



# Robust reliable stabilization of uncertain switched neutral systems with delayed switching

Zhengrong Xiang<sup>\*</sup>, Ya-Nan Sun, Qingwei Chen

*School of Automation, Nanjing University of Science and Technology, Nanjing 210094, People's Republic of China*

## ARTICLE INFO

### Keywords:

Switched neutral systems  
Robust control  
Reliable control  
Asynchronous switching  
Linear matrix inequality (LMI)  
Exponential stability

## ABSTRACT

This paper investigates the problem of robust reliable control for a class of uncertain switched neutral systems under asynchronous switching, where the switching instants of the controller experience delays with respect to those of the system and the parameter uncertainties are assumed to be norm-bounded. A state feedback controller is proposed to guarantee exponential stability and reliability for switched neutral systems, and the dwell time approach is utilized for the stability analysis and controller design. A numerical example is given to illustrate the effectiveness of the proposed method.

© 2011 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Switched systems are composed of a finite number of continuous-time or discrete-time subsystems and a switching signal specifying the switching between these subsystems. Such system has attracted considerable attention during the past several decades, because various real-world systems, such as chemical processing [1], communication networks, traffic control [2,3], control of manufacturing systems [4,5], automotive engine control and aircraft control [6] can be modeled as switched systems. Many works in the field of stability analysis and control synthesis for switched systems have appeared (see [7–17]).

It is noticed that the time-delay phenomenon exists widely in engineering and social systems, which may cause instability or bad system performance in control systems. Neutral system is an important class of time-delay systems, which depends not only on the delays of state and but also on the delays of state derivative. Some practical examples of neutral systems include distributed networks, heat exchanges, and processes including steam [18]. Recently, there have been increasing research activities in this direction (see [19–21] and the references cited therein).

On the other hand, the actuators may be subjected to failures in real environment. A control system is said to be reliable if it retains certain properties when there exist failures. It should be noted that in normal cases, a controller with fixed gain is easily implemented, and could meet the requirement in practical applications. But when failure occurs, the conventional controller will become conservative and may not satisfy certain control performance indexes. Reliable control is a kind of effective control approach to improve system reliability, whose objective is to design a controller with suitable structure to guarantee stability and satisfactory performance in the case of actuator malfunction. Since the concept of reliable control was given by Siljak in 1970s, the problem of reliable control has drawn amount of scholars' attention. Several approaches to the design of the reliable controllers have been proposed, and some of which have been further extended to investigate the problem of reliable control for switched systems (see [22–25]).

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author.

E-mail address: [xiangzr@mail.njust.edu.cn](mailto:xiangzr@mail.njust.edu.cn) (Z. Xiang).

Recently, some researchers began to pay attention to switched neutral system because of its numerous applications in real systems. The issues of stability analysis and control synthesis for switched neutral system have been studied in [26–30]. However, as pointed out in [31], there inevitably exists asynchronous switching between the controller and the system in actual operation, i.e. the switching instants of the controller exceed or lag behind those of the system. Some results on stabilization of switched neutral systems with the delayed controller switching have been proposed in [32–36]. To the best of our knowledge, the issue of robust reliable control for switched neutral systems under asynchronous switching has not been investigated, which motivated our study.

In this paper, we are interested in designing a reliable stabilizing controller for switched neutral system with delayed switching and actuator fault such that the closed-loop system is exponentially stable. The dwell time approach is utilized for the stability analysis and controller design. The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, problem formulation and some necessary lemmas are given. In Section 3, based on the dwell time approach, stability and stabilization for switched neutral systems with delayed switching are addressed, and sufficient conditions for the existence of a reliable stabilizing controller are derived in terms of a set of matrix inequalities. A numerical example is provided to illustrate the effectiveness of the proposed approach in Section 4. Concluding remarks are given in Section 5.

**Notations:** Throughout this paper, the superscript “ $T$ ” denotes the transpose, and the notation  $X \geq Y$  ( $X > Y$ ) means that matrix  $X - Y$  is positive semi-definite (positive definite, respectively).  $\|x(t)\|$  denotes the Euclidean norm.  $\lambda_{\max}(P)$  and  $\lambda_{\min}(P)$  denote the maximum and minimum eigenvalues of matrix  $P$ , respectively.  $I$  represents identity matrix with appropriate dimension.  $\text{diag}\{a_i\}$  denotes diagonal matrix with the diagonal elements  $a_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . The asterisk  $*$  in a matrix is used to denote term that is induced by symmetry. The set of all nonnegative integers is represented by  $Z^+$ .

## 2. Problem formulation and preliminaries

Consider the following uncertain switched neutral system with actuator fault

$$\dot{x}(t) - C_{\sigma(t)}\dot{x}(t - \tau_1) = \hat{A}_{\sigma(t)}x(t) + \hat{B}_{\sigma(t)}x(t - \tau_2) + D_{\sigma(t)}u^f(t), \quad (1)$$

$$x(t_0 + \theta) = \varphi(\theta), \quad \theta \in [-\tau, 0], \quad (2)$$

where  $x(t) \in R^n$  is the state vector,  $u^f(t) \in R^l$  is the control input of actuator fault,  $\tau = \max\{\tau_1, \tau_2\}$ ,  $\varphi(\theta)$  is a continuous vector-valued initial function. The function  $\sigma(t) : [t_0, \infty) \rightarrow \underline{N} = \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$  is a switching signal which is deterministic, piecewise constant and right continuous, corresponding to it, the switching sequence  $\Sigma = \{(t_0, \sigma(t_0)), (t_1, \sigma(t_1)), \dots, (t_k, \sigma(t_k)), \dots\}$ ,  $k \in Z^+$ , where  $t_0$  is the initial time and  $t_k$  denotes the  $k$ th switching instant, this means that the matrices  $(\hat{A}_{\sigma(t)}, \hat{B}_{\sigma(t)}, C_{\sigma(t)}, D_{\sigma(t)})$  are allowed to take values in the finite set  $\{(\hat{A}_1, \hat{B}_1, C_1, D_1), \dots, (\hat{A}_k, \hat{B}_k, C_k, D_k), \dots\}$  at arbitrary time. Moreover,  $\sigma(t) = i$  means that the  $i$ th subsystem is activated.  $N$  denotes the number of subsystems.

