

Def: Suppose $(G, X), (G', X')$ are Shimura data. A morphism $f: (G, X) \rightarrow (G', X')$ is morphism of alg. gps. $G \rightarrow G'$ s.t. induced $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{S}, G_{\mathbb{R}}) \xrightarrow{f} \text{Hom}(\mathbb{S}, G'_{\mathbb{R}})$ satisfies $f(X) \subseteq X'$.

Example: $E = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-D})$ Imaginary quad. $\xrightarrow{(V, H)}$ Hermitian space V of signature (p, q) . Define symplectic form $\psi(x, y) := \text{Tr}_{E/\mathbb{Q}} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{-D}} H(x, y) \right)$. Recall $X := \{ E \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R} \text{-stable } \perp \text{ decomp.'s } V_{\mathbb{R}} = W_0 \oplus W_1 \}$ is realized inside of $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{S}, \text{GU}(V_{\mathbb{R}}))$ as follows: given $V_{\mathbb{R}} = W_0 \oplus W_1 \in X$ and $z \in \mathbb{C} \cong E \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}$ we have $k(z) \in \text{End}(V_{\mathbb{R}})$ via z on W_0 and \bar{z} on W_1 . Inclusion $\text{GU}(V) \hookrightarrow \text{GSp}(V) \leadsto$ morphism of Shimura data $(\text{GU}(V), X) \rightarrow (\text{GSp}(V), H)$.

Example: Define $k: \mathbb{C}^{\times} \rightarrow \text{U}(V_{\mathbb{R}})$, $z \mapsto \begin{cases} z/\bar{z}, & \text{on } W_0, \\ 1, & \text{on } W_1. \end{cases}$ This realizes $X \subseteq \text{Hom}(\mathbb{S}, \text{U}(V_{\mathbb{R}}))$ as $\text{U}(V_{\mathbb{R}})$ -conj. class. In fact, $(\text{U}(V), X)$ is Shimura datum. Inclusion $\text{U}(V) \hookrightarrow \text{GU}(V)$ does not determine morphism of Shimura data. $X \hookrightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathbb{S}, \text{U}(V_{\mathbb{R}}))$ does not commute!

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \hookrightarrow & \text{Hom}(\mathbb{S}, \text{U}(V_{\mathbb{R}})) \\ \parallel & & \downarrow \\ X & \hookrightarrow & \text{Hom}(\mathbb{S}, \text{GU}(V_{\mathbb{R}})) \end{array}$$

Let $k \in \text{U}(\hat{V})$, $k' \in \text{GU}(\hat{V})$ be compact opens s.t. $k \leq k'$ there is morphism of complex mflds $\text{U}(V) \setminus X \times \text{U}(\hat{V}) / k \rightarrow \text{GU}(V) \setminus X \times \text{GU}(\hat{V}) / k'$ over \mathbb{C} .

Remark: Deligne says this is not nice map from POV of canon. models. [complex geom. is fine but not the number theory]

0-dim Shimura Varieties [This is needed for consistency but is somehow unnecessary.]

T torus / \mathbb{Q} . Assume T has no \mathbb{Q} -rational subtorus w/ compact \mathbb{R} -pts. Any $T(\mathbb{R})$ -conj. class in $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{S}, T_{\mathbb{R}})$ is single pt. $\{h_0\}$ and $\forall k \in T(\mathbb{A}_f)$ compact open: $\text{Sh}_k(T, \{h_0\}) = T(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash T(\mathbb{A}_f) / k$ is finite set. For some $h: \mathbb{S} \rightarrow T_{\mathbb{R}}$ this has a moduli interpretation.

Def: CM field is fin. ext. E/\mathbb{Q} s.t. the following equiv. conditions hold. (not obvious)

- E is totally imaginary quadratic ext. of totally real field.
 - $\exists c \in \text{Aut}(E/\mathbb{Q})$ of order 2 s.t. $\forall i: E \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}: i(c(x)) = \overline{i(x)} \quad \forall x \in E$.
- E^c is maximal tot. real subfield of E .

Def: CM type of CM field E is subset $\Phi \subseteq \text{Hom}(E, \mathbb{C})$ s.t. $\Phi \sqcup \bar{\Phi} = \text{Hom}(E, \mathbb{C})$ (choose minimal set of rep.'s of conj. pairs)

Example: Quadratic imaginary field is CM and CM type is choice of complex embedding.

Example: $\mathbb{Q}(\mu_n)$ is CM field for $n > 2$.

Example: Suppose $E \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ is finite Galois ext. of \mathbb{Q} s.t. $E \not\subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and complex conj. of E lies in center of $\text{Gal}(E/\mathbb{Q})$.

Then, E is CM.

(isogeny endomorphisms)

Prop: A ab. var. / \mathbb{C} of dim d . Suppose E is # field and $E \hookrightarrow \overline{\text{End}^0(A)} \cong \text{End}(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$. Then, $[E:\mathbb{Q}] \leq 2d$.

Equality holds $\Rightarrow E$ is CM and $\exists!$ CM type $\Phi \subseteq \text{Hom}(E, \mathbb{C})$ s.t. $\text{Lie}(A) \cong \mathbb{C}^d$ w/ $\alpha \in E$ acting as

$\begin{pmatrix} \varphi_1(\alpha) & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & \varphi_d(\alpha) \end{pmatrix}$. That is, $\text{Lie}(A) \cong \bigoplus_{\varphi \in \Phi} \mathbb{C}(\varphi)$ as $E \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}$ -modules.

Remark: We say A has CM by (E, Φ) .

Pf: $\text{End}^0(A) \hookrightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(H_1(A, \mathbb{Q})) \cong M_{2d}(\mathbb{Q})$. So, $E \hookrightarrow \text{End}^0(A) \Rightarrow \mathbb{Q}^{2d}$ is vec. space over $E \Rightarrow [E:\mathbb{Q}] \leq 2d$.

Equality holds $\Rightarrow H_1(A, \mathbb{Q})$ is 1-dim E -vec. space. So, $H_1(A, \mathbb{C}) \cong E \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C} \cong \bigoplus_{\varphi \in \text{Hom}(E, \mathbb{C})} \mathbb{C}(\varphi)$. Using Hodge theory, write

$A = V/L$ w/ V d -dim \mathbb{C} -vec. space and $L \subseteq V$ a \mathbb{Z} -lattice of rank $2d$. $H_1(A, \mathbb{R}) = L \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R} = V$ has \mathbb{C} -structure.

So, $\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{C} \cong \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}$ acts on $H_1(A, \mathbb{C}) \hookrightarrow H_1(A, \mathbb{C}) = \text{Lie}(A) \oplus \overline{\text{Lie}(A)}$.

(*) $\Rightarrow \text{Lie}(A) \cong \bigoplus_{\varphi \in \Phi} \mathbb{C}(\varphi)$ w/ $\Phi \sqcup \bar{\Phi} = \text{Hom}(E, \mathbb{C})$. In particular, E is totally imaginary.

Hard fact: E is CM field. [needs polarization!]