Approaches to AG

- 1. Classical (algebraic sets)
- 2. Semi-Modern (boally ringed spaces)
- 3. Modern (functor-of-points)

1. Classical

(k = An office n-space)

Pros: Easy to define

. Geometrically clear (some things)

Cons ;

- . Not expensive enough
- · Geometrically poor

2. Seni-Motern

Pcos 1

- . Boccows intuition from marifold theory
- · Manifold theory (and generalizations)
 make sense in the language of ringed spaces
- · Very general

· Bad categorically

Cons:

· Topology sucks!

. Can obscure the geometry

. Not very algebraic

3. Modern

Cons :

. Very abstract (category theory!)

· Hacter to borrow intrition from manifold theory

Pros:

We talked about it...

Classical

An = h offine n-space (simplest example of an offine vaciety)

 $k[x_1, x_n]/(f_1, \dots, f_c)$

I & E(x1) ..., Xn) ideal

 $\sim V(I) = \{ \alpha \in k^n : f(\alpha) = 0 \forall f \in I \}$

$$Spec A \setminus V(I) = D(I)$$
 nonvanishing lows
if $I = fA$ then $D(f) \cong Spec A_f$

$$\beta \rightarrow A \rightarrow Spec A \rightarrow Spec B$$
 $A \rightarrow \varphi^{-1}(\gamma)$

Fact: y* is continuous for the Zariski topology.

Modern

Q: What if we take the above as ar starting point?

Def: The category of spaces is Space: = Fun (CRing, Set)

$$X \in Space$$

$$A \mapsto X(A) \in Set$$

$$A \mapsto X(A) \xrightarrow{Y(A)} Y(A)$$

$$A \mapsto X(B) \xrightarrow{Y(B)} Y(B)$$

An offine scheme is a space Spec A & Space given by (Spec A) (B) = Homany (A,B),

B>C, A>B ~> A>B ~C

These span a fill subcategory Aff Sch \subseteq Space. $V(I) := \operatorname{Spec}(A/I)$

Examples: . A >> A ×

- · A I A
- $A \mapsto M_N(A)$
- $A \mapsto GL_n(A)$

complete cocamplete

Why are spaces nice. Because Set is nice!

Fact: All limits are built from products and equalizers.

In Set, eq
$$(X \stackrel{\sharp}{\ni} Y) = \{ x \in X : f(x) = g(x) \},$$

In Ab, eq $(X \stackrel{\sharp}{\ni} Y) = lac(f-g).$

CRing >> P(CRing) = Fun(Cling P, Set)

CRing P (>> Space

12 (semi-modern)

Affsch