For each  $i \in \underline{N}$ ,  $\hat{A}_i, \hat{B}_i$  are uncertain real-valued matrices with appropriate dimensions and satisfy

$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{A}_i & \hat{B}_i \end{bmatrix} = [A_i \quad B_i] + H_i F_i(t) [E_{1i} \quad E_{2i}], \quad (3)$$

where  $A_i, B_i, C_i, D_i, H_i, E_{1i}, E_{2i}$  are known real-valued constant matrices with appropriate dimensions;  $F_i(t)$  are unknown and possibly time-varying matrix with Lebesgue measurable elements, and their Euclidean norm satisfy

$$F_i^T(t) F_i(t) \leq I. \quad (4)$$

The control input of actuator fault  $u^f(t)$  can be described as

$$u^f(t) = \Omega_{\sigma(t)} u(t), \quad (5)$$

where  $u(t) = K_{\sigma(t)} x(t)$  is the control input to be designed,  $\Omega_i (i \in \underline{N})$  are the actuator fault matrices with the following form

$$\Omega_i = \text{diag}\{\omega_{i1}, \omega_{i2}, \dots, \omega_{in}\}, \quad (6)$$

where  $0 \leq \omega_{lik} \leq \omega_{ik} \leq \omega_{uik}$ ,  $\omega_{uik} \geq 1$ .

For simplicity, we define

$$\Omega_{i0} = \text{diag}\{\tilde{\omega}_{i1}, \tilde{\omega}_{i2}, \dots, \tilde{\omega}_{in}\}, \quad \tilde{\omega}_{ik} = \frac{1}{2}(\omega_{lik} + \omega_{uik}), \quad (7)$$

$$\Xi_i^2 = \text{diag}\{\xi_{i1}^2, \xi_{i2}^2, \dots, \xi_{in}^2\}, \quad \xi_{ik}^2 = \frac{\omega_{uik} - \omega_{lik}}{\omega_{lik} + \omega_{uik}}, \quad (8)$$

$$\Theta_i = \text{diag}\{\theta_{i1}, \theta_{i2}, \dots, \theta_{in}\}, \quad \theta_{ik} = \frac{\omega_{ik} - \tilde{\omega}_{ik}}{\tilde{\omega}_{ik}}. \quad (9)$$

Thus, we have

$$\Omega_i = \Omega_{i0}(I + \Theta_i), \quad |\Theta_i| \leq \Xi_i^2 \leq I, \quad (10)$$

where  $|\Theta_i| = \text{diag}\{|\theta_{i1}|, |\theta_{i2}|, \dots, |\theta_{in}|\}$ .

However, in actual operation, there inevitably exists asynchronous switching between the controller and the system. Without loss of generality, we only consider the case that the switching instants of the controller experience delays with respect to the switching instants of the system. Denote  $\sigma'(t)$  the switching signal of the controller, the switching instants of the controller can be described as

$$t_1 + \Delta_1, t_2 + \Delta_2, \dots, t_k + \Delta_k, \dots, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}^+, \quad (11)$$

where  $\Delta_k < \inf_{k>0}(t_{k+1} - t_k)$ ,  $\Delta_k$  represents the delayed period, and it is said to be mismatched period.

**Remark 1.** Mismatched period  $\Delta_k < \inf_{k>0}(t_{k+1} - t_k)$  guarantees that there always exists a period that the controller and the system operate synchronously, and this period is said to be matched period in the later section.

**Definition 1** [37]. The equilibrium  $x^* = 0$  of systems (1) and (2) is said to be exponentially stable under  $\sigma(t)$  if the solution  $x(t)$  of systems (1) and (2) satisfies

$$\|x(t)\| \leq \alpha \|x(t_0)\|_h e^{-\beta(t-t_0)}, \quad t \geq t_0 \quad (12)$$

for constants  $\alpha \geq 1$  and  $\beta > 0$ , where  $\|x(t_0)\|_h = \sup_{-\tau \leq \theta \leq 0} \{\|x(t_0 + \theta)\|, \|\dot{x}(t_0 + \theta)\|\}$ , and  $\|\cdot\|$  denotes the Euclidean norm.

**Definition 2** [38]. For any  $T_2 > T_1 \geq 0$ , let  $N_\sigma(T_1, T_2)$  denote the switching number of  $\sigma(t)$  on an interval  $(T_1, T_2)$ . If

$$N_\sigma(T_1, T_2) \leq N_0 + \frac{T_2 - T_1}{\tau_a}, \quad (13)$$

hold for given  $N_0 \geq 0$ ,  $\tau_a > 0$ , then the constant  $\tau_a$  is called the average dwell time and  $N_0$  is the chatter bound.

The following lemmas will be essential for the proofs in our later development.

**Lemma 1** [39]. For matrices  $R_1, R_2$  with appropriate dimension, there exists a positive scalar  $\varepsilon > 0$ , such that

$$R_1 \Sigma(t) R_2 + R_2^T \Sigma^T(t) R_1^T \leq \varepsilon R_1 U R_1^T + \varepsilon^{-1} R_2^T U R_2$$

holds, where  $\Sigma(t)$  is time-varying diagonal matrix,  $U$  is known real-value matrix satisfying  $|\Sigma(t)| \leq U$ .

**Lemma 2** [40]. Let  $U, V, W$  and  $X$  be real matrices of appropriate dimensions with  $X$  satisfying  $X = X^T$ , then for all  $V^T V \leq I$ ,  $X + UVW + W^T V^T U^T < 0$ , if and only if there exists a scalar  $\delta > 0$  such that  $X + \delta U U^T + \delta^{-1} W^T W < 0$ .

