

An Overview of the Braverman-Kazhdan-Ngô Program

Zachary Gardner

May 5, 2021

The Local Picture

Fix the following.

The Local Picture

Fix the following.

- F a nonarchimedean local field (with residue field of size q)

The Local Picture

Fix the following.

- F a nonarchimedean local field (with residue field of size q)
- G a reductive algebraic group over F

The Local Picture

Fix the following.

- F a nonarchimedean local field (with residue field of size q)
- G a reductive algebraic group over F
- π an irreducible admissible representation of $G(F)$

The Local Picture

Fix the following.

- F a nonarchimedean local field (with residue field of size q)
- G a reductive algebraic group over F
- π an irreducible admissible representation of $G(F)$
- $\psi : F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ a nontrivial additive character

The Local Picture

Fix the following.

- F a nonarchimedean local field (with residue field of size q)
- G a reductive algebraic group over F
- π an irreducible admissible representation of $G(F)$
- $\psi : F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ a nontrivial additive character
- $\rho : {}^L G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V_\rho)$ a finite dimensional L -homomorphism trivial on Γ_F , which is equivalent to a finite dimensional *algebraic* representation of $G^\vee(\mathbb{C})$

The Local Picture

Fix the following.

- F a nonarchimedean local field (with residue field of size q)
- G a reductive algebraic group over F
- π an irreducible admissible representation of $G(F)$
- $\psi : F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ a nontrivial additive character
- $\rho : {}^L G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V_\rho)$ a finite dimensional L -homomorphism trivial on Γ_F , which is equivalent to a finite dimensional *algebraic* representation of $G^\vee(\mathbb{C})$
- $\sigma : G \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$ a nontrivial F -rational character (playing the role of \det)

The Local Picture

Fix the following.

- F a nonarchimedean local field (with residue field of size q)
- G a reductive algebraic group over F
- π an irreducible admissible representation of $G(F)$
- $\psi : F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ a nontrivial additive character
- $\rho : {}^L G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V_\rho)$ a finite dimensional L -homomorphism trivial on Γ_F , which is equivalent to a finite dimensional *algebraic* representation of $G^\vee(\mathbb{C})$
- $\sigma : G \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$ a nontrivial F -rational character (playing the role of \det)

To make Godement-Jacquet theory work in this setting, we need:

The Local Picture

Fix the following.

- F a nonarchimedean local field (with residue field of size q)
- G a reductive algebraic group over F
- π an irreducible admissible representation of $G(F)$
- $\psi : F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ a nontrivial additive character
- $\rho : {}^L G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V_\rho)$ a finite dimensional L -homomorphism trivial on Γ_F , which is equivalent to a finite dimensional *algebraic* representation of $G^\vee(\mathbb{C})$
- $\sigma : G \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$ a nontrivial F -rational character (playing the role of \det)

To make Godement-Jacquet theory work in this setting, we need:

- some kind of (ρ) -Schwartz space $C_c^\infty(G(F)) \subseteq \mathcal{S}^\rho(G(F)) \subseteq C^\infty(G(F));$

The Local Picture

Fix the following.

- F a nonarchimedean local field (with residue field of size q)
- G a reductive algebraic group over F
- π an irreducible admissible representation of $G(F)$
- $\psi : F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ a nontrivial additive character
- $\rho : {}^L G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V_\rho)$ a finite dimensional L -homomorphism trivial on Γ_F , which is equivalent to a finite dimensional *algebraic* representation of $G^\vee(\mathbb{C})$
- $\sigma : G \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$ a nontrivial F -rational character (playing the role of \det)

To make Godement-Jacquet theory work in this setting, we need:

- some kind of (ρ) -Schwartz space $C_c^\infty(G(F)) \subseteq \mathcal{S}^\rho(G(F)) \subseteq C^\infty(G(F))$;
- some kind of (ρ) -Fourier transform \mathbb{F}_ψ^ρ acting on $\mathcal{S}^\rho(G(F))$.

The Local Picture

The Local Picture

To this we may associate a **(local) zeta integral**

$$Z(s, f, \phi) = \int_{G(F)} f(g) \phi(g) |\sigma(g)|_F^{s+\ell_p/2} dg,$$

where

The Local Picture

To this we may associate a **(local) zeta integral**

$$Z(s, f, \phi) = \int_{G(F)} f(g) \phi(g) |\sigma(g)|_F^{s+\ell_p/2} dg,$$

where

- $s \in \mathbb{C}$;

The Local Picture

To this we may associate a **(local) zeta integral**

$$Z(s, f, \phi) = \int_{G(F)} f(g) \phi(g) |\sigma(g)|_F^{s+\ell_p/2} dg,$$

where

- $s \in \mathbb{C}$;
- $f \in \mathcal{S}^p(G(F))$;

The Local Picture

To this we may associate a **(local) zeta integral**

$$Z(s, f, \phi) = \int_{G(F)} f(g) \phi(g) |\sigma(g)|_F^{s+\ell_\rho/2} dg,$$

where

- $s \in \mathbb{C}$;
- $f \in \mathcal{S}^\rho(G(F))$;
- $\phi \in \mathcal{C}(\pi)$ is a matrix coefficient;

The Local Picture

To this we may associate a **(local) zeta integral**

$$Z(s, f, \phi) = \int_{G(F)} f(g) \phi(g) |\sigma(g)|_F^{s+\ell_\rho/2} dg,$$

where

- $s \in \mathbb{C}$;
- $f \in \mathcal{S}^\rho(G(F))$;
- $\phi \in \mathcal{C}(\pi)$ is a matrix coefficient;
- dg is a Haar measure on $G(F)$;

The Local Picture

To this we may associate a **(local) zeta integral**

$$Z(s, f, \phi) = \int_{G(F)} f(g) \phi(g) |\sigma(g)|_F^{s+\ell_\rho/2} dg,$$

where

- $s \in \mathbb{C}$;
- $f \in \mathcal{S}^\rho(G(F))$;
- $\phi \in \mathcal{C}(\pi)$ is a matrix coefficient;
- dg is a Haar measure on $G(F)$;
- $\ell_\rho \in \mathbb{C}$ is a parameter with value $n - 1$ if $(G, \rho) = (\mathrm{GL}_n, \mathrm{std})$.

