

CS141 ASSIGNMENT 2

due Thursday, October 17

Individual assignment: Problems 1 and 2.

Group assignment: Problems 1,2 and 3.

Problem 1: Each row of the table below contains parameters of the RSA crypto-system: p , q , n , e , and d , with some of them missing. The next-to-last column contains a message x and the last column the corresponding ciphertext y . If the present parameters are correct, fill in the remaining entries in the row. Otherwise, explain why the parameters are not correct. Show your work.

p	q	n	e	d	x	y
5	13	65	7	7	2	63
Error 1	11	121	*Error 1*	5	*Error 1*	2
11	13	143	17	113	24	7
7	11	77	5	*Error 2*	3	*Error 2*
19	7	133	5	65	2	32

Solution 1:

Row 1 -

To find q , we need to compute $q = n/p$ which gives us 13

To find d , we need to compute $d = e^{-1} \bmod (p-1)(q-1)$
 $d = 7^{-1} \bmod 48$

By listing the multiples of 7 and of 48 we can see which multiple of 7 is one less than a multiple of 48

7 multiples - 7, 14, 21, 28, 35, 42, 49, ...

48 multiples - 48, 96, ...

We can see that $7 * 7 = (49 * 1) + 1$ which gives us our answer, $d = 7$

To encrypt x , we need to use the formula, $y = x^d \bmod n$, or $y = 2^7 \bmod (65)$

Simplifying, we get $128 \bmod (65)$.

$128/65 = 1$ with remainder 63. So $128 \bmod (65) = 63 = y$

Error 1 - This configuration of RSA will not work because p and q cannot be equal

$p = n/q = 121/11 = 11 = q$

Error 2 - This configuration of RSA will not work because e must be relatively prime both $(q-1)$ and $(p-1)$

$e = 5$ and $(q-1) = 10$. 5 and 10 share a common factor of 2. Therefore e is not relatively prime to $(q-1)$

Problem 2: For an n that is a power of 2, the $n \times n$ Weirdo matrix W_n is defined as follows. For $n = 1$, $W_1 = [1]$. For $n > 1$, W_n is defined inductively by

$$W_n = \begin{bmatrix} W_{n/2} & -W_{n/2} \\ I_{n/2} & W_{n/2} \end{bmatrix},$$

where I_k denotes the $k \times k$ identity matrix (whose diagonal entries are 1 and all other entries 0). For example,

$$W_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad W_4 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad W_8 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Give an efficient algorithm that for a vector \bar{x} of length n (where n is a power of 2) computes the product $W_n \cdot \bar{x}$. Your algorithm must run in time $O(n \log n)$. (Hint: use divide-and-conquer, taking advantage of the recursive definition of W_n .)

Solution 2:

If we write out explicitly, the solutions for $W_2 X_2$, $W_4 X_4$, and $W_8 X_8$ it becomes apparent that each quadrant of the matrix can be expressed by the previous term in the series of matrices. Let's label our matrix as follows:

$$W_N = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}$$

Now we can construct the following algorithm to compute $W_n * X_n$

```
def mult( $X_n, W_n$ ):
    if ( $n = 1$ ) return  $X_n$ 
    quadrant $_A$  = mult( $X_{n/2}, W_{n/2}$ )
    quadrant $_B$  =  $-1 * \text{mult}(X_{n/2-n}, W_{n/2})$ 
    quadrant $_C$  = [ $X_n$ ]
    quadrant $_D$  = quadrant $_A$ 
```

$$\text{return} \begin{bmatrix} A + B \\ C + D \end{bmatrix}$$

From this, we can get the recurrence equation, which is:

$$T(n) = 2T(n/2) + O(n)$$

Using master's theorem, with condition, $k = 0$, we can obtain the asymptotic value of this recurrence function:

$$\theta(n \log(n))$$

Problem 3: Prof. Goofy has been trying to speed-up the divide-and-conquer integer multiplication algorithm as follows: Given two numbers x, y with n bits each (you can assume that n is a power of 4), he wants to:

- (i) divide each into four equal-length pieces (instead of two pieces as before), and
- (ii) express the product $x \cdot y$ using some number p of multiplications of these $n/4$ -bit pieces, and some additions, subtractions or shifts.

How small does p need to be for Prof. Goofy's idea to give a faster algorithm than the $O(n^{\log_2 3})$ -time algorithm covered in class? Justify your answer.