The aim of this paper is to design a reliable switching controller for uncertain switched neutral systems (1) and (2) with asynchronous switching such that the closed-loop system is exponentially stable.

### 3. Main results

#### 3.1. Stability analysis

In this subsection, we focus on the problem of stability analysis for the non-switched neutral systems.

**Lemma 3.** Consider the following neutral system

$$\dot{x}(t) - C\dot{x}(t - \tau_1) = Ax(t) + Bx(t - \tau_2), \quad (14)$$

$$x(t_0 + \theta) = \varphi(\theta), \quad \theta \in [-\tau, 0], \quad (15)$$

where  $A, B, C$  are constant matrices with appropriate dimensions. For given positive constant  $\alpha$ , if there exist positive definite symmetric matrices  $P, R_1, R_2$  with appropriate dimensions, such that

$$\begin{bmatrix} A^T P^{-1} + P^{-1} A + \alpha P^{-1} + R_1^{-1} & P^{-1} B & P^{-1} C & A^T \\ * & -e^{-\alpha \tau_2} R_1^{-1} & 0 & B^T \\ * & * & -e^{-\alpha \tau_1} R_2^{-1} & C^T \\ * & * & * & -R_2 \end{bmatrix} < 0, \quad (16)$$

then, along the trajectory of systems (14) and (15), there holds the following inequality

$$V(x(t)) < e^{-\alpha(t-t_0)} V(x(t_0)). \quad (17)$$

**Proof.** Consider Lyapunov–Krasovskii functional candidate

$$V(x(t)) = \sum_{i=1}^3 V_i(x(t)), \quad (18)$$

where  $V_1(x(t)) = x^T(t)P^{-1}x(t)$ ,  $V_2(x(t)) = \int_{t-\tau_2}^t x^T(s)e^{-\alpha(t-s)}R_1^{-1}x(s)ds$ ,  $V_3(x(t)) = \int_{t-\tau_1}^t \dot{x}^T(s)e^{-\alpha(t-s)}R_2^{-1}\dot{x}(s)ds$ .  
Along the trajectory of (14) and (15), we have

$$\dot{V}(x(t)) = \sum_{i=1}^3 \dot{V}_i(x(t)), \quad (19)$$

where

$$\dot{V}_1(x(t)) = 2x^T(t)P^{-1}\dot{x}(t),$$

$$\dot{V}_2(x(t)) = x^T(t)R_1^{-1}x(t) - x^T(t-\tau_2)e^{-\alpha\tau_2}R_1^{-1}x(t-\tau_2) - \alpha \int_{t-\tau_2}^t x^T(s)e^{-\alpha(t-s)}R_1^{-1}x(s)ds,$$

$$\dot{V}_3(x(t)) = \dot{x}^T(t)R_2^{-1}\dot{x}(t) - \dot{x}^T(t-\tau_1)e^{-\alpha\tau_1}R_2^{-1}\dot{x}(t-\tau_1) - \alpha \int_{t-\tau_1}^t \dot{x}^T(s)e^{-\alpha(t-s)}R_2^{-1}\dot{x}(s)ds.$$

We can obtain the following relation

$$\dot{V}(x(t)) + \alpha V(x(t)) = X^T(t)\phi X(t),$$

where  $X^T(t) = [x^T(t) \quad x^T(t-\tau_2) \quad \dot{x}^T(t-\tau_1)]$ ,

$$\phi = \begin{bmatrix} A^TP^{-1} + P^{-1}A + \alpha P^{-1} + R_1^{-1} & P^{-1}B & P^{-1}C \\ * & -e^{-\alpha\tau_2}R_1^{-1} & 0 \\ * & * & -e^{-\alpha\tau_1}R_2^{-1} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} A^T \\ B^T \\ C^T \end{bmatrix} R_2^{-1} [A \quad B \quad C].$$

According to Schur complement lemma, condition (16) is equivalent to  $\phi < 0$ , that is to say,  $\dot{V}(x(t)) + \alpha V(x(t)) < 0$ . The proof is completed.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.** Consider systems (14) and (15), for a given positive constant  $\beta$ , if there exist positive definite symmetric matrices  $P, R_1, R_2$  with appropriate dimensions, such that

$$\begin{bmatrix} A^TP^{-1} + P^{-1}A - \beta P^{-1} + R_1^{-1} & P^{-1}B & P^{-1}C & A^T \\ * & -e^{\beta\tau_2}R_1^{-1} & 0 & B^T \\ * & * & -e^{\beta\tau_1}R_2^{-1} & C^T \\ * & * & * & -R_2 \end{bmatrix} < 0. \quad (20)$$

Then, along the trajectory of systems (14) and (15), there holds the following inequality

$$V(x(t)) < e^{\beta(t-t_0)}V(x(t_0)). \quad (21)$$

**Proof.** Following the similar proof of Lemma 3, Lemma 4 can be derived, it is omitted here.  $\square$

**Remark 2.** Lemmas 3 and 4 provide the methods for the estimation of Lyapunov functional candidate which will be used to design the controller for the switched neutral system under asynchronous switching.

### 3.2. Robust reliable controller design

Consider systems (1) and (2), under asynchronous reliable switching controller  $u^f(t) = \Omega_{\sigma^f(t)}K_{\sigma^f(t)}x(t)$ , the corresponding closed-loop system is given by

$$\dot{x}(t) - C_{\sigma(t)}\dot{x}(t-\tau_1) = (\hat{A}_{\sigma(t)} + D_{\sigma(t)}\Omega_{\sigma^f(t)}K_{\sigma^f(t)})x(t) + \hat{B}_{\sigma(t)}x(t-\tau_2), \quad (22)$$

$$x(t_0 + \theta) = \varphi(\theta), \quad \theta \in [-\tau, 0]. \quad (23)$$

Suppose that the  $i$ th subsystem is activated at the switching instant  $t_k$ , the  $j$ th subsystem is activated at the switching instant  $t_{k+1}$ , the corresponding switching controller is activated at the switching instant  $t_k + \Delta_k$ ,  $t_{k+1} + \Delta_{k+1}$ , respectively.

