Unit 6: Introduction to linear regression

2. Outliers and inference for regression

STA 104 - Summer 2017

Duke University, Department of Statistical Science

Prof. van den Boom

Slides posted at *http://www2.stat.duke.edu/courses/Summer17/sta104.001-1/*

Announcements

- PA 6 and PS 6 due tomorrow (Tuesday) 12.30 pm
- RA 7 (laste one!) tomorrow too at start of class
- PS 5 grades and feedback released
- Final is next Wednesday June 28: Sample exam is posted

Uncertainty of predictions

- Regression models are useful for making predictions for new observations not included in the original dataset.
- If the model is good, the predictions should be close to the true value of the response variable for this observation, however it may not be exact, i.e. $\hat{y}$ might be different than y.
- With any prediction we can (and should) also report a measure of uncertainty of the prediction.

Prediction intervals for specific predicted values

A *prediction interval* for y for a given $x^\star$ is

$$\hat{y} \pm t^\star_{n-2} s \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{(x^\star - \bar{x})^2}{(n-1)s_x^2}}$$

where s is the standard deviation of the residuals, and $x^\star$ is a new observation.

- Interpretation: We are XX% confident that $\hat{y}$ for given $x^\star$ is within this interval.
- The width of the prediction interval for $\hat{y}$ increases as
  - $x^\star$ moves away from the center
  - s (the variability of residuals), i.e. the scatter, increases
- Prediction level: If we repeat the study of obtaining a regression data set many times, each time forming a XX% prediction interval at $x^\star$, and wait to see what the future value of y is at $x^\star$, then roughly XX% of the prediction intervals will contain the corresponding actual value of y.

By hand:
Don't worry about it...

In R:

```
# predict
predict(m_mur_pov, newdata, interval = "prediction", level = 0.95)
```

```
       fit      lwr      upr
1 21.28663 9.418327 33.15493
```

We are 95% confident that the annual murders per million for a county with 20% poverty rate is between 9.52 and 33.15.

- R^2: percentage of variability in y explained by the model.
- For single predictor regression: R^2 is the square of the correlation coefficient, R.

```
murder %>%
    summarise(r_sq = cor(annual_murders_per_mil, perc_pov)^2)
```

```
      r_sq
1 0.7052275
```

- For all regression: $R^2 = \frac{SS_{reg}}{SS_{tot}}$

```
anova(m_mur_pov)
```

```
Analysis of Variance Table

Response: annual_murders_per_mil
          Df  Sum Sq Mean Sq F value    Pr(>F)
perc_pov   1 1308.34 1308.34  43.064 3.638e-06 ***
Residuals 18  546.86   30.38
```

$$R^2 = \frac{\textit{explained variabilty}}{\textit{total variability}} = \frac{SS_{reg}}{SS_{tot}} = \frac{1308.34}{1308.34 + 546.86} = \frac{1308.34}{1855.2} \approx 0.71$$

Clicker question

R^2 for the regression model for predicting annual murders per million based on percentage living in poverty is roughly 71%. Which of the following is the correct interpretation of this value?



(a) 71% of the variability in percentage living in poverty is explained by the model.

(b) 84% of the variability in the murder rates is explained by the model, i.e. percentage living in poverty.

(c) 71% of the variability in the murder rates is explained by the model, i.e. percentage living in poverty.

(d) 71% of the time percentage living in poverty predicts murder rates accurately.

- Use a T distribution for inference on the slope, with degrees of freedom $n - 2$
  - Degrees of freedom for the slope(s) in regression is $df = n - k - 1$ where k is the number of slopes being estimated in the model.
- Hypothesis testing for a slope: $H_0 : \beta_1 = 0$; $H_A : \beta_1 \neq 0$
  - $T_{n-2} = \frac{b_1 - 0}{SE_{b_1}}$
  - p-value = P(observing a slope at least as different from 0 as the one observed if in fact there is no relationship between x and y
- Confidence intervals for a slope:
  - $b_1 \pm T^\star_{n-2} SE_{b_1}$
  - In R:

```
confint(m_mur_pov, level = 0.95)
```

```
                 2.5 %     97.5 %
(Intercept) -46.265631 -13.536694
perc_pov      1.740003   3.378776
```

Conditions for regression

Important regardless of doing inference

- Linearity → randomly scattered residuals around 0 in the residual plot – important regardless of doing inference

Important for inference

- Nearly normally distributed residuals → histogram or normal probability plot of residuals
- Constant variability of residuals (*homoscedasticity*) → no fan shape in the residual plot
- Independence of residuals (and hence observations) → depends on data collection method, often violated for time-series data

Checking conditions

Clicker question

What condition is this linear model obviously and definitely violating?

(a) Linear relationship
(b) Non-normal residuals
(c) Constant variability
(d) Independence of observations



Checking conditions

Clicker question

What condition is this linear model obviously and definitely violating?

(a) Linear relationship
(b) Non-normal residuals
(c) Constant variability
(d) Independence of observations



Type of outlier determines how it should be handled

- *Leverage* point is away from the cloud of points horizontally, does not necessarily change the slope
- *Influential* point changes the slope (most likely also has high leverage) – run the regression with and without that point to determine



- *Outlier* is an unusual point without these special characteristics (this one likely affects the intercept only)
- If clusters (groups of points) are apparent in the data, it might be worthwhile to model the groups separately.

Application exercise: 6.2 Linear regression

See course website for details

1. Predicted values also have uncertainty around them
2. R^2 assesses model fit – higher the better
3. Inference for regression uses the t-distribution
4. Conditions for regression
5. Type of outlier determines how it should be handled