Take alg.

Recall: K-alg. A is affinoid if I Tn -> A making A into fin.gen. Tn-module.

Fact: Tn > A can be chosen inj. or sucj. Sucj. Tn & A ~ snoon ||all:= inf {||f||: q(f)=a} making A into | K-Banach alg. All norms on A making it into Banach K-alg. are equivalent. Notions of "bdd", "power-bdd", etc. (set of maximal ideals)

are preserved by all maps A > B. Spectral seminorm on A is ||f||\_sp:= sup{|f(x)|: x \in Sp(A)}.

11.11 sp is not mult. but does satisfy 11 f = 11 sp = 11 f | x .

Pcop: (1) |1.11 norm on A making it Banach k-alg. ⇒ |1.11<sub>sp</sub> ≤ |1.11.

(2) A = Tn => 11.11 sp is the Gauss norm 11.11.

Pf: (1) Assume WLOG ||f||=1. Then,  $||f^n|| \le ||f||^n = 1 \ \forall n$ . Given  $x \in Sp(A)$ ,  $A \Rightarrow k_x = A/x$  is a marge map processering passec-bdd. elts.  $\Rightarrow |f(x)|^n \le c$  indep. of  $n \Rightarrow |f(x)| \le 1 \Rightarrow ||f(x)||_{Sp} \le 1 = ||f||$ .

k<2,,..,2n>

(2) Fix \*\* FETTH J HALL = 1. So, HALL FETTH, FETTH FOR THE SP

and (x1,...,xn) e In s.t. I(x1,...,xn) +0. Find fin. ext. Llk s.t. I= Lo/Lo. Lift x1,...,xn to

 $x_1,...,x_n \in L$ , inducing  $K(z_1,...,z_n) \rightarrow L$  via  $z_1 \mapsto x_1$ . Now,  $|f(x_1,...,x_n)| = 1$ .  $x = kuc(f) \in Sp(T_n)$ 

is a point at which If(x) = 1. So, Itfl sp = 1 = Itfl.

(i) inf { | f(x)| : x e \$p(A) 3 > 0 ;

Execcise: For f & A TFAE: (ii) f(x) \$0 \$ x & Sp(A);

(iii) \$ & F & A TFAE: (iii) \$ & F & A^{\times}.

For A affinoid, Ao:= { fe A: ||f|| sp < 13, Ao:= { fe A: ||f|| < 13.

(1) A is Jacobson.

Pcop: A offinoid. (2) Spectrul seminorm is attained at some pt. (top.nilpotent)

Amack: Proof is long and messy.

U

(3) Ao = { power-bdd. elts. } , Noo = { fex: fr-10}.

Coc: Morphism of affinoids A -> B sunds to to Bo and A => to Boo. Co: 11.11 making A into Banach K-alg. => VIEA: 11fllsp = lim 11fill n.

For A affinoid repall we can make sense of A(z,, ..., zn). This has expected univ. property, w.c.t. sending variables to power-bild. elts.

let A := A 0 / A 00 m Max (A) set of maximal ideals. We have reduction map = : Sp(A) - Max (A) given by [ the map and the ideal are basically synonymous ]  $x \in Sp(A) \longrightarrow ker(\overline{A} \to \overline{k}_x)$  induced by  $A \xrightarrow{x} k_x$ .

Remark: Proof is long and messy. Prop: (1) \$: A -> B map of affinoids => TFAE: (i) & is finite; (ii) \$0: A0 → B0 is integral; (iii)  $\overline{A}: \overline{A} \rightarrow \overline{B}$  is finite.

(2) Reduction map r: Sp(A) -> Max(A) is sug.

(Casel) The specific that  $A = k(z_1, ..., z_n)$ . Pick  $\overline{m} \in Max(\overline{T_n}) = Max(\overline{k}[z_1, ..., z_n]) \longrightarrow \overline{L} = \overline{T_n}/\overline{m}$  fin. ext. of  $\overline{K}$ .

(U) 10/100 -  $\overline{L}$ let bi,..., bn ∈ L be images of zi,..., zn ∈ Tn. Lift to some L. Lift to get bi,..., bn ∈ L°. I! Tn → L my zi + bi. Now look at the keenel to conclude surjectivity.

s.t. A is integral closure of Tn in A. A -> FraclA1 = A ((axe 2) Now assume A sits in a diagram 1 finite Galois [implicit here that A is integral domain] Tn -> Frac(Tn) = In

(+ (ase 1)  $Sp(A) \longrightarrow Max(\overline{A})$ . So, Tn -> A finite => Tn -> A finite. By Going Up Thm, Sp(Tn) -> Max(Tn)

Lemma: 
$$G = Gal(1/J_n) \subseteq Gal(1/J_n) \subseteq Aut(A^o/J_n^o)$$
 acts transitively on

- . all maximal ideals of A° above given maximal ideal of The j
- . all maximal ideals of A above given maximal ideal of Tn.