Let  $T^+(t_0, t)$  denote the total mismatched period during  $[t_0, t)$ ,  $T^-(t_0, t)$  denote the total matched period during  $[t_0, t)$ , then we can get the following result.

**Theorem 1.** Consider systems (1) and (2), for given positive constants  $\alpha, \beta$ , if there exists positive definite symmetric matrices  $P_i, R_{1i}, R_{2i}, P_{ij}, R_{1ij}, R_{2ij}$ , matrices  $W_i$  with appropriate dimensions, and positive scalars  $\varepsilon_i, \delta_i, \varepsilon_{ij}, \delta_{ij}$  such that, for  $i, j \in \underline{N}$ ,  $i \neq j$ , the following inequalities (24) and (25) hold.

$$\begin{bmatrix} \Pi_{11i} & B_i R_{1i} & C_i R_{2i} & \Pi_{14i} & P_i & W_i^T \Xi_i & P_i E_{1i}^T \\ * & -e^{-\alpha \tau_2} R_{1i} & 0 & R_{1i} B_i^T & 0 & 0 & R_{1i} E_{2i}^T \\ * & * & -e^{-\alpha \tau_1} R_{2i} & R_{2i} C_i^T & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & * & \Pi_{44i} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & * & * & -R_{1i} & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & * & * & * & -\varepsilon_i I & 0 \\ * & * & * & * & * & * & -\delta_i I \end{bmatrix} < 0, \quad (24)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \Pi_{11ij} & B_j R_{1ij} & C_j R_{2ij} & \Pi_{14ij} & P_{ij} & P_{ij} K_i^T \Xi_i & P_{ij} E_{1j}^T \\ * & -e^{\beta \tau_2} R_{1ij} & 0 & R_{1ij} B_j^T & 0 & 0 & R_{1ij} E_{2j}^T \\ * & * & -e^{\beta \tau_1} R_{2ij} & R_{2ij} C_j^T & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & * & \Pi_{44ij} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & * & * & -R_{1ij} & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & * & * & * & -\varepsilon_{ij} I & 0 \\ * & * & * & * & * & * & -\delta_{ij} I \end{bmatrix} < 0. \quad (25)$$

Then, under the reliable switching controller  $u^f(t) = \Omega_{\sigma'(t)} K_{\sigma'(t)} x(t)$ ,  $K_i = W_i P_i^{-1}$  and the following average dwell time scheme

$$\inf_{t \geq t_0} \frac{T^-(t_0, t)}{T^+(t_0, t)} \geq \frac{\lambda^+ + \lambda^*}{\lambda^- - \lambda^*}, \quad \tau_a > \tau_a^* = \frac{\ln(\mu_1 \mu_2)}{\lambda^*}, \quad (26)$$

the corresponding closed-loop system is exponentially stable, where

$$\Pi_{11i} = A_i P_i + P_i A_i^T + \alpha P_i + D_i \Omega_{i0} W_i + W_i^T \Omega_{i0}^T D_i^T + \varepsilon_i D_i \Omega_{i0} \Xi_i^T \Omega_{i0}^T D_i^T + \delta_i H_i H_i^T,$$

$$\Pi_{14i} = P_i A_i^T + W_i^T \Omega_{i0}^T D_i^T + \varepsilon_i D_i \Omega_{i0} \Xi_i^T \Omega_{i0}^T D_i^T + \delta_i H_i H_i^T,$$

$$\Pi_{44i} = -R_{2i} + \varepsilon_i D_i \Omega_{i0} \Xi_i^T \Omega_{i0}^T D_i^T + \delta_i H_i H_i^T,$$

$$\Pi_{11ij} = A_j P_{ij} + P_{ij} A_j^T - \beta P_{ij} + D_j \Omega_{j0} K_i P_{ij} + P_{ij} K_i^T \Omega_{j0}^T D_j^T + \varepsilon_{ij} D_j \Omega_{j0} \Xi_i^T \Omega_{j0}^T D_j^T + \delta_{ij} H_j H_j^T,$$

$$\Pi_{14ij} = P_{ij} A_j^T + P_{ij} K_i^T \Omega_{j0}^T D_j^T + \varepsilon_{ij} D_j \Omega_{j0} \Xi_i^T \Omega_{j0}^T D_j^T + \delta_{ij} H_j H_j^T,$$

$$\Pi_{44ij} = -R_{2ij} + \varepsilon_{ij} D_j \Omega_{j0} \Xi_i^T \Omega_{j0}^T D_j^T + \delta_{ij} H_j H_j^T,$$

$\lambda^+ = \beta$ ,  $\lambda^- = \alpha$ ,  $0 < \lambda^* < \lambda^-$ ,  $\mu_1, \mu_2 > 1$  satisfying

$$P_i^{-1} < \mu_1 P_{ij}^{-1}, \quad P_{ij}^{-1} < \mu_2 P_i^{-1}, \quad R_{1i}^{-1} < \mu_1 R_{1ij}^{-1}, \quad R_{1ij}^{-1} < \mu_2 R_{1i}^{-1}, \quad R_{2i}^{-1} < \mu_1 R_{2ij}^{-1}, \quad R_{2ij}^{-1} < \mu_2 R_{2i}^{-1}. \quad (27)$$

**Proof.** When  $t \in [t_k + \Delta_k, t_{k+1})$ , the closed-loop system can be written as

$$\dot{x}(t) - C_i \dot{x}(t - \tau_1) = (\hat{A}_i + D_i \Omega_i K_i) x(t) + \hat{B}_i x(t - \tau_2). \quad (28)$$

For system (28), we consider Lyapunov functional candidate as follows

$$V_i(x(t)) = x^T(t) P_i^{-1} x(t) + \int_{t-\tau_2}^t x^T(s) e^{-\alpha(t-s)} R_{1i}^{-1} x(s) ds + \int_{t-\tau_1}^t \dot{x}^T(s) e^{-\alpha(t-s)} R_{2i}^{-1} \dot{x}(s) ds.$$

