## **Naive Bayes on Political Text**

In this notebook we use Naive Bayes to explore and classify political data. See the README.md for full details.

```
In [316...
           import sqlite3
           import nltk
           import random
           import numpy as np
           from collections import Counter, defaultdict
           # Adding additional Libraries Here.
           from string import punctuation
           from nltk.corpus import stopwords
           import re
           import emoji
```

```
In [332...
           # Feel free to include your text patterns functions
           #from text_functions_solutions import clean_tokenize, get_patterns
           # # Some punctuation variations
           punctuation = set(punctuation) # speeds up comparison
           tw_punct = punctuation - {"#"}
           # Stopwords
           sw = stopwords.words("english")
           # Two useful regex
           whitespace pattern = re.compile(r"/s+")
           hashtag pattern = re.compile(r"^#[0-9a-zA-Z]+")
           # It's handy to have a full set of emojis
           all_language_emojis = set()
           for country in emoji.EMOJI DATA :
               for em in emoji.EMOJI_DATA[country] :
                   all_language_emojis.add(em)
           # and now our functions
           def descriptive stats(tokens, top n tokens = 5, verbose=True) :
                   Given a list of tokens, print number of tokens, number of unique tokens,
                   number of characters, lexical diversity, and num tokens most common
                   tokens. Return a list of
               # Place your Module 2 solution here
               # Fill in the correct values here.
               num tokens = len(tokens)
               num_unique_tokens = len(set(tokens))
               lexical_diversity = ld.ttr(tokens) # Simple TTR = len(Counter(text))/len(text)
               num_characters = sum([len(i) for i in tokens])
               if verbose:
                   print(f"There are {num tokens} tokens in the data.")
                   print(f"There are {num unique tokens} unique tokens in the data.")
```

```
print(f"There are {num characters} characters in the data.")
        print(f"The lexical diversity is {lexical diversity:.3f} in the data.")
        # print the five most common tokens
        print(f"The top {top n tokens} most common tokens")
        print(Counter(tokens).most_common(top_n_tokens))
    return([num_tokens, num_unique_tokens,
            lexical_diversity,
            num characters])
def contains_emoji(s):
    s = str(s)
    emojis = [ch for ch in s if emoji.is_emoji(ch)]
    return(len(emojis) > 0)
def remove_stop(tokens) :
    # modify this function to remove stopwords
    return([t for t in tokens if t.lower() not in sw])
def remove punctuation(text, punct set=tw punct) :
    return("".join([ch for ch in text if ch not in punct_set]))
def tokenize(text) :
    """ Splitting on whitespace rather than the book's tokenize function. That
        function will drop tokens like '#hashtag' or '2A', which we need for Twitter. "
    # modify this function to return tokens
    return text.lower().strip().split()
def prepare(text, pipeline) :
    tokens = str(text)
    for transform in pipeline :
        tokens = transform(tokens)
    return(tokens)
```

```
In [318...
```

```
db_location = r"C:\Users\zfreitas\Dropbox\Classes\USD\ADS-509-01-SP23 - Applied Text Mi
db_filename = r"\2020_Conventions.db"
convention_db = sqlite3.connect(db_location + db_filename)
convention_cur = convention_db.cursor()
```

### Part 1: Exploratory Naive Bayes

We'll first build a NB model on the convention data itself, as a way to understand what words distinguish between the two parties. This is analogous to what we did in the "Comparing Groups" class work. First, pull in the text for each party and prepare it for use in Naive Bayes.

```
WHERE type='table'
ORDER BY name;
''')

#Fetching 1st row from the table
# result = cursor.fetchone();
# print(result)

#Fetching all rows from the table
result = convention_cur.fetchall();
print(result)
```

[('conventions',)]

```
In [321...
```

[(0, 'party', 'TEXT', 0, None, 0), (1, 'night', 'INTEGER', 0, None, 0), (2, 'speaker',
'TEXT', 0, None, 0), (3, 'speaker\_count', 'INTEGER', 0, None, 0), (4, 'time', 'TEXT', 0,
None, 0), (5, 'text', 'TEXT', 0, None, 0), (6, 'text\_len', 'TEXT', 0, None, 0), (7, 'fil
e', 'TEXT', 0, None, 0)]

```
In [322...
```

