

Arithmetic Intersection Theory

Zachary Gardner

zachary.gardner@bc.edu

The goal of these notes is to develop a solid understanding of arithmetic intersection theory, from the perspective of both theory and application. We use the term “closed embedding” instead of “closed immersion.” Given $Y, X \in \mathbf{Sch}$, we say that Y is a closed subscheme of X if there is an isomorphism $Y \cong Z$ for $Z \in \mathbf{Sch}$ equipped with a closed embedding $Z \hookrightarrow X$. We will often ignore this distinction in practice, though we note that several constructions which are non-canonical for closed subschemes become canonical for closed embeddings.

1 Introduction

Recall that $X \in \mathbf{Sch}$ is regular if $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$ is regular Noetherian for every $U \in \mathbf{Aff Op}(X)$; a Noetherian local ring (A, \mathfrak{m}) is regular if \mathfrak{m} can be generated by $\dim A$ elements. A choice of generators forms a regular sequence in A (I think; the choice of order does matter here). **TO DO: This can be stated in a more functorial manner. We are imposing a geometric condition on the closed points of X , which themselves encode geometric information. The key is to understand both notions of dimension entirely functorially. Some notion of global dimension enters the picture. Is there a natural way to see why the Noetherian hypothesis enters the picture?**

If X is regular then it is locally Noetherian (by definition). For a general scheme, the structure sheaf need not be coherent (over itself) but this is not an issue for us since the fact that X is locally Noetherian implies that $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{QCoh}(X)$ is coherent if and only if \mathcal{F}_f is a finitely generated A -module for every $(f : \mathrm{Spec} A \rightarrow X) \in \mathbf{Aff Sch}/_X$ or, equivalently, \mathcal{F} is a finitely generated \mathcal{O}_X -module. In particular, \mathcal{O}_X is trivially coherent and so the category $\mathbf{Loc Free}(X) \subseteq \mathbf{QCoh}(X)$ of finitely generated locally free sheaves on X is naturally a full subcategory of $\mathbf{Coh}(X)$.

Given a closed subscheme $Y \subseteq X$, let $\mathbf{Coh}(X, Y) \subseteq \mathbf{Coh}(X)$ denote the full subcategory of sheaves supported on Y , which by definition are sheaves \mathcal{F} on X such that $\mathrm{supp}(\mathcal{F}) := \{x \in |X| : \mathcal{F}_x \neq 0\}$ is contained in Y .

Remark 1. *How do the following notions compare?*

- \mathcal{F} is supported on Y .
- \mathcal{F} is acyclic outside Y – i.e., \mathcal{F} is cohomologically supported on Y in the sense that $H^i(X \setminus Y, \mathcal{F}) = 0$ for every $i \geq 0$.
- $\mathcal{F}|_{X \setminus Y} = 0$.

These seems to be tied to the notion of cohomology with supports in Y , given by

$$\Gamma_Y(X, \mathcal{F}) := \{s \in \mathcal{F}(X) : \text{supp}(s) \subseteq Y\}.$$

We have an analogously defined full subcategory $\text{LocFree}(X, Y) \subseteq \text{LocFree}(X)$. All categories under consideration are exact, though it's worth noting that $\text{LocFree}(X)$ and $\text{LocFree}(X, Y)$ are generally not abelian. Applying the Grothendieck group construction K_0 produces several groups of interest.

$$\begin{aligned} K_0(X) &:= K_0(\text{LocFree}(X)), \\ G_0(X) &:= K_0(\text{Coh}(X)), \\ K_0(X, Y) &:= K_0(\text{LocFree}(X, Y)). \end{aligned}$$

Given $A \in \text{CRing}$ regular Noetherian, we define $K_0(A) := K_0(\text{Spec } A)$.

Remark 2. *Why not use $\text{Vect}(X)$ in place of $\text{LocFree}(X)$? The difference between the two is that objects in the former category are required to have globally constant rank. Passing to connected components shows that this doesn't really matter (I think).*

Using the above setup, we may define $K_0^Y(X)$ by applying K_0 to $\text{Ch}_{\geq 0}^b(\text{LocFree}(X, Y))$ and then modding out by the subgroup generated by classes of acyclic complexes.

