

[Solution of Homework 1]

Probability Space of Tossing Coins

Let us construct the probability space of tossing an infinite sequence of independent fair coins. Let $\Omega = \{0, 1\}^*$. We can write each $\omega \in \Omega$ as an infinite sequence $\omega = (\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots)$ where $\omega_i \in \{0, 1\}$.

1. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For every $s = (s_1, \dots, s_n) \in \{0, 1\}^n$, let

$$C_s = \{\omega \in \Omega \mid \omega_1 = s_1, \dots, \omega_n = s_n\}.$$

Prove that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the collection $\{C_s\}_{s \in \{0,1\}^n}$ forms a partition of Ω .

Proof.

For any $\omega \in \Omega$, there is exactly one $s = (\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_n) \in \{0, 1\}^n$ such that $\omega \in C_s$. Therefore, $\cup_{s \in \{0,1\}^n} C_s = \Omega$ and $C_{s_1} \cap C_{s_2} = \emptyset$ for any $s_1 \neq s_2 \in \{0, 1\}^n$, which is to say $\{C_s\}_{s \in \{0,1\}^n}$ forms a partition of Ω .

1. Let \mathcal{F}_n be the σ -algebra generated by $\{C_s\}_{s \in \{0,1\}^n}$ (that is, the minimal σ -algebra containing sets in $\{C_s\}_{s \in \{0,1\}^n}$). Note that \mathcal{F}_n is called the σ -algebra of *tossing n coins*. Prove that there exists a bijection between \mathcal{F}_n and $2^{\{0,1\}^n}$.

Proof.

We construct a map $f : \mathcal{F}_n \rightarrow 2^{\{0,1\}^n}$ for any $A \in \mathcal{F}_n$:

$$f(A) = \cup_{\omega \in A} \{(\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_n)\}.$$

For any $S = \{s^1, \dots, s^k\} \in 2^{\{0,1\}^n}$, $f(\cup_{i=1}^k C_{s^i}) = S$. So f is surjective.

Since both \mathcal{F}_n and $2^{\{0,1\}^n}$ are of size 2^{2^n} , we can infer that f is a bijection between \mathcal{F}_n and $2^{\{0,1\}^n}$.

2. Prove that $\mathcal{F}_1 \subsetneq \mathcal{F}_2 \subsetneq \dots$ is increasing. The collection $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ is called a *filtration*.

Proof.

We will prove $\mathcal{F}_n \subsetneq \mathcal{F}_{n+1}$ for every n .

Let $f : \mathcal{F}_n \rightarrow 2^{\{0,1\}^n}$ be the bijection defined in Problem 2. For any $A \in \mathcal{F}_n$, we write it as $\cup_{s \in f(A)} C_s$. For any

$s = (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n) \in \{0, 1\}^n$, we write $C_s = C_{(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n, 0)} \cup C_{(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n, 1)}$. Therefore, for any $A \in \mathcal{F}_n$,

$A = \cup_{s \in f(A)} (C_{(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n, 0)} \cup C_{(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n, 1)}) \in \mathcal{F}_{n+1}$, which implies $\mathcal{F}_n \subset \mathcal{F}_{n+1}$. It is obvious that $\mathcal{F}_n \neq \mathcal{F}_{n+1}$ (For example, $C_{(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{n+1})} \in \mathcal{F}_{n+1}$ but not in \mathcal{F}_n), so $\mathcal{F}_n \subsetneq \mathcal{F}_{n+1}$.

3. Let $\mathcal{F}_\infty = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} \mathcal{F}_n$ ¹. Prove that \mathcal{F}_∞ is an algebra² (not necessarily a σ -algebra) and $\mathcal{F}_\infty \neq 2^\Omega$.

Proof.

For any $A \in \mathcal{F}_\infty$, there exists i such that $A \in \mathcal{F}_i$, so $A^c \in \mathcal{F}_i \subset \mathcal{F}_\infty$. For any $A, B \in \mathcal{F}_\infty$, there exist i, j such that $A \in \mathcal{F}_i$ and $B \in \mathcal{F}_j$, so $A \cup B \in \mathcal{F}_{\max\{i, j\}} \subset \mathcal{F}_\infty$. Therefore, \mathcal{F}_∞ is an algebra.

2^Ω is not countable. \mathcal{F}_n is countable for any n , so \mathcal{F}_∞ is also countable. Therefore, $\mathcal{F}_\infty \neq 2^\Omega$. (In the following problem, we will show that any $\omega \in \Omega$, $\{\omega\} \in 2^\Omega \setminus \mathcal{F}_\infty$.)