Going Up Thm + Case 2 gives 
$$Sp(B) \Rightarrow Max(\overline{B})$$
, focing  $Sp(A) \Rightarrow Max(\overline{A})$ .  
 $Sp(A) \rightarrow Max(\overline{A})$ 

(Case 4) A actificacy. Since A is Noethecian, it has fin. many minimal primes. B:= 1 A/y is dicect sum

1 4 A

minimal prime

of integral affinoids. Now A > B is finite and apply coing Up Than + Case 3.

## Decivations

For technical reasons assume k is perfect. For affinoid A form DUAIK.

Pcop: 3 fin. gen. A-module INAIK (finite differentials) of technotian universal for fin. gen. A-modules.

Amark: We are not claiming that such a thing exists for A not offinoid.

We will discuss this more neight time.

Pf: Suppose first A = k<z,,...,zn>. Doline ID f := Thoz, & ... & Thoz, and If:= 3/2 /2,+...+ 3/2 /22n /2n. (MEMOSTA)

key is showing that a derivation E: Tn →M M E(zi) = 0 Vi => E=0. Clearly E(k[z1,...,zn])=0.

let m d Tn maximal. We have K[2,,...,zn] >> Tn/ms mm (∀s>0)

- (1) Use induction on s to show Tn/175 is fin. dim/k.
- (2) Show image is closed and besse.

So,  $T_n = k[z_1,...,z_n] + m^s \Rightarrow E(T_n) = E(m^s) \forall s > 0$ . Leibniz rule  $\Rightarrow E(m^{2s}) \subseteq m^s E(m^s)$ .

Hence, E(Tn) = m M. Krull Intersection Thm => 7 a & Tn M a = 1 mod m s.t. a E(Tn) = 0.

So, localization of E(Tn) at TT is O. But, vanishing at localization of every maximal ideal is some as vanishing.

For general A write  $A = T_n / (f_1, ..., f_s)$ . Define  $M = A \otimes M + \frac{f}{T_n / f_s} / (T_n + f_n + f_n + f_s)$ .

This works (and doesn't depend on lifts).

(AKA integral bomain) Prop: Assume A affinited of no zero divisors and A:= Frac(A). Krull dim of A = dim A & LR Alk. Moreover,

For maximal ITI 4 A, TFAE:

- (i) Localization Am is cegular.
- lii) Localization of Walk is free over Am.
- (iii) A/m dim of A/m & D A/k = koull dim of Am.

Fix affinant X = Sp(A). Canonical top. is gen. by  $X(f) := \{x \in X : |f(x)| \le 1 \}$  as f varies.

Remark: Zaciski top. here is gen. by 21 = {x ex: I(x) \$0} as I varies.

luma: FEA, E70 ~> X(f; E) := {xEX: | f(x) | SE3. This is open and 7 gEAs.t. X(g) EX(f; E).

In particular, {x e X: 1 f(x) 1 < E } = U X(f; 8) is open.

(so, X(g) is open about of x & on which If is constant.)

Peop: Fix feA and xeX s.t. flx) \$0. Then, I ge A s.t. g(x) = 0 and X(g) = { my ex : |f(z)| = |f(x)|}.

In partialar, [xeX: |f(x)|?e] y ?e{=, 2,>, <, < 3 are all open have clopen.

Pf: Consider  $f(x) \in K_x = A/x$ . Let  $P(T) \in K[T]$  be its minimal polyn. and consider  $g = P(f) \in A$ .

g(x) = image of P(f) in A/x = P(f(x)) = 0. Let  $\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_n \in k^{alg}$  be costs of  $P(T) = (T - \alpha_1) \cdots (T - \alpha_n)$ .

Vi 3 Kx c> kalg s.t. f(x) >= xi = |f(x)| = E.

Claim: If  $y \in X$  satisfies  $|g(y)| < \epsilon^n \Rightarrow |f(y)| = \epsilon$ .

Indeed,  $|f|_{y}$ )  $|f|_{\varepsilon} \Rightarrow \forall k_{y} \hookrightarrow k^{a|g}: |f|_{y}) - \kappa_{i}|_{\varepsilon} = \max \{|f|_{y})|, |\kappa_{i}|_{\varepsilon}^{q} \ge |\kappa_{i}|_{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon.$ 

 $\Rightarrow$   $g(y) = P(f(y)) = (f(y) - x_1) \cdots (f(y) - x_n)$  has  $|g(y)| \ge e^n \Rightarrow e$ 

So, {y∈X: |g|y)| < en3 = {y∈X: |f|y)| = e3. Lenma => this contains X(g') for some g'∈ A W (x) = 0.