The Local Picture

To this we may associate a **(local) zeta integral**

$$Z(s, f, \phi) = \int_{G(F)} f(g) \phi(g) |\sigma(g)|_F^{s+\ell_\rho/2} dg,$$

where

- $s \in \mathbb{C}$;
- $f \in \mathcal{S}^\rho(G(F))$;
- $\phi \in \mathcal{C}(\pi)$ is a matrix coefficient;
- dg is a Haar measure on $G(F)$;
- $\ell_\rho \in \mathbb{C}$ is a parameter with value $n - 1$ if $(G, \rho) = (\mathrm{GL}_n, \mathrm{std})$.

In more detail, let $B \leq G$ be a Borel subgroup and $2\eta_B$ the sum of the associated positive roots. Define $\ell_\rho := \langle 2\eta_B, \lambda_\rho \rangle$, for λ_ρ the highest weight of ρ .

The Local Picture

To this we may associate a **(local) zeta integral**

$$Z(s, f, \phi) = \int_{G(F)} f(g) \phi(g) |\sigma(g)|_F^{s+\ell_\rho/2} dg,$$

where

- $s \in \mathbb{C}$;
- $f \in \mathcal{S}^\rho(G(F))$;
- $\phi \in \mathcal{C}(\pi)$ is a matrix coefficient;
- dg is a Haar measure on $G(F)$;
- $\ell_\rho \in \mathbb{C}$ is a parameter with value $n - 1$ if $(G, \rho) = (\mathrm{GL}_n, \mathrm{std})$.

In more detail, let $B \leq G$ be a Borel subgroup and $2\eta_B$ the sum of the associated positive roots. Define $\ell_\rho := \langle 2\eta_B, \lambda_\rho \rangle$, for λ_ρ the highest weight of ρ . This construction lends the local zeta integral a nice geometric interpretation.

The Local Picture

To this we may associate a **(local) zeta integral**

$$Z(s, f, \phi) = \int_{G(F)} f(g) \phi(g) |\sigma(g)|_F^{s+\ell_\rho/2} dg,$$

where

- $s \in \mathbb{C}$;
- $f \in \mathcal{S}^\rho(G(F))$;
- $\phi \in \mathcal{C}(\pi)$ is a matrix coefficient;
- dg is a Haar measure on $G(F)$;
- $\ell_\rho \in \mathbb{C}$ is a parameter with value $n - 1$ if $(G, \rho) = (\mathrm{GL}_n, \mathrm{std})$.

In more detail, let $B \leq G$ be a Borel subgroup and $2\eta_B$ the sum of the associated positive roots. Define $\ell_\rho := \langle 2\eta_B, \lambda_\rho \rangle$, for λ_ρ the highest weight of ρ . This construction lends the local zeta integral a nice geometric interpretation. If $(G, \rho) = (\mathrm{GL}_n, \mathrm{std})$ and B is the standard Borel then $\ell_\rho = n - 1$ as desired (we refer to this as the *standard setup*).

The Local Picture

The Local Picture

Conjecture

There exists a ρ -Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(G(F))$ with the following properties.

The Local Picture

Conjecture

There exists a ρ -Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(G(F))$ with the following properties.

- *$Z(s, f, \phi)$ converges absolutely for $\operatorname{Re}(s) \gg 0$.*

The Local Picture

Conjecture

There exists a ρ -Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(G(F))$ with the following properties.

- *$Z(s, f, \phi)$ converges absolutely for $\operatorname{Re}(s) \gg 0$.*
- *$Z(s, f, \phi)$ has a meromorphic continuation to all of \mathbb{C} and defines a rational function in q^{-s} .*

The Local Picture

Conjecture

There exists a ρ -Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(G(F))$ with the following properties.

- *$Z(s, f, \phi)$ converges absolutely for $\operatorname{Re}(s) \gg 0$.*
- *$Z(s, f, \phi)$ has a meromorphic continuation to all of \mathbb{C} and defines a rational function in q^{-s} .*
- *The $\mathbb{C}[q^{\pm s}]$ -module $I(s, \pi, \rho)$ spanned by $\{Z(s, f, \phi) : f \in \mathcal{S}(G(F)), \phi \in \mathcal{C}(\pi)\}$ is a principal fractional ideal of $\mathbb{C}[q^{\pm s}]$ with generator $L(s, \pi, \rho)$.*

The Local Picture

Conjecture

There exists a ρ -Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(G(F))$ with the following properties.

- *$Z(s, f, \phi)$ converges absolutely for $\operatorname{Re}(s) \gg 0$.*
- *$Z(s, f, \phi)$ has a meromorphic continuation to all of \mathbb{C} and defines a rational function in q^{-s} .*
- *The $\mathbb{C}[q^{\pm s}]$ -module $I(s, \pi, \rho)$ spanned by $\{Z(s, f, \phi) : f \in \mathcal{S}(G(F)), \phi \in \mathcal{C}(\pi)\}$ is a principal fractional ideal of $\mathbb{C}[q^{\pm s}]$ with generator $L(s, \pi, \rho)$.*
- *Suppose π is unramified, with zonal spherical function Γ_π . Then, there is a distinguished **basic function** $\mathbb{L}^\rho \in \mathcal{S}(G(F))$ such that $Z(s, \mathbb{L}^\rho, \Gamma_\pi) = L(s, \pi, \rho)$.*

The Local Picture

Conjecture

There exists a ρ -Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(G(F))$ with the following properties.

