

SUPERCUSPIDAL REPRESENTATIONS AND THE LOCAL LANGLANDS CORRESPONDENCE

ZACHARY FENG

1. SUPERCUSPIDAL REPRESENTATIONS

Let G be a locally profinite group. Let (π, V) be a smooth representation of G .

Definition 1. *The smooth dual of V is a representation (π^\vee, V^\vee) of G where*

$$V^\vee := \bigcup_{\substack{K \subset G \\ \text{open compact}}} (V^*)^K \subseteq V^* := \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(V, \mathbb{C}).$$

Proposition 2. *If V is smooth and admissible, then*

- (i) V^\vee is smooth and admissible,
- (ii) the canonical map $V \rightarrow (V^\vee)^\vee$ is an isomorphism,
- (iii) if V is irreducible, then so is V^\vee .

For $v \in V$ and $\lambda \in V^\vee$, we can form their *matrix coefficient*

$$\begin{aligned} m_{v,\lambda} : G &\rightarrow \mathbb{C} \\ g &\mapsto \lambda(gv). \end{aligned}$$

Definition 3. *A smooth admissible representation (π, V) of G is called supercuspidal if all of its matrix coefficients are compactly supported modulo the centre, i.e. there exists a compact subset $\Omega \subset G$ such that $\text{supp}(m_{v,\lambda}) \subset Z\Omega$.*

Proposition 4. *If (π, V) is irreducible, then it suffices to check that a single matrix coefficient has compact support modulo the centre.*

Proof. Since V^\vee is also irreducible, any $v' \in V$, resp. $\lambda' \in V^\vee$, is a linear combination of elements of the form gv , resp. $h\lambda$, for $g, h \in G$. Then $m_{v',\lambda'}$ is a linear combination of matrix coefficients of the form

$$m_{gv,h\lambda} : x \mapsto \lambda(h^{-1}xgv)$$

which has compact support modulo the centre. □

Let \mathbb{G} be a connected reductive algebraic group over a non-archimedean local field F . Consider its F -points $G = \mathbb{G}(F)$.

Proposition 5. *Let H be an open subgroup of G containing the centre, and compact modulo the centre. Let (σ, W) be an irreducible finite dimensional representation of H . If*

$$\text{cInd}_H^G W := \left\{ f : G \rightarrow W \left| \begin{array}{l} f \text{ has compact support modulo the centre} \\ \text{and } f(hg) = \sigma(h)f(g) \text{ for all } h \in H, g \in G \end{array} \right. \right\}$$

is irreducible and admissible, then it is supercuspidal.

Proof. By irreducibility, it suffices to construct a single matrix coefficient that is compact modulo the centre. By finite-dimensionality of W , choose $0 \neq w \in W$ and $0 \neq \lambda \in W^*$ such that $\lambda(w) \neq 0$. Define $f_w \in \text{cInd}_H^G W$ and $f_\lambda \in \text{cInd}_H^G(W^*)$ by the formulas

$$f_w(g) = \begin{cases} \sigma(g)w & \text{if } g \in H, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad f_\lambda(g) = \begin{cases} \sigma^*(g)\lambda & \text{if } g \in H, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We can view f_λ as an element of $(\text{cInd}_H^G W)^\vee$ as follows: for $f \in \text{cInd}_H^G W$, set

$$\langle f_\lambda, f \rangle = \langle f_\lambda(1), f(1) \rangle \in \mathbb{C}$$

where the second pairing is the canonical one between W^* and W . This identifies f_λ with the element $\langle f_\lambda, - \rangle \in (\text{cInd}_H^G W)^\vee$. We now form the matrix coefficient

$$m_{f_w, f_\lambda}(g) := \langle f_\lambda, g f_w \rangle = \langle f_\lambda(1), (g f_w)(1) \rangle = \langle \lambda, f_w(g) \rangle.$$

It is non-zero, since $m_{f_w, f_\lambda}(1) = \langle \lambda, w \rangle \neq 0$. It is compactly supported modulo the centre because $\text{supp}(m_{f_w, f_\lambda}) \subset \text{supp } f_w \subset H$. \square

Conjecture 6. *All supercuspidals arise in this way.*

Let $P = MN$ be the Levi decomposition of a proper parabolic subgroup P of G . Let (π, V) be a smooth admissible representation of G . Set

$$V(N) := \text{span}\{\pi(n)v - v : n \in N\}, \\ V_N := V/V(N).$$

Then M acts on V_N by $\pi|_M$.