By Lemma 3, we know that if there exist positive definite symmetric matrices  $P_i, R_{1i}, R_{2i}$  with appropriate dimensions, such that

$$\begin{bmatrix} (\hat{A}_i + D_i \Omega_i K_i)^T P_i^{-1} + P_i^{-1} (\hat{A}_i + D_i \Omega_i K_i) + \alpha P_i^{-1} + R_{1i}^{-1} & P_i^{-1} \hat{B}_i & P_i^{-1} C_i & \hat{A}_i^T \\ * & -e^{-\alpha \tau_2} R_{1i}^{-1} & 0 & \hat{B}_i^T \\ * & * & -e^{-\alpha \tau_1} R_{2i}^{-1} & C_i^T \\ * & * & * & -R_{2i} \end{bmatrix} < 0, \quad (29)$$

then the following matrix inequalities hold

$$V_i(x(t)) < e^{-\alpha(t-t_0^i)} V_i(x(t_0^i)), \quad (30)$$

where  $t_0^i$  represents the initial value of the  $i$ th controller.

From (30), we can obtain that

$$\|x(t)\| < \sqrt{\frac{b_1}{a_1}} \cdot e^{-\alpha(t-t_0^i)/2} \|x(t_0^i)\|_h, \quad t \in [t_k + \Delta_k, t_{k+1}) \quad (31)$$

where

$$a_1 = \frac{1}{\lambda_{\max}(P_i)}, \quad b_1 = \frac{1}{\lambda_{\min}(P_i)} + \frac{\tau_2}{\lambda_{\min}(R_{1i})} + \frac{\tau_1}{\lambda_{\min}(R_{2i})}.$$

When  $t \in [t_{k+1}, t_{k+1} + \Delta_{k+1})$ , closed-loop system can be written as:

$$\dot{x}(t) - C_j \dot{x}(t - \tau_1) = (\hat{A}_j + D_j \Omega_j K_j) x(t) + \hat{B}_j x(t - \tau_2). \quad (32)$$

For system (32), we consider Lyapunov functional candidate as follows

$$V_{ij}(x(t)) = x^T(t) P_{ij}^{-1} x(t) + \int_{t-\tau_2}^t x^T(s) e^{\beta(t-s)} R_{1ij}^{-1} x(s) ds + \int_{t-\tau_1}^t \dot{x}^T(s) e^{\beta(t-s)} R_{2ij}^{-1} \dot{x}(s) ds.$$

According to Lemma 4, we know that if there exists positive definite symmetric matrices  $P_{ij}$ ,  $R_{1ij}$ ,  $R_{2ij}$  with appropriate dimensions, such that

$$\begin{bmatrix} (\hat{A}_j + D_j \Omega_j K_j)^T P_{ij}^{-1} + P_{ij}^{-1} (\hat{A}_j + D_j \Omega_j K_j) - \beta P_{ij}^{-1} + R_{1ij}^{-1} & P_{ij}^{-1} \hat{B}_j & P_{ij}^{-1} C_{ij} & (\hat{A}_j + D_j \Omega_j K_j)^T \\ * & -e^{\beta \tau_2} R_{1ij}^{-1} & 0 & \hat{B}_j^T \\ * & * & -e^{\beta \tau_1} R_{2ij}^{-1} & C_{ij}^T \\ * & * & * & -R_{2ij} \end{bmatrix} < 0, \quad (33)$$

then the following inequality holds

$$V_{ij}(x(t)) < e^{\beta(t-t_0^{ij})} V_{ij}(x(t_0^{ij})), \quad (34)$$

where  $t_0^{ij}$  represents the initial value of the  $j$ th subsystem.

From (34), we can obtain that

$$\|x(t)\| < \sqrt{\frac{b_2}{a_2}} \cdot e^{\beta(t-t_0^{ij})/2} \|x(t_0^{ij})\|_h, \quad t \in [t_{k+1}, t_{k+1} + \Delta_{k+1}), \quad (35)$$

$$\text{where } a_2 = \frac{1}{\lambda_{\max}(P_{ij})}, \quad b_2 = \frac{1}{\lambda_{\min}(P_{ij})} + \frac{\tau_2}{\lambda_{\min}(R_{1ij})} + \frac{\tau_1}{\lambda_{\min}(R_{2ij})}.$$

Denote  $t_0, t_1, \dots, t_k$  the switching instants in  $[t_0, t)$ , we consider the following piece-wise Lyapunov functional candidate for the closed-loop system

$$V(t) = \begin{cases} x^T(t) P_i^{-1} x(t) + \int_{t-\tau_2}^t x^T(s) e^{-\alpha(t-s)} R_{1i}^{-1} x(s) ds + \int_{t-\tau_1}^t \dot{x}^T(s) e^{-\alpha(t-s)} R_{2i}^{-1} \dot{x}(s) ds & t \in [t_{n-1} + \Delta_{n-1}, t_n), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, k, \\ x^T(t) P_{ij}^{-1} x(t) + \int_{t-\tau_2}^t x^T(s) e^{\beta(t-s)} R_{1ij}^{-1} x(s) ds + \int_{t-\tau_1}^t \dot{x}^T(s) e^{\beta(t-s)} R_{2ij}^{-1} \dot{x}(s) ds & t \in [t_n, t_n + \Delta_n), \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, k. \end{cases} \quad (36)$$