Anti-cheating problem: what's the difference between algebra and σ -algebra ?

4. Let $\mathcal{B}(\Omega) \triangleq \sigma(\mathcal{F}_\infty)$ be the minimal σ -algebra containing \mathcal{F}_∞ . Prove that for any $\omega \in \Omega$, it holds that $\{\omega\} \in \mathcal{B}(\Omega) \setminus \mathcal{F}_\infty$

Proof.

For any $\omega = (\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots) \in \Omega$, $\{\omega\} \in 2^\Omega$. However, there doesn't exist i such that $\{\omega\} \in \mathcal{F}_i$, hence $\{\omega\} \notin \mathcal{F}_\infty$.

For any $\omega = (\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots) \in \Omega$ and n , $\omega \in C_{(\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_n)}$. Therefore, $\{\omega\} = \cap_{n \geq 1} C_{(\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_n)} \in \mathcal{B}(\Omega)$.

(Notes that we use the union operation to define the σ -algebra, but for any $A_i \in \mathcal{F}$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $\overline{\cup_i A_i} = \cap_i \overline{A_i} \in \mathcal{F}$ because $\overline{A_i} \in \mathcal{F}$.)

5. Prove that for every $A \in \mathcal{F}_\infty$, there exist some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $s_1, \dots, s_k \in \{0, 1\}^n$ such that $A = C_{s_1} \cup \dots \cup C_{s_k}$.

Although the choice of n might not be unique, prove that the value $\frac{k}{2^n}$ only depends on A .

Proof.

There exists n such that $A \in \mathcal{F}_n$. Let $f_n : \mathcal{F}_n \rightarrow 2^{\{0,1\}^n}$ be the bijection defined in Problem 2. Let

$f_n(A) = \{s^1, s^2, \dots, s^{k_n}\}$. Then $A = C_{s^1} \cup \dots \cup C_{s^{k_n}}$.

Let n be the minimum index such that $A \in \mathcal{F}_n$. We can also find a set

$S' = \{(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n, 0), (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n, 1) | s = (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n) \in f_n(A)\} \in 2^{\{0,1\}^{n+1}}$ such that $A = \cup_{s' \in S'} C_{s'}$ and $k_{n+1} := |S'| = 2k_n$. Therefore, $\frac{k_{n+1}}{2^{n+1}} = \frac{2k_n}{2^{n+1}} = \frac{k_n}{2^n}$. Applying this procedure inductively, we obtain that for any $i > n$, the value $\frac{k_i}{2^i} = \frac{k_n}{2^n}$, which is to say that $\frac{k}{2^n}$ only depends on A .

6. Prove that there exists a unique probability measure $P : \mathcal{B}(\Omega) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ satisfying for every $A \in \mathcal{F}_\infty$, $P(A) = \frac{k}{2^n}$ where k and n are defined in the last question. (You can use the [Carathéodory's extension theorem](#))

Proof.

We define a measure μ on F_∞ that $\mu(A) = \frac{k}{2^n}$ where k and n are defined in the last question:

a. $\mu(C_s) = \frac{1}{2^n}$ for $s \in \{0, 1\}^n$.

b. $\mu(A) = \sum_{i=1}^k P(C_{s^i}) = \frac{k}{2^n}$ for $A = \cup_{i=1}^k C_{s^i} \in \mathcal{F}_n$.

For any disjoint sets $A_1, A_2, \dots \in \mathcal{F}_\infty$ such that $\cup_{n \geq 1} A_n \in \mathcal{F}_\infty$, assuming $A_i = \cup_{s \in S_i} C_s$, we obtain that $A = \cup_{s \in S, i \in \mathbb{N}^+} C_s$.

Therefore,

$$\mu(\cup_{n \geq 1} A_n) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \mu(A_n),$$

and it is obvious that $\mu(\Omega) = \sum_{s \in 2^{\{0,1\}^n}} \mu(C_s) = 1$.

And then we extend the measure μ on \mathcal{F}_∞ to a measure on $\mathcal{B}(\Omega)$ by [Carathéodory Extension Theorem](#). There exists a unique measure $P : \mathcal{B}(\Omega) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $P(A) = \mu(A)$ for any $A \in \mathcal{F}_\infty$. Since $P(\Omega) = \mu(\Omega) = 1$, P is a probability measure.

