

Prop:  $\deg(D) \geq 1 \Rightarrow H^1(T, \mathcal{L}(D)) = 0$ .

Pf:  $\dim H^0(T, \mathcal{L}(D)) - \dim H^1(T, \mathcal{L}(D)) \geq 1 \Rightarrow H^0(T, \mathcal{L}(D)) \neq 0$ . Let  $f \in H^0(T, \mathcal{L}(D))$  nonzero. This means

$f \in \mathcal{M}(T)$  w/  $D' := \text{div}(f) + D \geq 0$ . We have  $\mathcal{L}(D) \cong \mathcal{L}(D')$  and  $\deg(D) = \deg(D')$ . So, can assume WLOG  $D$  is effective

Fix  $p$  at which  $D$  has nonzero multiplicity.  $\mathcal{L}(p) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(D)$  <sup>and</sup>  $\downarrow$  this is isom. away from pts. of  $D$ .

$\leadsto$  SES  $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(p) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(D) \rightarrow \overbrace{\mathcal{O}_{D-p}}^{\text{skyscraper sheaf}} \rightarrow 0$ . Looking at LES, we can assume WLOG  $D = [p]$ .

Use group law to assume WLOG  $p = 1$  in  $\mathbb{G}_m^{\text{an}} / \langle q \rangle = T$ . Now we do explicit calculation for  $H^1(T, \mathcal{L}(1))$ .

$T = U_0 \cup U_1$ ,  $U_0 = \mathcal{U}(|\pi|, |\pi|^{-1})$ ,  $U_1 = \mathcal{U}(|\pi|^2, |\pi|)$ . Note  $1 \in U_0$ ,  $1 \notin U_1$ . Associated Čech complex is

accounting for potential pole of order 1 at 1  
 $0 \rightarrow \overbrace{\frac{1}{z-1} \mathcal{O}_T(U_0) \oplus \mathcal{O}_T(U_1)}^{\text{skyscraper sheaf}} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{O}_T(U_0 \cap U_1) \rightarrow 0$ . Just do the power series calculation.

$D$  divisor w/  $\deg(D) \geq 1 \Rightarrow \dim H^0(T, \mathcal{L}(D)) = \deg(D)$ . Let  $e \in T$  be image of  $1 \in \mathbb{G}_m^{\text{an}}$ .

$L(n[e]) = H^0(T, \mathcal{L}(n[e])) = \{ f \in \mathcal{M}(T) : f \text{ has pole of order } \leq n \text{ at } e \text{ and no other poles} \}$ .

$\dim L(n[e]) = n \quad \forall n \geq 1$ .

$$L(1[e]) = \mathbb{k}1$$

$$\text{ord}_e(x) = -2, \text{ord}_e(y) = -3$$

$$L(2[e]) = \mathbb{k}1 \oplus \mathbb{k}x$$

$$L(3[e]) = \mathbb{k}1 \oplus \mathbb{k}x \oplus \mathbb{k}y$$

Prop: Consider  $\overbrace{\mathbb{k}[x,y]}^{\text{alg. generated}} \subseteq \overbrace{\mathbb{k}(x,y)}^{\text{field of fractions}} \subseteq \mathcal{M}(T)$ .

$$(1) \quad \mathbb{k}[x,y] = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} L(n[e]).$$

$$(2) \quad \mathbb{k}(x,y) = \mathcal{M}(T).$$

Pf: (1)  $A_n := \{f \in \mathcal{L}[x, y] : \text{ord}_e(f) \geq -n\} \subseteq L(n[e])$ . These are equal by comparing dimensions.

Now use  $\mathcal{L}[x, y] = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} A_n$ .

(Note:  $\mathcal{L} = k$ )

(2) Given  $t \in T$ ,  $\exists$  nonzero  $g \in \mathcal{L}[x, y]$  s.t.  $g(t) = 0$ . Why? Evaluating  $x$  at  $t$  gives  $x(t) \in \underset{k}{k_t}$ .

Take the Galois closure  $k'/k$  and  $g := \prod_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(k'/k)} (x - \sigma(x(t)))$ . The point of doing this is to control supports.

Given  $f \in \mathcal{M}(T)$  nonzero, we can thus choose  $g \in k[x, y]$  s.t.  $\text{div}(fg)$  is supported at  $e$ . Hence,

$$fg \in \bigcup_{n \geq 1} L(n[e]) = k[x, y] \Rightarrow f \in k(x, y).$$

□

(can assume coeff. is 1 by looking at pole behavior)  
 $1, x, y, x^2, xy, x^3, y^2 \in L(6[e])$  have a relation.  $0 = y^2 + \lambda_1 x^3 + \lambda_2 xy + \lambda_3 x^2 + \lambda_4 y + \lambda_5 x + \lambda_6 =: p(x, y).$

Consider the proj. var.  $p(x, y) = 0$  giving  $E \subseteq \mathbb{P}_k^2$ .