From conditions (27), (30) and (34), for  $t \geq t_k + \Delta_k$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} V(t) &< e^{-\alpha(t-t_k-\Delta_k)} V(t_k + \Delta_k) < \mu_1 e^{-\alpha(t-t_k-\Delta_k)} V((t_k + \Delta_k)^-) < \mu_1 e^{-\alpha(t-t_k-\Delta_k)} e^{\beta \Delta_k} V(t_k) < \mu_1 \mu_2 e^{-\alpha(t-t_k-\Delta_k)} e^{\beta \Delta_k} V(t_k^-) \\ &< \dots < (\mu_1 \mu_2)^k e^{-\alpha[(t-t_k-\Delta_k)+(t_k-t_{k-1}-\Delta_{k-1})+\dots+(t_1-t_0-\Delta_0)]+\beta(\Delta_k+\Delta_{k-1}+\dots+\Delta_0)} V(t_0) = (\mu_1 \mu_2)^k e^{-\alpha T^-(t_0,t)+\beta T^+(t_0,t)} V(t_0). \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

According to Definition 2, we know  $k = N_\sigma$ , then

$$k \leq N_0 + \frac{t - t_0}{\tau_a}. \quad (38)$$

From (26), we can obtain

$$-T^-(t_0, t)\lambda^- + T^+(t_0, t)\lambda^+ \leq -\lambda^*(t - t_0). \quad (39)$$

Substituting (38) and (39) into (37), we have

$$V(t) < (\mu_1 \mu_2)^{N_0 + (t - t_0)/\tau_a} e^{-\lambda^*(t - t_0)} V(t_0) = (\mu_1 \mu_2)^{N_0} e^{\lfloor \ln(\mu_1 \mu_2)/\tau_a - \lambda^* \rfloor (t - t_0)} V(t_0). \quad (40)$$

Then the following inequality can be obtained

$$\|x(t)\| < \sqrt{\frac{b}{a}} \cdot (\mu_1 \mu_2)^{N_0/2} e^{\lfloor \ln(\mu_1 \mu_2)/\tau_a - \lambda^* \rfloor (t - t_0)/2} \|x(t_0)\|_h, \quad (41)$$

where  $a = \min_{i,j \in \underline{N}, i \neq j} \left\{ \frac{1}{\lambda_{\max}(P_i)}, \frac{1}{\lambda_{\max}(P_{ij})} \right\}$ ,

$$b = \max_{i,j \in \underline{N}, i \neq j} \left\{ \frac{1}{\lambda_{\min}(P_i)} + \frac{\tau_2}{\lambda_{\min}(R_{1i})} + \frac{\tau_1}{\lambda_{\min}(R_{2i})}, \frac{1}{\lambda_{\min}(P_{ij})} + \frac{\tau_2}{\lambda_{\min}(R_{1ij})} + \frac{\tau_1}{\lambda_{\min}(R_{2ij})} \right\}.$$

Using  $\text{diag}\{P_i, R_{1i}, R_{2i}, I\}$  to pre- and post-multiply the left term of (29), and denoting  $K_i P_i = W_i$ , we get

$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{A}_i P_i + P_i \hat{A}_i^T + D_i \Omega_i W_i + W_i^T \Omega_i^T D_i^T + \alpha P_i + P_i R_{1i}^{-1} P_i & \hat{B}_i R_{1i} & C_i R_{2i} & P_i \hat{A}_i^T + W_i^T \Omega_i^T D_i^T \\ * & -e^{-\alpha \tau_2} R_{1i} & 0 & R_{1i} \hat{B}_i^T \\ * & * & -e^{-\alpha \tau_1} R_{2i} & R_{2i} C_i^T \\ * & * & * & -R_{2i} \end{bmatrix} < 0. \quad (42)$$

By Schur complement lemma and Lemma 1, we can obtain that condition (42) can be guaranteed by the following inequality (43).

$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{A}_i P_i + P_i \hat{A}_i^T + D_i \Omega_{i0} W_i + W_i^T \Omega_{i0}^T D_i^T + \alpha P_i + \varepsilon_i D_i \Omega_{i0} \Xi_i^2 \Omega_{i0}^T D_i^T & \hat{B}_i R_{1i} & C_i R_{2i} & P_i \hat{A}_i^T + W_i^T \Omega_{i0}^T D_i^T + \varepsilon_i D_i \Omega_{i0} \Xi_i^2 \Omega_{i0}^T D_i^T & P_i & W_i^T \Xi_i \\ * & -e^{-\alpha \tau_2} R_{1i} & 0 & R_{1i} \hat{B}_i^T & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & -e^{-\alpha \tau_1} R_{2i} & R_{2i} C_i^T & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & * & -R_{2i} + \varepsilon_i D_i \Omega_{i0} \Xi_i^2 \Omega_{i0}^T D_i^T & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & * & * & -R_{1i} & 0 \\ * & * & * & * & * & -\varepsilon_i I \end{bmatrix} < 0. \quad (43)$$

Substituting (3)–(43), and applying lemma 2 and Schur complement lemma, it follows that (43) is equivalent to (24).

Similarly, by Lemmas 1, 2 and Schur complement lemma, it is easy to get that (33) is equivalent to (25). The proof is completed.  $\square$

**Remark 3.** It is noticed that (24) and (25) are mutually dependent. Therefore, we can firstly solve the LMI (24) to obtain the solutions of matrices  $P_i, W_i$ . Then (25) can be transformed into the LMI by substituting  $K_i = W_i P_i^{-1}$  into (25). By adjusting the parameter  $\alpha, \beta$ , we can find the feasible solutions of  $P_i, R_{1i}, R_{2i}, W_i, P_{ij}, R_{1ij}, R_{2ij}$  such that (24) and (25) hold.

