



# A multiple integral approach to stability of neutral time-delay systems



Mei Fang<sup>a</sup>, Ju H. Park<sup>b,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Business and Trade School, Ningbo City College of Vocational Technology, Ningbo 315000, PR China

<sup>b</sup> Department of Electrical Engineering, Yeungnam University, 214-1 Dae-Dong, Kyongsan 712-749, Republic of Korea

## ARTICLE INFO

### Keywords:

Neutral systems  
Time-delay systems  
Stability analysis  
Linear matrix inequality (LMI)

## ABSTRACT

This brief discusses the problem of delay-dependent stability analysis of neutral time-delay systems. First a multiple integral inequality is proposed. Based on the integral inequality, a novel delay-dependent stability criterion is established via a new Lyapunov functional including the multiple integral terms. A numerical example is given to illustrate the less conservatism of the proposed method.

© 2013 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Time-delay which exists in many real systems is a natural phenomenon and often causes instability and poor performance of the systems.

In the past two decades, considerable attention has been devoted to the stability analysis of systems with time delays owing to the fact that they play an important role in many practical applications [1–17], and a lot of important methods have been proposed including model transformation approach, delay partitioning technique, bounding techniques, discretized Lyapunov functional method, free-weighting matrix approach, descriptor system approach.

Due to the fact that the neutral delay system involves the delays in both its state and the derivative of the state, stability of neutral delay systems proves to be a more complex issue, and the stability problems of neutral time-delay systems have received considerable attention by using above methods during the past decades.

In this brief, a new *multiple integral inequality*, which covers the existing ones as special cases, is introduced to discuss the problem of stability analysis of neutral time-delay systems, and a novel delay-dependent stability criterion is established via a new Lyapunov functional including the multiple integral terms. A numerical example is given to illustrate that the proposed method is effective and lead to less conservative result.

$\mathcal{R}^n$  denotes the  $n$ -dimensional Euclidean space,  $\mathcal{R}^{m \times n}$  is the set of all  $m \times n$  real matrices.  $\rho(M)$  denotes the spectral radius of the matrix  $M$ . The superscript “ $T$ ” represents the transpose and “ $*$ ” denotes the term that is induced by symmetry.

## 2. Preliminaries

Consider neutral time-delay system described by:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}(t) - C\dot{x}(t-d) &= Ax(t) + A_d x(t-d), \\ x(t) &= \phi(t), \quad t \in [-d, 0], \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: [jessie@ynu.ac.kr](mailto:jessie@ynu.ac.kr) (J.H. Park).

where  $x(t) \in \mathcal{R}^n$  is the state vector.  $d > 0$  is the constant time delay.  $\phi(t)$  is a compatible vector valued initial function.  $A, A_d$  and  $C$  are known real constant matrices with appropriate dimensions. It is assumed that  $\rho(C) < 1$ , which guarantees that the differential equation  $\mathcal{D}x_t = x(t) - Cx(t-d) = 0$  is asymptotically stable for all  $d$ .

We propose the following lemma, which plays a key role in the derivation of the main result.

**Lemma 1.** For any symmetric positive-definite constant matrix  $Z \in \mathcal{R}^n$  and a scalar  $d > 0$ , if there exists a vector function  $x(s) : [0, d] \rightarrow \mathcal{R}^n$  such that the following integrations are well defined, then

$$-g_l(t) \leq -f_l(t)^T Z f_l(t), \quad (2)$$

where  $l$  is non-negative integer and

$$g_l(t) = \frac{d^{l+1}}{(l+1)!} \int_{t-d}^t \int_{v_l}^t \cdots \int_{v_1}^t x(s)^T Z x(s) ds dv_1 \cdots dv_l$$

$$f_l(t) = \int_{t-d}^t \int_{v_l}^t \cdots \int_{v_1}^t x(s) ds dv_1 \cdots dv_l.$$

**Proof.** It can be found that

$$\begin{bmatrix} x(s)^T Z x(s) & x(s)^T \\ * & Z^{-1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x(s)^T Z^{1/2} & 0 \\ Z^{-1/2} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} Z^{1/2} x(s) & Z^{-1/2} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \geq 0. \quad (3)$$

