MEEN 673 Project

Nonlinear Finite Element Implementation of Time Dependent Radiation Diffusion

Zachary M. Prince

MEEN 673

Dr. J. N. Reddy

December 6, 2016

1 Introduction

This purpose of this paper is to detail a project involving the finite element implementation of a nonlinear system of partial differential equations. The project focuses on the evaluation of the time dependent radiation diffusion equation. The implementation involves defining the weak form of the equations, developing the finite element model, and detailing the nonlinear iteration process.

The time dependent radiation diffusion equations are defined in (1). Where E is the photon energy and T is the material temperature.

$$\frac{1}{c}\frac{\partial E}{\partial t} - \nabla \cdot D_r \nabla E = \sigma_a \left(a T^4 - E \right) \tag{1a}$$

$$C_{v}\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} - \nabla \cdot D_{t}\nabla T = -c\sigma_{a}\left(aT^{4} - E\right) \tag{1b}$$

 C_v is the material specific heat. c is the speed of light (photon). a is the Stephan-Boltzmann constant. D_r is the radiation diffusion coefficient defined by (2). D_t is the material (plasma) conduction diffusion coefficient defined by (3). Finally, σ_a is the photon absorption cross-section defined by (4).

$$D_r(T) = \frac{1}{3\sigma_a} \tag{2}$$

$$D_t(T) = kT^{5/2} \tag{3}$$

$$\sigma_a(T) = \frac{z^3}{T^3} \tag{4}$$

Where k is a constant and z is the atomic mass number of the material.

2 Finite Element Development

2.1 Weak Form

To develop the weak form, (1) is multiplied by test functions (u for the E equation and v for the T equation) and integrated over elements. The result is shown in (5).

$$\int_{\Omega^{e}} \left\{ \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial E}{\partial t} u + \nabla u \cdot D_{r} \nabla E - \sigma_{a} \left(a T^{4} - E \right) u \right\} + q_{E} = 0$$
 (5a)

$$\int_{\Omega^{e}} \left\{ C_{v} \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} v + \nabla v \cdot D_{t} \nabla T + c \sigma_{a} \left(a T^{4} - E \right) v \right\} + q_{T} = 0$$
 (5b)

Where q_E and q_T are boundary contributions dependent on the boundary conditions.

2.2 Finite Element Model

To develop the finite element model, the solutions and test functions are expanded in nodal values and shape function show in (6). These expansions are applied to (5) and shown in (7)

$$E = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \psi_{j} E_{j}; \qquad T = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \psi_{j} T_{j}; \qquad u = v = \psi_{i}$$
 (6)

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega^{e}} \left\{ \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial E_{j}}{\partial t} \psi_{i} \psi_{j} + \nabla \psi_{i} \cdot D_{r} \nabla \psi_{j} E_{j} - \sigma_{a} \left(a T^{3} T_{j} - E_{j} \right) \psi_{i} \psi_{j} \right\} dx dy + q_{E} = 0$$
 (7a)

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega^{e}} \left\{ C_{v} \frac{\partial T_{j}}{\partial t} \psi_{i} \psi_{j} + \nabla \psi_{i} \cdot D_{t} \nabla \psi_{j} T_{j} + c \sigma_{a} \left(a T^{3} T_{j} - E_{j} \right) \psi_{i} \psi_{j} \right\} dx dy + q_{T} = 0$$
 (7b)

After applying material definitions from (2)-(4), (7) reduces to the form shown in (8).

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega^{e}} \left\{ \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial E_{j}}{\partial t} \psi_{i} \psi_{j} + \nabla \psi_{i} \cdot \frac{T^{3}}{3z^{3}} \nabla \psi_{j} E_{j} - z^{3} \left(aT_{j} - \frac{E_{j}}{T^{3}} \right) \psi_{i} \psi_{j} \right\} dx dy + q_{E} = 0$$
 (8a)

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega^{e}} \left\{ C_{v} \frac{\partial T_{j}}{\partial t} \psi_{i} \psi_{j} + \nabla \psi_{i} \cdot kT^{5/2} \nabla \psi_{j} T_{j} + cz^{3} \left(aT_{j} - \frac{E_{j}}{T^{3}} \right) \psi_{i} \psi_{j} \right\} dx dy + q_{T} = 0$$
 (8b)

The system can now be represented in matrix form shown in (9) with matrix component definitions.

