假、学术不端洗白了？？？？？？？？？？ 干脆别做实验了，造假再道歉就OK了呗

What??? A simple “feeling warm” can whitewash paper fraud and academic misconduct??? Why even bother doing experiments, just fake it and apologize, and that should be enough, right?

这个“出于类似的情况”一看就很语言艺术

That “due to similar situations” clearly has the mark of linguistic manipulation.

这个话术真的有点牛啊，实际上应该是发表的论文中被导师发现用 AI 生成数据，但是举了一个课程论文类似的例子，一下子让人误解事情的严重性，不愧是写作的高手

This rhetoric is really something. In reality, it should have been about how the papers were found to contain AI-generated data by the advisor, but by using a course paper as a similar example, it downplays the severity of the situation. No wonder she's skilled in writing.

死得越惨我笑得越开心，原则问题再一再二还再三地犯，还有脸在这唧唧歪歪

The worse she falls, the happier I am. To repeatedly make the same fundamental mistakes and still have the audacity to complain.

zju 耻辱

ZJU's disgrace.

竺奖之耻

The disgrace of the Zhu Award.

是不是我也可以一直论文造假，没被发现就是没造假，，被发现了就写小作文

Can I keep falsifying papers, and if I'm not caught, then it's not considered fraud? And if I'm caught, I just write an apology letter?

感觉最近的消息都是重重拿起，轻轻放下。不要！我仍奢求一个公平的正义的世界。

It feels like the recent news is all about picking up with force and letting go lightly. No! I still hope for a fair and just world.

我想我们对诸多问题都有了清晰的答案：(1) 严子涵同学是否学术不端？(2) 严子涵同学是否在 MIT 被抓学术不端时甩锅浙大？(3) 严子涵同学申请 UIUC 是否涉及材料造假？(4) 严子涵同学道歉信是否再次撒谎？(5) 严子涵同学是否配得上竺奖？

I think I have clear answers to many questions:

- (1) Is Yan Zihan involved in academic misconduct?
- (2) Did Yan Zihan lie again in her apology letter?
- (3) Did Yan Zihan commit material falsification when applying to UIUC?
- (4) Did Yan Zihan blame Zhejiang University when she was caught for academic misconduct at MIT?
- (5) Does Yan Zihan deserve the Zhu Kezhen Scholarship?

而浙大在严子涵同学亲口承认有学术不端行为后毫无作为，没有调查没有在学生节将这个学生除名。我只能暂时的说一句 **shame on ZJU.**

And Zhejiang University has done nothing after Yan Zihan openly admitted to academic misconduct. I can only temporarily say, **shame on ZJU.**