The Limits of Partisan Motivated Reasoning in Congress

Zachary P Dickson<sup>1</sup> Tevfik Murat Yildirim<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> University of Glasgow

<sup>2</sup> University of Stavanger

Abstract

Downplaying the level of risk associated with COVID-19—along with opposition to public health mea-

sures aimed at containing the virus—became a rallying cry for the US Republican Party throughout the

pandemic. Yet, to what degree are elites 'blind partisans', willing to take on the positions of their par-

ties regardless of potential consequences? In this article, we argue that individual self-interest motivations

transcend partisan motivated reasoning when individuals realize a risk that is otherwise perceived inaccu-

rately. We demonstrate our argument in the context of the COVID-19 pandemic by examining the effects

of COVID-19 infection on opposition to COVID-19 mitigation policies among United States' legislators.

We adopt a staggered difference-in-difference design and use both Bayesian and frequentist perspectives to

illustrate that COVID-19 infection among legislators led to a reduction of around 32 percent in legislators'

expressed opposition to measures aimed at reducing the spread of COVID-19. Our findings highlight the

limits of partisan motivated reasoning for an issue as salient as COVID-19 and for an institution as polarized

as the US Congress.

Keywords— COVID-19, polarization, partisanship, motivated reasoning, political behavior

Word count: 9,898

1