('Democratic', 4, 'Unknown', 1, '00:00', 'Skip to content The Company Careers Press Free lancers Blog × Services Transcription Captions Foreign Subtitles Translation Freelancers About Contact Login « Return to Transcript Library home Transcript Categories All Transcripts 2020 Election Transcripts Classic Speech Transcripts Congressional Testimony & Hearing Transcripts Debate Transcripts Donald Trump Transcripts Entertainment Transcripts Financial Transcripts Interview Transcripts Political Transcripts Press Conference Transcripts Speech Transcripts Sports Transcripts Technology Transcripts Aug 21, 2020 2020 Democratic National Convention (DNC) Night 4 Transcript Rev > Blog > Transcripts > 20 20 Election Transcripts > 2020 Democratic National Convention (DNC) Night 4 Transcript Night 4 of the 2020 Democratic National Convention (DNC) on August 20. Read the full transcript of the event here. Transcribe Your Own Content Try Rev for free and save time transcribing, captioning, and subtitling.', '127', 'www\_rev\_com\_blog\_transcripts2020-democratic-national-convention-dnc-night-4-transcript.txt')

```
In [323...
```

```
query_results = convention_cur.execute(

SELECT count(*) as count FROM conventions

''')
```

```
#Fetching 1st row from the table
result = convention_cur.fetchone();
print(result)
```

In [333...

List Size: 2541

Let's look at some random entries and see if they look right.

```
In [335...
```

```
random.choices(convention_data,k=10)
```

Out[335...

[['waited months signature piece paper get prosthetic leg fixed it's lot better turnarou nd',

'Republican'],

['i'll president who'll stand allies friends make clear adversaries days cozing dictato rs president biden america turn blind eye russia bounties heads american soldiers put fo reign interference sacred democratic exercise voting i'll always stand values human rights dignity i'll work common purpose secure peaceful prosperous world history thrust one urgent task us generation finally wipes stain racism national character believe we're be lieve we're ready',

'Democratic'],

['fulfilled commander chief role decisively going nation's enemies know answer yes choi ce clear important election lifetime next four years decide course country decades come asking stand counted never look back recall like america men women free families secure president served people god bless america thank goodnight',

'Republican'],

['ignored trump flags ignore millions maga banners barns painted red white blue silent majority one fighting either party socalled leaders bowing china bribing iran spending t ime worrying they'd received elites paris americans would provide families pittsburgh family lost friends pushed us fight harder father pledged every american every city state town going make america great began great american comeback almost immediately taxes slashed regulations cut economy soared new heights heights never seen wages went roof unemp loyment reached historic lows especially black americans hispanic americans women trade deals ripped renegotiated lights turned back abandoned factories across country',

'Republican'],

['interning dc remember called grandmother said "joe biden walking by" goes "oh god oh god put on" see staff going like "no don't take call doing" points staff goes like "go w

```
ay" sent staffer away',
  'Democratic'],
 ['make america great', 'Republican'],
 ['say crime time however time fair we've made mistakes none us want defined forever bas
ed worst decision prison became playwright mentor certified hospice volunteer ordained m
inister received special olympics event coordinator year award work disabled women thing
worse unjustly imprisoning body trying imprison mind transformation described extraordin
ary truth thousands people like deserve opportunity come home never stopped fighting fre
edom christian faith prayers many kept hope alive',
  'Republican'],
 ['good evening i'm natalie harp formerly forgotten american california classic jimmy st
ewart film it's wonderful life george bailey given great gift chance see world would lik
e without tonight mr president we'd like give gift without we'd living pottersville sold
crooked mister say crooked mrs potter hope escape except death know wouldn't alive today
wasn't',
  'Republican'],
 ['hoped convention city festivals milwaukee wisconsin year course we're able we'll hear
ing several wisconsin's leaders throughout convention starting congresswoman gwen moor
  'Democratic'],
 ['singing tony 3602 last four years experienced failed leadership donald j trump chris
f 3606 we've deal insanity donnamarie w 3609 i've watched country deteriorate',
  'Democratic']]
```

If that looks good, we now need to make our function to turn these into features. In my solution, I wanted to keep the number of features reasonable, so I only used words that occur at least

word\_cutoff times. Here's the code to test that if you want it.

```
In [336...
    word_cutoff = 5

    tokens = [w for t, p in convention_data for w in t.split()]
    word_dist = nltk.FreqDist(tokens)

feature_words = set()

for word, count in word_dist.items():
    if count > word_cutoff:
        feature_words.add(word)

print(f"With a word cutoff of {word_cutoff}, we have {len(feature_words)} as features i
```

With a word cutoff of 5, we have 2391 as features in the model.

```
In [337...
           def conv features(text,fw) :
               """Given some text, this returns a dictionary holding the
                  feature words.
                  Args:
                       * text: a piece of text in a continuous string. Assumes
                       text has been cleaned and case folded.
                       * fw: the *feature words* that we're considering. A word
                       in `text` must be in fw in order to be returned. This
                       prevents us from considering very rarely occurring words.
                  Returns:
                       A dictionary with the words in `text` that appear in `fw`.
                       Words are only counted once.
                       If `text` were "quick quick brown fox" and `fw` = {'quick','fox','jumps'},
                       then this would return a dictionary of
                       {'quick' : True,
```