Prop:  $E$  is elliptic curve and  $T \cong E^{\text{an}}$ .

Pf: Assume  $k$  is alg. closed.  $\phi: T \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2, \text{an}}, t \mapsto (x(t), y(t))$ . This is the map associated to line bundle

$\mathcal{L}(3[e])$  on  $T$ . To check  $\phi$  is isom. onto its image we need

(1)  $\phi$  is injective.

("separates pts.")

(2)  $\phi$  is injective on tangent vectors.

("separates tangent vectors")

Suppose  $t_1, t_2, e \in T$  pairwise distinct.  $\underbrace{L(3[e] - t_1 - t_2)}_{\dim=1} \subseteq \underbrace{L(3[e] - t_1)}_{\dim=2} \subseteq \underbrace{L(3[e])}_{\dim=3} \subseteq \underset{1}{k}[x, y].$

$\Rightarrow \exists f \in k[x, y]$  s.t.  $f(t_1) = 0$  but  $f(t_2) \neq 0 \Rightarrow (x(t_1), y(t_1)) \neq (x(t_2), y(t_2)).$

GAGA  $\Rightarrow \exists$  subvar.  $V \subseteq \mathbb{P}_k^2$  s.t.  $\phi$  induces isom.  $T \cong V^{\text{an}}$ .

$\phi: T \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2,an}$  factors through  $E^{an} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{2,an}$  by definition of  $E \Rightarrow V \subseteq E$ . But  $E$  is iccd. of  $\dim = 1$ , so

$V = E$  and  $T \cong E^{an}$ .  $E$  is smooth because its <sup>completed</sup> local rings are the completed local rings of  $T$ , which are regular.

We see that  $E$  is elliptic curve either by Weierstrass theory or by using GAGA to compare cohom. of line bundle

$\mathcal{L}(D)$  on  $E$  w/ those on  $T$ . We find  $E$  satisfies Riemann-Roch for a genus 1 curve, so is a genus 1 curve.  $\square$

Prop: (1) pullback by  $p: \mathbb{G}_m^{an} \rightarrow T$  induces isom.  $\mathcal{M}(T) \cong \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{G}_m^{an})^{<q>}$ .

(2) analytification induces isom.  $\{\text{rational functions on } E\} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{M}(T)$ . ( $|q|$  and  $1$  identified ~~in~~ in  $T$ , because gluing along mult. by  $q$ )

pf: (1) We want to prove surjectivity of  $\mathcal{M}(T) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{G}_m^{an})^{<q>}$ .  $V_0 := \{x \in \mathbb{G}_m^{an} : |q|^{1/2} \leq |z(x)| \leq 1\}$   
 $V_1 := \{x \in \mathbb{G}_m^{an} : |q| \leq |z(x)| \leq |q|^{1/2}\}$

$U_i := p(V_i) \cong V_i \Rightarrow T = U_0 \cup U_1$  is adm. affinoid cover.  $f \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{G}_m^{an})^{<q>}$  can be restricted to  $V_0$  and  $V_1$ ,

determining meromorphic functions  $f_0 := f|_{V_0}$  on  $U_0 \cong V_0$ ,  $f_1 := f|_{V_1}$  on  $U_1 \cong V_1$ . We can glue because of  $<q>$ -invariance.

(2) This can be proven using standard facts about Weierstrass equations/functions, but we want to do something

more general. Need to show meromorphic  $f \in \mathcal{M}(T)$  is analytification of some rational function on  $E$ . Let  $D := -\text{div}(f)$ .

$\text{div}(f) + D \geq 0 \Rightarrow f \in H^0(T, \mathcal{L}(D))$ . View  $D$  as divisor on  $E$  and get line bundle  $\mathcal{L}(D)$  on  $E$ . Using GAGA,

$H^0(E, \mathcal{L}(D)) \cong H^0(T, \mathcal{L}(D))$ .  $\square$

Assume  $k$  alg. closed. Let  $E/k$  be elliptic curve. We know that  $E \rightarrow \text{Pic}^0(E)$ ,  $p \mapsto [p] - [0]$  is bijection.