- *$Z(s, f, \phi)$ converges absolutely for $\operatorname{Re}(s) \gg 0$.*
- *$Z(s, f, \phi)$ has a meromorphic continuation to all of \mathbb{C} and defines a rational function in q^{-s} .*
- *The $\mathbb{C}[q^{\pm s}]$ -module $I(s, \pi, \rho)$ spanned by $\{Z(s, f, \phi) : f \in \mathcal{S}(G(F)), \phi \in \mathcal{C}(\pi)\}$ is a principal fractional ideal of $\mathbb{C}[q^{\pm s}]$ with generator $L(s, \pi, \rho)$.*
- *Suppose π is unramified, with zonal spherical function Γ_π . Then, there is a distinguished **basic function** $\mathbb{L}^\rho \in \mathcal{S}(G(F))$ such that $Z(s, \mathbb{L}^\rho, \Gamma_\pi) = L(s, \pi, \rho)$.*

The basic function \mathbb{L}^ρ is uniquely determined up to a choice of maximal compact special $K \leq G(F)$ by the additional requirement that \mathbb{L}^ρ is bi- K -invariant.

Functional Equation

Functional Equation

What about the functional equation? We expect that there is a (local) γ -factor $\gamma(s, \pi, \rho, \psi)$ which is a rational function in q^{-s} such that

$$Z(1-s, \mathbb{F}_\psi^\rho(f), \phi^\vee) = \gamma(s, \pi, \rho, \psi) Z(s, f, \phi)$$

for every $s \in \mathbb{C}$, $f \in \mathcal{S}^\rho(G(F))$, and $\phi \in \mathcal{C}(\pi)$.

Functional Equation

What about the functional equation? We expect that there is a (local) γ -factor $\gamma(s, \pi, \rho, \psi)$ which is a rational function in q^{-s} such that

$$Z(1-s, \mathbb{F}_\psi^\rho(f), \phi^\vee) = \gamma(s, \pi, \rho, \psi) Z(s, f, \phi)$$

for every $s \in \mathbb{C}$, $f \in \mathcal{S}^\rho(G(F))$, and $\phi \in \mathcal{C}(\pi)$. We also expect $\mathbb{F}_\psi^\rho(\mathbb{L}^\rho) = \mathbb{L}^\rho$.

Functional Equation

What about the functional equation? We expect that there is a (local) γ -factor $\gamma(s, \pi, \rho, \psi)$ which is a rational function in q^{-s} such that

$$Z(1-s, \mathbb{F}_\psi^\rho(f), \phi^\vee) = \gamma(s, \pi, \rho, \psi) Z(s, f, \phi)$$

for every $s \in \mathbb{C}$, $f \in \mathcal{S}^\rho(G(F))$, and $\phi \in \mathcal{C}(\pi)$. We also expect $\mathbb{F}_\psi^\rho(\mathbb{L}^\rho) = \mathbb{L}^\rho$. How do we go about this?

Functional Equation

What about the functional equation? We expect that there is a (local) γ -factor $\gamma(s, \pi, \rho, \psi)$ which is a rational function in q^{-s} such that

$$Z(1-s, \mathbb{F}_\psi^\rho(f), \phi^\vee) = \gamma(s, \pi, \rho, \psi) Z(s, f, \phi)$$

for every $s \in \mathbb{C}$, $f \in \mathcal{S}^\rho(G(F))$, and $\phi \in \mathcal{C}(\pi)$. We also expect $\mathbb{F}_\psi^\rho(\mathbb{L}^\rho) = \mathbb{L}^\rho$. How do we go about this? In the standard setup, we have

$$\mathcal{S}^{\text{std}}(\text{GL}_n(F)) = C_c^\infty(M_n(F)), \quad \mathbb{F}_\psi^{\text{std}} = \mathbb{F}_\psi, \quad \mathbb{L}^{\text{std}} = \mathbb{1}_{M_n(\mathcal{O}_F)},$$

with

$$\mathbb{F}_\psi(f)(g) = \int_{M_n(F)} \psi(\text{tr}(gh)) f(h) d^+ h.$$

Functional Equation

What about the functional equation? We expect that there is a (local) γ -factor $\gamma(s, \pi, \rho, \psi)$ which is a rational function in q^{-s} such that

$$Z(1-s, \mathbb{F}_\psi^\rho(f), \phi^\vee) = \gamma(s, \pi, \rho, \psi) Z(s, f, \phi)$$

for every $s \in \mathbb{C}$, $f \in \mathcal{S}^\rho(G(F))$, and $\phi \in \mathcal{C}(\pi)$. We also expect $\mathbb{F}_\psi^\rho(\mathbb{L}^\rho) = \mathbb{L}^\rho$. How do we go about this? In the standard setup, we have

$$\mathcal{S}^{\text{std}}(\text{GL}_n(F)) = C_c^\infty(M_n(F)), \quad \mathbb{F}_\psi^{\text{std}} = \mathbb{F}_\psi, \quad \mathbb{L}^{\text{std}} = \mathbb{1}_{M_n(\mathcal{O}_F)},$$

with

$$\mathbb{F}_\psi(f)(g) = \int_{M_n(F)} \psi(\text{tr}(gh)) f(h) d^+ h.$$

Letting $\Phi_\psi^{\text{std}} := \psi(\text{tr}) |\det|_F^n$ and taking $f \in C_c^\infty(\text{GL}_n(F))$ with $f^\vee(g) := f(g^{-1})$, we can rewrite this as

$$\mathbb{F}_\psi^{\text{std}}(f) = |\det|_F^{-n} (\Phi_\psi^{\text{std}} * f^\vee) = |\det|_F^{-\ell_{\text{std}}-1} (\Phi_\psi^{\text{std}} * f^\vee).$$

Functional Equation

Functional Equation

The kernel Φ_{ψ}^{std} defines a $\text{GL}_n(F)$ -conjugation-invariant distribution on $\text{GL}_n(F)$ that is well-behaved.