'fox': True}

```
0.00
                ret_dict = dict()
                # Your code here
                words = set(text.split())
                for word in words:
                    if word in set(fw):
                        ret_dict[word] = True
                return(ret_dict)
In [338...
            conv_features("donald is the president",feature_words)
           {'president': True, 'donald': True}
Out[338...
In [339...
           assert(len(feature words)>0)
           assert(conv_features("donald is the president",feature_words)==
                   {'donald':True,'president':True})
           assert(conv features("people are american in america",feature words)==
                                  { 'america': True, 'american': True, "people": True})
          Now we'll build our feature set. Out of curiosity I did a train/test split to see how accurate the
          classifier was, but we don't strictly need to since this analysis is exploratory.
In [340...
            featuresets = [(conv_features(text,feature_words), party) for (text, party) in conventi
In [341...
            random.seed(20220507)
            random.shuffle(featuresets)
           test_size = 500
In [342...
           test set, train set = featuresets[:test size], featuresets[test size:]
            classifier = nltk.NaiveBayesClassifier.train(train set)
           print(nltk.classify.accuracy(classifier, test_set))
           0.5
In [343...
            classifier.show_most_informative_features(25)
           Most Informative Features
                              china = True
                                                       Republ : Democr =
                                                                              25.8 : 1.0
                              votes = True
                                                       Democr : Republ =
                                                                              23.8 : 1.0
                        enforcement = True
                                                       Republ : Democr =
                                                                              21.5 : 1.0
                                                       Republ : Democr =
                                                                             19.2 : 1.0
                            destroy = True
                                                       Republ : Democr =
                                                                             18.2 : 1.0
                           freedoms = True
                            climate = True
                                                      Democr : Republ =
                                                                             17.8 : 1.0
                           supports = True
                                                       Republ : Democr =
                                                                             17.1 : 1.0
                              crime = True
                                                       Republ : Democr =
                                                                             16.1:1.0
                                                       Republ : Democr =
                                                                             14.9 : 1.0
                              media = True
```

```
beliefs = True
                            Republ : Democr =
                                                  13.0 : 1.0
                            Republ : Democr =
 countries = True
                                                  13.0 : 1.0
                            Republ : Democr =
  defense = True
                                                  13.0 : 1.0
                           Republ: Democr =
Republ: Democr =
Republ: Democr =
      isis = True
                                                  13.0 : 1.0
   liberal = True
                                                  13.0 : 1.0
 religion = True
                                                 13.0 : 1.0
    trade = True
                            Republ : Democr =
                                                 12.7 : 1.0
     flag = True
                            Republ : Democr =
                                                 12.1 : 1.0
 greatness = True
                            Republ : Democr =
                                                  12.1 : 1.0
                            Republ : Democr =
  abraham = True
                                                  11.9 : 1.0
                            Republ : Democr =
   defund = True
                                                  11.9 : 1.0
                            Republ : Democr =
                                                  10.9 : 1.0
     drug = True
department = True
                            Republ : Democr =
                                                  10.9 : 1.0
destroyed = True
                            Republ : Democr =
                                                  10.9 : 1.0
    enemy = True
                            Republ : Democr =
                                                  10.9 : 1.0
 amendment = True
                            Republ : Democr =
                                                  10.3 : 1.0
```

Write a little prose here about what you see in the classifier. Anything odd or interesting?

### My Observations

I find it striking that democrats only have two informative features of the top 25 features, and they are 'votes' and 'climate'. Those issues just are not as important to the republican party. It is also interesting that the republicans hold 23 of the topmost informative features, for example 'china', 'enforcement', and 'freedom'. I think another analysis that would be interesting is to see is where there is common ground. I'm not sure we would find any in this data, but I know them to exist. I think it would be interesting to take what the other side deems important and try to show how you share common interests in their issues, but I feel that division is all that works and is why people tend to rely on it as a political tactic. For example, I'm sure democrats believe in freedom, religion, flag, and detest crime. They are just not talking about it.

