

Uniqueness of canonical models

Milne's Intro to Shvarts [SVI] (§§ 15)
Deligne's Travaux de Shimura [T&S] (§5)

$$(*) \quad M_K(G, X) \xrightarrow{\varphi} Sh_K(G, X) \xleftarrow{\varphi'} M_{K'}(G, X) \leftarrow \text{descend this to } E(G, X)$$

← (up to isom.)
Slogan 1: Var. $V/\bar{\mathbb{K}}$ is uniquely determined by $V_{\bar{\mathbb{K}}}$ and $\text{Aut}(\bar{\mathbb{K}}/\mathbb{K}) \simeq \text{Aut}(\bar{\mathbb{K}})$.

(Somehow we only really understand Shimura varieties by way of their special pts.)

Slogan 2 (Deligne): Canonical models "work" because they have a lot of special pts.

Let (G, X) be Shimura datum w/ reflex field $E(G, X)$. We have shown that X admits a special pt. x_0 .

Lemma: Fix $x \in X$. Then, $\{[x, a] : a \in G(\mathbb{A}_f)\}$ is dense in $Sh_K(G, X)$. [The significance is that we can understand behavior at every pt. in terms of behavior at a chosen pt.]

We have $E(G, X) \subseteq E(x_0)$. Hope is that (1) $(\varphi')^{-1} \circ \varphi$ descends to $E(x_0)$ and (2) $\bigcap_{x_0 \text{ special}} E(x_0) = E(G, X)$.

Let's sketch (2).

Thm (T&S §5.1): $F/E(G, X)$ finite degree field ext. We can find $(T, h) \hookrightarrow (G, X)$ w/ $E(T, h)$ lin. disjoint from F .

The proof is very similar to the one used to show we have a special pt. in the first part.

We make use of a version of Hilbert's Irreducibility Thm [T&S, §5.1.3]. Original version can be seen as saying

$p(t, x) \in \mathbb{Q}[t, x]$ irred. $\Rightarrow \exists t_0 \in \mathbb{Q}$ s.t. $p(t_0, x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ is irred. (proof of this is not a purely algebraic matter). \uparrow

Milne handles the situation for $(*)$ where allow ourselves to vary K . If we look at conjugate compact opens then we can compare using a right translation map (which is actually a map of \mathbb{C} -varieties by a result of Borel).

Deligne's treatment somehow combines all of the above ideas but mixes them in an interesting way.