Functional Equation

The kernel Φ_{ψ}^{std} defines a $\text{GL}_n(F)$ -conjugation-invariant distribution on $\text{GL}_n(F)$ that is well-behaved. We similarly expect that there is a kernel Φ_{ψ}^{ρ} which is a $G(F)$ -conjugation-invariant distribution on $G(F)$ and well-behaved such that

$$\mathbb{F}_{\psi}^{\rho}(f) = |\sigma|_F^{-\ell_{\rho}-1} (\Phi_{\psi}^{\rho} * f^{\vee})$$

for every $f \in C_c^{\infty}(G(F)) \subseteq \mathcal{S}^{\rho}(G(F))$.

Functional Equation

The kernel Φ_{ψ}^{std} defines a $\text{GL}_n(F)$ -conjugation-invariant distribution on $\text{GL}_n(F)$ that is well-behaved. We similarly expect that there is a kernel Φ_{ψ}^{ρ} which is a $G(F)$ -conjugation-invariant distribution on $G(F)$ and well-behaved such that

$$\mathbb{F}_{\psi}^{\rho}(f) = |\sigma|_F^{-\ell_{\rho}-1} (\Phi_{\psi}^{\rho} * f^{\vee})$$

for every $f \in C_c^{\infty}(G(F)) \subseteq \mathcal{S}^{\rho}(G(F))$. We also expect as in the standard case that \mathbb{F}_{ψ}^{ρ} extends to $L^2(G(F), |\sigma|_F^{\ell_{\rho}+1} dg)$, a useful analytic result in the local setting.

Functional Equation

Functional Equation

What do we mean by “well-behaved?”

Functional Equation

What do we mean by “well-behaved?” The precise term to use here is σ -compact.

Functional Equation

What do we mean by “well-behaved?” The precise term to use here is σ -compact. To give a definition, we first need to understand the Bernstein center $\mathfrak{Z}(G(F))$. Here are some equivalent yet different perspectives on $\mathfrak{Z}(G(F))$.

Functional Equation

What do we mean by “well-behaved?” The precise term to use here is σ -compact. To give a definition, we first need to understand the Bernstein center $\mathfrak{Z}(G(F))$. Here are some equivalent yet different perspectives on $\mathfrak{Z}(G(F))$.

- The endomorphism ring of the identity functor of the category of smooth representations of $G(F)$.

Functional Equation

What do we mean by “well-behaved?” The precise term to use here is σ -compact. To give a definition, we first need to understand the Bernstein center $\mathfrak{Z}(G(F))$. Here are some equivalent yet different perspectives on $\mathfrak{Z}(G(F))$.

- The endomorphism ring of the identity functor of the category of smooth representations of $G(F)$.
- The space of $G(F)$ -conjugation-invariant essentially compactly supported distributions on Φ – i.e., those Φ such that $\Phi * C_c^\infty(G(F)) = C_c^\infty(G(F))$.

Functional Equation

What do we mean by “well-behaved?” The precise term to use here is σ -compact. To give a definition, we first need to understand the Bernstein center $\mathfrak{Z}(G(F))$. Here are some equivalent yet different perspectives on $\mathfrak{Z}(G(F))$.

- The endomorphism ring of the identity functor of the category of smooth representations of $G(F)$.
- The space of $G(F)$ -conjugation-invariant essentially compactly supported distributions on Φ – i.e., those Φ such that $\Phi * C_c^\infty(G(F)) = C_c^\infty(G(F))$.
- The set of regular functions on the Bernstein variety $\Omega(G(F))$, which roughly parameterizes (up to conjugation) pairs $(M(F), \sigma)$ with $M(F) \leq G(F)$ Levi and σ a supercuspidal representation of $M(F)$.

Functional Equation

What do we mean by “well-behaved?” The precise term to use here is σ -compact. To give a definition, we first need to understand the Bernstein center $\mathfrak{Z}(G(F))$. Here are some equivalent yet different perspectives on $\mathfrak{Z}(G(F))$.

- The endomorphism ring of the identity functor of the category of smooth representations of $G(F)$.
- The space of $G(F)$ -conjugation-invariant essentially compactly supported distributions on Φ – i.e., those Φ such that $\Phi * C_c^\infty(G(F)) = C_c^\infty(G(F))$.
- The set of regular functions on the Bernstein variety $\Omega(G(F))$, which roughly parameterizes (up to conjugation) pairs $(M(F), \sigma)$ with $M(F) \leq G(F)$ Levi and σ a supercuspidal representation of $M(F)$. If Φ is as above then we let f^Φ be the associated regular function on $\Omega(G(F))$.

Functional Equation

What do we mean by “well-behaved?” The precise term to use here is σ -compact. To give a definition, we first need to understand the Bernstein center $\mathfrak{Z}(G(F))$. Here are some equivalent yet different perspectives on $\mathfrak{Z}(G(F))$.

- The endomorphism ring of the identity functor of the category of smooth representations of $G(F)$.
- The space of $G(F)$ -conjugation-invariant essentially compactly supported distributions on Φ – i.e., those Φ such that $\Phi * C_c^\infty(G(F)) = C_c^\infty(G(F))$.
- The set of regular functions on the Bernstein variety $\Omega(G(F))$, which roughly parameterizes (up to conjugation) pairs $(M(F), \sigma)$ with $M(F) \leq G(F)$ Levi and σ a supercuspidal representation of $M(F)$. If Φ is as above then we let f^Φ be the associated regular function on $\Omega(G(F))$.

Given Φ a distribution on $G(F)$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, define

$$G(F)_n := \{g \in G(F) : |\sigma(g)| = q^{-n}\}$$

and $\Phi_n := \Phi \cdot \mathbb{1}_{G(F)_n}$.

