Lemma Π_1 and Π_2 are equivalent if and only if:

- (i) For every feasible solution to Π_1 , there exists a feasible solution to Π_2 , with cost equal or lower, and
- (ii) For every feasible solution to Π_2 , there exists a feasible solution to Π_1 , with cost equal or lower.

Proof.
"=>" If T, and Tz are both infeasible there is
nothing to prove thus we now assume That The
The state of the s
and Its have the same optimal cost.
(i) holds because the optimal solution to The has cost
equal or lower than every fessible solution to TI.
(ii) holds symmetrically
"= "If Ty end To are both infeasible we are done
I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I
so assume that one is feasible vulgo Ti
(i) implies that elso Tz is feasible.
Assume by contradiction that one of the two
problems hes strictly lower aptimel cost, who Ti
then . 7 x* leasible To To with cost strictly
lower than any feasible solution to Tz.
This contradids (i).
the state of the s
Hence the optimal cost of the Two problems
is the some.

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} \textbf{Observation} & \textbf{The two problems in Example 1.4 are equivalent.} \end{tabular}$

Proof.

the cost Zx Lence	<u>01</u>	(K3, X	$\frac{1}{2}$ is	, ,			
<u> </u>	1 + 4 ×	2 <u>-</u>	<u> </u>	4 x2+	-4	<u> </u>	
hence	equal	to	The	Cost	of`	(× ,	, X2 ^T , X2
					J		