# Part 2: Classifying Congressional Tweets

In this part we apply the classifer we just built to a set of tweets by people running for congress in 2018. These tweets are stored in the database congressional\_data.db . That DB is funky, so I'll give you the query I used to pull out the tweets. Note that this DB has some big tables and is unindexed, so the query takes a minute or two to run on my machine.

```
AND cd.district == tw.district

WHERE cd.party in ('Republican','Democratic')

AND tw.tweet_text NOT LIKE '%RT%'

''')

results = list(results) # Just to store it, since the query is time consuming
```

```
tweet_data = []

# Now fill up tweet_data with sublists like we did on the convention speeches.
# Note that this may take a bit of time, since we have a lot of tweets.

my_pipeline = [str.lower, remove_punctuation, tokenize, remove_stop]

for row in results :
    # store the results in convention_data
    text=row[2].decode()
    token_list = prepare(text, pipeline=my_pipeline)
    token_str = ' '.join(token_list)
    tweet_data.append([token_str, row[1]])

print("List Size:", len(tweet_data))
```

List Size: 664656

There are a lot of tweets here. Let's take a random sample and see how our classifer does. I'm guessing it won't be too great given the performance on the convention speeches...

```
In [347...
random.seed(20201014)

tweet_data_sample = random.choices(tweet_data,k=10)
```

```
for tweet, party in tweet_data_sample :
    feature_inputs = (conv_features(tweet,feature_words), party)[0]
    estimated_party = classifier.classify(feature_inputs)
    # Fill in the right-hand side above with code that estimates the actual party

print(f"Here's our (cleaned) tweet: {tweet}")
    print(f"Actual party is {party} and our classifer says {estimated_party}.")
    print("")
```

Here's our (cleaned) tweet: earlier today spoke house floor abt protecting health care w omen praised ppmarmonte work central coast httpstcowqgtrzt7vv Actual party is Democratic and our classifer says Republican.

Here's our (cleaned) tweet: go tribe #rallytogether httpstco0nxutf1915 Actual party is Democratic and our classifer says Democratic.

Here's our (cleaned) tweet: apparently trump thinks easy students overwhelmed crushing b urden debt pay student loans #trumpbudget httpstcockyqo5t0qh Actual party is Democratic and our classifer says Republican.

Here's our (cleaned) tweet: we're grateful first responders rescue personnel firefighter s police volunteers working tirelessly keep people safe provide muchneeded help putting lives line httpstcoezpv0vmiz3

Actual party is Republican and our classifer says Republican.

Here's our (cleaned) tweet: let's make even greater #kag us httpstcoy9qozd512z Actual party is Republican and our classifer says Republican.

Here's our (cleaned) tweet: 1hr cavs tie series 22 im #allin216 repbarbaralee scared #ro adtovictory

Actual party is Democratic and our classifer says Republican.

Here's our (cleaned) tweet: congrats belliottsd new gig sd city hall glad continue serv e... httpstcofkvmw3cqdi

Actual party is Democratic and our classifer says Republican.

Here's our (cleaned) tweet: really close 3500 raised toward match right whoot that's 700 0 nonmath majors room help us get httpstcotu34c472sd httpstcoqsdqkypsmc Actual party is Democratic and our classifer says Republican.

Here's our (cleaned) tweet: today comment period potus's plan expand offshore drilling o pened public 60 days march 9 share oppose proposed program directly trump administration comments made email mail httpstcobaaymejxqn

Actual party is Democratic and our classifer says Republican.

Here's our (cleaned) tweet: celebrated icseastla's 22 years eastside commitment amp salu ted community leaders last night's awards dinner httpstco7v7gh8givb Actual party is Democratic and our classifer says Republican.

Now that we've looked at it some, let's score a bunch and see how we're doing.

```
In [349...
           # dictionary of counts by actual party and estimated party.
           # first key is actual, second is estimated
           parties = ['Republican', 'Democratic']
           results = defaultdict(lambda: defaultdict(int))
           for p in parties :
               for p1 in parties :
                   results[p][p1] = 0
           num to score = 10000
           random.shuffle(tweet data)
           for idx, tp in enumerate(tweet data) :
               tweet, party = tp
               # Now do the same thing as above, but we store the results rather
               # than printing them.
               # get the estimated party
               feature inputs = (conv features(tweet, feature words), party)[0]
               estimated party = classifier.classify(feature inputs)
               results[party][estimated_party] += 1
               if idx > num to score :
                   break
```

{'Republican': 3695, 'Democratic': 583}),

#### Reflections

Our classifier did a fantastic job of finding republicans and democrats. We had an accuracy score of 85.1%. This lack of accuracy makes sense, even a recent Gallup poll finds that close to 42% of united states citizens identify as independent voters. This would make predicting our binary classification inherently have errors built into it. I think an easier way to improve modeling would be to include additional classifications to it like Democratic-leaning independent, Non-leaning independent, and Republican-leaning independent.

$$Accuracy = \frac{3695 + 4817}{583 + 907 + 3695 + 4817} = 85.1\%$$

Jones, J. M. (2022, September 21). U.S. political party preferences shifted greatly during 2021. Gallup.com. Retrieved February 5, 2023, from https://news.gallup.com/poll/388781/political-party-preferences-shifted-greatly-during-2021.aspx