Functional Equation

Functional Equation

Definition

Φ is σ -**compact** if

Functional Equation

Definition

Φ is σ -**compact** if

- for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\Phi_n \in \mathfrak{Z}(G(F))$ and so has associated regular function f^{Φ_n} on $\Omega(G(F))$;

Functional Equation

Definition

Φ is σ -**compact** if

- for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\Phi_n \in \mathfrak{Z}(G(F))$ and so has associated regular function f^{Φ_n} on $\Omega(G(F))$;
- for every irreducible admissible representation π of $G(F)$ with twist $\pi_s := \pi |\sigma|_F^s$, the Laurent series

$$f^\Phi(\pi_s) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f^{\Phi_n}(\pi_s)$$

converges absolutely for $\operatorname{Re}(s) \gg 0$ and defines a rational function in q^{-s} .

Functional Equation

Definition

Φ is σ -**compact** if

- for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\Phi_n \in \mathfrak{Z}(G(F))$ and so has associated regular function f^{Φ_n} on $\Omega(G(F))$;
- for every irreducible admissible representation π of $G(F)$ with twist $\pi_s := \pi |\sigma|_F^s$, the Laurent series

$$f^\Phi(\pi_s) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f^{\Phi_n}(\pi_s)$$

converges absolutely for $\operatorname{Re}(s) \gg 0$ and defines a rational function in q^{-s} .

Such distributions are hand-crafted to give functional equations, as [Luo, Lemma 5.2.4] shows.

Functional Equation

Definition

Φ is σ -**compact** if

- for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\Phi_n \in \mathfrak{Z}(G(F))$ and so has associated regular function f^{Φ_n} on $\Omega(G(F))$;
- for every irreducible admissible representation π of $G(F)$ with twist $\pi_s := \pi |\sigma|_F^s$, the Laurent series

$$f^\Phi(\pi_s) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f^{\Phi_n}(\pi_s)$$

converges absolutely for $\operatorname{Re}(s) \gg 0$ and defines a rational function in q^{-s} .

Such distributions are hand-crafted to give functional equations, as [Luo, Lemma 5.2.4] shows. While this analytic picture is nice, it is not so well suited to passing to the global setting. So, we seek a more geometric perspective.

Reductive Monoids

Reductive Monoids

Our first order of business is to find something that plays the same role as M_n does for GL_n .
What properties do we want?

Reductive Monoids

Our first order of business is to find something that plays the same role as M_n does for GL_n . What properties do we want? In the standard setup, the open embedding $\mathrm{GL}_n \hookrightarrow M_n$ has dense image that is invariant under the appropriate action of $\mathrm{GL}_n \times \mathrm{GL}_n$.

Reductive Monoids

Our first order of business is to find something that plays the same role as M_n does for GL_n . What properties do we want? In the standard setup, the open embedding $\mathrm{GL}_n \hookrightarrow M_n$ has dense image that is invariant under the appropriate action of $\mathrm{GL}_n \times \mathrm{GL}_n$. Moreover, M_n is a monoid with GL_n as unit group.

Reductive Monoids

Our first order of business is to find something that plays the same role as M_n does for GL_n . What properties do we want? In the standard setup, the open embedding $\mathrm{GL}_n \hookrightarrow M_n$ has dense image that is invariant under the appropriate action of $\mathrm{GL}_n \times \mathrm{GL}_n$. Moreover, M_n is a monoid with GL_n as unit group. With this in mind, for a pair (G, ρ) we seek a reductive monoid M^ρ with open embedding $G \hookrightarrow M^\rho$ realizing G as the unit group whose image is dense and invariant under an appropriate action of $G \times G$.

Reductive Monoids

Our first order of business is to find something that plays the same role as M_n does for GL_n . What properties do we want? In the standard setup, the open embedding $\mathrm{GL}_n \hookrightarrow M_n$ has dense image that is invariant under the appropriate action of $\mathrm{GL}_n \times \mathrm{GL}_n$. Moreover, M_n is a monoid with GL_n as unit group. With this in mind, for a pair (G, ρ) we seek a reductive monoid M^ρ with open embedding $G \hookrightarrow M^\rho$ realizing G as the unit group whose image is dense and invariant under an appropriate action of $G \times G$. The following definition makes this more precise.

Definition

A **reductive monoid** over a field k is a normal affine irreducible algebraic variety equipped with the structure of a monoid such that the unit group (i.e., the open subset of invertible elements) is a reductive algebraic group over k .

Reductive Monoids

Our first order of business is to find something that plays the same role as M_n does for GL_n . What properties do we want? In the standard setup, the open embedding $\mathrm{GL}_n \hookrightarrow M_n$ has dense image that is invariant under the appropriate action of $\mathrm{GL}_n \times \mathrm{GL}_n$. Moreover, M_n is a monoid with GL_n as unit group. With this in mind, for a pair (G, ρ) we seek a reductive monoid M^ρ with open embedding $G \hookrightarrow M^\rho$ realizing G as the unit group whose image is dense and invariant under an appropriate action of $G \times G$. The following definition makes this more precise.

Definition

A **reductive monoid** over a field k is a normal affine irreducible algebraic variety equipped with the structure of a monoid such that the unit group (i.e., the open subset of invertible elements) is a reductive algebraic group over k .

It turns out that, under some mild conditions on ρ , there is a more or less unique way to associate an M^ρ as desired to (G, ρ) .

Reductive Monoids

Our first order of business is to find something that plays the same role as M_n does for GL_n . What properties do we want? In the standard setup, the open embedding $\mathrm{GL}_n \hookrightarrow M_n$ has dense image that is invariant under the appropriate action of $\mathrm{GL}_n \times \mathrm{GL}_n$. Moreover, M_n is a monoid with GL_n as unit group. With this in mind, for a pair (G, ρ) we seek a reductive monoid M^ρ with open embedding $G \hookrightarrow M^\rho$ realizing G as the unit group whose image is dense and invariant under an appropriate action of $G \times G$. The following definition makes this more precise.

