

# CS 266 Homework 2

Zachary DeStefano, PhD Student, 15247592

Due Date: April 17

### Problem 2.3

Change the code of Algorithm FINDINTERSECTIONS (and of the procedures that it calls) such that the working storage is  $O(n)$  instead of  $O(n+k)$ .

From page 29 of the book,

only store intersection points of pairs of segments that are currently adjacent on the sweep line. The algorithm given above also stores intersection points of segments that have been horizontally adjacent, but are not anymore. By storing only intersections among adjacent segments, the number of event points in  $Q$  is never more than linear. The modification required in the algorithm is that the intersection point of two segments must be deleted when they stop being adjacent. These segments must become adjacent again before the intersection point is reached, so the intersection point will still be reported correctly.

This can be done by modifying the following line in FindNewEvent:

if  $s_l$  and  $s_r$  intersect below the sweep line, or on it and to the right of the current event point  $p$ , and the intersection is not yet present as an event in  $Q$

The modification is to make sure  $s_l$  and  $s_r$  are adjacent to each other before adding the intersection as an event.

This will ensure that only adjacent line segments are in the event queue, thus the storage is  $O(n)$

### Problem 2.11

Let  $S$  be a set of  $n$  circles in the plane. Describe a plane sweep algorithm to compute all intersection points between the circles. (Because we deal with circles, not discs, two circles do not intersect if one lies entirely inside the other.) Your algorithm should run in  $O((n+k) \log n)$  time, where  $k$  is the number of intersection points.

For this algorithm, we will split each circle into 4 quadrants and then test the intersections of all of the quadrants, ignoring the cases where it finds its own circle. Each arc will have a monotone x-coordinate and monotone y-coordinate, so we can take advantage of that property of line segments and thus use the FindIntersections code.

Here is the algorithm:

1. For each circle described by center  $(a, b)$  and radius  $r$ , do the following:

- Make an arc that is the part of the circle where  $a < x < a + r$  and  $b < y < b + r$
- Make an arc that is the part of the circle where  $a - r < x < a$  and  $b < y < b + r$
- Make an arc that is the part of the circle where  $a < x < a + r$  and  $b - r < y < b$
- Make an arc that is the part of the circle where  $a - r < x < a$  and  $b - r < y < b$

Call the set of arcs found  $S$

2. Run FindIntersections( $S$ ) with the following changes

- In line 4 of HandleEventPoint( $p$ ), do not report the intersection if the two arcs are part of the same circle

- The FindNewEvent code stays the same, except that you should add both intersection points to the event queue in the case that there are two of them.

Correctness:

By monotonicity, we can use the theorems for line segments and prove them for these arcs (TODO: Insert more detail)

Running time:

The extra steps only add a constant number of operations, so it does not affect the big-Oh notation.

The run time of the algorithm is  $O((n + I)\log n)$  in general. In our case we have  $4n$  arcs. In the worst case we have  $4n + k$  intersections since we have the circle intersections as well as the arc intersections. Thus our run time is bounded by  $(4n + (4n + k))\log(4n)$ . This is still  $O((n + k)\log n)$ .

### Problem 8.4

Let  $L$  be a set of  $n$  lines in the plane. Give an  $O(n \log n)$  time algorithm to compute an axis-parallel rectangle that contains all the vertices of  $A(L)$  in its interior.

An intersection occurs when

$$x = \frac{b_2 - b_1}{m_2 - m_1}$$

$$y = \frac{b_2 m_1 - b_1 m_2}{m_2 - m_1}$$

Thus if we take the minimum of  $m_2 - m_1$  and the maximum of  $b_2 - b_1$ , we will get the maximum possible  $x$  coordinate of an intersection. If we do the same thing, but with inverse slope and  $y$  intercept, we will get the maximum possible  $y$  coordinate of an intersection. This fact will allow us to get a bounding box. We will later be able to get a tight bounding box.

Here is algorithm for the initial bounding box:

1. Setup the following sets
  - Set  $B$  of  $y$ -intercepts of the lines
  - Set  $M$  of slopes of the lines
2. Compute the set  $B^*$  of  $x$ -intercepts of the lines.
  - for an individual  $(m, b)$ , this is equal to  $-\frac{b}{m}$
3. Compute the set  $M^*$  of inverse slopes of the lines, meaning  $1/m$ .
4. Go through the set  $B$  to get the min and max. Label them  $b_{min}$  and  $b_{max}$ .
5. Sort the set  $M$  and label the elements  $m_1, \dots, m_n$  which are in ascending order.
6. Find the min of  $m_i - m_j$  for  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ .

Let  $m'_{min} = \infty$

For  $i = 1 \rightarrow n - 1$

- If  $m_{i+1} - m_i < m'_{min}$

- Set  $m'_{min} = m_{i+1} - m_i$
- 7. Set  $x_{max} = \frac{b_{max} - b_{min}}{m'_{min}}$
- 8. Set  $x_{min} = -x_{max}$
- 9. Repeat steps 4-8 for  $B^*$  and  $M^*$  however in step 7-8, they will be  $y_{max}$  and  $y_{min}$ .
- 10. The points  $x_{min}, x_{max}, y_{min}, y_{max}$  gives a bounding box

Running time:

Step 1-4 all take  $O(n)$  time.

Step 5 will take  $O(n \log n)$  time.

Step 6 will take  $O(n)$  time.

The rest of the steps are constant time, except 9 which will be  $O(n \log n)$  time.

The total running time is thus  $O(n \log n)$  time.

Correctness:

We showed above that the max possible difference in intercepts divided by the minimum possible difference in slopes gets the maximum x coordinate. The same argument will work with y coordinates. By the same token, if we make that fraction negative, then that is the minimum possible x coordinate and then y coordinate. Thus it is just left to prove that we have the min possible slope difference and the max possible intercept difference.

Proof of Intercept Difference:

For the intercepts, take  $b_1, \dots, b_n$  to be the intercepts in sorted ascending order.

Take any  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$  where  $i < j$ .

It suffices to show that  $b_n - b_1 \geq b_j - b_i$ .

This can be rearranged to  $b_n - b_j \geq b_1 - b_i$ .

Since  $b_n$  is the maximum, the left hand side is positive and since  $b_1$  is the minimum, the right hand side is negative, thus the inequality holds.

Proof of Slope Difference:

For the slopes, we will prove that the min difference has to be between adjacent entries in the sorted list.

Assume that for some  $i < j < k$ , the min difference in the set is  $m_k - m_i$  however  $m_j$  is between them.

This means that  $m_k - m_i \leq m_j - m_i$  which implies that  $m_k \leq m_j$  which is a contradiction because this is a sorted list.

Here is the algorithm to tighten the bounding box:

1. Take  $y_{max}$  and compute the x-coordinates of the lines.
2. Sort the lines into  $l_1, \dots, l_n$  by their x-coordinate at  $y_{max}$ .
3. Initialize  $y'_{max} = -\infty$ .
4. For each adjacent pair of lines in the sorted list,  $l_{i+1}$  and  $l_i$ 
  - Let  $(x_i, y_i)$  be the intersection of  $l_{i+1}$  and  $l_i$
  - If  $y_i > y'_{max}$  then set  $y'_{max} = y_i$

5. Repeat steps 1-4 but for  $y_{min}$  (TODO: ADD IN DETAILS)
6. Repeat steps 1-5 but for the x coordinates
7. The tight bounding box is now  $x'_{min}, x'_{max}, y'_{min}, y'_{max}$

Running time:

All the steps except 2 and the repeats will take constant amount of time or  $O(n)$  time.

Step 2 will take  $O(n \log n)$  time for the sorting.

Computing the initial bounding box will take  $O(n \log n)$  time from above.

Thus the total running time is still  $O(n \log n)$ .

Correctness:

WLOG, we will prove that the procedure is correct for getting the maximum y-value.

We already know that we have an upper bound for the maximum y vertex value.

It just suffices to prove that we only need to test adjacent lines at that upper bound.

Assume that we have three adjacent lines at that y-coordinate,  $i < j < k$  and we have a case where the highest vertex is with the  $(i, k)$  pair and not the  $(i, j)$  pair or the  $(j, k)$  pair.

If we follow the  $j$  line downward from the max y, then because it is between  $i$  and  $k$ , it must intersect them before  $i$  and  $k$  meet. At this intersection, there will be higher y-coordinate at the  $(i, k)$  pair, a contradiction.




Figure 1: The above argument with the  $i, j, k$  lines illustrated

### Problem 8.14

Let  $S$  be a set of  $n$  points in the plane. Give an  $O(n^2)$  time algorithm to find the line containing the maximum number of points in  $S$ .

Since a line through a pair of points in the primal plane becomes a vertex in the dual plane, we just have to compute the dual of the points and then compute which vertex has the most number of lines passing through it.

Here is the algorithm:

1. Take the dual of the  $n$  points
2. Use the arrangement algorithm to find the vertices.
3. Find which vertex contains the greatest number of lines.

Complexity analysis:

Taking the dual will take  $O(n)$  time.

Computing the arrangement takes  $O(n^2)$  time.

Going through the vertices will take  $O(n^2)$  time