Hence,

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{(l+1)!}{d^{l+1}} g_l(t) & f_l^T(t) \\ * & h_l \end{bmatrix} \geq 0, \quad (4)$$

where

$$h_l = \int_{t-d}^t \int_{v_l}^t \cdots \int_{v_1}^t Z^{-1} ds dv_1 \cdots dv_l = \frac{d^{l+1}}{(l+1)!} Z^{-1}.$$

After some simple manipulation including Schur complements, the above inequality yields (2). This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Remark 1.** When  $l = 0$ , that is,  $g_0(t) = d \int_{t-d}^t x(s)^T Z x(s) ds$  and  $f_0(t) = \int_{t-d}^t x(s) ds$ , inequality (2) reduces to the well-known Jensen inequality [1]. When  $l = 1$ , inequality (2) reduces to the inequality of [3]. Thus, the existing inequalities can be regarded as the special cases of our inequality.

### 3. Main result

In this section, a new and improved delay-dependent stability criterion is presented for the system (1). For presentation convenience, in the following, we denote

$$\varphi(t) = [x(t)^T \quad \dot{x}(t-d)^T \quad x(t-d)^T \quad f_0(t)^T \quad f_1(t)^T \quad \cdots \quad f_{m-1}(t)^T]^T,$$

$$e_i = [0_{n \times (i-1)n} \quad I_n \quad 0_{n \times (m+3-i)n}]^T,$$

$$\delta_i = \frac{d^{i-1}}{(i-1)!} e_1 - e_{i+2},$$

$$\rho(t) = \begin{bmatrix} x(t) \\ \dot{x}(t) \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $f_l$  follows the same definition as that in Lemma 1. This way, system (1) can be rewritten as

$$\dot{x}(t) = \Xi \varphi(t), \quad (5)$$

where

$$\Xi = A e_1 + C e_2 + A_d e_3.$$

Now, we have the following main result.

**Theorem 1.** For a given positive integer  $m$ , the neutral time-delay systems (1) is stable, if there exist symmetric positive-definite matrices  $P, Z_l$ , ( $l = 0, 1, \dots, m$ ) such that

$$\Omega = \Sigma_1^T P \Sigma_2 + \Sigma_2^T P \Sigma_1 + \sum_{l=0}^m \left[ \frac{d^l}{l!} \right]^2 \begin{bmatrix} e_1 \\ \Xi \end{bmatrix}^T Z_l \begin{bmatrix} e_1 \\ \Xi \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} e_3 \\ e_2 \end{bmatrix}^T Z_0 \begin{bmatrix} e_3 \\ e_2 \end{bmatrix} - \sum_{l=1}^m \begin{bmatrix} e_{l+3} \\ \delta_l \end{bmatrix}^T Z_l \begin{bmatrix} e_{l+3} \\ \delta_l \end{bmatrix} < 0, \quad (6)$$

where

$$\Sigma_1 = [e_1^T \quad e_3^T \quad e_4^T \quad \dots \quad e_{m+3}^T]^T, \Sigma_2 = [\Xi^T \quad e_2^T \quad \delta_1^T \quad \delta_2^T \quad \dots \quad \delta_m^T]^T.$$

**Proof.** Choose a Lyapunov functional candidate to be

$$V(x_t) = V_1(x_t) + \sum_{l=0}^m W_l(x_t), \quad (7)$$

where

$$V_1(x_t) = \eta(t)^T P \eta(t),$$

$$W_0(x_t) = \int_{t-d}^t \rho(s)^T Z_0 \rho(s) ds,$$

$$W_l(x_t) = \frac{d^l}{l!} \int_{t-d}^t \int_{v_1}^t \dots \int_{v_l}^t \rho(s)^T Z_l \rho(s) ds dv_1 \dots dv_l, l \geq 1,$$