Definition

A **reductive monoid** over a field k is a normal affine irreducible algebraic variety equipped with the structure of a monoid such that the unit group (i.e., the open subset of invertible elements) is a reductive algebraic group over k .

It turns out that, under some mild conditions on ρ , there is a more or less unique way to associate an M^ρ as desired to (G, ρ) . Before we get into the details, though, we first mention what we can do with this.

Schwartz Space

Schwartz Space

To begin, let's start with an example.

Schwartz Space

To begin, let's start with an example. Let $G = \mathrm{GSp}_4$ so that

$$G(F) = \{g \in \mathrm{GL}_4(F) : {}^t g J_4 g = \lambda J_4 \text{ for some } \lambda \in F^\times\}$$

with $J_4 := \begin{pmatrix} & -I_2 \\ I_2 & \end{pmatrix}$.

Schwartz Space

To begin, let's start with an example. Let $G = \mathrm{GSp}_4$ so that

$$G(F) = \{g \in \mathrm{GL}_4(F) : {}^t g J_4 g = \lambda J_4 \text{ for some } \lambda \in F^\times\}$$

with $J_4 := \begin{pmatrix} & -I_2 \\ I_2 & \end{pmatrix}$. It turns out that $G^\vee(\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathrm{GSp}_4(\mathbb{C})$, to which we associate the standard representation $\rho : \mathrm{GSp}_4(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_4(\mathbb{C})$.

Schwartz Space

To begin, let's start with an example. Let $G = \mathrm{GSp}_4$ so that

$$G(F) = \{g \in \mathrm{GL}_4(F) : {}^t g J_4 g = \lambda J_4 \text{ for some } \lambda \in F^\times\}$$

with $J_4 := \begin{pmatrix} & -I_2 \\ I_2 & \end{pmatrix}$. It turns out that $G^\vee(\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathrm{GSp}_4(\mathbb{C})$, to which we associate the standard representation $\rho : \mathrm{GSp}_4(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_4(\mathbb{C})$. Here are some facts.

Schwartz Space

To begin, let's start with an example. Let $G = \mathrm{GSp}_4$ so that

$$G(F) = \{g \in \mathrm{GL}_4(F) : {}^t g J_4 g = \lambda J_4 \text{ for some } \lambda \in F^\times\}$$

with $J_4 := \begin{pmatrix} & -I_2 \\ I_2 & \end{pmatrix}$. It turns out that $G^\vee(\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathrm{GSp}_4(\mathbb{C})$, to which we associate the standard representation $\rho : \mathrm{GSp}_4(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_4(\mathbb{C})$. Here are some facts.

- The reductive monoid associated to (GSp_4, ρ) is MSp_4 , defined by

$$\mathrm{MSp}_4(F) = \{g \in M_4(F) : {}^t g J_4 g = \lambda J_4 = g J_4 {}^t g \text{ for some } \lambda \in F^\times\}.$$

Schwartz Space

To begin, let's start with an example. Let $G = \mathrm{GSp}_4$ so that

$$G(F) = \{g \in \mathrm{GL}_4(F) : {}^t g J_4 g = \lambda J_4 \text{ for some } \lambda \in F^\times\}$$

with $J_4 := \begin{pmatrix} & -I_2 \\ I_2 & \end{pmatrix}$. It turns out that $G^\vee(\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathrm{GSp}_4(\mathbb{C})$, to which we associate the standard representation $\rho : \mathrm{GSp}_4(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_4(\mathbb{C})$. Here are some facts.

- The reductive monoid associated to (GSp_4, ρ) is MSp_4 , defined by

$$\mathrm{MSp}_4(F) = \{g \in M_4(F) : {}^t g J_4 g = \lambda J_4 = g J_4 {}^t g \text{ for some } \lambda \in F^\times\}.$$

- MSp_4 is singular.

Schwartz Space

To begin, let's start with an example. Let $G = \mathrm{GSp}_4$ so that

$$G(F) = \{g \in \mathrm{GL}_4(F) : {}^t g J_4 g = \lambda J_4 \text{ for some } \lambda \in F^\times\}$$

with $J_4 := \begin{pmatrix} & -I_2 \\ I_2 & \end{pmatrix}$. It turns out that $G^\vee(\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathrm{GSp}_4(\mathbb{C})$, to which we associate the standard representation $\rho : \mathrm{GSp}_4(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_4(\mathbb{C})$. Here are some facts.

- The reductive monoid associated to (GSp_4, ρ) is MSp_4 , defined by

$$\mathrm{MSp}_4(F) = \{g \in M_4(F) : {}^t g J_4 g = \lambda J_4 = g J_4 {}^t g \text{ for some } \lambda \in F^\times\}.$$

- MSp_4 is singular.
- Let π be an unramified representation of $\mathrm{GSp}_4(F)$ with zonal spherical function Γ_π .

Schwartz Space

To begin, let's start with an example. Let $G = \mathrm{GSp}_4$ so that

$$G(F) = \{g \in \mathrm{GL}_4(F) : {}^t g J_4 g = \lambda J_4 \text{ for some } \lambda \in F^\times\}$$

with $J_4 := \begin{pmatrix} & -I_2 \\ I_2 & \end{pmatrix}$. It turns out that $G^\vee(\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathrm{GSp}_4(\mathbb{C})$, to which we associate the standard representation $\rho : \mathrm{GSp}_4(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_4(\mathbb{C})$. Here are some facts.

- The reductive monoid associated to (GSp_4, ρ) is MSp_4 , defined by

$$\mathrm{MSp}_4(F) = \{g \in M_4(F) : {}^t g J_4 g = \lambda J_4 = g J_4 {}^t g \text{ for some } \lambda \in F^\times\}.$$

- MSp_4 is singular.
- Let π be an unramified representation of $\mathrm{GSp}_4(F)$ with zonal spherical function Γ_π . Then, $Z(s, \mathbb{1}_{\mathrm{MSp}_4(\mathcal{O}_F)}, \Gamma_\pi) = P_\pi(q^{-s})L(s, \pi, \rho)$ for some nontrivial $P_\pi(X) \in \mathbb{C}[X]$.

