We'll supplement Haines + Hacris M Milne.

## Motivation

 $ker(Sl_2(2) \rightarrow Sl_2(2/m))$ 

HEC upper half-plane of usual action by SL2(R). M(m) = fill congruence subgrp. of level m.

Y(m) (= 0) := P(m) \H. This is complex wild (w) some cace needed for m ≤ 2). Fix primitive 2m & m ≤ 0.

Bijection Y(m)(C) = [elliptic curves E/C M generators P, Qe E[m] s.t. em(P,Q) = 2m ]= (\*).

We get smooth quasiproj. alg. vac. Y(m)/(6s.t.) Y(m)(0) = (\*).

Remark: This particular descent is canonical in terms of minimal fields of definition. We will gloss over the fact that we get equiv. mild stevetimes from soh. of modili problem and gop. action quotient.

How did Shimma generalize this? Let F be tegree & totally real # field. Label Ti,,..., To & Homa (F, R).

FOR FRX, XOX H(T(X)X,..., Te(X)X). Let B be graterion alg. /F split at T1,..., Te nonsplit at Tc+1,..., To. Bisfin-tim. F-alg. s.t. B® R = \ M2(R), 15i≤c => B®R = TB® R = M2(R) x Hd-. In pasticular, Fit; Fit;

 $B \in M_2(\mathbb{R})^r \Rightarrow B^{\times} \subseteq GL_2(\mathbb{R})^r$ .  $P \subseteq B^{\times} \cap SL_2(\mathbb{R})^r$  congavence subgrp. 200 ~~ r-dim complex mft  $Y := P \cap \mathcal{H}^r$ .

Remark: For general setup this has no moduli interpretation. In the totally split case one can work of ab. vac.'s.

Baily-Borel: Yp(a) is smooth quasiproj. alg. vac. / C.

Shimmer: I distinguished # field Ep & C and distinguished choice of smooth quasiperoj. alg. veur. In recovering Yp (C).

Deligne: reformulated this to mether emphasize the serse in which Yp is "cononical" and hardle other quotients.

Goal: Count pts. over finite fields and work of zeta functions.

(only knows about (can tell us about complex space)

L space)

L space)

The [Hodge]: X smoth page vac. / a (or more generally emplex kähler). I canon decomposition  $H^{Q}(X,\mathbb{C}) \cong \oplus H^{p,q}(X)$ .

HP.P(X) = HIIP(X) and HPA(X) = HI(X, LD). ~ Hodge Filteration FPHQ(X,C) = + HP', 2(X) Y HPA(X) = FPOFE.

Thm ( Deligne ?, Grotherbieck? ): X smooth proj. var. /k.

コヨ canon. Filtration FPH&(X/#) EH&(X/#K) s.t. geア = Ha(X, ロンド). This agrees My Hodge's thin when k=C.

Def: V := fin. dim. vec. space / R. Hodge stevetuce of weight d on V is decomposition

Ve = # V Pig st. VYI = Vair. We have filtration picture from before. (ning signs à la Deligne) P.2 30

This bigeading is exceled by h: (\*x(\* -> GL(Vc) M h(z,,z2) · v = z, z2 v for v e V p, q s.t.

h(z,z) = zd idve 426 C and h(z,z) = h(z,z) Vz,z= Cx. Consider now Deligne's focus

 $S(C) \cong (C \otimes C)^{\times} \cong C^{\times} \times C^{\times} \quad (E_{xercise}: C \otimes C \xrightarrow{\sim} C \times C, z \otimes w \mapsto (zw, z\overline{w})).$ 

 $S(C) = C^{\times} \times C^{\times} \quad (z,\bar{z})$  $D(R) = \mathbb{C}^{\times}$  2 (fixed pts.). We have action on CXCX that conjugates and swaps the entries.

(also copy of  $G_{\mathbf{m}}(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}^{\times}$  enb. Se cond condition says  $h: \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathrm{GL}(V_{\mathbb{C}})$  descends to  $h: \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{R}) \to \mathrm{GL}(V)$ . diagonally via z 1 > (2,2))

Upshot: Weight I Hodge stevetize on V is given by morphism 5 -> GL(V) of real alg. grps. s.t. restriction to was is zerze.