#### 4. Numerical example

In this section we present an example to illustrate the effectiveness of the proposed approach. Consider systems (1) and (2) with parameters as follows

$$A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad C_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -0.2 & 0 \\ 0.5 & -0.1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad D_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -4 & -1 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$E_{11} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.1 & 0.2 \\ 0.3 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad E_{21} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.1 & 0 \\ 0.1 & 0.2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad H_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.8 & 0.6 \\ 0.4 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ -4 & -5 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -2 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad C_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -0.1 & 0 \\ -0.5 & -0.2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad D_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$E_{12} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.1 & 0.2 \\ 0.2 & 0.1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad E_{22} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.2 & 0 \\ 0.3 & 0.2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad H_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.6 & 0.3 \\ 0.4 & 0.4 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$F_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \sin t & 0 \\ 0 & \sin t \end{bmatrix}, \quad F_2 = \begin{bmatrix} \cos t & 0 \\ 0 & \cos t \end{bmatrix}.$$

The fault matrices  $\Omega_i = \text{diag}\{\omega_{i1}, \omega_{i2}\}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$

$$0.6 \leq \omega_{11} \leq 0.7, \quad 0.2 \leq \omega_{12} \leq 0.7, \quad 0.3 \leq \omega_{21} \leq 0.6, \quad 0.2 \leq \omega_{22} \leq 0.8.$$

Take  $\tau_1 = 0.5$ ,  $\tau_2 = 0.3$ ,  $\alpha = 2$ ,  $\beta = 6$ , solving the matrix inequalities in [Theorem 1](#) gives rise to

$$K_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 18.8234 & 7.5050 \\ -11.6482 & -5.7466 \end{bmatrix}, \quad K_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 32.3175 & 1.1808 \\ -5.0367 & -2.3803 \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$P_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 2.1232 & -4.0345 \\ -4.0345 & 8.5620 \end{bmatrix}, \quad R_{11} = \begin{bmatrix} 2.4296 & -4.0505 \\ -4.0505 & 8.0769 \end{bmatrix}, \quad R_{12} = \begin{bmatrix} 14.9833 & -7.9728 \\ -7.9728 & 40.6105 \end{bmatrix},$$




Fig. 1. State trajectories of the closed-loop system.




Fig. 2. Phase plane portrait of the closed-loop system.



$$P_{12} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.0539 & -0.0504 \\ -0.0504 & 0.1719 \end{bmatrix}, \quad R_{112} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.7954 & -0.2026 \\ -0.2026 & 0.3864 \end{bmatrix}, \quad R_{212} = \begin{bmatrix} 1.1159 & 0.4358 \\ 0.4358 & 0.8462 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$P_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.3947 & 0.3143 \\ 0.3143 & 2.3980 \end{bmatrix}, \quad R_{21} = \begin{bmatrix} 3.3264 & -1.2587 \\ -1.2587 & 1.2138 \end{bmatrix}, \quad R_{22} = \begin{bmatrix} 14.0713 & 5.9282 \\ 5.9282 & 28.6057 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$P_{21} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.0134 & -0.0148 \\ -0.0148 & 0.2576 \end{bmatrix}, \quad R_{121} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.4821 & -0.1346 \\ -0.1346 & 0.6508 \end{bmatrix}, \quad R_{221} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.9277 & -0.0454 \\ -0.0454 & 0.7785 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then, according to condition (27), we can get  $\mu_1 = 1.7373$  and  $\mu_2 = 76.7691$ . From (26), it can be obtained that  $\tau_a^* = 2.4466$ . Choosing  $\tau_a = 2.5$ , the state trajectories and the phase plane portrait of closed-loop system under asynchronous switching ( $\Delta_k = 0.2$ ,  $k = 1, 2$ ) are shown in Figs. 1 and 2, where the initial value  $x(0) = [-1.5 \quad -1]^T$ .

From the Figs. 1 and 2, it can be observed that reliable controller can guarantee the asymptotic stability and the reliability of the closed-loop system. This demonstrates the effectiveness of the proposed method.

## 5. Conclusions

This paper focuses on designing the robust reliable controller for a class of uncertain switched neutral systems with delayed switching and actuator failures. A kind of reliable controller design methodology is proposed, and the dwell time approach is utilized for the stability analysis. Sufficient conditions for the existence of such controller are formulated in terms of a set of LMIs. An illustrative example is also given to illustrate the applicability of the proposed approach.

## Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No. 60974027. The authors thank the reviewers for their valuable comments and constructive suggestions, which help to enrich the content and improve the presentation of this paper.

