```
Recall: Given X=V/U complex torus, H2(X;Z) = {alternating from E: UxU -> Z3
                                       NS(X) = Im(c1: Pic(X) → H2(X)Z)) = H2(X)Z) AH"(X)
(V complex vec. space of dim g, U free Z-mod. of cank 2g s.f. UBR > V as R-vec. spaces.)
                                                                                (same as E(2x,7) = E(x, 2y)
                                                                                            for ZEC)
NS(X) = {alt. forms E: U×U→2 s.t. R-lin. ext. E: V×V → R satisfies E(ix,iy) = E(x,y) ].
      ~ { Heavition forms H: V×V → B. C s.t. InH is Z-valued on U }
                                                                                IME CHH
                                                                                   E → H(x/g) := E(ix/g) + E(x/g)
Inside of this we have Epolacizations of X3 = {H as above that ace pos. definite }.
Remark: Polacization is alt. E: Uxu > Z s.t. bilinear from B(x,z) = E(ix,z) on V is symm. and pos. def
Renack: X has dim 1 => Hom (12 1, Z) free of conk lover Z. Hence, NS(X) = Z and so {polarizations of X ] = Z?
So, polarizations not that interesting in sim 1. For greater sim this is very interesting (and in fact polarizodions are rare).
Why to we case?
Thm: Le Pic(X) ample iff c(L) ENS(X) is a polacization.
Combining of Chaw's Thm says X admits polarization iff X embeds into proj. space HT X is proj. alg. vac.
Line budles on complex tori
 Given X=V/R complex toose, want to make c, 1: Pic(X) -> NS(X) more explicit.
 Fiest approach: Given LEPic(X), describe alt. form c,(L) = E: U × U -> Z. let T: V -> X be quitient map.
 Line builles / V trivial so me can choose nowhere vanishing se H°(V, T*L). We U and lest translation n: V -> V.
 πou=π > u*s ∈ H°(V, u*π*L) = H°(V, π*L). L line buble = * u*s = eus for cu holo. nowhece
vanishing function on V. We have coeycle condition entry (2) = en(z+u')en, (2). en(z) = e^{2\pi i} fu(z).
F(u_1, u_2) := f_{u_2}(z + u_1) + f_{u_1}(z) - f_{u_1 + u_2}(z) \in \mathbb{Z} by cocycle condition. E(u_1, u_2) := F(u_1, u_2) - F(u_2, u_1).
Second approved : The (Appell-Humbert): Pic(X) = { pairs (H, oc) M H: V X V > C Hermitian From s.t. In H is Z-valued
on U and w: U > 51 "almost a homomorphism" via a(u,+u2) = ein(InH)(u,1u2) a(u,)a(u2) }.
```

e, : Pic(X) - NS(X) is c,(H, a) = H.

We can explicitly bescribe the line bundle L=L(H, a). Let p: V - X be the proj. {hol. sections of Lover open set Xo EX} = { hol. functions @ on p (Xo) EV s.t. Vu & U, Z & V B(z+u) = a(u)e TH(z,v) + \frac{1}{2} TH(v,v) B(z) 3.

Remark: This may not to make you happy but the point is that it's concerte. Global sections are classical & functions. We can study (*) to produce invaciant things (by taking infinite sums). For pos. In H we often get convergence and empleness comes for free! This is what Riemann forward on a lot, as did Mumford.

Let Pic o(X) := ker(c,: Pic(X) -> NS(X)). By Appell-Humbert these are pairs (H, a) by H = 0 and a: U -> 5' a char. Pie (X) = Hom (21,51). RHS is top. toevs and in fact has complex steveture.

U

 $\hat{V} = Hom_{\tilde{C}}(V, C)$ set of conj. -lin. Functionals on V. Lattice $U \subseteq V$ determines a tral lattice

û:= {λεν | Yueu: Inλ(u) ∈ Z]. [Exercise: This is a lattice.]

Def: Dual focus of X is $\hat{X} := \hat{\nabla}/\hat{u}$. [Note: $\hat{\nabla} = V$, $\hat{\hat{u}} = u \Rightarrow \hat{\hat{x}} = X$.]

Peop: There are gcp. Emmonocphisms $\hat{X} \cong \text{Hom}(\mathcal{U}, S^1) = \text{Pic}^{\circ}(X)$.

Pf: V→ Hom(U,5'), N→ e^{2πi} Imλ(·) has kecnel û and is surj.

Remark: Lucking in the background is the obvious grp. stevetice on Appell - Humbert data.