Schwartz Space

To begin, let's start with an example. Let $G = \mathrm{GSp}_4$ so that

$$G(F) = \{g \in \mathrm{GL}_4(F) : {}^t g J_4 g = \lambda J_4 \text{ for some } \lambda \in F^\times\}$$

with $J_4 := \begin{pmatrix} & -I_2 \\ I_2 & \end{pmatrix}$. It turns out that $G^\vee(\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathrm{GSp}_4(\mathbb{C})$, to which we associate the standard representation $\rho : \mathrm{GSp}_4(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_4(\mathbb{C})$. Here are some facts.

- The reductive monoid associated to (GSp_4, ρ) is MSp_4 , defined by

$$\mathrm{MSp}_4(F) = \{g \in M_4(F) : {}^t g J_4 g = \lambda J_4 = g J_4 {}^t g \text{ for some } \lambda \in F^\times\}.$$

- MSp_4 is singular.
- Let π be an unramified representation of $\mathrm{GSp}_4(F)$ with zonal spherical function Γ_π . Then, $Z(s, \mathbb{1}_{\mathrm{MSp}_4(\mathcal{O}_F)}, \Gamma_\pi) = P_\pi(q^{-s})L(s, \pi, \rho)$ for some nontrivial $P_\pi(X) \in \mathbb{C}[X]$.

One thing this example shows us is that the “obvious” choice for Γ^ρ may be too naïve.

Schwartz Space

To begin, let's start with an example. Let $G = \mathrm{GSp}_4$ so that

$$G(F) = \{g \in \mathrm{GL}_4(F) : {}^t g J_4 g = \lambda J_4 \text{ for some } \lambda \in F^\times\}$$

with $J_4 := \begin{pmatrix} & -I_2 \\ I_2 & \end{pmatrix}$. It turns out that $G^\vee(\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathrm{GSp}_4(\mathbb{C})$, to which we associate the standard representation $\rho : \mathrm{GSp}_4(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_4(\mathbb{C})$. Here are some facts.

- The reductive monoid associated to (GSp_4, ρ) is MSp_4 , defined by

$$\mathrm{MSp}_4(F) = \{g \in M_4(F) : {}^t g J_4 g = \lambda J_4 = g J_4 {}^t g \text{ for some } \lambda \in F^\times\}.$$

- MSp_4 is singular.
- Let π be an unramified representation of $\mathrm{GSp}_4(F)$ with zonal spherical function Γ_π . Then, $Z(s, \mathbb{1}_{\mathrm{MSp}_4(\mathcal{O}_F)}, \Gamma_\pi) = P_\pi(q^{-s})L(s, \pi, \rho)$ for some nontrivial $P_\pi(X) \in \mathbb{C}[X]$.

One thing this example shows us is that the “obvious” choice for Γ^ρ may be too naïve. This deficiency can be accounted for by examining the singular locus of $\mathrm{MSp}_4(F)$, where Γ^ρ should not be constant but instead satisfy some kind of moderate growth condition.

Schwartz Space

Schwartz Space

In general, we can't just take $\mathcal{S}^p(G(F))$ to be $C_c^\infty(M^p(F))$.

Schwartz Space

In general, we can't just take $\mathcal{S}^p(G(F))$ to be $C_c^\infty(M^p(F))$. We do, however, expect that $\mathcal{S}^p(G(F))$ should be determined by local conditions on $M^p(F)$ with respect to its totally disconnected topology.

Schwartz Space

In general, we can't just take $\mathcal{S}^\rho(G(F))$ to be $C_c^\infty(M^\rho(F))$. We do, however, expect that $\mathcal{S}^\rho(G(F))$ should be determined by local conditions on $M^\rho(F)$ with respect to its totally disconnected topology. More explicitly:

Conjecture

There exists a sheaf $\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}^\rho$ on M^ρ such that $\mathcal{S}^\rho(G(F)) = \Gamma_c(M^\rho(F), \widetilde{\mathcal{S}}^\rho)$.

Schwartz Space

In general, we can't just take $\mathcal{S}^\rho(G(F))$ to be $C_c^\infty(M^\rho(F))$. We do, however, expect that $\mathcal{S}^\rho(G(F))$ should be determined by local conditions on $M^\rho(F)$ with respect to its totally disconnected topology. More explicitly:

Conjecture

There exists a sheaf $\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}^\rho$ on M^ρ such that $\mathcal{S}^\rho(G(F)) = \Gamma_c(M^\rho(F), \widetilde{\mathcal{S}}^\rho)$.

This yields a space of test functions satisfying $C_c^\infty(G(F)) \subseteq \mathcal{S}^\rho(G(F)) \subseteq C^\infty(G(F))$, with the discrepancy between $\mathcal{S}^\rho(G(F))$ and $C^\infty(G(F))$ accounted for by asymptotic conditions measured by the stalks of $\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}^\rho$.

Schwartz Space

In general, we can't just take $\mathcal{S}^\rho(G(F))$ to be $C_c^\infty(M^\rho(F))$. We do, however, expect that $\mathcal{S}^\rho(G(F))$ should be determined by local conditions on $M^\rho(F)$ with respect to its totally disconnected topology. More explicitly:

Conjecture

There exists a sheaf $\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}^\rho$ on M^ρ such that $\mathcal{S}^\rho(G(F)) = \Gamma_c(M^\rho(F), \widetilde{\mathcal{S}}^\rho)$.

This yields a space of test functions satisfying $C_c^\infty(G(F)) \subseteq \mathcal{S}^\rho(G(F)) \subseteq C^\infty(G(F))$, with the discrepancy between $\mathcal{S}^\rho(G(F))$ and $C^\infty(G(F))$ accounted for by asymptotic conditions measured by the stalks of $\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}^\rho$. For now, this result is only known for the standard setup and certain classes of toric varieties (more on this in a minute).

