Core Values

								_ FIKS I.
Team #	Team N	ame					Judging Room	LEGO LEAGUE
Instructions								CHALLENGE
The Core Values s lens through which			ne team is a ca	ndidate for c	one of these awar	ds, plea	ase tick the appropr	
team's presentations. All team members should demonstrate the		am ate the	☐ Breakthrough Award		A team that made significant progress in their confidence and capability and who understand that what they discover is more important than what they win.			
Core Values in eve do. This rubric sho record the Core Va	ould be us	ed to	Rising All-Star		A team that the judges notice and expect great things from in the future.			
thoughout the judg Core Values will a	ging sessi	on. Γ	Motivate		A team that embraces the culture of <i>FIRST</i> LEGO League through team buildir team spirit and displayed enthusiasm.			
at each Robot Gar Professionalism® will feed into a tea Values rank	scores, w	hich						
Minimally observed In		Inconsister	DEVELOPING Inconsistently observed across the team.		ACCOMPLISHED Consistently observed across the team.		EEDS	
1		2			3		4	How has the team exceeded?
DISCOVERY	- Team e	xplored new	skills and ideas	S.				
INNOVATION	I - Team ເ	used creativit	y and persister	nce to solve	problems.			
]					
IMPACT - Tear	n applied	what they le	arned to impro	ve their work	d.			
INCLUSION -	Team de	monstrated r	espect and em	braced their	differences.	'		
]				
TEAMWORK	- Team c	learly showe	d they had wor	ked as a tea	am throughout the	eir journ	ey.	
FUN - Teams cl	early had	fun and cele	brated what th	ey have ach	ieved.	<u>'</u>		
				For	edback Comme	nte		
		Great Jo	ob:	160		113	Thi	nk about:

Innovation Project

Team #	Team Name	Judging Room
		1



Instructions

Teams should communicate to the judges their achievements in each of the following criteria: This rubric should be filled out during the Innovation Project presentation.

Judges are required to tick one box on each separate line to indicate the level the team has achieved. If the team exceeds, please make a short comment in the Exceeds box.

BEGINNING 1	DEVELOPING 2	ACCOMPLISHED 3	EXCEEDS 4 How has the team exceeded?			
IDENTIFY - Team had a clearly d	efined problem that it was well researc	ched.				
Problem not clearly defined	Partially clear definition of the problem	Clear definition of the problem				
Minimal research	Partial research from more than one source	Clear, detailed research from a variety of sources				
DESIGN - Team generated innova	ative ideas independently before select	ting and planning which one to develop	D.			
Minimal evidence of an inclusive selection process	Partial evidence of an inclusive selection process	Clear evidence of an inclusive selection process				
Minimal evidence of an effective plan	Partial evidence of an effective plan	Clear evidence of an effective plan				
CREATE - Team developed an or	iginal idea or built on an existing one w	vith a prototype model/drawing to repre	esent their solution.			
Minimal development of innovative solution	Partial development of innovative solution	Clear development of innovative solution				
Unclear model/drawing of solution	Simple model/drawing which helps to share the solution	Detailed model/drawing which helps to share the solution				
ITERATE - Team shared their idea	as, collected feedback, and included in	mprovements in their solution.				
Minimal sharing of their solution	Shared their solution with user OR professional	Shared their solution with user AND professional				
Minimal evidence of improvements in their solution	Partial evidence of improvements in their solution	Clear evidence of improvements in their solution				
COMMUNICATE - Team shared	COMMUNICATE - Team shared a creative and effective presentation of their current solution and its impact on their users.					
Presentation minimally engaging	Presentation partially engaging	Presentation engaging				
Solution and its potential impact on others unclear	Solution and its potential impact on others partially clear	Solution and its potential impact on others fully clear				
Feedback Comments						
Great Job: ; Think about:						

Robot Design

Team #	Team Name	Judging Room



Instructions

Teams should communicate to the judges their achievement in each of the criteria below. This rubric should be filled out during the Robot Design explanation.

Judges are required to tick one box on each separate line to indicate the level the team has achieved. If the team exceeds, please make a short comment in the Exceeds box.

BEGINNING 1	DEVELOPING 2	ACCOMPLISHED 3	EXCEEDS 4 How has the team exceeded?		
IDENTIFY - Team had a clearly d	l efined mission strategy and explored b	l puilding and coding skills they needed.	now has the team exceeded?		
Unclear mission strategy	Partially clear mission strategy	Clear mission strategy			
Limited evidence of building and coding skills in all team members	Limited evidence of building and coding skills in all team members	Consistent evidence of building and coding skills in all team members			
DESIGN - Team produced innova	tive designs and a clear workplan, see	king guidance as needed.			
Minimal evidence of an effective workplan	Partial evidence of an effective workplan	Clear evidence of an effective workplan			
Minimal explanation of robot and code's innovative features	Partial explanation of robot and code's innovative features	Clear explanation of robot and code's innovative features			
CREATE - Team developed an ef	fective robot and code solution matchi	ng their mission strategy.			
Limited explanation of their robot and its attachment and sensor functionality	Simple explanation of their robot and its attachment and sensor functionality	Detailed explanation of their robot and its attachment and sensor functionality			
Unclear explanation of how code makes their robot act	Partially clear explanation of how code makes the robot act	Clear explanation of how code makes their robot act			
ITERATE - Team repeatedly teste	ed their robot and code to identify areas	s for improvement and incorporated th	e findings into their current solution.		
Minimal evidence of testing their robot and code	Partial evidence of testing their robot and code	Clear evidence of testing their robot and code			
Minimal evidence their robot and code was improved	Partial evidence their robot and code was improved	Clear evidence their robot and code was improved			
COMMUNICATE - Team's expla	anation of the robot design process wa	s effective and showed how all team r	nembers have been involved.		
Unclear explanation of robot design process	Partially clear explanation of robot design process	Clear explanation of robot design process			
Minimal evidence that all team members were involved	Partial evidence that all team members were involved	Clear evidence that all team members were involved			
	Feedback	Comments			
Great Job: Think about:					