where  $\eta(t)$  is given by  $\varphi(t)$  without  $\dot{x}(t-d)$ , and  $x_t = x(t+\theta)$ ,  $-d \leq \theta \leq 0$ . Then, the time-derivative of  $V(x_t)$  along the solution of the system (1) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{V}_1(x_t) &= 2\varphi(t)^T \Sigma_1^T P \Sigma_2 \varphi(t), \dot{W}_0(x_t) = \varphi(t)^T \begin{bmatrix} e_1 \\ \Xi \end{bmatrix}^T Z_0 \begin{bmatrix} e_1 \\ \Xi \end{bmatrix} \varphi(t) - \varphi(t)^T \begin{bmatrix} e_3 \\ e_2 \end{bmatrix}^T Z_0 \begin{bmatrix} e_3 \\ e_2 \end{bmatrix} \varphi(t), \\ \dot{W}_l(x_t) &= \left[ \frac{d^l}{l!} \right]^2 \rho(t)^T Z_l \rho(t) - \frac{d}{l} W_{l-1}(x_t) \leq \left[ \frac{d^l}{l!} \right]^2 \varphi(t)^T \begin{bmatrix} e_1 \\ \Xi \end{bmatrix}^T Z_l \begin{bmatrix} e_1 \\ \Xi \end{bmatrix} \varphi(t) - \varphi(t)^T \begin{bmatrix} e_{l+3} \\ \delta_l \end{bmatrix}^T Z_l \begin{bmatrix} e_{l+3} \\ \delta_l \end{bmatrix} \varphi(t), \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where Lemma 1 is applied.

We can get from (6) and (8) that

$$\dot{V}(x_t) \leq \varphi(t)^T \Omega \varphi(t) < 0. \quad (9)$$

Thus, system (1) is stable. This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Remark 2.** Theorem 1 gives a delay-dependent stability criterion for the neutral time-delay systems (1) by a new Lyapunov functional including the multiple integral terms. It can be seen that the parameter  $m$  has relation with the upper bound of the time-delay  $d$  and the conservatism of the results. More specifically, the larger  $m$  corresponds to the larger  $d$  and the less conservatism of Theorem 1.

**Remark 3.** It is noted that when  $m = 2$ , the Lyapunov functional (7) reduce to the following Lyapunov functional

$$V(x_t) = \eta(t)^T P \eta(t) + \int_{t-d}^t \rho(s)^T Z_0 \rho(s) ds + \sum_{l=1}^2 W_l(x_t), \quad (10)$$

where

$$W_1(x_t) = d \int_{t-d}^t \int_{v_1}^t \rho(s)^T Z_1 \rho(s) ds dv_1,$$

$$W_2(x_t) = \frac{d^2}{2} \int_{t-d}^t \int_{v_2}^t \int_{v_1}^t \rho(s)^T Z_2 \rho(s) ds dv_1 dv_2.$$

Letting  $Z_0 = Q$ ,  $dZ_1 = Z$ ,  $\frac{d^2}{2}Z_2 = \text{diag}\{\varepsilon I, R\}$  ( $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ ), and

**Table 1**Comparisons of maximum allowed time-delay  $d$  for Example 1.

$c$	0	0.1	0.3	0.5
[3]	5.30	5.21	4.85	4.20
Theorem 1 $m = 6$	5.8613	5.7781	5.3946	4.6687
Theorem 1 $m = 7$	5.8779	5.7910	5.4007	4.6703
Theorem 1 $m = 8$	5.9287	5.8427	5.4417	4.6917
Theorem 1 $m = 9$	6.1028	5.9816	5.5173	4.7247

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} P_{11} & P_{12} & P_{13} & 0 \\ * & P_{22} & P_{23} & 0 \\ * & * & P_{33} & 0 \\ * & * & * & \varepsilon I \end{bmatrix},$$

we have that Lyapunov functional (10) reduces to the Lyapunov functional of [3]. Therefore, our result theoretically has less conservatism than results of [3].

#### 4. Numerical example

In this section, an example is applied to show the effectiveness of the result and its advantage over existing methods.

**Example 1.** Consider system (1) with

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 0 \\ 0 & -0.9 \end{bmatrix}, \quad A_d = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad C = \begin{bmatrix} c & 0 \\ 0 & c \end{bmatrix}, \quad 0 \leq c < 1$$

The maximum upper bounds on the delay can be found in Table 1 for different  $c$ , from which we can find that the stability criterion proposed in this paper gives much better results than that in [3]. Moreover, when  $m = 12$ , the maximum upper bound on the delay of system with  $c = 0$  is 6.17, which is the *theoretical bound* to ensure the stability of system.

#### 5. Conclusion

In this brief, the problem of delay-dependent stability analysis of neutral time-delay systems has been discussed. A new multiple integral inequality has been proposed, based on which, a new delay-dependent stability criterion has been proposed by a novel Lyapunov functional including the multiple integral terms. A numerical example has been given to show the less conservatism of the proposed method. It should be pointed out that the proposed multiple integral inequality can be widely applied to all kinds of systems with time delay and leads to less conservative results.

