

CS 726 - Fall 2020

Homework #2

Due : 10/05/2020, 5pm

Zijie Zhang

October 3, 2020

Question 1

Proof. \Rightarrow , Let

$$\varphi(\alpha) = \frac{1}{\alpha} (f((1-\alpha)x + \alpha y) - f(x))$$

f is m -strongly convex means,

$$\begin{aligned} f((1-\alpha)x + \alpha y) &\leq (1-\alpha)f(x) + \alpha f(y) - \frac{m}{2}\alpha(1-\alpha)\|y-x\|^2 \\ f((1-\alpha)x + \alpha y) - f(x) &\leq \alpha(f(y) - f(x)) - \frac{m}{2}\alpha(1-\alpha)\|y-x\|^2 \\ f(y) - f(x) &\geq \varphi(\alpha) + \frac{m}{2}(1-\alpha)\|y-x\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

Let $\alpha \rightarrow 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(y) &\geq f(x) + \varphi'(0) + \frac{m}{2}\|y-x\|^2 = f(x) + \langle \nabla f(x), y-x \rangle + \frac{m}{2}\|y-x\|^2 \\ f(x + \alpha(y-x)) &\geq f(x) + \langle \nabla f(x), \alpha(y-x) \rangle + \frac{m}{2}\alpha^2\|y-x\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

Consider, Taylor Theorem:

$$f(x + \alpha(y-x)) = f(x) + \langle \nabla f(x), \alpha(y-x) \rangle + \frac{\alpha^2}{2}(y-x)^T \nabla^2 f(x + \gamma\alpha(y-x))(y-x)$$

Combine the above two formulas, it gives

$$(y-x)^T \nabla^2 f(x)(y-x) \geq m\|y-x\|^2$$

Thus, we have

$$\nabla^2 f(x) \succeq mI$$

\Leftarrow , By Taylor Theorem,

$$f(y) = f(x) + \langle \nabla f(x), y-x \rangle + \frac{1}{2}\nabla^2 f(x + \gamma(y-x))\|y-x\|^2$$

$\nabla^2 f(x) \succeq mI$ means the smallest eigenvalue of $\nabla^2 f(x)$ is greater than m , therefore

$$\frac{1}{2}\nabla^2 f(x + \gamma(y-x))\|y-x\|^2 \geq \frac{1}{2}m\|y-x\|^2$$

That is

$$f(y) \geq f(x) + \langle \nabla f(x), y-x \rangle + \frac{m}{2}\|y-x\|^2$$

Consider

$$(1-\alpha)f(x) + \alpha f(y) - f((1-\alpha)x + \alpha y)$$

We will have

$$(1-\alpha)f(x) + \alpha f(y) - f((1-\alpha)x + \alpha y) \geq \frac{m}{2}\|y-x\|^2(\alpha - \alpha^2) = \frac{m}{2}\alpha(1-\alpha)\|y-x\|^2$$

□

Question 2

Proof. Let $x_{k+1} = x_k + \nabla f(x_k)$, we have

$$f(x_{k+1}) - f(x_k) \geq \langle \nabla f(x_k), \nabla f(x_k) \rangle + \frac{m}{2} \|\nabla f(x_k)\|^2$$

Add them together,

$$f(x_{k+1}) - f(x_0) \geq \left(1 + \frac{m}{2}\right) \sum_{i=0}^k \|\nabla f(x_i)\|^2 \geq \left(1 + \frac{m}{2}\right) \left\| \sum_{i=0}^k \nabla f(x_i) \right\|^2 = \left(1 + \frac{m}{2}\right) \|x_{k+1} - x_0\|^2$$

The gradient of f can go to ∞ , when $\|x_{k+1} - x_0\|$ is large enough.

So, f cannot be Lipschitz continuous on the entire \mathbb{R}^d . But it is possible on the unit Euclidean ball. \square

Question 3

Proof. By Lemma 2.2

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_{k+1}) &\leq f(x_k) + \langle \nabla f(x_k), x_{k+1} - x_k \rangle + \frac{L}{2} \|x_{k+1} - x_k\|_2^2 \\ &= f(x_k) - \alpha_k \langle \nabla f(x_k), \nabla_{i_k} f(x_k) e_{i_k} \rangle + \frac{L}{2} \alpha_k^2 \|\nabla_{i_k} f(x_k) e_{i_k}\|_2^2 \\ &= f(x_k) + \left(\frac{L}{2} \alpha_k - 1 \right) \alpha_k \|\nabla_{i_k} f(x_k) e_{i_k}\|_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

Choose $\alpha_k = \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - L\beta d^2}}{L}$, then

$$\mathbb{E}[f(x_{k+1}) - f(x_k)] = -\frac{\beta d^2}{2} \mathbb{E}[\|\nabla_{i_k} f(x_k) e_{i_k}\|_2^2] = -\frac{\beta}{2} \|\nabla f(x_k)\|_2^2$$

\square

Question 4

Proof.

(i)

$$\nabla \psi(y) = y - x_0$$

$$\begin{aligned} D_\psi(x, y) &= \frac{1}{2} \|x - x_0\|_2^2 - \frac{1}{2} \|y - x_0\|_2^2 - \langle \nabla \psi(y), x - y \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \|x\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|y\|_2^2 - \langle x, y \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \|x - y\|_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

(ii)

$$\nabla \phi(y) = \psi(y) + x_0 = y$$

$$\begin{aligned} D_\phi(x, y) &= \phi(x) - \phi(y) - \langle y, x - y \rangle \\ &= \psi(x) - \psi(y) + \langle x_0 - y, x - y \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \|x\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|y\|_2^2 - \langle x, y \rangle \\ &= D_\psi(x, y) \end{aligned}$$

- (iii) Left: $D_\psi(x, y) = \frac{1}{2} \|x\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|y\|_2^2 - \langle x, y \rangle$
 Right:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & D_\psi(z, y) + \langle \nabla \psi(z) - \nabla \psi(y), x - z \rangle + D_\psi(x, z) \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \|z\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|y\|_2^2 - \langle z, y \rangle + \langle z - y, x - z \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \|x\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|z\|_2^2 - \langle x, z \rangle \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \|x\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|y\|_2^2 - \langle x, y \rangle
 \end{aligned}$$

Left=Right

- (iv) Obviously, $\nabla m_k(v_k) = 0$, thus

$$\begin{aligned}
 D_{m_k}(x, v_k) &= m_k(x) - m_k(v_k) \\
 &= \sum_{i=0}^k a_i D_{\psi_i}(x, v_k) \\
 &= \sum_{i=0}^k a_i \left(\frac{1}{2} \|x\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|v_k\|_2^2 - \langle x, v_k \rangle \right) \\
 &= \sum_{i=0}^k a_i \frac{1}{2} \|x - v_k\|_2^2 \\
 &= \frac{A_k}{2} \|x - v_k\|_2^2
 \end{aligned}$$

So, we have proved

$$m_{k+1}(x) = m_k(v_k) + a_{k+1} \psi_{k+1}(x) + \frac{A_k}{2} \|x - v_k\|_2^2$$

□

Question 5

Proof.

□