Remark 3. *How does $K_0(X, Y)$ compare with $K_0^Y(X)$? I think we may identify $K_0(X, Y)$ with the set of classes in $K_0^Y(X)$ represented by complexes homologically concentrated in degree 0 (this is the homology of a chain complex of sheaves, which has nothing to do with the cohomology of any sheaves in the complex).*

Recall that, given an exact category \mathcal{C} , $K_0(\mathcal{C})$ is the group completion of the set¹ of isomorphism classes $[F]$ of objects F in \mathcal{C} , modulo the relation $[F] = [F'] + [F'']$ for short exact sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow F' \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow F'' \longrightarrow 0$$

This formulation accounts for the fact that not every short exact sequence may be split.

Example 4. *Let $A \in \text{CRing}$ be a local integral domain (e.g., a field). Then, every finitely generated projective A -module is locally free hence free and so is classified up to isomorphism by its rank. It follows that $K_0(A) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ obtained as the group completion of $(\mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}, +)$.*

We have at our disposal two techniques for comparing different Grothendieck groups. The first uses resolutions while the latter, known as dévissage, uses filtrations.

¹For a general exact category passing to isomorphism classes of objects may not yield a set (in technical terms, the skeleton may not be small). We will, however, follow the time-honored tradition of ignoring such set-theoretic issues. Note that this is not an issue in our setting anyway since we do get sets.

Lemma 5. *Let $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ be additive categories with \mathcal{A} abelian. Suppose that every object in \mathcal{A} admits a finite resolution by projective objects in \mathcal{B} . Then, the natural map $K_0(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow K_0(\mathcal{A})$ is a group isomorphism, with inverse given by*

$$K_0(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow K_0(\mathcal{B}), \quad [A] \mapsto \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i [P_i]$$

for $P_\bullet \rightarrow A$ a choice of finite projective resolution in \mathcal{B} .

Lemma 6. *Let $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ be abelian categories with \mathcal{B} an exact subcategory closed under taking subobjects and quotient objects. Suppose that every object in \mathcal{A} admits a finite filtration by objects in \mathcal{A} whose successive quotients are in \mathcal{B} . Then, the natural map $K_0(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow K_0(\mathcal{A})$ is a group isomorphism.*

Proof. Let $A \in \mathcal{A}$. By assumption, there exists a finite filtration

$$A = A_0 \supseteq A_1 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq A_{n-1} \supseteq A_n = 0$$

with objects in \mathcal{A} such that each $A_i/A_{i+1} \in \mathcal{B}$. Define $\varphi : K_0(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow K_0(\mathcal{B})$ by

$$[A] \mapsto \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} [A_i/A_{i+1}].$$

This is evidently an inverse to the desired map. One then checks that φ is well-defined, the fact that it is a group homomorphism following automatically. To do this, let $\{A_i\}_{0 \leq i \leq n}$ and $\{A'_j\}_{0 \leq j \leq m}$ be filtrations of \mathcal{A} of the desired form. Consider the refinements

$$\begin{aligned} A_{i,j} &:= (A_i \cap A'_j) + A_{i+1}, \\ A'_{j,i} &:= (A'_j \cap A_i) + A'_{j+1}, \end{aligned}$$

which have the property that

$$A_{i,j}/A_{i,j+1} \cong \frac{A_i \cap A'_j}{(A_i \cap A'_{j+1}) + (A_{i+1} \cap A'_j)} \cong A'_{j,i}/A'_{j,i+1}.$$

It follows that all subquotients of the latter refinement are isomorphic to some subquotient of the former refinement and vice versa. By induction we see that φ is well-defined. \square

The resolution result is evidently useful because of the natural map $K_0(X) \rightarrow G_0(X)$ (called the Cartan map) induced by the inclusion $\text{Loc Free}(X) \subseteq \text{Coh}(X)$.

Theorem 7. *Let $X \in \text{Sch}$ be regular.² Then, the natural Cartan map $K_0(X) \rightarrow G_0(X)$ is a group isomorphism.*

Theorem 8. *Let $X \in \text{Sch}$ be regular and $j : Y \hookrightarrow X$ a closed embedding. Then, the natural map $K_0^Y(X) \rightarrow G_0(Y)$ is a group isomorphism.*

²Do we also need X to be separated?

Remark 9. How does $K_0^X(X)$ compare with $K_0(X)$?