#### Acknowledgement

This work was supported by 2013 Yeungnam University Research Grant.

#### References

- [1] K. Gu, V.K. Kharitonov, J. Chen, *Stability of Time-Delay Systems*, Birkhauser, Boston, 2003.
- [2] H. Zhao, Q. Chen, S. Xu,  $\mathcal{H}_\infty$  guaranteed cost control for uncertain Markovian jump systems with mode-dependent distributed delays and input delays, *Journal of the Franklin Institute* 346 (2009) 945–957.
- [3] J. Sun, G.P. Liu, J. Chen, Delay-dependent stability and stabilization of neutral time-delay systems, *International Journal of Robust and Nonlinear Control* 19 (2009) 1364–1375.
- [4] Ju H. Park, O.M. Kwon, Further results on state estimation for neural networks of neutral-type with time-varying delay, *Applied Mathematics and Computation* 208 (2009) 69–75.
- [5] L. Wu, P. Shi, C. Wang, H. Gao, Delay-dependent robust  $\mathcal{H}_\infty$  and  $\mathcal{L}_2 - \mathcal{L}_\infty$  filtering for LPV systems with both discrete and distributed delays, *IEEE Proceedings Control Theory & Applications* 153 (2006) 483–492.
- [6] O.M. Kwon, Ju H. Park, S.M. Lee, Augmented Lyapunov functional approach to stability of uncertain neutral systems with time-varying delays, *Applied Mathematics and Computation* 207 (2009) 202–212.
- [7] O.M. Kwon, M.J. Park, S.M. Lee, E.J. Cha, New delay-partitioning approaches to stability criteria for uncertain neutral systems with time-varying delays, *Journal of the Franklin Institute* 349 (2012) 2799–2823.
- [8] Y. He, M. Wu, G. Liu, J. She, Output feedback stabilization for a discrete-time system with a time-varying delay, *IEEE Transactions Automatic Control* 53 (2008) 2372–2377.
- [9] Z. Wu, P. Shi, H. Su, J. Chu, Stochastic synchronization of Markovian jump neural networks with time-varying delay using sampled data, *IEEE Transactions on Cybernetics*. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/TSMCB.2012.2230441>.
- [10] Z. Wu, P. Shi, H. Su, J. Chu, Passivity analysis for discrete-time stochastic Markovian jump neural networks with mixed time-delays, *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks* 22 (2011) 1566–1575.
- [11] Z. Wu, H. Su, J. Chu, Delay-dependent  $\mathcal{H}_\infty$  filtering for singular Markovian jump time-delay systems, *Signal Processing* 90 (2010) 1815–1824.

- [12] H. Shen, S. Xu, J. Lu, J. Zhou, Passivity based control for uncertain stochastic jumping systems with mode-dependent round-trip time delays, *Journal of the Franklin Institute* 349 (2012) 1665–1680.
- [13] H. Shen, X. Huang, J. Zhou, Z. Wang, Global exponential estimates for uncertain Markovian jump neural networks with reaction-diffusion terms, *Nonlinear Dynamics* 69 (2012) 473–486.
- [14] H. Shen, S. Xu, J. Zhou, J. Lu, Fuzzy  $\mathcal{H}_\infty$  filtering for nonlinear Markovian jump neutral delayed systems, *International Journal of Systems Science* 42 (2011) 767–780.
- [15] H. Shen, S. Xu, X. Song, Y. Chu, Delay-dependent  $\mathcal{H}_\infty$  filtering for stochastic systems with Markovian switching and mixed mode-dependent delays, *Nonlinear Analysis: Hybrid Systems* 4 (2010) 122–133.
- [16] H. Shen, Y. Chu, S. Xu, Z. Zhang, Delay-dependent  $\mathcal{H}_\infty$  control for jumping delayed systems with two Markov processes, *International Journal of Control, Automation and Systems* 9 (2011) 437–4410.
- [17] B. Song, Ju H. Park, Z. Wu, Y. Zhang, Global synchronization of stochastic delayed complex networks, *Nonlinear Dynamics* 70 (2012) 2389–2399.