



Protocol Audit Report

Version 1.0

Zac Williamson

March 14, 2025

Protocol Audit Report

Zac Williamson

March 14, 2025

Prepared by: Zac Williamson Lead Auditors: - Zac Williamson

Table of Contents

- Table of Contents
- Protocol Summary
- Disclaimer
- Risk Classification
- Audit Details
 - Scope
 - Roles
- Executive Summary
 - Issues found
- Findings
 - High
 - * [H-1] Storing the password on-chain makes it visible to anyone and no longer private
 - * [H-2] `PasswordStore::setPassword` has no access controls, meaning a non-owner could change the password
 - Informational
 - * [I-1] The `PasswordStore::getPassword` natspec indicates a parameter that doesn't exist.

Protocol Summary

Password store is a protocol dedicated to storage and retrieval of a users's passwords. The protocol is designed to be used by a single user, and is not designed to be used by multiple users. Only the owner should be able to set and access this password.

Disclaimer

Zac Williamson will make all effort to find as many vulnerabilities in the code in the given time period, but holds no responsibilities for the findings provided in this document. A security audit by the team is not an endorsement of the underlying business or product. The audit was time-boxed and the review of the code was solely on the security aspects of the Solidity implementation of the contracts.

Risk Classification

		Impact		
		High	Medium	Low
Likelihood	High	H	H/M	M
	Medium	H/M	M	M/L
	Low	M	M/L	L

We use the CodeHawks severity matrix to determine severity. See the documentation for more details.

Audit Details

The findings described in this document correspond the following commit hash

```
1 7d55682ddc4301a7b13ae9413095feffd9924566
```

Scope

```
1 ./src/  
2 #-- PasswordStore.sol
```

Roles

- Owner: The user who can set the password and read the password
- Outsider: No one else should be able to set or read the password
-

Executive Summary

Note: talk about how the audit went here, include number of hours, some things found, etc...

Issues found

Severity	Number of issues found
High	2
Medium	0
Low	0
Info	1
Total	3

Findings

High

[H-1] Storing the password on-chain makes it visible to anyone and no longer private

Description: All data stored on-chain is visible to anyone, and can be read directly from the blockchain. The `PasswordStore : s_password` variable is intended to be a private variable and only accessed through the `PasswordStore : getPassword` function, which is intended to be only called by the owner of the contract.

We show one such method of reading any data off chain below.

Impact: Anyone can read the private password, severely breaking the functionality of the protocol.

Proof of Concept: The below test case shows how anyone can read the password directly from the blockchain

Create a locally running chain

```
1 make anvil
```

Deploy the contract to the chain

```
1 make deploy
```

Run the storage tool

We use 1 because that's the storage slot of s_password in the contract.

```
1 cast storage <ADDRESS_HERE> 1 --rpc-url http://127.0.0.1:8545
```

You'll get an output that looks like this:

[illegible]

You can then parse that hex to a string with:

[illegible]

And get an output of:

```
1 myPassword
```

Recommended Mitigation: Due to this, the overall architecture of the contract should be rethought. One could encrypt the password off-chain, and then store the encrypted password on-chain. This would require the user to remember another password off-chain to decrypt the stored password. However, you're also likely want to remove the view function as you wouldn't want the user to accidentally send a transaction with this decryption key.

[H-2] PasswordStore::setPassword has no access controls, meaning a non-owner could change the password

Description: The `PasswordStore::setPassword` function is set to be an `external` function, however, the natspec of the function and overall purpose of the smart contract is that

This function allows only the owner to set a **new** password.

```
1 function setPassword(string memory newPassword) external {
2   @> // @audit - There are no access control
3     s_password = newPassword;
4     emit SetNetPassword();
5 }
```

Impact: Anyone can set/change the stored password, severely breaking the contract's intended functionality

Proof of Concept: Add the following to the `PasswordStore.t.sol` test file...

Code

```
1 function test_anyone_can_set_password(address randomAddress) public {
2     vm.assume(randomAddress != owner);
3     vm.startPrank(randomAddress);
4     string memory expectedPassword = "myNewPassword";
5     passwordStore.setPassword(expectedPassword);
6
7     vm.startPrank(owner);
8     string memory actualPassword = passwordStore.getPassword();
9     assertEq(actualPassword, expectedPassword);
10 }
```

Recommended Mitigation: Add an access control conditional to the `PasswordStore::setPassword` function.

```
1 if(msg.sender != s_owner){
2     revert PasswordStore__NotOwner();
3 }
```

Informational

[I-1] The PasswordStore::getPassword natspec indicates a parameter that doesn't exist.

Description: """ / @notice This allows only the owner to retrieve the password. @> * @param newPassword The new password to set. */ function getPassword() external view returns (string memory) {} """

The `PasswordStore::getPassword` function signature is `getPassword()` while the natspec says it should be `getPassword(string)`.

Impact The natspec is incorrect

Proof of Concept:

Recommended Mitigation: Remove the incorrect natspec line

```
1      /*  
2      * @notice This allows only the owner to retrieve the password.  
3 -    * @param newPassword The new password to set.  
4      */
```