Proof. Let $\mathcal{J} \leq \mathcal{O}_X$ be the quasicoherent ideal sheaf corresponding to $j : Y \hookrightarrow X$, so $\mathcal{J} = \ker(\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow j_*\mathcal{O}_Y)$. The natural map $\varphi : K_0^Y(X) \rightarrow G_0(Y)$ is given by

$$[\mathcal{F}_\bullet] \mapsto \sum_{i \geq 0} (-1)^i \sum_{k \geq 0} [\mathcal{J}^k \mathcal{H}_i(\mathcal{F}_\bullet) / \mathcal{J}^{k+1} \mathcal{H}_i(\mathcal{F}_\bullet)],$$

where $\mathcal{H}_i(\mathcal{F}_\bullet)$ is the i th homology sheaf of \mathcal{F}_\bullet (which is a complex of sheaves). This is a finite sum since \mathcal{J}^n annihilates $\mathcal{H}_i(\mathcal{F}_\bullet)$ for $n \gg 0$ (Why?). The inverse of this map is given by

$$\psi : G_0(Y) \rightarrow K_0^Y(X), \quad [\mathcal{E}] \mapsto [\mathcal{F}_\bullet(\mathcal{E})],$$

where $\mathcal{F}_\bullet(\mathcal{E})$ is a choice of finite locally free resolution of $j_*\mathcal{E}$ (which exists since X is regular). \square

Theorem 10. Let $X \in \text{Sch}$ be Noetherian, $Y \subseteq X$ a closed subscheme, and $U := X \setminus Y$.

- (a) $\text{Coh}(X, Y)$ is a Serre subcategory of $\text{Coh}(X)$.
- (b) There is a natural equivalence of categories $\text{Coh}(X) / \text{Coh}(X, Y) \simeq \text{Coh}(U)$.
- (c) There is a natural exact sequence

$$G_0(Y) \longrightarrow G_0(X) \longrightarrow G_0(U) \longrightarrow 0$$

Lemma 11. Let $Y, Z \hookrightarrow X$ be closed subschemes. Then,

$$K_0^Y(X) \times K_0^Z(X) \rightarrow K_0^{Y \cap Z}(X), \quad ([\mathcal{F}], [\mathcal{G}] \mapsto [\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{G}])$$

is a well-defined \mathbb{Z} -bilinear map.

Proof. The content of this result is that, given $[\mathcal{F}] \in K_0^Y(X)$ and $[\mathcal{G}] \in K_0^Z(X)$, each sheaf in the complex $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{G}$ is cohomologically supported on $Y \cap Z$. **We evidently need to be careful here since the tensor product of acyclic sheaves is not generally acyclic.** \square

Remark 12. This should descend to a statement involving G_0 , which should recover Serre's Tor intersection formula.

Let $\pi : X \rightarrow S$ be a morphism of separated Noetherian schemes (for simplicity). We wish to discuss for a moment the functoriality of the constructions of K_0 and G_0 . The pullback $\pi^* : \text{QCoh}(S) \rightarrow \text{QCoh}(X)$ always makes sense and sends $\text{Loc Free}(S)$ to $\text{Loc Free}(X)$. I think this works just as well for coherent sheaves, though we may need flatness. We obtain $\pi^* : K_0(S) \rightarrow K_0(X)$.

The pushforward $\pi_* : \text{QCoh}(X) \rightarrow \text{QCoh}(S)$ exists under some fairly mild conditions on π (e.g., if it is qcqs). If π is proper (which is equivalent to a valuative criterion assuming π is FT and qs) then π_* sends $\text{Coh}(X)$ to $\text{Coh}(S)$ and so we have $\pi_* : G_0(X) \rightarrow G_0(S)$. Under our assumptions this induces a map $K_0(X) \rightarrow K_0(S)$, though I don't believe this arises from π_* acting on $\text{Loc Free}(X)$.

Proposition 13 (Projection Formula). *Let $\pi : X \rightarrow S$ be as above, $[\mathcal{F}] \in K_0(S)$, and $[\mathcal{G}] \in G_0(X)$. Then,*

$$\pi_*(\pi^*[\mathcal{F}][\mathcal{G}]) = [\mathcal{F}]\pi_*[\mathcal{G}].$$

This is a consequence of the push-pull isomorphism $R^i\pi_*(\pi^*\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{G}) \cong \mathcal{F} \otimes R^i\pi_*\mathcal{G}$. Before turning our attention to homotopical matters we will compute a few instances of K_0 and G_0 to see how this theory unfolds in practice.

