We can work in "alg." cartegories w/ topological conditions if we are careful about respecting and endowing topologies. This applies, e.g., when we write down the sheaf condition and besinition of stalk.

f: X - Y cont. ~> fx on sheaves.

Def: f: X+Y cont., I sheaf of sets on X, & sheaf of sets on Y. An f-map \$ 2: &> I is a collection of RV: A 及(V) → F(f-1(V)) indexed by opens VSY s.t. **な(い) っ子(すつ(い))**

In the topological setting, we can do as above to get

2: & → 子, requiring the Bushy maps ?v to be cont. We obtain。 { & maps は → 子子 会 Mar (は、ます).

Given J-map Z: &> F and XEX M y=f(x) &Y, we get conf. stalk map Zx: A Ly > Fx.

All of this gives cat. LTRS "locally topologically ringed spaces". Things nock nice if X has basis of go opens.

We recorded F sheaf in non-top. cat. We get sheaf in top. cat. as follows. I(U) gets discrete top. for

 $u \in X$ go open. More generally we choose go open cover $u = Uu_i$ and give $F(u) = TTF(u_i)$ the induced top

Sheaf in top. cat. is pseudo-discrete if sections over ge spens are discrete. Let's recall admissibility.

A top. R-mod. is linearly topologized if O has find. system. of nbhds which are (top.) submodules. Let R be linearly topologised. I are is ideal of definition if I is open and every nobel of 0 contains some In ("the powers of the top. at I see attrapped to o"). R is preadmissible if it has such an I, and admissible if it is in addition complete. I see attrapped to the original of the powers of the admissible if it has such an I, and admissible if it is in addition complete.

Let A be top. adm. ring and {I, } find. system of iteals of definition. To each & we have spec (A/I).

Given I, E I, we have In E I, and so Spec (A/I,) > Spec (A/I) is thickening.

Spec(AIIX) -> Spec(A) is homeo. onto set of open princ ideals. We call this image Spf(A).

Osper(A/Ix) is sheaf on Spf(A) and gives Ox pseudo-discrete (in top.cat.) sheaf. Define Opposition

OSpf(A) = lim Ox. (Spf(A), Ospf(A)) is formal spectrum.