Reductive Monoids

Returning to our discussion of reductive monoids, the first item indicating we are on the right track is the following result.

Theorem (Rittatore)

Reductive Monoids

Returning to our discussion of reductive monoids, the first item indicating we are on the right track is the following result.

Theorem (Rittatore)

Let G be a reductive algebraic group over a field k . Then, the category of reductive monoids with unit group G is equivalent to the category of $G \times G$ -affine spherical embeddings of G – i.e., those embeddings for which there is an open dense orbit of some Borel subgroup of $G \times G$.

Reductive Monoids

Returning to our discussion of reductive monoids, the first item indicating we are on the right track is the following result.

Theorem (Rittatore)

Let G be a reductive algebraic group over a field k . Then, the category of reductive monoids with unit group G is equivalent to the category of $G \times G$ -affine spherical embeddings of G – i.e., those embeddings for which there is an open dense orbit of some Borel subgroup of $G \times G$.

There are, however, some limitations.

Reductive Monoids

Returning to our discussion of reductive monoids, the first item indicating we are on the right track is the following result.

Theorem (Rittatore)

Let G be a reductive algebraic group over a field k . Then, the category of reductive monoids with unit group G is equivalent to the category of $G \times G$ -affine spherical embeddings of G – i.e., those embeddings for which there is an open dense orbit of some Borel subgroup of $G \times G$.

There are, however, some limitations.

- Let G be as above. Then, there is a nontrivial reductive monoid with unit group G if and only if the F -rational character group of G is nontrivial. This is part of the reason why we assumed the existence of a σ in the beginning.

Reductive Monoids

Returning to our discussion of reductive monoids, the first item indicating we are on the right track is the following result.

Theorem (Rittatore)

Let G be a reductive algebraic group over a field k . Then, the category of reductive monoids with unit group G is equivalent to the category of $G \times G$ -affine spherical embeddings of G – i.e., those embeddings for which there is an open dense orbit of some Borel subgroup of $G \times G$.

There are, however, some limitations.

- Let G be as above. Then, there is a nontrivial reductive monoid with unit group G if and only if the F -rational character group of G is nontrivial. This is part of the reason why we assumed the existence of a σ in the beginning.
- Let M be a smooth reductive monoid with one-dimensional center. Then, $M \cong M_n$ for some n . Hence, our desired M^ρ will in general be singular and so perverse sheaves enter the fray (with basic functions arising as traces of suitable intersection complexes).

Reductive Monoids

Let G_k be a reductive algebraic group over a field k and $G := G_{\overline{k}}$.

Reductive Monoids

Let G_k be a reductive algebraic group over a field k and $G := G_{\overline{k}}$. Two approaches to constructing reductive monoids with unit group G_k come from Vinberg and Renner.

Reductive Monoids

Let G_k be a reductive algebraic group over a field k and $G := G_{\overline{k}}$. Two approaches to constructing reductive monoids with unit group G_k come from Vinberg and Renner. Vinberg's approach, which works assuming $k = \overline{k}$, has the advantage that it explicitly involves a GIT quotient associated to the derived subgroup G_0 of G . Moreover, all reductive monoids we want to consider arise as pullbacks of a certain universal reductive monoid.

Reductive Monoids

Let G_k be a reductive algebraic group over a field k and $G := G_{\overline{k}}$. Two approaches to constructing reductive monoids with unit group G_k come from Vinberg and Renner. Vinberg's approach, which works assuming $k = \overline{k}$, has the advantage that it explicitly involves a GIT quotient associated to the derived subgroup G_0 of G . Moreover, all reductive monoids we want to consider arise as pullbacks of a certain universal reductive monoid. Renner's approach, which works for any k , aims to use the combinatorial characterization of toric varieties.

The Global Picture

Conjecture

Fix the following.

The Global Picture

Conjecture

Fix the following.

- F a global field

The Global Picture

Conjecture

Fix the following.

- F a global field
- G a reductive algebraic group over F

The Global Picture

Conjecture

Fix the following.

- F a global field
- G a reductive algebraic group over F
- $\rho : {}^L G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V_\rho)$ a finite dimensional L -homomorphism trivial on Γ_F

The Global Picture

Conjecture

Fix the following.

- F a global field
- G a reductive algebraic group over F
- $\rho : {}^L G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V_\rho)$ a finite dimensional L -homomorphism trivial on Γ_F

Then, the local Schwartz spaces $\mathcal{S}^\rho(G(F_v))$ associated to places v of F assemble to give a global Schwartz space

$$\mathcal{S}^\rho(\mathbb{A}_F) := \varinjlim \bigotimes_{v \in S} \mathcal{S}^\rho(G(F_v))$$

equipped with a Fourier transform (built from the local Fourier transforms) satisfying a Poisson summation formula.

The Global Picture

Conjecture

Fix the following.

- F a global field
- G a reductive algebraic group over F
- $\rho : {}^L G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V_\rho)$ a finite dimensional L -homomorphism trivial on Γ_F

Then, the local Schwartz spaces $\mathcal{S}^\rho(G(F_v))$ associated to places v of F assemble to give a global Schwartz space

$$\mathcal{S}^\rho(\mathbb{A}_F) := \varinjlim \bigotimes_{v \in S} \mathcal{S}^\rho(G(F_v))$$

equipped with a Fourier transform (built from the local Fourier transforms) satisfying a Poisson summation formula. Here, the direct limit is taken over S a finite set of places of F containing the archimedean places and the transition maps are given on pure tensors by

$$\bigotimes_{v \in S} f_v \mapsto \bigotimes_{v \in S'} {}_S\mathbb{L}_v^\rho \otimes \bigotimes_{v \in S} f_v$$

with $S \subseteq S'$ and $f_v \in \mathcal{S}^\rho(G(F_v))$.