Then $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}(\Omega), P)$ is our probability space for tossing coins, and it is isomorphic to the Lebesgue measure on $[0, 1]$.

8. Formalize $X \sim \text{Geom}(1/2)$ in this probability space.

Solution.

For any $\omega \in \Omega$, $X(\omega) := \min \{i \in \mathbb{N} | \omega_i = 1\}$.

Conditional Expectation

(In this problem, all random variables take *discrete* value)

1. Let X be a random variable and $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a measurable function (that is, for every borel set $A \in \mathcal{R}$, $f^{-1}(A) \in \mathcal{R}$).

We usually use $f(X)$ to denote the random variable: $\omega \in \Omega \mapsto f(X(\omega)) \in \mathbb{R}$. Prove that $f(X)$ is $\sigma(X)$ -measurable.

Proof.

For any Borel set $B \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, $(f \circ X)^{-1}(B) = X^{-1}(f^{-1}(B)) \in \sigma(X)$.

2. Let Y, Y' be two random variables such that $\sigma(Y) = \sigma(Y')$. Prove that $\mathbf{E}[X | Y] = \mathbf{E}[X | Y']$.

Proof.

It suffices to show that $Y^{-1}(Y(\omega)) = Y'^{-1}(Y'(\omega))$. Since $\sigma(Y) = \sigma(Y')$, if there exists $\omega \in \Omega$ such that $Y^{-1}(Y(\omega)) \neq Y'^{-1}(Y'(\omega))$, $Y^{-1}(Y(\omega)) \cap Y'^{-1}(Y'(\omega)) \subseteq \sigma(Y)$, contradicting to the definition of $Y^{-1}(Y(\omega))$ and $Y'^{-1}(Y'(\omega))$. Therefore, $\mathbf{E}[X|Y^{-1}(Y(\omega))] = \mathbf{E}[X|Y'^{-1}(Y'(\omega))]$

3. (The coarser always wins) Let $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2$ be two σ -algebra such that $\mathcal{F}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{F}_2$ and $X : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a random variable. Prove that $\mathbf{E}[\mathbf{E}[X | \mathcal{F}_1] | \mathcal{F}_2] = \mathbf{E}[\mathbf{E}[X | \mathcal{F}_2] | \mathcal{F}_1] = \mathbf{E}[X | \mathcal{F}_1]$.

Proof.

Let $P : \mathcal{B}(\Omega) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a probability measure. Since for any $A \in \mathcal{F}_1 \subset \mathcal{F}_2$,

$$\sum_{\omega \in A} \mathbf{E}[X | \mathcal{F}_1(\omega)]P(\omega) = \sum_{\omega \in A} X(\omega)P(\omega) = \sum_{\omega \in A} \mathbf{E}[X | \mathcal{F}_2(\omega)]P(\omega),$$

thus

$$\sum_{\omega \in A} \mathbf{E}[\mathbf{E}[X | \mathcal{F}_2] | \mathcal{F}_1(\omega)]P(\omega) = \sum_{\omega \in A} \mathbf{E}[X | \mathcal{F}_2(\omega)]P(\omega) = \sum_{\omega \in A} \mathbf{E}[X | \mathcal{F}_1(\omega)]P(\omega) = \sum_{\omega \in A} \mathbf{E}[\mathbf{E}[X | \mathcal{F}_1] | \mathcal{F}_1(\omega)]P(\omega).$$

According to the definition of conditional expectation, we know if X is \mathcal{F} -measurable, then $\mathbf{E}[X | \mathcal{F}] = X$.

Therefore, $\mathbf{E}[\mathbf{E}[X | \mathcal{F}_2] | \mathcal{F}_1] = \mathbf{E}[\mathbf{E}[X | \mathcal{F}_1] | \mathcal{F}_1] = \mathbf{E}[X | \mathcal{F}_1]$.

$\mathbf{E}[X | \mathcal{F}_1]$ is \mathcal{F}_1 -measurable, therefore \mathcal{F}_2 -measurable, so $\mathbf{E}[\mathbf{E}[X | \mathcal{F}_1] | \mathcal{F}_2] = \mathbf{E}[X | \mathcal{F}_1]$.

1. $x \in \bigcup_{n \geq 1} \mathcal{F}_n \iff \exists n \geq 1 : x \in \mathcal{F}_n$. ↪

2. A set \mathcal{F} is an algebra if for every $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$, it holds $A^c \in \mathcal{F}$ and $A \cup B \in \mathcal{F}$. ↪