

$$X_n \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} X \quad \text{if} \quad \underbrace{P(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_n = X) = 1.}_{\text{this depends on } X, X_n, n \geq 1}$$

## Borel - Cantelli Lemmas

Def:  $A_1, A_2, \dots$  are events

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \underbrace{\bigcup_{m=n}^{\infty} A_m}_m = \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=m}^{\infty} A_n$$

this is a decreasing sequence of events

$$= \{ \omega : \omega \in A_n \text{ for infinitely many } n \}$$

$$= \{ A_n \text{ i.o.} \}$$

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \underbrace{\bigcap_{m=n}^{\infty} A_m}_{\text{increasing seq}} = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} \underbrace{\bigcap_{n=m}^{\infty} A_n}_n$$

$$= \{ \omega : \omega \in A_n \text{ for all, but finitely many } n \}$$

$$1_{\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n} = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} 1_{A_n}, \quad 1_{\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n} = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} 1_{A_n}$$

(First)

Borel-Cantelli lemma:

$A_1, A_2, \dots$  are events with  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(A_n) < \infty$ .

Then  $P(A_n \text{ i.o.}) = 0$ .

---

Proof: 1) Set  $N = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1_{A_n} \in \{0, 1, \dots\} \cup \{\infty\}$

$$\{N = \infty\} = \{A_n \text{ i.o.}\}$$

$$E[N] = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(A_n) < \infty$$

$$E\left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1_{A_n}\right] = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E[1_{A_n}]$$

If  $E[N] < \infty$  then  $P(N = \infty) = 0$   
 $P(A_n \text{ i.o.})$

$$2) \{A_n \text{ i.o.}\} \subseteq \bigcup_{n=m}^{\infty} A_n$$

$$P(A_n \text{ i.o.}) \leq P\left(\bigcup_{n=m}^{\infty} A_n\right) \leq \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} P(A_n)$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(A_n) < \infty \text{ so } \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} P(A_n) = 0$$

Hence  $P(A_n \text{ i.o.}) = 0$ .



Lemma:  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  and  $X$  are random variables.  $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\text{Then } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(|X_n - X| > \varepsilon_n) < \infty$$

implies  $X_n \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} X$ .

$$\text{Proof: } A_n = \{|X_n - X| > \varepsilon_n\}$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(A_n) < \infty, \text{ hence by BC-1. we}$$

$$\text{have } P(A_n \text{ i.o.}) = 0.$$

$$\{A_n \text{ i.o.}\}^c = \{\omega: \omega \in A_n \text{ for } n \text{ large enough}\}$$

$$= \{\omega: |X_n(\omega) - X(\omega)| \leq \varepsilon_n \text{ for } n \text{ large enough}\}$$

$$\text{on this event } X_n \rightarrow X.$$

$$P(A_n^c \text{ holds for } n \text{ large enough}) = 1$$

$$\leadsto P(X_n \rightarrow X) = 1$$

Strong Law of Large Numbers with  
 $X_1, X_2, \dots$  iid  $E[X_1^4] < \infty$  <sup>4<sup>th</sup> moment</sup>  
 $S_n = X_1 + \dots + X_n$   
 Then  $\frac{S_n}{n} \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} E[X_1]$   
 we will remove this later

Proof:  $\mu = E[X_1]$   $E[\frac{S_n}{n}] = \mu$   

$$P\left(\left|\frac{S_n}{n} - \mu\right| > \varepsilon_n\right) \leq \frac{E\left[\left|\frac{S_n}{n} - \mu\right|^4\right]}{\varepsilon_n^4}$$

$$E\left[\left(\frac{S_n}{n} - \mu\right)^4\right] = E\left[\frac{1}{n^4} (S_n - n\mu)^4\right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{n^4} E\left[\left(\sum_{j=1}^n (X_j - \mu)\right)^4\right]$$