Definition 7. *The module $J_P(V) = V_N$ with M -action given by*

$$\pi_N := \pi|_M \otimes \delta_P^{-1/2}$$

is called the Jacquet module of (π, V) with respect to P . This is an (exact) functor

$$J_P : \{\text{smooth } G\text{-representations}\} \rightarrow \{\text{smooth } M\text{-representations}\}.$$

Proposition 8. *J_P is left adjoint to nInd_P^G , i.e. there is an isomorphism*

$$\text{Hom}_G(V, \text{nInd}_P^G W) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_M(J_P(V), W)$$

for all G -representations V and M -representations W .

Theorem 9 (Jacquet). *(i) $J_P(V)$ is admissible if V is admissible.*

(ii) A smooth irreducible admissible representation (π, V) is supercuspidal if and only if $J_P(V) = 0$ for all proper parabolic subgroups $P \subsetneq G$.

Theorem 10. *If (π, V) is a smooth irreducible admissible representation of G , then there exists a parabolic subgroup $P \subset G$ with Levi decomposition $P = MN$ and a supercuspidal representation (σ, W) of M such that (π, V) is isomorphic to a subrepresentation of*

$$\text{nInd}_P^G W.$$

Proof. Since V is irreducible, it suffices to show there exists a non-zero G -equivariant map

$$V \rightarrow \text{nInd}_P^G W$$

for some (σ, W) as in the statement of the theorem. We induct on $\dim G$: the dimension of G as an algebraic group. If $\dim G = 1$, then it is a torus and equals its centre, so any function on G is compactly supported modulo the centre.

Assume $\dim G > 1$. First, assume there are no G -equivariant maps

$$V \rightarrow \mathrm{nInd}_P^G W$$

for any proper parabolic $P = MN$ and smooth admissible representation (σ, W) of M . Then by the adjunction of J_P and nInd_P^G and the fact that $J_P(V)$ is admissible, we have that $J_P(V) = 0$ for all proper parabolic subgroups P . In this case, V is supercuspidal.

Now assume there is a proper parabolic $P = MN$, a smooth admissible (not necessarily supercuspidal) representation (σ, W) of M , and a non-zero G -equivariant map

$$V \rightarrow \mathrm{nInd}_P^G W.$$

By adjunction, there is a non-zero M -equivariant map

$$J_P(V) \rightarrow W.$$

Since P is proper, we have $\dim M < \dim G$, and so our induction hypothesis implies there exists a parabolic subgroup Q of M with Levi subgroup L , a supercuspidal representation (ρ, U) of L , and a non-zero M -equivariant map

$$W \rightarrow \mathrm{nInd}_Q^M U.$$

Composing with the map $J_P(V) \rightarrow W$, and applying adjunction again, we get

$$V \rightarrow \mathrm{nInd}_P^G(\mathrm{nInd}_Q^M U).$$

It can be shown that QN is a parabolic subgroup of G with Levi subgroup L . Finally, we apply the transitivity of induction to obtain

$$\mathrm{nInd}_P^G(\mathrm{nInd}_Q^M U) = \mathrm{nInd}_{QN}^G U. \quad \square$$

The two pictures that we are trying to paint are **(1)** "supercuspidal representations are precisely the ones that do not come from parabolic induction", i.e. they are new for G , and **(2)** "supercuspidal representations generate all irreducible admissible representations". The following definition/theorem elaborates on this idea for $G = \mathrm{GL}_n(F)$.