Example 14. (1) *Let $X = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}/p^n$ for p prime. Then, $K_0(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ with generator $[\mathbb{Z}/p^n] = n[\mathbb{Z}/p]$. Meanwhile, $G_0(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ with generator $[\mathbb{Z}/p]$ and isomorphism $[\mathcal{F}] \mapsto \log_p(\#\mathcal{F})$. This shows that the Cartan map need not be an isomorphism in general.*

(2) *Let $X \in \operatorname{Sch}$ be Noetherian with reduction $\pi : X_{\text{red}} \rightarrow X$. We know that π is a closed embedding with nilpotent associated ideal sheaf \mathcal{J} . It follows that $\mathcal{J}^n = 0$ for some $n > 0$ since X is Noetherian. We have a filtration*

$$\mathcal{F} \supseteq \mathcal{J}^2\mathcal{F} \supseteq \dots \supseteq \mathcal{J}^{n-1}\mathcal{F} \supseteq \mathcal{J}^n\mathcal{F} = 0$$

whose successive quotients are killed by \mathcal{J} and so live in $\operatorname{Coh}(X_{\text{red}})$. It follows that the natural map $G_0(X_{\text{red}}) \rightarrow G_0(X)$ induced by $\pi_ : \operatorname{Coh}(X_{\text{red}}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Coh}(X)$ is an isomorphism. In particular, $G_0(\mathbb{Z}/p) \cong G(\mathbb{Z}/p^n)$ as we saw previously.*

(3) *Let k be a field. We claim that $G_0(\mathbb{P}_k^n) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$ with generators given by the classes of $\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}(1), \dots, \mathcal{O}(n)$. One first shows that $G_0(\mathbb{A}_k^n) \cong G_0(\operatorname{Spec} k) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. Choose now a hyperplane in \mathbb{P}_k^n , which we identify with \mathbb{P}_k^{n-1} and whose complement we identify with \mathbb{A}_k^n . We obtain an exact sequence*

$$G_0(\mathbb{P}_k^{n-1}) \longrightarrow G_0(\mathbb{P}_k^n) \longrightarrow G_0(\mathbb{A}_k^n) \longrightarrow 0$$

and so by induction we see that $G_0(\mathbb{P}_k^n)$ is generated by at most $n+1$ elements. Noting that $G_0(\mathbb{P}_k^n) \cong K_0(\mathbb{P}_k^n)$, consider the function

$$\varphi : K_0(\mathbb{P}_k^n) \times K_0(\mathbb{P}_k^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}, \quad ([\mathcal{F}], [\mathcal{G}]) \mapsto \chi(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{G}^\vee) = \sum_{i=0}^n \dim_k H^i(\mathbb{P}_k^n, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{G}^\vee),$$

which is well-defined since $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \in \operatorname{LocFree}(X)$ are flat. Associated to this is the $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ -matrix whose i, j -entry is $\varphi(\mathcal{O}(i), \mathcal{O}(j))$, which is an upper triangular matrix with 1's on the diagonal. It follows that the classes of $\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}(1), \dots, \mathcal{O}(n)$ are \mathbb{Z} -linearly independent and generate the entire integral lattice \mathbb{Z}^{n+1} .

2 Dold-Kan

Our goal in this section is to understand the statement as well as some of the consequences of Dold-Kan. Let Δ denote the simplex category. By definition, Δ is the category of totally ordered finite sets with nondecreasing maps. We often identify this category with its skeleton, whose objects look like $[n] := \{0 < 1 < \dots < n\}$. Inside Δ is the non-full semisimple subcategory Δ_{inj} whose morphisms are strictly increasing maps. Given $n \geq 1$ and $0 \leq i \leq n$, we have functions $\delta^i : [n-1] \hookrightarrow [n]$ and $\sigma^i : [n+1] \twoheadrightarrow [n]$ given by

$$\delta^i(j) := \begin{cases} j, & j < i, \\ j+1, & j \geq i, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\sigma^i(j) := \begin{cases} j, & j \leq i, \\ j-1, & j > i. \end{cases}$$

Note that $\sigma^0 : [1] \twoheadrightarrow [0]$ makes sense as well.

Given any category \mathcal{C} we may associate the category of simplicial objects $\mathcal{C}_\Delta := \text{Fun}(\Delta^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{C})$ and the category of semisimplicial objects $\mathcal{C}_{\Delta_{\text{inj}}} := \text{Fun}(\Delta_{\text{inj}}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{C})$ (clearly, every simplicial object determines a unique semisimplicial object by restriction). It is common to denote \mathcal{C}_Δ by $\text{s}\mathcal{C}$. Given $X \in \mathcal{C}_\Delta$ and $0 \leq i \leq n$, the morphism $\delta^i : [n-1] \rightarrow [n]$ induces the i th face map $d_i : X_n \rightarrow X_{n-1}$ and the morphism $\sigma^i : [n+1] \rightarrow [n]$ induces the i th degeneracy map $s_i : X_n \rightarrow X_{n+1}$ (we also have $s_0 : X_0 \rightarrow X_1$ arising from $\sigma^0 : [1] \twoheadrightarrow [0]$). Given $\alpha \in \text{Hom}_\Delta([n], [m])$, it is common to write the induced map from X_m to X_n as $X(\alpha)$ or α^* .

