

## Exercise 3

Zhiwei Ying

1.

*Proof of a)*

Here is the truth table of  $p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow p)$ .

$p$	$q$	$q \rightarrow p$	$p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow p)$
T	T	T	T
T	F	T	T
F	T	F	T
F	F	T	T

Thus,  $p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow p)$  is a tautology. *Q.E.D.*

*Proof of b)*

Here is the truth table of  $(p \rightarrow q \rightarrow r) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow r)$ .

$p$	$q$	$r$	$p \rightarrow q$	$p \rightarrow r$	$q \rightarrow r$	$p \rightarrow q \rightarrow r$	$(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow r)$	$(p \rightarrow q \rightarrow r) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow r)$
T	T	T	T	T	T	T	T	T
T	T	F	T	F	F	F	F	T
T	F	T	F	T	T	T	T	T
T	F	F	F	F	T	T	T	T
F	T	T	T	T	T	T	T	T
F	T	F	T	T	F	T	T	T
F	F	T	T	T	T	T	T	T
F	F	F	T	T	T	T	T	T

Thus,  $(p \rightarrow q \rightarrow r) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow r)$  is a tautology. *Q.E.D.*

*Proof of c)*

Here is the truth table of  $p \rightarrow q \rightarrow r$ .

$p$	$q$	$r$	$q \rightarrow r$	$p \rightarrow q \rightarrow r$
T	T	T	T	T
T	T	F	F	F
T	F	T	T	T
T	F	F	T	T
F	T	T	T	T
F	T	F	F	T
F	F	T	T	T
F	F	F	T	T

$p$	$q$	$r$	$p \wedge q$	$(p \wedge q) \rightarrow r$
T	T	T	T	T
T	T	F	T	F
T	F	T	F	T
T	F	F	F	T
F	T	T	F	T
F	T	F	F	T
F	F	T	F	T
F	F	F	F	T

Thus,  $p \rightarrow q \rightarrow r$  and  $(p \wedge q) \rightarrow r$  are logically equivalent. *Q.E.D.*

2.

*Proof of a)*

If  $[[\phi]]_J = T$ , then  $[[\phi|\phi]]_J = F$ ,  $[[\neg\phi]]_J = F$ .

If  $[[\phi]]_J = F$ , then  $[[\phi|\phi]]_J = T$ ,  $[[\neg\phi]]_J = T$ .

Thus for any J,  $\phi|\phi$  and  $\neg\phi$  are logically equivalent. *Q.E.D.*

*Proof of b)*

From a), we know that  $(\phi|\psi)|(\phi|\psi) \equiv \neg(\phi|\psi)$ .

Here are the truth tables of  $\neg(\phi|\psi)$  and  $\phi \wedge \psi$ .

$\phi$	$\psi$	$\phi \wedge \psi$
T	T	T
T	F	F
F	T	F
F	F	F

$\phi$	$\psi$	$\phi \psi$	$\neg(\phi \psi)$
T	T	F	T
T	F	T	F
F	T	T	F
F	F	T	F

Thus, we know that  $(\phi|\psi)|(\phi|\psi)$  and  $\phi \wedge \psi$  are logically equivalent. *Q.E.D.*

*Proof of c)*

We know that every compound proposition is logically equivalent to a compound proposition involving only  $\neg$  and  $\wedge$ .

From a), b), we can find that  $(\phi|\psi)|(\phi|\psi)$  and  $\phi \wedge \psi$  are logically equivalent,  $\phi|\phi$  and  $\neg\phi$  are logically equivalent. Thus, every compound proposition is logically equivalent to a compound proposition involving only  $|$ , which means  $|$  itself forms a functionally complete collection of logical operators. *Q.E.D.*