Theorem 11 ([GH11] 14.5.6). *Let (π, V) be an irreducible smooth representation of $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$. Then there exists a unique unordered partition $\kappa = (\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_r)$ of n and an unordered tuple (π_1, \dots, π_r) of supercuspidal representations, unique up to isomorphism, satisfying*

- (i) π_i is a supercuspidal representation of $\mathrm{GL}_{\kappa_i}(F)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$,
- (ii) π is isomorphic to a subquotient of $\mathrm{nInd}_P^G(\pi_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \pi_r)$ where P is the standard parabolic subgroup of G associated to the partition κ .

The unordered tuple (π_1, \dots, π_r) is called the supercuspidal support of π .

For the rest of these notes, let $G = \mathrm{GL}_n(F)$.

Definition 12 (Segments). (i) For any representation π of $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$, and any integer s , we write $\pi(s) := \pi \otimes |\det|^s$.

- (ii) A segment is a set of isomorphism classes of irreducible supercuspidal representations of $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ of the form $\Delta = \{\pi, \pi(1), \dots, \pi(r-1)\}$ for some $r \geq 1$, and we write $\Delta = [\pi, \pi(r-1)]$.

- (iii) We say that two segments Δ_1, Δ_2 are linked if neither contains the other, and $\Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2$ is also a segment.

- (iv) If $\Delta_1 = [\pi, \pi']$ and $\Delta_2 = [\pi'', \pi''']$ are two segments, we say that Δ_1 precedes Δ_2 if they are linked and $\pi'' = \pi(r)$ for some $r \geq 0$.

Theorem 13 ([CEG⁺16] Bernstein-Zelevinsky). *Let $P = MN$ be the Levi decomposition of the parabolic subgroup of G associated to the partition $n = n_1 + \cdots + n_k$.*

- (i) *Consider $\sigma = \sigma_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \sigma_k$ where each σ_i is an irreducible supercuspidal representation of $\mathrm{GL}_{n_i}(F)$. The induction $\mathrm{nInd}_P^G \sigma$ is reducible if and only if there exists $i \neq j$ such that $n_i = n_j$ and $\sigma_i = \sigma_j(1)$.*
- (ii) *Suppose $m = n_1 = \cdots = n_k$ so that $n = km$. The induction $\mathrm{nInd}_P^G \Delta$ of a segment $\Delta = [\pi, \pi(k-1)]$ has a unique irreducible quotient, denoted $Q(\Delta)$.*
- (iii) *Consider segments $\{\Delta_i\}_{i=1}^k$ where each $Q(\Delta_i)$ is a representation of $\mathrm{GL}_{n_i}(F)$ and so that Δ_i does not precede Δ_j whenever $i < j$. Then the induced representation $\mathrm{nInd}_P^G(Q(\Delta_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes Q(\Delta_k))$ has a unique irreducible quotient, called the Langlands quotient, denoted either $Q(\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_k)$ or $Q(\Delta_1) \boxplus \cdots \boxplus Q(\Delta_k)$. Any irreducible representation π of G is obtained uniquely in this way, up to a permutation of the Δ_i .*

Example 14. *Let $G = \mathrm{GL}_2(F)$. Let $P = MN$ be the Levi decomposition of the upper triangular Borel subgroup of G . We describe the Langlands quotient for each of the four families arising in the usual classification of irreducible admissible representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(F)$. This classification can be found in [Bum97].*

- (i) *Let χ_1, χ_2 be characters of F^\times so that $\chi_1 \chi_2^{-1} \neq |\cdot|^{\pm 1}$. Recall that in this case we have $\mathrm{nInd}_P(\chi_1 \otimes \chi_2)$ is irreducible. Let $\Delta_1 = \{\chi_1\}$ and $\Delta_2 = \{\chi_2\}$. We can write*

$$\mathrm{nInd}_P(\chi_1 \otimes \chi_2) = \mathrm{nInd}_P^G(Q(\Delta_1) \otimes Q(\Delta_2)) = Q(\Delta_1) \boxplus Q(\Delta_2).$$

We remark that $Q(\Delta_1) \boxplus Q(\Delta_2) \cong Q(\Delta_2) \boxplus Q(\Delta_1)$.