## References

- [1] S. Engell, S. Kowalewski, C. Schulz, O. Strusberg, Continuous-discrete interactions in chemical processing plants, *Proceedings of the IEEE* 88 (7) (2000) 1050–1068.
- [2] R. Horowitz, P. Varaiya, Control design of an automated highway system, *Proceedings of the IEEE* 88 (7) (2000) 913–925.
- [3] C. Livadas, J. Lygeros, N.A. Lynch, High-level modeling and analysis of the traffic alert and collision avoidance system, *Proceedings of the IEEE* 88 (7) (2000) 926–948.
- [4] D. Pepyne, C. Cassandaras, Optimal control of hybrid systems in manufacturing, *Proceedings of the IEEE* 88 (7) (2000) 1008–1122.
- [5] M. Song, T.J. Tarn, N. Xi, Integration of task scheduling, action planning, and control in robotic manufacturing systems, *Proceedings of the IEEE* 88 (7) (2000) 1097–1107.
- [6] P.J. Antsaklis, Special issue on hybrid systems: theory and applications: A brief introduction to the theory and applications of hybrid systems, *Proceedings of the IEEE* 88 (7) (2000) 887–897.
- [7] Z. Sun, Combined stabilizing strategies for switched linear systems, *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control* 51 (4) (2006) 666–674.
- [8] D. Cheng, L. Guo, Y. Lin, Y. Wang, Stabilization of switched linear systems, *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control* 50 (5) (2005) 661–666.
- [9] H. Lin, P.J. Antsaklis, Stability and stabilizability of switched linear systems: a survey of recent results, *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control* 54 (2) (2009) 308–322.
- [10] J.P. Hespanha, D. Liberzon, D. Angeli, E.D. Sontag, Nonlinear norm-observability notions and stability of switched systems, *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control* 50 (2) (2005) 154–168.
- [11] J.P. Hespanha, Uniform stability of switched linear systems: extension of LaSalle's invariance principle, *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control* 49 (4) (2004) 470–482.
- [12] R. Wang, J. Zhao, Guaranteed cost control for a class of uncertain switched delay systems: An average dwell-time method, *Cybernetics and Systems* 38 (1) (2007) 105–122.
- [13] Q.K. Li, J. Zhao, G.M. Dimirovski, Tracking control for switched time-varying delays systems with stabilizable and unstabilizable subsystems, *Nonlinear Analysis: Hybrid Systems* 3 (2) (2009) 133–142.
- [14] G.S. Zhai, B. Hu, K. Yasuda, A.N. Michel, Disturbance attenuation properties of time-controlled switched systems, *Journal of the Franklin Institute* 338 (7) (2001) 765–779.
- [15] J. Liu, X.Z. Liu, W.C. Xie, Delay-dependent robust control for uncertain switched systems with time-delay, *Nonlinear Analysis: Hybrid Systems* 2 (1) (2008) 81–95.
- [16] M.S. Mohamad, S. Alwan, X.Z. Liu, On stability of linear and weakly nonlinear switched systems with time delay, *Mathematical and Computer Modeling* 48 (7–8) (2008) 1150–1157.
- [17] P. Niamsup, Controllability approach to  $H_\infty$  control problem of linear time-varying switched systems, *Nonlinear Analysis: Hybrid Systems* 2 (3) (2008) 875–886.
- [18] C.H. Lien, K.W. Yu, Non-fragile  $H_\infty$  control for uncertain neutral systems with time-varying delays via the LMI optimization approach, *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics, Part B* 37 (2) (2007) 493–499.
- [19] O.M. Kwon, J.H. Park, S.M. Lee, Augmented Lyapunov functional approach to stability of uncertain neutral systems with time-varying delays, *Applied Mathematics and Computation* 207 (1) (2009) 202–212.
- [20] O.M. Kwon, J.H. Park, S.M. Lee, On delay-dependent robust stability of uncertain neutral systems with interval time-varying delays, *Applied Mathematics and Computation* 203 (2) (2008) 843–853.
- [21] O.M. Kwon, J.H. Park, S.M. Lee, On stability criteria for uncertain delay-differential systems of neutral type with time-varying delays, *Applied Mathematics and Computation* 197 (2) (2008) 864–873.
- [22] R. Wang, M. Liu, J. Zhao, Reliable  $H_\infty$  control for a class of switched nonlinear systems with actuator failures, *Nonlinear Analysis: Hybrid Systems* 1 (3) (2007) 317–325.

- [23] R. Wang, G.M. Dimirovski, J. Zhao, G. P. Liu, Output feedback control for uncertain linear systems with faulty actuators based on a switching method, *International Journal of Robust and Nonlinear Control* 19 (12) (2008) 1295–1312.
- [24] R. Wang, G. Jin, J. Zhao, Roust fault-tolerant control for a class of switched nonlinear systems in lower triangular form, *Asian Journal of Control* 9 (1) (2007) 68–72.
- [25] Z. Xiang, R. Wang, Robust  $L_\infty$  reliable control for uncertain nonlinear switched systems with time delay, *Applied Mathematics and Computation* 210 (1) (2009) 202–210.
- [26] M.D. Sen, J.L. Malaina, A. Gallego, J.C. Soto, Stability of non-neutral and neutral dynamic switched systems subject to internal delays, *American Journal of Applied Sciences* 2 (10) (2005) 1481–1490.
- [27] X.M. Sun, J. Fu, H.F. Sun, J. Zhao, Stability of linear switched neutral delay systems, *Proceedings of the Chinese Society for Electrical Engineering* 25 (23) (2005) 42–46.
- [28] Y. Zhang, X. Liu, H. Zhu, Stability analysis and control synthesis for a class of switched neutral systems, *Applied Mathematics and Computation* 190 (2) (2007) 1258–1266.
- [29] D.Y. Liu, X.Z. Liu, S.M. Zhong, Delay-dependent robust stability and control synthesis for uncertain switched neutral systems with mixed delays, *Applied Mathematics and Computation* 202 (2) (2008) 828–839.
- [30] S. Zhong, M. Ye, S. Wu, New stability, New stability and stabilization for switched neutral control systems, *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals* 42 (3) (2009) 1800–1811.
- [31] G. Xie, L. Wang, Stabilization of switched linear systems with time-delay in detection of switching signal, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 305 (6) (2005) 277–290.
- [32] W. Xie, C. Wen, Z. Li, Input-to-state stabilization of switched nonlinear systems, *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control* 46 (7) (2001) 1111–1116.
- [33] Z. Ji, X. Guo, S. Xu, L. Wang, Stabilization of switched linear systems with time-varying delay in switching occurrence detection, *Circuits, Systems and Signal Processing* 26 (3) (2007) 361–367.
- [34] D. Xie, X. Chen, Observer-based switched control design for switched linear systems with time-delay in detection of switching signal, *IET Control Theory and Applications* 2 (5) (2008) 437–445.
- [35] Z.R. Xiang, R.H. Wang, Robust control for uncertain switched non-linear systems with time delay under asynchronous switching, *IET Control Theory and Applications* 3 (8) (2009) 1041–1050.
- [36] Z.R. Xiang, R.H. Wang, Robust stabilization of switched non-linear systems with time-varying delays under asynchronous switching, *Proc IMechE, Part I: Journal of Systems and Control Engineering* 223 (8) (2009) 1111–1128.
- [37] X.M. Sun, J. Zhao, D.J. Hill, Stability and  $L_2$ -gain analysis for switched delay systems: a delay-dependent method, *Automatica* 42 (10) (2006) 1769–1774.
- [38] D. Liberzon, *Switching in Systems and Control*, Birkhauser, Boston, 2003.
- [39] I.R. Petersen, A stabilization algorithm for a class of uncertain linear systems, *Systems and Control Letters* 8 (4) (1987) 351–357.
- [40] L. Xie, Output feedback  $H_\infty$  control of systems with parameter uncertainty, *International Journal of Control* 63 (4) (1996) 741–750.