$$E\left[\left(\sum_{j=1}^n (X_j - \mu)\right)^4\right] =$$

$$= E\left[\underbrace{\sum_{j=1}^n (X_j - \mu)^4}_{1.} + \underbrace{c_1 \sum_{i,j} (X_i - \mu)(X_j - \mu)^3}_{2.} + \underbrace{c_2 \sum_{i,j} (X_i - \mu)^2 (X_j - \mu)^2}_{3.} + \underbrace{c_3 \sum_{i,j,k} (X_i - \mu)(X_j - \mu)(X_k - \mu)^2}_{4.} + \underbrace{c_4 \sum_{i,j,k,l} (X_i - \mu)(X_j - \mu)(X_k - \mu)(X_l - \mu)}_{5.}\right]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= E \left[ \sum_{j=1}^n (x_j - \mu)^4 + c_2 \sum_{i,j} (x_i - \mu)^2 (x_j - \mu)^2 \right] \\
&= n E[(x_i - \mu)^4] + c_2 \binom{n}{2} \underbrace{E[(x_i - \mu)^2] E[(x_j - \mu)^2]}_{(\text{Var } x_1)^2} \\
&\leq C \cdot n^2 \quad \text{for some } C > 0.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
E \left[ \left( \frac{S_n}{n} - \mu \right)^4 \right] &= \frac{1}{n^4} E \left[ (S_n - n\mu)^4 \right] \\
&\leq \frac{C}{n^2}
\end{aligned}$$

$$P \left( \left| \frac{S_n}{n} - \mu \right| > \varepsilon_n \right) \leq \frac{C}{n^2 \varepsilon_n^4}$$

With  $\varepsilon_n = n^{-\frac{1}{8}}$  then  $\sum P \left( \left| \frac{S_n}{n} - \mu \right| > \varepsilon_n \right) < \infty$

and  $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$  hence

$$\frac{S_n}{n} - \mu \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} 0$$

$$\frac{S_n}{n} \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} \mu.$$

Application:

$$X \sim \text{Unif}[0,1]$$

$$X = 0, X_1, X_2, \dots$$

$X_1, X_2, \dots$  i.i.d. with distribution that is uniform on  $\{0,1,\dots,9\}$

Then

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}{n} \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} \frac{9}{2}$$

Moreover

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}_{\{X_i = a\}}}{n} \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} \frac{1}{10}$$

for any  $a \in \{0,1,\dots,9\}$

Ex:  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  i.i.d.  $\text{Bernlli}(p)$

$$Y = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} X_k \frac{1}{2^k} \quad p \neq \frac{1}{2}$$

The distribution of  $Y$  is singular with respect to Lebesgue.

$$P\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}{n} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}\right) = 0, \text{ but}$$

$$\forall p \neq \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\frac{\sum X_i}{n} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \text{ if}$$

$$Y \sim \text{Unif}[0,1]$$

We have seen that if  $X_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} X$  then  $X_n \xrightarrow{P} X$ .

Theorem:  $X_n \xrightarrow{P} X$  if and only if for any subsequence of  $n$  there is a further subsequence along which  $X_{n_{a_k}} \xrightarrow{a.s.} X$ .

---

Proof: Assume that the first subsequence is the whole sequence.

First choose  $\varepsilon_k \rightarrow 0$ . Then we can find  $n_k$  so that  $P(|X_{n_k} - X| > \varepsilon_k) \leq \frac{1}{2^k}$ , with  $n_1 < n_2 < n_3 < \dots$ .

Then  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P(|X_{n_k} - X| > \varepsilon_k) < \infty$ ,

hence by B(-1) we have  $X_{n_k} \xrightarrow{a.s.} X$ .

For the other direction, assume that  $X_n \not\xrightarrow{P} X$ . Then there is  $\varepsilon > 0$  so that  $\lim P(|X_n - X| > \varepsilon) \neq 0$  so there is a subsequence with

$$\liminf_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} P(|X_{n_\epsilon} - X| > \epsilon) > 0$$

This subsequence will not have an almost surely convergent subsequence.

---

Lemma:  $y_n, n \geq 1$  is a sequence in a topological space. If every subsequence has a further subsequence along which we converge to a value  $y$  then  $y_n \rightarrow y$ .

---

This means that a.s. convergence of random variables cannot come from a metric!

Convergence in probability can be described with the metric

$$\rho(X, Y) = E \left[ \frac{|X - Y|}{1 + |X - Y|} \right].$$

If  $\rho(X_n, X) \rightarrow 0$  then  $X_n \xrightarrow{P} X$ .



lem: If  $f$  is continuous,  $X_n \xrightarrow{P} X$   
then  $f(X_n) \xrightarrow{P} f(X)$ . If  $f$   
is bounded then  $E[f(X_n)] \rightarrow E[f(X)]$ .