- (ii) *Let χ_1, χ_2 be characters of F^\times so that $\chi_1 \chi_2^{-1} = |\cdot|$. Then there exists a character χ such that $\chi_1 = \chi |\cdot|^{1/2}$ and $\chi_2 = \chi |\cdot|^{-1/2}$. There is a short exact sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow \chi \boxtimes \mathrm{St}_G \longrightarrow \mathrm{nInd}_P^G(\chi |\cdot|^{1/2} \otimes \chi |\cdot|^{-1/2}) \longrightarrow \chi \circ \det \longrightarrow 0.$$

Let $\Delta_1 = \{\chi |\cdot|^{1/2}\}$ and $\Delta_2 = \{\chi |\cdot|^{-1/2}\}$. Then

$$\chi \circ \det = Q(\Delta_1) \boxplus Q(\Delta_2).$$

We note that this is permitted, since Δ_1 does not precede Δ_2 .

- (iii) *Let χ_1, χ_2 be characters of F^\times so that $\chi_1 \chi_2^{-1} = |\cdot|^{-1}$. Then there exists a character χ such that $\chi_1 = \chi |\cdot|^{-1/2}$ and $\chi_2 = \chi |\cdot|^{1/2}$. There is a short exact sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow \chi \circ \det \longrightarrow \mathrm{nInd}_P^G(\chi |\cdot|^{-1/2} \otimes \chi |\cdot|^{1/2}) \longrightarrow \chi \boxtimes \mathrm{St}_G \longrightarrow 0.$$

Let $\Delta = \{\chi |\cdot|^{-1/2}, \chi |\cdot|^{1/2}\}$. Then

$$\chi \boxtimes \mathrm{St}_G = Q(\Delta).$$

- (iv) *Let σ be an irreducible supercuspidal representation of G . Let $\Delta = \{\sigma\}$. Then*

$$\sigma = Q(\Delta).$$

2. LOCAL LANGLANDS CORRESPONDENCE

Let $V = \mathbb{C}^n$. Let $N \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ be the standard Jordan block of rank $n-1$. Let $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ be the standard basis of V . Define a smooth representation ρ of W_F by $\rho(x)v_i = |x|^{n-i}v_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $x \in W_F$. We form the triple (ρ, V, N) which is a semisimple Weil-Deligne representation of W_F , denoted $\mathrm{Sp}(n)$; this normalization is used in [CEG⁺16, p. 36].

Let $\mathcal{G}_n(F)$ denote the set of equivalence classes of n -dimensional, semisimple, complex Weil-Deligne representations of the Weil group W_F . Let $\mathcal{A}_n(F)$ denote the set of equivalence classes of irreducible smooth representations of $G = \mathrm{GL}_n(F)$.

Theorem 15 ([BH06], [CEG⁺16] Local Langlands Correspondence for GL_n). *Let ψ be a non-trivial additive character of F . There is a unique map*

$$\mathrm{rec} : \mathcal{A}_n(F) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_n(F)$$

such that for all $\pi \in \mathcal{A}_n(F)$ and all characters χ of F^\times ,

- (i) $L(\chi \otimes \mathrm{rec}(\pi), s) = L(\chi \boxtimes \pi, s)$,
- (ii) $\varepsilon(\chi \otimes \mathrm{rec}(\pi), s, \psi) = \varepsilon(\chi \boxtimes \pi, s, \psi)$.

The map is an isomorphism, and it respects parabolic induction in the following sense:

- (i) If $\Delta = [\pi, \pi(r-1)]$ is a segment, then $\mathrm{rec}(Q(\Delta)) = \mathrm{rec}(\pi) \otimes \mathrm{Sp}(r)$,
- (ii) $\mathrm{rec}(Q(\Delta_1) \boxplus \cdots \boxplus Q(\Delta_k)) = \mathrm{rec}(Q(\Delta_1)) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathrm{rec}(Q(\Delta_k))$.