In its simplest form, Dold-Kan yields an equivalence of categories between sMod_A and $\text{Ch}_{\geq 0}(\text{Mod}_A)$ for $A \in \text{CRing}$. In “non-additive” situations, sMod_A often serves as a better-behaved replacement for $\text{Ch}_{\geq 0}(\text{Mod}_A)$. Let X be a semisimplicial A -module. To this we may associate the Moore complex $M(X) \in \text{Ch}_{\geq 0}(\text{Mod}_A)$ given by

$$M(X)_n := \begin{cases} X_n, & n \geq 0, \\ 0, & n < 0, \end{cases}$$

with differential $\partial : X_n \rightarrow X_{n-1}$ given by $\partial := \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i d_i$. Inside of this is the degenerate subcomplex $D(X) \subseteq M(X)$ given for $n \geq 0$ by

$$D(X)_n := \langle \text{im}(s_i : X_{n-1} \rightarrow X_n) : 0 \leq i \leq n-1 \rangle.$$

This yields the normalized Moore complex $N(X) := M(X)/D(X)$. At the same time we have the subcomplex $\tilde{N}(X) \subseteq M(X)$ given by $\tilde{N}(X)_0 := X_0$ and

$$\tilde{N}(X)_n := \bigcap_{i=1}^n \ker(d_i : X_n \rightarrow X_{n-1})$$

for $n > 0$, which satisfies $\partial|_{\tilde{N}(X)} = d_0$. All of these constructions are functorial in X .

Lemma 15. *Let $X \in \text{sMod}_A$ and $n \geq 0$. Then, the map*

$$\bigoplus_{\alpha : [n] \twoheadrightarrow [m]} \tilde{N}(X)_m \rightarrow X_n, \quad (x_\alpha) \mapsto \sum_{\alpha} X(\alpha)(x_\alpha)$$

is an isomorphism of A -modules, where α is nondecreasing and $0 \leq m \leq n$.

Theorem 16. *Let $X \in \text{sMod}_A$.*

- (a) *The composition $\tilde{N}(X) \hookrightarrow M(X) \twoheadrightarrow N(X)$ is an isomorphism and $M(X)$ splits as the direct sum of $\tilde{N}(X)$ and $D(X)$.*
- (b) *The quotient map $M(X) \twoheadrightarrow N(X)$ is a homotopy equivalence and hence a qis.*
- (c) *The inclusion map $\tilde{N}(X) \hookrightarrow M(X)$ is a qis and hence $D(X)$ is acyclic.*

Theorem 17 (Dold-Kan). *The functor $N : \mathbf{sMod}_A \rightarrow \mathbf{Ch}_{\geq 0}(\mathbf{Mod}_A)$ is an equivalence of categories with quasi-inverse $K : \mathbf{Ch}_{\geq 0}(\mathbf{Mod}_A) \rightarrow \mathbf{sMod}_A$ given by*

$$K(F)_n := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Ch}_{\geq 0}(\mathbf{Mod}_A)}(N(\Delta^n; A), F),$$

where $N(\Delta^n; A) := N(A[\Delta^n])$ and $A[\Delta^n]$ is the free simplicial A -module generated by Δ^n (or, equivalently, by its nondegenerate simplices).

The natural isomorphism $\tilde{N} \xrightarrow{\sim} N$ allows us to make this much more computationally explicit.

Theorem 18. *The functor $\tilde{N} : \mathbf{sMod}_A \rightarrow \mathbf{Ch}_{\geq 0}(\mathbf{Mod}_A)$ is an equivalence of categories with quasi-inverse $\mathrm{DK} : \mathbf{Ch}_{\geq 0}(\mathbf{Mod}_A) \rightarrow \mathbf{sMod}_A$ given by*

$$\mathrm{DK}(F)_n := \bigoplus_{\alpha: [n] \twoheadrightarrow [m]} F_m,$$

where α is nondecreasing and $0 \leq m \leq n$. Moreover, $\tilde{N} \circ \mathrm{DK}$ is the identity functor on $\mathbf{Ch}_{\geq 0}(\mathbf{Mod}_A)$.