This is good for establishing grp. law on  $E$ . Let  $D = \sum_{x \in E(k)} n_x [x]$  be degree 0 divisor.  $D$  is principal iff

$\sum_{x \in E(k)} n_x x = 0$ , using the grp. law. Here's another way to see this.

We have exact sequence: 
$$1 \rightarrow K^\times \rightarrow \{\text{rational functions}\}^\times \xrightarrow{\text{div}} \text{Div}(E) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \times E \rightarrow 0.$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D & \mapsto & (\deg(D), \sum n_x x) \\ \parallel & & \\ \sum n_x [x] & & \end{array}$$

By what we know, same holds w/  $E$  replaced by  $T$ . Let's prove this directly for  $T$ .

← (meromorphic function w/ no zeros or poles, so gives trivial divisor)

Lemma: Recall exact.  $z \in H^0(\mathbb{G}_m^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}_m^n}^\times)$ . The following is exact.

$$1 \rightarrow K^\times \times \langle z \rangle \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{G}_m^n)^\times \xrightarrow{\text{div}} \text{Div}(\mathbb{G}_m^n) \rightarrow 0$$

$\text{Div}(\mathbb{G}_m^n)$  is grp. of formal linear combinations  $D = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{G}_m^n} n_x [x]$  s.t.  $\forall$  affinoid open  $U \subseteq \mathbb{G}_m^n$  we have

$\{x \in U : n_x \neq 0\}$  is finite.

Pf: One can verify the following:

(1) Any  $f \in H^0(\mathbb{G}_m^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}_m^n})$  is given by global power series  $f = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n z^n$  s.t.  $c^n |a_n| \rightarrow 0 \forall c \in (0, \infty)$ .

(2) Any nonzero  $f \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{G}_m^n)$  has form  $f = p/q$  w/  $p, q \in H^0(\mathbb{G}_m^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}_m^n})$  having no common zeros.

(3) Given  $f \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{G}_m^n)$ ,  $\text{div}(f) = 0$  iff  $f = \lambda z^m$  for some  $\lambda \in K^\times, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

(4) Every divisor is divisor of meromorphic function. For this suppose  $D = \sum_x n_x [x]$  and check

$$f(z) = \prod_{|x| > 1} \left(1 - \frac{z}{x}\right)^{n_x} \prod_{|x| \leq 1} \left(1 - \frac{x}{z}\right)^{n_x} \text{ converges to } f \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{G}_m^n) \text{ w/ } \text{div}(f) = D.$$

□

Now, exactness for  $T$ !

Prop: There is exact seq.  $1 \rightarrow k^x \rightarrow m(T)^x \xrightarrow{\alpha} \text{Div}(T) \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathbb{Z} \times T \rightarrow 0$ .

$$D = \sum n_t [t] \mapsto (\deg(D), \prod t^{n_t})$$

Pf: Surjectivity of  $\beta$  is clear.  $\Gamma = \langle q \rangle \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{G}_m^{\text{an}})$  acts on all terms in

$$1 \rightarrow k^x \times \langle z \rangle \rightarrow m(\mathbb{G}_m^{\text{an}})^x \xrightarrow{\text{div}} \text{Div}(\mathbb{G}_m^{\text{an}}) \rightarrow 0. \text{ Take } \Gamma\text{-cohom. to get}$$

$$1 \rightarrow k^x \rightarrow m(T)^x \xrightarrow{\text{div}} \text{Div}(T) \rightarrow H^1(\Gamma, k^x \times \langle z \rangle). \text{ Let } A \text{ be ab. grp. (written multiplicatively) } \curvearrowright \Gamma\text{-action.}$$

$$H^*(\Gamma, A) \text{ is cohom. of } 1 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{(a \mapsto \frac{q^a}{a})} A \rightarrow 1. \quad H^0(\Gamma, A) \cong A^\Gamma, \quad H^1(\Gamma, A) \cong A_\Gamma.$$

$$\Rightarrow H^1(\Gamma, k^x \times \langle z \rangle) = \text{cokernel of } k^x \times \langle z \rangle \rightarrow k^x \times \langle z \rangle, \lambda z^n \mapsto \frac{\lambda q^n z^n}{\lambda z^n} = q^n \text{ - i.e., } (\lambda, z^n) \mapsto (q^n, 1).$$

$$\Rightarrow H^1(\Gamma, k^x \times \langle z \rangle) \cong k^x / \langle q \rangle \times \langle z \rangle \cong T \times \mathbb{Z}.$$

We will make the behavior of  $\alpha$  totally explicit!

NB: I missed the subsequent lecture - get ideas from Tobin and Xinyu.