Recall that \oplus and \otimes are defined for Weil-Deligne representations as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} (\rho, V, N) \oplus (\sigma, W, M) &= (\rho \oplus \sigma, V \oplus W, N \oplus M), \\ (\rho, V, N) \otimes (\sigma, W, M) &= (\rho \otimes \sigma, V \otimes W, N \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes M). \end{aligned}$$

Example 16. Let $G = \mathrm{GL}_2(F)$. Let $P = MN$ be the Levi decomposition of the upper triangular Borel subgroup of G . We use the same notation as Example 14.

- (i) Let χ_1, χ_2 characters of F^\times so that $\chi_1 \chi_2^{-1} \neq |\cdot|^{\pm 1}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_1 &= \{\chi_1\} \\ \Delta_2 &= \{\chi_2\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{rec}(Q(\Delta_1) \boxplus Q(\Delta_2)) &= \mathrm{rec}(Q(\Delta_1)) \oplus \mathrm{rec}(Q(\Delta_2)) \\ &= (\chi_1 \oplus \chi_2, \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}, 0 \oplus 0) \\ &= (\chi_1 \oplus \chi_2, \mathbb{C}^2, 0) \end{aligned}$$

- (ii) Let χ_1, χ_2 be characters of F^\times so that $\chi_1 \chi_2^{-1} = |\cdot|$.

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_1 &= \{\chi |\cdot|^{1/2}\} \\ \Delta_2 &= \{\chi |\cdot|^{-1/2}\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{rec}(Q(\Delta_1) \boxplus Q(\Delta_2)) &= \mathrm{rec}(Q(\Delta_1)) \oplus \mathrm{rec}(Q(\Delta_2)) \\ &= (\chi |\cdot|^{1/2} \oplus \chi |\cdot|^{-1/2}, \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}, 0 \oplus 0) \\ &= (\chi |\cdot|^{1/2} \oplus \chi |\cdot|^{-1/2}, \mathbb{C}^2, 0) \end{aligned}$$

- (iii) Let χ_1, χ_2 be characters of F^\times so that $\chi_1 \chi_2^{-1} = |\cdot|^{-1}$.

$$\Delta = \{\chi |\cdot|^{-1/2}, \chi |\cdot|^{1/2}\}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{rec}(Q(\Delta)) &= \mathrm{rec}(\chi |\cdot|^{-1/2}) \otimes \mathrm{Sp}(2) \\ &= (\chi |\cdot|^{-1/2} \otimes (|\cdot| \oplus 1), \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{C}^2, 0 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}) \\ &= (\chi |\cdot|^{1/2} \oplus \chi |\cdot|^{-1/2}, \mathbb{C}^2, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}) \end{aligned}$$

(iv) Let σ be an irreducible supercuspidal representation of G . Then $\Delta = \{\sigma\}$ and

$$\mathrm{rec}(\sigma) = \mathrm{rec}(Q(\Delta)) = \mathrm{rec}(\sigma) \otimes \mathrm{Sp}(1) = \mathrm{rec}(\sigma).$$

So computing $\mathrm{rec}(\sigma)$ cannot be reduced to the case of GL_1 , i.e. local class field theory. This tells us that there is some genuine work that needs to be done to figure out $\mathrm{rec}(\sigma)$. In fact, the reciprocity map rec is completely determined by the images of irreducible supercuspidal representations. See [BH06] for more discussion.

REFERENCES

- [BH06] Colin J. Bushnell and Guy Henniart. *The local Langlands conjecture for $\mathrm{GL}(2)$* , volume 335 of *Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006.
- [Bum97] Daniel Bump. *Automorphic forms and representations*, volume 55 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.
- [CEG⁺16] Ana Caraiani, Matthew Emerton, Toby Gee, David Geraghty, Vytautas Paškūnas, and Sug Woo Shin. Patching and the p -adic local Langlands correspondence. *Camb. J. Math.*, 4(2):197–287, 2016.
- [GH11] Dorian Goldfeld and Joseph Hundley. *Automorphic representations and L -functions for the general linear group. Volume II*, volume 130 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2011. With exercises and a preface by Xander Faber.