Given $\beta \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\Delta}([q], [n])$ we still need to describe the induced morphism $\mathrm{DK}(F)_n \rightarrow \mathrm{DK}(F)_q$. This is the data of maps $\mathrm{DK}(F)_{\alpha, \gamma} : F_m \rightarrow F_p$ for every $\alpha : [n] \twoheadrightarrow [m]$ and $\gamma : [q] \twoheadrightarrow [p]$ with $0 \leq m \leq n$ and $0 \leq p \leq q$. Only the data of F , which carries a differential ∂^F , is relevant here. Namely,

$$\mathrm{DK}(F)_{\alpha, \gamma} := \begin{cases} \mathrm{id}_{F_m}, & p = m, \\ \partial_m^F, & p = m - 1 \text{ and } \alpha \circ \beta = \delta^0 \circ \alpha', \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $\delta^0 : [m - 1] \hookrightarrow [m]$ and $\alpha' : [q] \twoheadrightarrow [m - 1]$.

Example 19. *Let $F \in \mathbf{Ch}_{\geq 0}(\mathbf{Mod}_A)$. Our goal is to describe the first few terms of $\mathrm{DK}(F)$. As an A -module, we always have*

$$\mathrm{DK}(F)_n = \bigoplus_{i=0}^n F_i^{\oplus \binom{n}{i}}.$$

In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{DK}(F)_0 &= F_0, \\ \mathrm{DK}(F)_1 &= F_0 \oplus F_1, \\ \mathrm{DK}(F)_2 &= F_0 \oplus F_1 \oplus F_1 \oplus F_2. \end{aligned}$$

Next up is describing the associated face maps. To begin, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_0 : F_0 \oplus F_1 &\rightarrow F_0, & (e_0^0, e_1^1) &\mapsto e_0^0 + \partial_1^F(e_1^1), \\ d_1 : F_0 \oplus F_1 &\rightarrow F_0, & (e_0^0, e_1^1) &\mapsto e_0^0. \end{aligned}$$

Continuing, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_0 : F_0 \oplus F_1 \oplus F_1 \oplus F_2 &\rightarrow F_0 \oplus F_1, & (e_0^0, e_1^1, e_1^2, e_2^1) &\mapsto, \\ d_1 : F_0 \oplus F_1 \oplus F_1 \oplus F_2 &\rightarrow F_0 \oplus F_1, & (e_0^0, e_1^1, e_1^2, e_2^1) &\mapsto, \\ d_2 : F_0 \oplus F_1 \oplus F_1 \oplus F_2 &\rightarrow F_0 \oplus F_1, & (e_0^0, e_1^1, e_1^2, e_2^1) &\mapsto, \end{aligned}$$

Let $A \in \mathbf{CRing}$. Given simplicial A -modules $X, Y \in \mathbf{sMod}_A$, we obtain a simplicial A -module $X \wedge Y \in \mathbf{sMod}_A$ by taking $(X \wedge Y)_n := X_n \wedge Y_n$ and sending $\alpha \in \mathrm{Hom}_\Delta([n], [m])$ to

$$X(\alpha) \wedge Y(\alpha) : X_m \wedge Y_m \rightarrow X_n \wedge Y_n.$$

In other words, we transport the bifunctor $\wedge : \mathbf{Mod}_A \times \mathbf{Mod}_A \rightarrow \mathbf{Mod}_A$ to $\mathbf{sMod}_A = \mathrm{Fun}(\Delta^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathbf{Mod}_A)$ in the natural way. Let $F, G \in \mathbf{Ch}_{\geq 0}(\mathbf{Mod}_A)$. We want to understand $F \wedge G$ and, by induction, $\wedge^k F$. By definition, $F \wedge G := \tilde{N}(\mathrm{DK}(F) \wedge \mathrm{DK}(G))$.

Given $a \in A$, let $\mathrm{Kos}(a) \in \mathbf{Ch}_{\geq 0}(\mathbf{Mod}_A)$ denote the Koszul complex

$$\cdots \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow A \xrightarrow{a} A \longrightarrow 0$$

concentrated in degrees 0, 1.

Lemma 20. *Let $a \in A$ and $k \geq 1$. Then,*

$$\wedge^k \mathrm{Kos}(a) \cong \mathrm{Kos}(a)[1 - k]$$