$$\begin{bmatrix} C^{11} & 0 \\ 0 & C^{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \dot{E} \\ \dot{T} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} K^{11} & K^{12} \\ K^{21} & K^{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} E \\ T \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} F^1 \\ F^2 \end{bmatrix}$$
(9a)

$$C_{ij}^{11} = \int_{\Omega^e} \frac{1}{c} \psi_i \psi_j dx dy \tag{9b}$$

$$C_{ij}^{22} = \int_{\Omega^e} C_{\nu} \psi_i \psi_j dx dy \tag{9c}$$

$$K_{ij}^{11} = \int_{\Omega^e} \nabla \psi_i \cdot \frac{T^3}{3z^3} \nabla \psi_j dx dy + \int_{\Omega^e} \frac{z^3}{T^3} \psi_i \psi_j dx dy + q_E(\psi_i, \psi_j)$$
(9d)

$$K_{ij}^{12} = -\int_{\Omega^e} z^3 a \psi_i \psi_j dx dy \tag{9e}$$

$$K_{ij}^{21} = -\int_{\Omega^e} \frac{cz^3}{T^3} \psi_i \psi_j dx dy \tag{9f}$$

$$K_{ij}^{22} = \int_{\Omega^e} \nabla \psi_i \cdot kT^{5/2} \nabla \psi_j dx dy + \int_{\Omega^e} cz^3 a \psi_i \psi_j dx dy + q_T(\psi_i, \psi_j)$$
(9g)

2

$$F_i^1 = q_E(\psi_i) \tag{9h}$$

$$F_i^2 = q_T(\psi_i) \tag{9i}$$

Draft

2.3 Time Discretization

The time discretization used for this project is defined by (10), where U can be E or T.

$$\frac{U_{s+1} - U_s}{\Delta t} \approx \theta \frac{\partial U_{s+1}}{\partial t} + (1 - \theta) \frac{\partial U_s}{\partial t}$$
(10)

The finite element model can now be refactored into a new matrix form defined by (11) with coefficient definitions. U^1 and U^2 correspond to E and T, respectively.

$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{K}^{11} & \hat{K}^{12} \\ \hat{K}^{21} & \hat{K}^{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} E \\ T \end{bmatrix}_{s+1} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{F}^{1} \\ \hat{F}^{2} \end{bmatrix}$$
 (11a)

$$\hat{K}_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} = \theta \Delta t K_{ij,s+1}^{\alpha\beta} + C_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} \qquad \alpha, \beta = 1, 2$$
(11b)

$$\hat{F}_{i}^{\alpha} = \sum_{\gamma=1}^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[C_{ij}^{\alpha\gamma} - (1-\theta)\Delta t K_{ij,s}^{\alpha\gamma} \right] U_{j,s}^{\gamma} + \Delta t \left[\theta F_{i,s+1}^{\alpha} + (1-\theta) F_{i,s}^{\alpha} \right] \qquad \alpha = 1, 2$$
 (11c)

2.4 Iteration Technique

Two types of iteration processes are necessary to analyze in this project. The first is direct or fixed-point iteration. Where the evaluation of the system described by (11) is iterated, updating E and T into \hat{K} , until convergence. The second process is Newton iteration, where the tangent of the residual vector is evaluated. A Newton iteration system can be described by (12). Where T and R correspond to the tangent matrix and residual vector, respectively.

$$\begin{bmatrix} T^{11} & T^{12} \\ T^{21} & T^{22} \end{bmatrix} \delta \begin{bmatrix} E \\ T \end{bmatrix}_{c+1} = - \begin{bmatrix} R^1 \\ R^2 \end{bmatrix}$$
 (12a)

$$R_i^{\alpha} = \sum_{\gamma=1}^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \hat{K}_{ij}^{\alpha\gamma} U_{j,s+1}^{\gamma} - \hat{F}_i^{\alpha} \qquad \alpha = 1, 2$$
 (12b)

$$T_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} = \frac{\partial R_i^{\alpha}}{\partial U_{j,s+1}^{\beta}} \qquad \alpha, \beta = 1, 2$$
 (12c)

Applying the tangent matrix coefficient definition to (9) results in the coefficients described in (13).

$$T_{ij}^{11} = \hat{K}_{ij}^{11} \tag{13a}$$

$$T_{ij}^{12} = \hat{K}_{ij}^{12} + \theta \Delta t \left[\int_{\Omega^e} \frac{T_{s+1}^2}{z^3} \left(\nabla \psi_i \cdot \nabla E_{s+1} \right) \psi_j dx dy - \int_{\Omega^e} \frac{3z^3}{T_{s+1}^4} E_{s+1} \psi_i \psi_j dx dy \right]$$
(13b)

$$T_{ij}^{21} = \hat{K}_{ij}^{21} \tag{13c}$$

$$T_{ij}^{22} = \hat{K}_{ij}^{22} + \theta \Delta t \left[\int_{\Omega^e} \frac{5}{2} T_{s+1}^{3/2} (\nabla \psi_i \cdot \nabla T_{s+1}) \psi_j dx dy + \int_{\Omega^e} \frac{3cz^3}{T_{s+1}^3} \psi_i \psi_j dx dy \right]$$
(13d)

3

Draft