

Fact: G -torsor $X \rightarrow Y$ is trivial iff \exists section $X \rightarrow Y$

Example: Let $A \rightarrow S$ be ab. scheme of $\dim g$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z} \nmid m \in \mathcal{O}_S^\times$. Define

$\text{level}_m: \text{Sch}_S \rightarrow \text{Set}, T \mapsto \{ \text{isom.'s } (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^{\frac{2g}{T}} \xrightarrow{\sim} A_T[m] \}$. This is representable by finite étale S -scheme,

which is $GL_{2g}(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})$ -torsor for the étale top.

Example: $Y \in \text{Sch}, L \in \text{Pic}(Y) \mapsto \underline{\text{Iso}}(L, \mathcal{O}_Y): \text{Sch}_Y \rightarrow \text{Set}, T \mapsto \{ \text{isom.'s of line bundles } L_T \cong \mathcal{O}_T \}$.

This is represented by a scheme $\underline{\text{Iso}}(L, \mathcal{O}_Y) \rightarrow Y$. $\mathcal{G}_m(T) = \mathcal{O}_T^\times$ acts on $\underline{\text{Iso}}(L, \mathcal{O}_Y)(T)$ and makes

$\underline{\text{Iso}}(L, \mathcal{O}_Y)$ into Zariski \mathcal{G}_m -torsor. This gives equiv. of cat.'s

$\text{Pic}(Y) \simeq (\text{Zariski, étale, fppf}) \text{ } \mathcal{G}_m \rightarrow Y \text{ torsors}$

[later we will talk about automorphic vector bundles living over Shimura varieties]

Example: $E \in \text{Vect}_r(Y) \mapsto \underline{\text{Iso}}(E, \mathcal{O}_Y^{\oplus r}) \rightarrow Y$ is GL_r -torsor. We get equiv. of cat.'s GL_r -torsors \leftrightarrow rank r vector bundles.

Example: $E \in \text{Vect}_r(Y) \mapsto \text{LRig}_E: \text{Sch}_Y \rightarrow \text{Set}, T \mapsto \{ \text{isom.'s of } T\text{-schemes } P(E_T) \cong P(\mathcal{O}_T^{\oplus r}) = \mathbb{P}_T^{r-1} \}$.

This is (Zariski) PGL_r -torsor.

Prop: $X \rightarrow Y$ G -torsor $\Rightarrow G \backslash X := Y$ is categorical G -quotient. Moreover, $G(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash X(\mathbb{Z}) \cong Y(\mathbb{Z})$ assuming

- \mathbb{Z} is a field.
- Alg. closed for fppf top.
- Sep. closed for étale top.

Moduli of Polarized Abelian Schemes

Fix $g, d, n \geq 1$. Let $\mathcal{A}_{g,d,n}: \text{Sch}_{\mathbb{Z}[1/n]} \rightarrow \text{Set}, S \mapsto \{ \text{isom. classes of ab. schemes } A \rightarrow S \text{ of } \dim g \text{ w/ } \lambda: A \rightarrow A^\vee \text{ degree } d^2 \text{ polarization and level-} n \text{ structure} \}$

If this is representable then universal (A, λ) defines vector bundle $\mathcal{M} := (\text{id} \times \lambda) * \mathcal{P}_A^{\otimes 3}$ on $\mathcal{A}_{g,d,n}$ of rank $6^g d$.

Moreover, $\mathcal{H}_{g,d,n} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{g,d,n}$ must be a Zariski $PGL_{6^g d}$ -torsor.

Thm (Mumford): $n > 6^g d \sqrt{g!} \Rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{g,d,n}$ representable by quasi-proj. $\mathbb{Z}[1/n]$ -scheme. (Can improve to $n \geq 3$.)

Very rough idea: First prove general result of the following form. Given $X \xrightarrow{\phi} X' \downarrow q, q'$ schemes over $\mathbb{Z}[1/n]$

and reductive grp. G over $\mathbb{Z}[1/n]$ acting on X and X' s.t. ϕ is G -equivariant and q' is Zariski G -torsor.

Then, \exists Cartesian diagram $X \xrightarrow{\phi} X' \downarrow q, q' \downarrow Y \rightarrow Y'$ w/ q Zariski G -torsor.

[This is not very deep - result of faithfully flat descent.]

For ease of notation, $\mathbb{P}_m := \mathbb{P}^m$.

$$m = 6^2 d - 1$$

Start w/ universal object

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \hookrightarrow & \mathbb{P}_m \times \mathcal{H}_{g,d,n} \\ \uparrow & & \downarrow \\ e & \dashrightarrow & \mathcal{H}_{g,d,n} \end{array} \quad \text{Universal level structure}$$

$$(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^{2g} \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^{2g}(\mathcal{H}_{g,d,n}) \cong A[n](\mathcal{H}_{g,d,n}). \quad \text{We get } n^{2g} \text{ sections } \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n^{2g}} : \mathcal{H}_{g,d,n} \rightarrow A[n].$$

$$\leftarrow \text{(this is } A[n] \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_m \times \mathcal{H}_{g,d,n} \xrightarrow{pr_1} \mathbb{P}_m)$$

$$\text{Each determines a map } \mathcal{H}_{g,d,n} \xrightarrow{\alpha_i} A[n] \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_m, \text{ so we get } \mathcal{H}_{g,d,n} \xrightarrow{(*)} \mathbb{P}_m^{n^{2g}}. \text{ Each } \alpha_i \text{ is}$$

$$(\text{PGL}_{m+1} \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}[1/n])\text{-equivariant. Thus, so is } (*). \text{ [This is where things get messy.]}$$

$$\text{Very technical calculation: } n \gg 6^2 d \sqrt{g}! \Rightarrow \text{image of } (*) \text{ lands in open subset } V \subseteq \mathbb{P}_m^{n^{2g}} \text{ of points}$$

$$(x_1, \dots, x_{n^{2g}}) \in \mathbb{P}_m^{n^{2g}} \text{ s.t. } \forall \text{ linear subspaces } L \subseteq \mathbb{P}_m : \frac{\# \text{ coords. } (x_1, \dots, x_{n^{2g}}) \text{ lying in } L}{n^{2g}} < \frac{1 + \dim L}{m+1}.$$

Remark: This is some kind of stability condition probably.

$$\text{Hard part: } \exists \text{ open cover of } V \text{ by } V_i \text{ s.t. each } V_i \text{ is } \text{PGL}_{m+1}\text{-stable and has form } V_i \cong \mathbb{Z}_i \times \text{PGL}_{m+1}.$$

$$\text{Glue the } \mathbb{Z}_i \text{ together. [We choose this open cov. using very explicit determinant calculations/conditions.]}$$

Remark: We can move from n really large to n small by handling finite grp. scheme actions.

Remark: The uniform rank result for Mumford bundles is something of a miracle. An important and similar miracle occurs when thinking about moduli of curves (I think elliptic).