Ch. 4 of Lauman

OF complete DVR of finite ces. field Fq, F:= Frac (Op). Fix uniformized TT.

R-valued distributions: $Hom_{R}(C_{c}^{\infty}(H(F),R),R)$. $\int f d\mu := \mu(f)$.

(need some to have R-linear structure)

(Want QCRE C.) Runack: char. R=O => VCER 3! lost-invaciont measure me on HLF) s.t. me(1 1 HOp) = 1.

H(OF) / UHIM = H(OF/TM) is finite. M. (UHIM) = [H(OF): WHIM] M. (H(OF)).

We get SH by considering the effect of eight multi- on the left-invaciont Haar necessrees.

 $f \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{F}^{\times}, R) \Rightarrow \frac{f}{1:1} \in C_c^{\infty}(F, R)$

[this is the case of GL,]

1 decomposition]

(Just need to check

Take $H = GL_n$, $f \in C_c^{\infty}(GL_n(F), R)$. Need to think about $GL_n(F) \subseteq M_n(F)$, being careful about top.

We can extend 1 Hetl.) In smoothly by 0 to Ma(F) (Gln(F).

Prop: let my be the invariant measure s.t. my (Mn(OF)) = 1. Thun, J >> 5 \frac{1\forall (x)\left)}{\left | \det(x)\left)^n \det(x)\left | Mn(F) \frac{1\forall \text{f(x)}\left | \det(x)\left | \det(x)\

right-invariant measure on GLn(F). Hence, GLn(F) is unimodular.

We realize the performance but ge Gln(G_F). L':= $gM_n(O_F)$, L:= $gM_n(O_F)$. These are both additive subgrps. of $M_n(F)$, We claim

(very important that residue field is finite)

LNL' has finite index in both L and L', $M = \frac{[L':LNL']}{[L:LNL']} = |\text{degt}[g]|^{N}$. Easy when g is diagonal, since we can

just use the case n=1. Cartan becomposition is going to let use deal M non-tragonal case,

 $GL_{n}(F) = \bigsqcup_{\substack{(\lambda_{1},\ldots,\lambda_{n}) \in Diag}} GL_{n}(O_{F}) \, \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{1},\ldots,\lambda_{n} \end{pmatrix} \, GL_{n}(O_{F}) \, \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{1$

Tn(F) has invariant (product) measure, MTn.

for n > 2

Not compatible of grp. storetime, since LHS is generally not abelian. As top. spaces, $U_n(F) \cong F^{n(n-1)/2}$.

Observation: Un (F) is unimoblac. The key is that we can (sort of) transport invaciant measures along the

[Un (F) is nilpotent as high enough commutators vanish.]

Now, Bn = Un × Tn. More generally, suppose H= H,×H2 and we have invacint measures on H, and H2.

Lemma: y & Cc (H, R).

(th 2 & H2 (F))

(i) V_{H_2} : $f_1 \in H_1(F) \mapsto \int V(f_2 f_1) d\mu_{H_2}$ is an eH. of $C_c^{\infty}(H_1(F), R)$.

(ii) $\phi \mapsto \int \phi_{H_2} \, d\mu_{H_1}$ is left invariant measure on H(F).

Pf: (i) Just need to check for 9 = 1 40, Hh

(finite image)

q_{H₂}: h, → ∫ 1 U_{n,H}h (hzhi) Jμ_{H₂} = μ_{H₂}(H₂(F)h, N_{n,H}h). Need to check this is "smooth"

ompact support. The key is that the underlying top, on H is the product top, acising from the

topologies on H, and H2(F). So, Un, H is built from Un, H, and Un, H2.

(ii) Need J (lm4) Hz JuH = J (Hz duH, = J (ln24) Hz duHz V h, & H, (F), hz & Hz (F).
H, (F) Hz duH, H, (F)

(2) is clear. (1) is where order matters. The reason is that only one anof H1, H2 is a priori normal in

H, and we want to simplify an appropriate conjugation. [Something is woong, possibly of the order of Malyfallians]

h2 ∈ H2(F), f ∈ C° (H,(F), R) ~> 1/2 ; f via h, ~> f(h2 h, h2).

Left invariant measure on $H_1(F)$: $f \mapsto \int (h_2; \mathcal{J}) d\mu$. So, we can find $\theta(h_2) \in q^{\mathbb{Z}} s.t.$ $H_1(F)$

 $\Theta(h_2)$ | $H_1(F)$ | $H_1(F)$ | $H_2(F)$ | $H_1(F)$ | $H_2(F)$ | $H_2(F)$ | $H_2(F)$ | $H_1(F)$ | $H_2(F)$ |

Remark: This is the case $S_{H_1} \equiv 1$ and $S_{H_2} \equiv 1$. We can get a more general formula.