Last time: Construction of Munfoed's model space (4) linear eigibifications)

Level Structure

Let A-> 5 be at. schene of cel. dim. g. Fix m & 2 > 0 m m & O.5x. Then, [m]: A-> A is finalle étale /5 and F étale cover s' -> S = .t. A[m] s' = (Z/m2) 29 . [Can in fact take 5' = A[m].]

Def: [All) level in stevenice on \$A >> is isom. of S-gep. schenes &: (Z/mZ) 39 >> A[m] 5.

λ: A > A V polacization of degree d² prime to m ⇒ λ: A[m] ~ A V [m] so we can view Weil pairing

em: A[m] x A v [m] - mm as perfect afternating pairing em: A[m] x A[m] -> mm.

(1) ison. Z/mZ = 1/m.
(2) lavel m storetree a: Z/mZ 2g = 1(m) identifying Weil pair on Pef: symplectic level m steveture on A is: A[m] M RIMZ 29 x ZIMZ 29 -> ZIMZ, KA (x,y) + x (-Ix) y.

Fix (g,d,m) w) ged(d,m)=1. Hg,d,m: SchZ[1/m] -> Set

 $\mathcal{H}_{g,d,m}(S) := \{ ism. classes of (A, \lambda, \phi, \alpha) \lor (A, \lambda, \phi) \in \mathcal{H}_{g,d}(S) \text{ and } \alpha \text{ level } m \text{ structure on } i \neq j .$

Thm: Hg, Lim is represented by quasi-proj. E[4m]-schene.

Pf: Consider the universal object A > P69d-1 x Hg,d. (This is all base-changed to E[1/m].) Thank per

 $H(S) = \{ ison. classes of (A, \lambda, \phi, \alpha) ~ (A, \lambda, \phi) \in \mathcal{H}_{g, L}(S) \text{ and } \}$ · [m] x · · · × [m] A =: H $\alpha = (\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_{2g})$ is a tuple of $\alpha_i : S \rightarrow A[m] 3$. Mg,R Hg,R m²⁹ times

S conn. = each typle determines (2/m2)29 = (2/m2)29(5) = A[m](S). For S = 115; in terms of conn. components,

(2/m2)29(S) = 11(2/m2)29(Si) IL A[m](Si) A[m](S)

Over H we have universal (A,), \$\dagger(\alpha) \widetilde{\psi} \alpha: (\frac{2/mz}{2})^{2g} \rightarrow A[m] arbiteary homomorphism. But ker & -> H is finite étale so has low constant degree . Take Hg, Rim to be union of emponents of H on which dogree is 1. (In other words, pass to where universal homomorphism or is isom. and discord the rest.)

For grasi-projectivity, Hg,d,m -> Hg,d -> Spec Z[1/m]. =) quasi-proj.

Remark: m not inv. over the base => A[m] -> S not étale => kera -> H not lor. constant degree.

We can still look at Hg,d,m > Hg,d, which won't be finite or surj. [ordinary locus]

Recall PGL 12 Hg, l, m by changing linear rigidification. Want to construct PGL lg \ Hg, d, m.

Fix Se Sch. let G-> S be faithfully flat FT gop. schene. Let X & Sch & M action by G. X = Y s.t. q is G-equivaciont for trivial G-action on Y. Categorical quotient of X by G is

When does thir exist? 3

[May not be FT!]

X = Spec B, S = Spec A, G constant ~> G/X = Spec BG.

Thm (Hilbert): G reductive => # this quotient is FT. (Noether showed this for G finite)

Thm (SGA...): G finite gcp. Q(X -> S) and every pt. has rebit contained in G-steadle open offine

⇒ G/X exists. Example: Fix field Z. Veiew $X = H_Z^{n^2}$ in terms of nxn matrices. PGL $_{n^2}$ 2 2 via conjugation.

X = An exists and is q: X -> An w/ q(A) the coeffs. of chac. polyn. of A. Natural map PGLn2(R) \ X(R) -> (PGLn2(X)(R) is not injective.

Suppose X-Y is faithfully flat FT and G-Y faithfully flat FT gop. schene acting on X-Y.

 $X \rightarrow Y$ is G - torsor (for fppf topology) if $G \times X \rightarrow X \times X$ shear map is isom.

Example: 8 X = G is trivial G-torsoc.

Remark: fipf locally my G-tocsoc X > Y is trivial. In fact, X -> Y is an fipf carec over which

 $\chi_{\chi} = \chi_{\chi} \chi \simeq G_{\chi} \chi = G_{\chi}$. (so local triviality condition comes for free)

Det: G-torson for Goodhundieck top. (*) is G-torson for fipf topology s.t. 3 (*)-corec Y' > Y s.t.

Xy, -> Y' is trivial Gy, -torsor. [Doing things for fppf topology is somehow the weakert possible geometric condition.]